

Western Washington University
Western CEDAR

Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference

2018 Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference (Seattle, Wash.)

Apr 6th, 11:00 AM - 11:15 AM

Puget sound habitat status and trends monitoring program: nearshore and large river delta geospatial data and habitat status and trends monitoring metrics

Jason E. Hall United States. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Jason.Hall@noaa.gov

Alex Stefankiv United States. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, oleksandr.stefankiv@noaa.gov

Britta Timpane-Padgham United States. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, britta.timpane-padgham@noaa.gov

Martin Liermann United States. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, martin.liermann@noaa.gov

T. J. (Tim J.) Beechie United States. Northwest Fisheries Science Center, tim.beechie@noaa.gov

For the safe of a condition of the second se

Part of the Fresh Water Studies Commons, Marine Biology Commons, Natural Resources and Conservation Commons, and the Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons

Hall, Jason E.; Stefankiv, Alex; Timpane-Padgham, Britta; Liermann, Martin; Beechie, T. J. (Tim J.); and Pess, George R., "Puget sound habitat status and trends monitoring program: nearshore and large river delta geospatial data and habitat status and trends monitoring metrics" (2018). *Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference*. 519.

https://cedar.wwu.edu/ssec/2018ssec/allsessions/519

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at Western CEDAR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Salish Sea Ecosystem Conference by an authorized administrator of Western CEDAR. For more information, please contact westerncedar@wwu.edu.

Speaker

Jason E. Hall, Alex Stefankiv, Britta Timpane-Padgham, Martin Liermann, T. J. (Tim J.) Beechie, and George R. Pess

Puget Sound Habitat Status and Trends Monitoring Program: Nearshore and Large River Delta Metrics



Jason Hall*, Alex Stefankiv, Britta Timpane-Padgham, Martin Liermann, Tim Beechie, George Pess



Northwest Fisheries Science Center *Work completed with NOAA NWFSC Now with Cramer Fish Sciences Email: jason.hall@fishsciences.net



PSHSTM Program Objectives

 Provide consistent habitat metrics at threatened Chinook Salmon and steelhead MPG scales

2. Detect differences in habitat status AND trends

3. Relate metrics to Viable Salmon Population (VSP) parameters



Chinook major population groups

PSHSTM Program Approach

1. Sampling strata

- Large rivers & floodplains
- Large river deltas
- <u>Nearshore</u>

2. Monitoring approach

- Complete census of habitat
- Primarily rely on readily available and frequently updated aerial imagery
- Metrics selected through expert panel reviews and evaluation
- Repeat every 3-5 years?

PSHSTM Program Sampling Strata



Puget Sound's Large River Deltas

Puget Sound's 17 large river deltas



Selected Large River Delta Metrics

| Scale/ Resolution | Delta Metric | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Satellite | % land cover by type | | | |
| | Area by tidal wetland type | | | |
| Aerial | Area, length, & edge of tidally influenced channels | | | |
| | Channel node density | | | |
| | Tidal barriers | | | |
| | Area of disconnected delta | | | |
| Field | Length of shoreline armoring, levees, & dikes | | | |

Digitized Large River Delta Features

- Delta boundary
 - Geomorphic tidal floodplain
 - Low & High Density veg edge
- Digitized features
 - Distributaries
 - Industrial Waterways
 - Large Tidal Channels (>2-5 m)
 - Small Tidal Channels (<2-5 m)
 - Tidal Channel Complexes
 - Interior Tidal Flats
 - Current & Potential wetlands
 - Tidal barriers

2015 Snohomish River Delta Example



Large River Delta Metrics Scorecard & Layers

Example large river delta metric scorecard for the Snohomish River delta with updated protocol

Example GIS products for the Snohomish River delta

| - | May 2015 Aerial Image, | Historic | Tidal | Potential Tidal | Disconnected | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|----------|
| Land Cover by Type ana Connectivity | CCAP 2011, and 50% IIdal | lidal | Wetland | Wetland Area | Area | |
| | Exceedence Model | wetiand | Area (na) | (na) | (na) | |
| | | Area (ha) | | | | |
| | Emergent | 1103.29 | 486.31 | 1485.99 | 999.68 | |
| | Scrub/Shrub | 6550.94 | 110.55 | 508.41 | 397.86 | |
| | Forested | 6575.77 | 50.19 | 459.02 | 408.83 | |
| | Agriculture | 0.00 | 18.32 | 2603.97 | 2585.65 | |
| | Developed | 0.00 | 32.48 | 295.31 | 262.83 | |
| Unvegetated Tidal Features | May 2015 Aerial Image | Area | Edge | Length | Nodes | Barriers |
| | | (ha) | (km) | (km) | (within type) | |
| | Primary Distributary | 1338.88 | 98.93 | 49.46 | NA | 10 |
| | Distributary | 1561.33 | 146.24 | 73.12 | 9 | 24 |
| | Tidal Channel | 91.24 | 124.49 | 62.25 | 188 | 18 |
| | Tidal Channel Restored | 38.90 | 63.78 | 31.89 | 127 | 0 |
| | Small Tidal Channel | 27.80 | 278.10 | 278.10 | 4651 | 5 |
| | Small Tidal Channel | 2.90 | 29.00 | 29.00 | 201 | 0 |
| | Restored | | | | | |
| | Tidal Flat | 164.58 | 49.61 | 24.80 | NA | 0 |
| | Industrial | 77.10 | 6.98 | 3.49 | NA | 3 |
| Modified Banks, Dikes, and Levees | 2004 Field Surveys | Length | Armored | | Length | Armored |
| | | (km) | Length | | (km) | Length |
| | | | (km) | | | (km) |
| | Dike/levee | 50.66 | 16.65 | Bulkhead | 0.26 | 6.52 |
| | Graded | 0.21 | 1.84 | Revetment | 0.64 | 8.64 |
| | Berm | 1.87 | 0.00 | | | |



Large River Deltas Channel edge habitat by feature & delta

- Example summary from 2011 image analysis
- To be updated with new boundaries and protocols
- Shows differences in habitat quantity & complexity



Puget Sound's Nearshore Habitat

- Census of ≈4,000 km of shoreline
- Nearshore aerial image metrics
 - Length of forested shoreline
 - Embayment area
 - Connectivity of embayments
 - Overwater structure area
- Forested shoreline & embayment metrics underway

Overwater structures completed

Puget Sound's Large River Deltas



Overwater Structures

Numerous potential OWS impacts

- Migration, shading, noise, water quality
- No consistent/updated layers
- Started with 2006 DNR Layer...
 - Digitize new & update existing features
 - Improve accuracy/consistency
 - Consider docks/piers, boat rails, buoys/floats, bridges, aquaculture, & log booms
 - Not considering fill structures & boats

Dock features from 2006 DNR layer vs. Updated PSHSTM Layer



Single Docks/Piers vs. Marinas/Slips

Marinas: Minimum bounding slip area



Exclude boats on individual docks/piers



Overwater Structure Results

Area of overwater structures by shoreline land cover type

Area of overwater structures by Chinook Major Population Group (MPG)



*Initial field validation indicates omission error ≈30 structures per 100 km of shoreline

Future Directions

- Update and complete the following:
 - Update deltas with new boundaries & protocols (2011 & 2015)
 - Complete forested shoreline and embayment components
- Field validation:
 - Swales vs. channels, forested cover omissions, barrier effects & presence, connectivity classifications, OWS types & presence...
- Analyze trends:
 - Natural, restoration, and degree of modification
- Share GIS products and summary reports!

Acknowledgements

- Funding was provided by the NOAA Fisheries Northwest Regional Office, and work completed by Northwest Fisheries Science Center's Watershed Program.
 Support from Elizabeth Babcock and Bruce Crawford led to the development and implementation of this effort.
- Lots of input from many agencies/groups...ODFW, CHaMP, CDFW, Univ of Montana, Univ of WA, PSP, USGS, WDFW, Numerous tribes throughout Puget Sound, County governments, consultants, various divisions throughout NOAA.



Questions? Contact me at jason.hall@fishsciences.net

Supplemental Slides

Large River Delta Boundaries

- Landward = Geomorphic 2-year tidal floodplain extent
- **Seaward =** Low/High density vegetation boundary



Elwha example showing geomorphic 2-year tidal floodplain extent



Stillaguamish example showing Low/High density Veg Boundary and old dike footprint

Tidal Complexes and Interior Tidal Flats

Example of unvegetated mud flats common in restoration sites



Dense channel networks common at marsh fringes



Tidal Wetland Classifications and Connectivity

- CCAP to classify cover
- Potential extent from
 2-year tidal FRI
- Aerial photos inform connectivity from...
 - Tidal channels
 - Dikes/levees
 - Tide gates, culverts, causeways, etc...
 - Muted vs complete barriers?

2015 Tidal Wetlands in the Snohomish River delta

