The University of Akron IdeaExchange@UAkron

Honors Research Projects

The Dr. Gary B. and Pamela S. Williams Honors College

Spring 2015

Assertiveness in Nursing Students

Kaitlin F. Amicone The University Of Akron, ka43@zips.uakron.edu

Rachel Miller The University Of Akron, rmm92@zips.uakron.edu

Please take a moment to share how this work helps you through this survey. Your feedback will be important as we plan further development of our repository.

Follow this and additional works at: http://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/honors research projects



Part of the Other Nursing Commons

Recommended Citation

Amicone, Kaitlin F. and Miller, Rachel, "Assertiveness in Nursing Students" (2015). Honors Research Projects. 8. http://ideaexchange.uakron.edu/honors research projects/8

This Honors Research Project is brought to you for free and open access by The Dr. Gary B. and Pamela S. Williams Honors College at IdeaExchange@UAkron, the institutional repository of The University of Akron in Akron, Ohio, USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Honors Research Projects by an authorized administrator of IdeaExchange@UAkron. For more information, please contact mjon@uakron.edu, uapress@uakron.edu.

Assertiveness in Nursing Students

Kaitlin Amicone & Rachel Miller

The University of Akron

Author Note

Kaitlin Amicone and Rachel Miller, College of Health Professions, School of Nursing, The University of Akron. This paper is submitted in partial fulfillment of Honors Nursing Research, 8200:435.

Abstract

The problem that this study focused on was that there was minimal evidence on whether or not nursing students become more assertive throughout their academic education. The purpose of this study was to compare the assertiveness scores of sophomore level nursing students and senior level-nursing students. The study was guided by Hildegard Peplau's theory of interpersonal relations in nursing, which focuses on the therapeutic process between nurses and patients, rather than on internal patient pathology. The descriptive, comparative study used a 15-question survey design and a convenience sample of sophomore and senior level nursing students at a large urban Midwest university. Recruitment, informed consent, and data collection occurred during the sophomore and senior classes. An independent sample T test was used to determine group differences in assertiveness. The results revealed that the sophomore level students were more assertive than the senior level-nursing students.

Introduction

Assertiveness is the ability to express one's feelings, opinions, beliefs, and needs directly, openly and honestly, while not violating the personal rights of others (Ellis & Hartley, 2005).

Assertive staff nurses are able to present suggestions in a direct, comfortable way, give and take criticism, assess the rights and responsibilities in a nursing situation, and act on assessments in a thoughtful problem-solving way (Clark, 2010). Lack of assertiveness results in diminished communication efficacy, thus compromising patient care (Poroch and McIntosh, 1995). When nurses express a lack of confidence and a lack of assertiveness, patients may notice. If those patients do not feel that nurses are confident in their work, they will not have a strong trusting relationship. For nurses to empower their patients, they need to be assertive (O'Mara (1995).

Assertiveness should not be mistaken for aggressiveness, which involves inappropriate

expression of thoughts, emotions and beliefs in a way that violates the rights of others (Lawton and Stewart, 2005).

Nurses' development of assertiveness and effective communication skills should begin in nursing education programs, where students are encouraged to express opinions and personal rights with the hopes of encouraging empowerment and enhancing autonomy (Baggs & Spence, 1990; Ibrahim, 2011; Lee & Crokett, 1994). Classroom-based knowledge is not always easily transferred to clinical practice (Jones, 2007). Therefore, students need to practice their skills through demonstration, role-play, and experience in clinical lab so that they can receive support, direction and feedback from nursing faculty. By doing this students may be able to practice their skills in a non-threatening environment that can be observed by faculty in order to evaluate and give feedback (Zavertnik, Huff, & Munro, 2010). The purpose of this study was to compare the assertiveness scores of sophomore level nursing students with the assertiveness scores of senior level-nursing students at a large midwestern university baccalaureate-nursing program. The following questions were answered: Is there a statistically significant difference in assertiveness scores between sophomore level nursing students and senior level nursing students? Is there a relationship between assertiveness and demographic factors, such as age, race, gender, years of nursing experience, employment in a health care setting, and basic nursing education? These questions were important when determining the assertiveness of nursing students and the effect of the nursing curriculum on their assertiveness throughout the program.

Review of Literature

Researchers have consistently found that nursing student's perceived assertiveness increased progressively throughout their nursing education and training (McCabe & Timmins, 2005, 2010; Wise, 1980). Using a three-part questionnaire, Ibrahim (2010) studied 207 nursing

students to see if the level of assertiveness increased or decreased throughout their nursing education. They found that 62.5% felt assertive in the first year of nursing school, and 67.2% felt assertive in the fourth year. The results showed that the senior level students felt slightly more assertive in the hospital and education setting than the sophomore level students. These results are similar to those found by Deltsidou's (2008) whose study looked at the level of assertiveness in nursing students from their sophomore to senior year. The study consisted of 298 nursing students who participated in a questionnaire to rate level of assertiveness throughout their education. The findings showed that the students felt more assertive in their education and in the hospital setting as they progressed throughout the program.

Researchers have also found a positive correlation between the level of assertiveness and assertiveness training throughout their education (McCabe & Timmins, 2005; Kageyama, Kobayashi, Nagami, Shimazu, & Yamagishi, 2007). Kageyama et al. (2007) studied 25 nursing students and had them participate in a three weeklong web-based stress and assertiveness class. They found that the participants felt more assertive after the training (P = 0.031) than before the assertiveness class. Implications were that assertiveness training should become a component in all nursing programs because it may increase students' confidence and it could allow them to feel more comfortable in their education and in the hospital setting.

Few researchers have investigated demographic factors such as age, gender, experience, and nursing education on assertiveness. Unal (2012) examined assertiveness in 79 nursing students and found that age and sex were not related with assertiveness. However, studies show that male or female nursing students may be viewed differently if they are assertive (Hargie & McCartan, 1990; Kilkus, 1993). McCabe and Timmins (2005) studied 27 nurses and found that regardless of gender, age, and amount of education, BSN educated nurses without any

assertiveness training were less assertive than those who had assertiveness training. Even the amount of clinical experience did not compensate for the lack of assertiveness training and experience (Hargie & McCartan, 2004). Therefore, there is little known about the relationship between assertiveness and demographic factors in sophomore and senior level students, which supported the need for this study.

Theoretical Framework

The study was guided by Hildegard Peplau's theory of interpersonal relations in nursing. This theory focuses on the nurses' attention away from the internal patient pathology to the therapeutic process between the nurses and patients (Peplau, 1997). By switching this focus, every interaction with patients becomes an important therapeutic opportunity to teach patients and to empower them to make changes (Peplau, 1997). There are three phases to the theory: orientation, working, and termination (Peplau, 1997). The orientation phase is mostly a one-sided conversation, where the nurse identifies him or herself, and explains the purpose, nature, and time of meetings (Peplau, 1997). The nurse will then seek essential information about the patient and also set the tone for future interactions. The working phase is where planning, patient education, and the physical care of the patient. Nurses also provide knowledge to their patients and build on their own previous knowledge as well. The third phase is the termination phase. This phase provides time for summarizing and closure of the work that has been accomplished (Peplau, 1997). Planning for termination begins during the working phase (Peplau, 1997) with nurses carefully guiding all of these phases. This theory also stresses the importance of the nurses' ability to understand their own behavior to help others identify problems they are experiencing (Peplau, 1997).

To provide competent care for patients, nurses must first develop a trusting relationship with the patient. This relationship is established in the orientation phase. Nurses need to establish the framework for what the relationship will consist of and work with the patient to come up with reasonable goals. Assertiveness is defined as the ability to express one's feelings, opinions, beliefs, and needs directly, openly and honestly, while not violating the personal rights of others (Ellis & Hartley, 2005). Assertiveness is an important quality for nurses in all three phases because it is used to accomplish precise patient care. Establishing the trusting interpersonal relationship allows nurses to make suggestions, communicate openly with patients, and be assertive in their care. Peplau's theory gives nurses guidelines on how to put each phase into practice. Based on this theory, it is expected of nurses to have a good understanding of their own behaviors and attitudes, have a strong trusting relationship with their patients, and have good communication skills. The nurses need to be assertive in their work to provide competent care for their patients. Studying nursing students has allowed the co-investigators to see if the students were learning these skills throughout the nursing program.

Methods

Design

This was a descriptive, comparative study using a survey to collect data. Following study approval from the university institutional review board, data were collected with a 25- item questionnaire measuring assertiveness skills in nursing students at the sophomore level and senior level. The demographic data included: age, gender, years of nursing experience, and basic nursing education.

Setting and Sample

The setting was a nursing school at a large urban public university in the Midwest of the United States. There are 27,000 students at the university. There are approximately 450 students currently enrolled in the school of nursing, and approximately 150 students per level.

The sampling of the population was conducted through convenience sampling. The inclusion criteria included: at least 18 years of age, current enrollment in the traditional undergraduate baccalaureate nursing program and sophomore and senior level students.

Participants were not excluded based on gender, ethnicity, or age, as long as they were at least 18 years old.

Sampling and Data Collection Procedures

As stated earlier, the sampling of the population was conducted through convenience sampling. Sophomore students completed a total of 79 surveys, and senior students completed a total of 116 surveys. The co-investigators obtained permission from two professors in the school of nursing to take approximately 20 minutes of their class time and explained to the subjects the study and asked for participants. The research project was designed to compare assertiveness levels between sophomore and senior students. All students in the class received copies of the informed consent (see Appendix B) and the survey. The participants filled out the questionnaires during their class time. Once they were completed, the questionnaires and were returned facedown and directly to the co-investigators. Completed questionnaires implied informed consent. Surveys did not include identifying information and were kept in a locked file cabinet in the sponsor's locked university office. Only the members of the research team had access to the file cabinet. All of the data was entered into a SPSS file for analysis, and only the co-investigators and sponsor had access. All surveys have been destroyed since the study is completed.

Measures

The Rathus Assertiveness Schedule (RAS, see Appendix C) was used to measure assertiveness and was modified slightly by the co-investigators for the purpose of focusing on nursing students alone. The tool contained 20 items, which were scored on 6-point Likert scales with response options ranging from "very much unlike me" (coded as -3) to "very much like me" (coded as 3). Sample items include:

iea	as 3). Sample ite	ms include:				
1.	I am careful to av	void hurting otl	her people's fee	elings, even who	en I feel that I h	ave been
	injured.					
	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3
2.	When I am asked	d to do somethi	ng, I insist upo	n knowing why	•	
	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3
3.	Most people seer	m to be more ag	ggressive and a	ssertive than I a	ım.	
	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3
4.	To be honest, peo	ople often take	advantage of m	ne.		
	-3	-2	-1	1	2	3

Ratings from all items were coded so that higher scores indicate a higher level of assertiveness. Item ratings will be summed with scores ranging from -60 to 60. The RAS has shown to have moderate to high test-retest reliability (r=.78; p<.01) and split-half reliability (r=.77; p<.01) (Elsevier, 1973). The co-researchers also used the Cronbach alpha tool, which measured the internal consistency of the study. Validity was established based on the terms of the impressions respondents made on other people ($.33 \le r$'s $\le .62$; p's<.01) and in terms of their indications of how the respondents would have behaved in specific situations in which assertive, outgoing behavior could be used with profit (r=.70; p<.01) (Elsevier, 1973). The survey used for the study

on nursing students was modified from the original RAS. Therefore, the reliability and validity of this study may vary from the original RAS. The survey also contained five items dealing with the demographic variables: age, gender, ethnicity, years of nursing experience, and basic nursing education.

Data Analysis

The data was analyzed using SPSS, a statistical analysis program. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sample, the variables, and the levels of assertiveness. Independent sample t-tests were used to determine group differences in assertiveness mean scores. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to determine the relationship between assertiveness and age. If the alpha or p value was less than 0.05, then the variables were significantly different. If the p value was greater than or equal to 0.05, then the variables were not significantly different.

	Variables	Sophomore	Senior
N		79	116
Age		22.3	23.8
Sex	Male	21(26.5%)	28 (24.2%)
	Female	57 (73.5%)	88 (75.8%)
Race	Black	1 (1.4%)	4 (3.4%)
	Caucasian	72 (91%)	106 (91.3%)
	Asian	3 (3.7%)	3 (2.65%)
	Hispanic	2 (2.5%)	3 (2.65%)
	Other	1 (1.4%)	0
Employ	ment	43 (54.4%)	57 (49.1%)
Healtho	are Employment	31 (72%)	43 (75.4%)

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics for the sample. More seniors (116) than sophomores (79) participated in the study, possibly because senior student have a better understanding of the importance of research and are more willing to participate. The mean age for both groups was nearly the same. This could be explained by the current trend of people seeking a second career and choosing health care, resulting in the students being older since they may already have

completed a degree. The demographics showed that the majority of the sophomore and senior level-nursing students were Caucasian. Less than half of the sophomore students were employed, and of those students, 72% of them were employed at a health care facility. More than half of the senior nursing students were employed, and of those, 75.4% of those students worked at a health care facility. Pearson Correlation showed only a very weak, positive (.113) correlation between age and assertiveness scores and this was not significant (p=.116) in this study.

t-test	Mean	Mean	T value	P value
Sex	(F) 5.41	(M) 11.30	-2.243	.033
Employment	(Y) 4.69	(N) 9.21	-1.976	.049
Healthcare Employment	(NHC) 6.39	(HC)156	1.332	.028
Rank	(So) 7.5	(Sr) 6.48	.431	.667

Table 2. Comparison of Means

Table 2. Shows the comparison of mean assertiveness scores. Scores for males were higher than females and this was a significant difference (p=.033). This tool measures the participants perception of assertiveness and it is not surprising to find men report perceiving themselves significantly more assertive than females. A significant difference (p=.049) was found between assertiveness scores for students who were employed (4.69) and those who were not (9.21). The co-investigators suspect that the students who were not employed may not be aware of the degrees of knowledge and effective communication skills essential for successful assertiveness.

The statistics indicate that students employed in non-health care positions reported themselves as being more assertive than those who were employed in a health care facility. This might be explained by the fact that non-health care jobs may not require as much responsibility and knowledge as those who care for and maintain the lives of others. Therefore, these employees may be more assertive in their workforce due to the lack of responsibility as those who work at a health care facility. Nurses encounter stressful workloads, high standards of job performance, and long hours with limited breaks in the health care setting. Since nurses are held

to these high standards, new graduates may appear less assertive since they have not mastered these difficult skills yet. Although the data also indicated that the sophomore level students were more assertive than the senior nursing students, this result was not statistically significant. It is speculated that these results may be due to the fact that sophomores feel like they have a longer period of time to master the difficult skills that senior level students are expected to have achieved in the school of nursing. In contrast to this, the senior level students are preparing to graduate and they are expected to be knowledgeable enough to practice as a registered nurse. This can be extremely intimidating and overwhelming for new graduates. The process of becoming a nurse is difficult and these students are held to very high standards compared to other professions, which may lead nursing students to become less assertive.

Conclusion

Sophomore level-nursing students describe themselves as slightly more assertive than the senior level-nursing students at the large midwestern university baccalaureate-nursing program. It is crucial that nurses and students develop assertiveness skills so that they can provide safe and effective care for patients. Expressing positive and negative feelings honestly and straightforwardly, without anxiety or intimidation, can empower assertiveness and better the communication in the health care environment. This can also allow the nurses to improve their care to their clients, peers, and even themselves. Nurse educators are the leaders of the next generation of nurses, and it is vital that they motivate their students to express their opinion and personal rights. Educators could improve student assertiveness skills by implementing classes that focus primarily on assertiveness skills and training, more simulation exercises throughout the program, and more clinical time rather than classroom learning. Their job is also to empower their students and enhance their autonomy throughout their education and career. Conducting

further research on the impact of learning styles on students' patient communication is also recommended.

References

- Baggs, K., & Spence, S. H. (1990). Effectiveness of booster sessions in the maintenance and enhancement of treatment gains following assertion training. *Journal of Counseling & Clinical Psychology*, 58(6), 845-854.
- Clark, C. C. (2010) Assertiveness issues for nursing administrators and managers. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 20-24.
- Deltsidou, A. (2008). Undergraduate nursing students' level of assertiveness in Greece: A questionnaire survey. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 9(2), 322-330.
- Ellis, J., & Hartley, C. (2005). Managing and coordinating nursing care (4th ed., pp. 7-8, 18). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins.
- Hargie, O., & McCartan, P. (2004). Assertiveness and caring: are they compatible? *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 13(1), 707-713.
- Hargie, O., & McCartan, P. (1990) Assessing assertive behavior in student nurses: a comparison of assertion measures. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 15(4), 1370-1376.
- Ibrahim, S. A. (2011). Factors affecting assertiveness among student nurses. *Nurse Education Today*, 31(4), 356-360.
- Jones, A. (2007). Putting practice into teaching; An exploratory study of nursing undergraduates' interpersonal skills and the effects of using empirical data as a teaching and learning resource. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 16(12), 2297-2307.
- Kageyama, T., Kobayashi, T., Nagami, M., Shimazu, A., & Yamagishi, M., (2007). Effect of web-based assertion training for stress management of Japanese nurse. *Journal of Nurse Management*, 15(3), 602-607.

- Kilkus, S.P. (1993). Assertiveness among professional nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 18(6), 1324-1330.
- Lawton, S., Stewart, F., 2005. Assertiveness: making yourself heard in district nursing. *British Journal of Community Nursing*, 10 (6), 281-283.
- Lee, S., & Crokett, M. S. (1994) Effective of assertiveness training on levels of stress and assertiveness experienced by nurses in Taiwan, Republic of China. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 15(4), 419-432.
- McCabe C., & Timmins, F. (2005). How assertive are nurses in the workplace? A preliminary pilot study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 13(3), 61-67.
- McCabe C., & Timmins, F. (2005). Nurses' and midwives' assertive behavior in the workplace. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 51(1), 38-45.
- O'Mara, A., 1995. Communicating with other health professionals, In: Boggs, K. (Ed.),

 Interpersonal Relationships: Professional Communication Skills for Nurses, 2nd ed.

 Saunders, Philadelphia.
- Poroch, D., & McIntosh, W. (1995). Barriers to assertive skills in nurses. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 4(3), 113-123.
- Unal, S. (2012). Evaluation the effect of self-awareness and communication techniques on nurses' assertiveness and self-esteem. *Contemporary Nurse*, 43(1), 90-98.
- Wise, M.W. (1980). A comparative study of assertiveness characteristics among female nursing graduate students and other female graduate students at the University of Utah. The University of Utah, 1978.
- Zavertnik, J. E., Huff, T.A., & Munro, C.L. (2010). Innovative approach to teaching communication skills to nursing students. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 49(2), 65-71.

Appendix A

ROL Summary Table

*Author(s). (Year). Title of article	**Problem Research Purpose &/or Research Question	Theoretical Framework What is it and how is it used?	Design of Study ***Sample and sampling procedure	Variables and Measures/ tools. Reliability and validity of measures/ tools	Findings and conclusions	Implication s	**** Limitations
1).	Purpose- to	N/A	Design-	The Patterns	Regarding	No	Limited to the
Margaret	compare the		Comparative	of Social	research	implication	female
Walter	assertiveness		survey	Adaptation	question-	s are	graduate
Wise	scores of		methodology	Scale (PSA)	1.The	necessary	student
(1980).	graduate		was	was used to	results that	because	population at
Title-	nursing		employed	measure	while there	there were	the University
A	students with		using data	assertivenes	was a	no	of Utah. The
comparativ	other women		from an 85-	S.	consistent	significant	findings cannot
e study of	graduate		item self-	Reliability-	trend for	differences	be generalized
assertivene	students at		report	Based on	nurses,	between	to a general
SS	the		questionnaire	the results	social	each	population or
characteris	University of		designed to	obtained	workers	sample	used in the
tics among	Utah to determine if		measure	from 100	and	group	future because
female			assertiveness	undergradua	business		women are
nursing	there was any		skills.	te nursing	students to		always
graduate students	significant difference.		Demographic al data	students, and 52	be very		changing.
and other	Questions-		collected	faculty	slightly above the		
female	1.Is there a		included:	members, it	mean in		
graduate	statistically		age, marital	was	assertivenes		
students at	significant		status,	concluded	s scores,		
the	difference in		graduate	that the PSA	and law		
University	assertiveness		school, sub-	is a reliable	and law		
of Utah	scores		specialty, and	tool to			
(P)	between		number of	measure	and		
(QUAN)	nursing and		years subject	assertivenes	medical		
(201111)	other women		has been in	S.	students to		
	graduate		grad. School.	Validity- A	be very		
	students at		Sample/	study was	slightly		
	the		Procedure-	done in	below the		
	University of		Women	1976 with	mean,		
	Utah?		currently	16PF and it	there was		
	2. Is there a		enrolled in	indicated	no		
	significant		graduate	that the PSA	significant		
	difference		programs.	has some	difference		

	among		Total sample:	validity as a	though		
	graduate		39 nurses, 23	measure of	2.		
	nursing		business	assertivenes	Inadequate		
	students in		women, 17	s. Results	size of		
	different		law students,	showed that	subgroups		
	pathways of		18 social	assertion	within the		
	nursing,		workers, and	(measured	nursing		
	perinatal		25	by PSA)	responses		
	nurses, family		physicians.	correlated	prohibited		
	nurse		The subjects	significantly	reliable		
	clinicians,		were given a	with four	analysis of		
	physiological		<u>Sixteen</u>	source traits	the data.		
	nurses,		<u>Personality</u>	of the 16PF:	This		
	gerontologica		<u>Factor</u>	outgoing,	hypothesis		
	1 nurses, or		Questionnair	assertive,	was neither		
	psycho-social		<u>e</u> (16PF) at	venturesom	accepted		
	nurses?		the beginning	e, and self-	nor		
	3. Is there a		of their	assured.	rejected.		
	significant		classes and	According	3. A T- test		
	difference in		they were to	to this study	indicated		
	assertiveness		return it to	it was	that there		
	scores of		the College	concluded	was no		
	women 35		of Nursing	that	significant		
	years old and		when they	assertivenes	difference		
	above as		were	s was	in the		
	opposed to		finished.	significantly	assertivenes		
	women			related to	s scores of		
	between 21			personality	women 20		
	and 34?			traits	to 34 years		
	(IV) Nurses			attributed to	of age and		
	and faculty			assertive	women 35		
	(DV)			individuals	years of age		
	Assertiveness			as described	and older.		
	Population-			by the			
	100 Faculty			literature.			
	members						
	52 nursing						
2) Canaa	students	Theoretical	Dociem A	The	The fourth	Numa	The study was
2). Sanaa	The study		Design- A			Nurse	The study was limited to
Abd El Azim	investigated the factors	framework -Problem	descriptive analytical	questionnair e was used	year student's	educators must	those 207
Ibrahim	affecting	based	design was	to collect	recorded	motivate	students at
(2010).	assertiveness	learning	used	data on the	the highest	their	Port-Said
(2010). Title-	among	10mining	Sample/	personal	percentage,	students to	University,
Factors	student	The faculty	Procedure-	characteristi	while	express	Egypt. The
affecting	nurses by:	will find a	student	cs of the	second year	their	results could
arroung	101000 0 9 .	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Stadolli	155 01 till	1 second your		1000100 00010

assertivene	1.Determinin	problem	nurses were	individuals.	students	opinion	not be used to
ss among	g student	among the	selected from	The RAS	recorded	and	determine
student	nurses level	student	different	was used to	the least	personal	assertiveness
nurses	of	body and	scholarly	determine	percentage.	rights. It is	of any other
(P)	assertiveness	learn about	levels from	the	Findings	also	program or at a
(QUAN)	2. Identifying	it in order	first to fourth	assertivenes	showed	important	different
	the	to fix the	year. The	s. Lastly the	that, about	to	University
	psychological	issue.	calculated	12- item	half of the	introduce	
	empowermen	During this	sample size	scale was	students	specific	
	t among	process,	was 207	used to	were	courses	
	student	the	student	measure	empowered	aiming to	
	nurses	students	nurses who	empowerme	. There was	enhance	
	3. Finding out		were chosen	nt	a positive	acquisition	
	the relations	chance for	from	Reliability-	relationship	of	
	between	open	different	Alpha	between	assertivene	
	students,	communic	scholarly	Crombach	student	ss skills in	
	personal	ation and	levels using	test was	assertivenes	student	
	characteristic	expression	systematic	used to test	s and	nurses.	
	S,	throughout	random	the	psychologic	They also	
	psychological	the	sample.	reliability of	al	need to pay	
	empowermen	debriefing	A three-part	the	empowerm	attention	
	t and student	sessions. It	questionnaire	questionnair	ent. There	for	
	nurses assertiveness	is used to evaluate	was used for	e and the 12-item	was also a positive	students'	
	Questions-	and solve	the study. 1.The first	scale to	relation	empower- ment and	
	1.What is the	issues	part was to	prove that	regarding	enhance	
	student	among the	collect data	empowerme	family	student	
	nurses level	students	related to	nt and	income,	autonomy.	
	of	within the	personal	assertivenes	student	It would	
	assertiveness?	college of	characteristic	s was	assertivenes	also be	
	2. Do student	nursing.	s of student	measured	s, and	good to	
	nurses have	naromg.	nurses	correctly.	psychologic	_	
	psychological		2.The second	Alpha	al	further	
	empowermen		part used	scores for	empowerm	research on	
	t		"Rathus	the	ent	the impact	
	3.Is there a		Assertiveness	assertivenes		of learning	
	correlation of		Schedule"	s and		styles on	
	student's		which	empowerme		students'	
	personal		determined	nt were		patient	
	characteristic		the level of	(0.79, and)		communic	
	s,		assertiveness	0.81)		a-tion	
	psychological		3.The third	respectively,			
	empowermen		part used a	which			
	t and student		12-item scale	indicates			
	nurses'		to measure	that the tool			
	assertiveness?		empowermen	is reliable			

	T	Ţ	T	T		Γ	
	(IV) Nursing		t				
	students						
	(DV)						
	Assertiveness						
	Population-						
	207 nursing						
	students						
3). Anna	The purpose	Theoretical	Design- A	An	The study	Assertive	This study
Deltsiduo	of this study	framework	questionnaire	assessment	concluded	behavior	involved only
(2008).	was to assess	-	was given	tool of	that nursing	should be	one school of
Title-	the level of	quantitativ	that	assertive	students'	encouraged	nursing and
Under-	assertiveness	e study	incorporated	behavior in	perceived	through	did not carry
graduate	in nursing		three	nurses was	assertivenes	learning	out any sort of
nursing	students	This type	dimensions	used to	s grew	methods.	intervention.
students'	throughout	of study is	of	gauge how	progressive	Nursing	Therefore, the
level of	their	the	assertiveness:	assertive the	ly	instructors	results cannot
assertivene	curriculum	explanatio	positive	students	throughout	need to	be generalized
ss in	(IV) Nursing	n of a	assertion,	were. The	their	develop an	or deemed to
Greece: A	students	phenomena	negative	questionnair	training. It	assertive-	apply to other
questionna	(DV)	by	assertion, and	e included	is critical	ness	nursing
ire survey	Assertiveness	collecting	self-denial.	28 questions	that student	training	schools. It also
(P)	Population-	numerical	There was	with always,	nurses need	curriculum	must be
(QUAN)	298 nursing	data that is	also a	often,	to acquire	for under-	accepted that
	students	analyzed	collection of	rarely, and	assertivenes	graduate	the assessment
		using	demographic	never as the	s skills just	nursing	scale used may
		mathemati	data in a	choices to	as much as	students.	be liable to
		cally based	different	answer the	registered	Assertive-	elicit socially
		methods-	questionnaire	questions.	nurses.	ness	desirable
		in this	, which asked	Validity/rel	Despite this	training	responses.
		study	the age,	iability-	need, there	should be	
		statistics	semester,	The	is a lack of	implement-	
		was used	employment	questionnair	literature	ed among	
		in order to	status and	e was	concentrati	students	
		conclude	nationality of	translated	on on the	and	
		the study	the student.	into Greek	mechanism	qualified	
			The	and back	s necessary	staff.	
			population	translated	to ensure		
			that was	by another	that nursing		
			studied was	bilingual	students		
			composed of	expert in	will		
			nursing	order to	become		
			students in	ensure the	assertive		
			different	accuracy of	nurses.		
			semesters at	the			
			one school in	translation.			
			Central	It was then			

translated into Greek and adapted to the population of students. There were 65 first semester students, 69 second consistency. semester students, 77 fourth semester students, 73 fifth semester students, and 14 seventh semester students for a total of 298 students. They were given a brief written research proposal to fill out in class 4). Sati The purpose N/A Design- The three The study These The students and adapted which ensured the which was did the validity of the study. Alpha Cronbach was used to test for internal second consistency. Semester students, 73 fifth semester coefficient, and the instrument was approved.	-
Unal of this study A pre-test tools that found that educational demonst	-rates
(2012). was to and a post-were used to the self-approaches the result	
Title- evaluate the test study, collect data awareness should be the nursi	_
Evaluation effect of a which used were 1. A and evaluated program	
the effect self- three forms, student communica in future only one	
of self- awareness were used to information -tion studies and nursing s	

		T	T -	T	Γ .	
awareness	and	collect data.	form aimed	techniques	adopted in	As a result, the
and	communicati	Form 1.	at the	course	the nursing	results of this
communic	on techniques	Asked	determinatio	significantl	curriculum	study cannot
ation	course on	questions on	n of	y increased		be generalized
techniques	assertiveness	age, gender,	students'	the		to any other
on nurses'	and self-	and whether	socio-	assertivenes		nursing
assertivene	esteem in	or not the	demographi	s and self-		schools. Also,
ss and self-	nursing	person was	c	esteem of		data was
esteem	education.	mentally ill.	characteristi	nursing		collected only
(P)	(IV) Nursing	Form 2. Used	cs 2. The	students. It		before and
(QUAN)	students who	the SEI,	Coopersmit	provides		after the
, ,	participated	which asked	h Self-	satisfactory		course.
	in the study	25 questions	Esteem	approaches		Follow-up
	(DV)	about the	Inventory	to assess		measure-ments
	Assertiveness	person's	(SEI) was	these		of assertive-
	Population-	outlook on	used to	factors and		ness and self-
	79 nursing	life, family	determine	gives		esteem were
	students	relationships,	their level	students a		not conducted
		and strength	of self-	learning		after the end of
		of resistance.	esteem 3.	environmen		the course.
		The last form	The RAS	t in which		
		used the RAS	was used to	practice		
		to determine	determine	enhances		
		the person's	their level	self-		
		level of	of	awareness,		
		assertiveness.	assertivenes	communica		
		Sample/	S.	-tion skills,		
		Procedure-	Validity/Re	assertivenes		
		79 students	liability-	s and self-		
		participated	The SEI test	esteem. In		
		in a course on	was tested	addition to		
		self-	by Turan	pre-test and		
		awareness	and Tufan	post-test,		
		and	for validity	follow-up		
		communicat-	and	measureme		
		ion	reliability.	nt is		
		techniques	The tool	recommend		
		during the	was found	ed in future		
		second year	to have a	studies after		
		of a 4-year	test-retest	the end of		
		undergraduat	reliability of	the course.		
		e nursing	r=0.76.	It can be		
		degree. The	Therfore,	concluded		
		mean age of	the Turkish	that the		
		students in	SEI has	self-		
		the course	been proven	awareness		
		aic course	Deen proven	awareness		

			was 20, the youngest being 19 and the oldest age of 25. All of the students enrolled in the course volunteered to participate in the study. The students took a pretest at the beginning of week one of the class and a final test at the end of week 14. The results of these two tests were used to compare their assertiveness and self-esteem before and after the course to see if there was a	to be a reliable and valid instrument. The RAS was tested by Voltan for validity and reliability. The test's reliability coefficient was found to be r=0.92. Therefore, the tool was proven to be reliable and valid.	and communica -tion techniques course increased nursing students' assertivenes s and self- esteem.		
5) Ct 1	Ti	DT/A	change.	TI DAG	TI	A 1'	TPI 1'
5). Stephen P. Kilkus (1993). Title- Assertiven ess among profession al nurses (P) (QUAN)	The purpose of this study was to determine the assertiveness levels of a population of nurses using a large random sample of subjects. Questions- 1.How assertive are	N/A	Design- A simple descriptive correlational design was used for the study. They also tested demographic factors including age, gender, years of nursing	The RAS was used to measure assertivenes s. It was composed of 30 situational statements for which the subject was asked to rank the degree to	The nurses reported being more assertive than the literature on nursing assertivenes s. The majority of the nurses believed in themselves and their	According to the statistical findings, it is proven that assertiveness training helps nurses feel more comfortable and	The biggest limitation of the study was the fact that self-reports may not reflect actual behavior. Another limitation stemmed from the 64% questionnaire return rate. It

the	experience,	which each	abilities.	assertive in	was difficult to
professional	basic nursing	statement is	Questions-	the clinical	know whether
nurses in this	education,	characteristi	1.The mean	setting.	the simple
population?	clinical	c and	RAS score	Therefore,	request to take
2.Are	nursing	descriptive	for all	training	part in the
younger	specialty,	of his/her	respondents	should be	study resulted
nurses more	type of	behavior (-3	was +17 28	made	in self-
assertive than	employer,	to +3)	with a	available	selection of
older nurses?	highest	yielding a	range of	to nurses	more assertive
3.Are male	educational	total	155 from a	so that they	nurses or not.
nurses more	level, and	assertivenes	minimum	can	This could
assertive than	prior	s score	score of -	become	have inflated
female	assertiveness	between -90	740 to a	more	the results and
nurses?	training.	and +90.	maximum	assertive.	perhaps the
4.Are nurses	There was a		of +810.		most assertive
with more	questionnaire	Validity/	2. Age was		nurses chose
experience	composed of	Reliability-	categorized		not to take the
working as a	the RAS	Several	into five		time to
nurse more	model and a	authors	10-year age		respond and
assertive than	personal and	provide data	groups,		offset the facts.
nurses with	professional	to support	beginning		
less	data form to	the reliable	with the 20-		
experience?	test	and valid	29 years		
5.Are there	assertiveness	use of the	group. The		
differences m	of nurses.	RAS for	oldest		
assertiveness	Sample/	nursing	respondent		
levels based	Procedure-	populations	was 76. The		
on the type of	A	(Walkbek,	statistical		
institution in	questionnaire	Gordon,	analysis		
which the	was mailed to	Michelson,	indicated		
nurse works?	a sample of	McCartan,	that nurses		
6.Are there	800	& Hargie).	60 years of		
differences in	registered		age and		
assertiveness	nurses chosen		older were		
levels based	randomly		significantl		
on the clinical	from a list of		y less		
specialty in	2500 names.		assertive		
which the	500 of the		than any of		
nurse works?	800 nurses		the other		
7. Are there	responded		age groups.		
differences in	and were		3. There		
assertiveness	used as the		was no		
levels based	sample. The		significant		
on the type of	nurses		difference		
nursing	completed		according		
education the	and returned		to RAS		

	T	T	
	nurse	the	scores
	received?	questionnaire	4. The most
	8. Are there	for it to be	experienced
	differences m	reviewed.	nurses had
	assertiveness		the lowest
	levels based		assertivenes
	on the highest		s score.
	educational		5. None of
	level that the		the
	nurse has		statistical
	attained?		findings
	9.Are nurses		were
	who have		significant
	received		in their
	specific		differences
	assertiveness		6.
	training more		According
	assertive than		to the one-
	nurses who		way
	have not?		ANOVA
	(IV) Nurses		there were
	(DV)		significant
	Assertiveness		differences
	Population-		in the
	500 Nurses		assertivenes
	500 Ivuises		s levels of
			nurses
			working in different
			clinical
			specialties
			7. There
			were no
			statistically
			significant
			differences
			in
			assertivenes
			s levels of
			nurses
			when
			differentiat
			ed by
			nursing
			entry level.
			8. There
L	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

					were no significant		
					differences		
					in		
					assertivenes		
					s based on		
					the highest		
					level of		
					education.		
					9. Nurses		
					who had		
					received		
					some prior		
					assertivenes		
					s training		
					reported		
					higher		
					mean		
					assertivenes		
	TD (1) (1	27/4	D 1	A 44 *:	s scores.	A	C 11
6)	To outline the	N/A	Researchers	A 44-item	The	Assertive	Small .
Timmins,	development		used a 44-	questionnair	questionnai	behavior is	convenience
F. &	and results of		item	e based on	re revealed	a skill and can be	sample may indicate that
McCabe, C. (2005).	an instrument that describes		questionnaire to collect	themes derived	that		
How	the assertive		data from 27	from	participants most often	supported or	the findings are not
assertive	behavior of		registered	literature on	show the	prevented	representative.
are nurses	nurses. IV:		nurses.	the subject	following	by factors	A self-report
in the	Nurses who		narses.	was used.	behaviors:	such as	questionnaire
workplace	participated			The	allowing	colleagues	was used to
? A	in the			questionnair	others to	and	gather data, so
preliminar	research			e was	express	atmosphere	participants
y pilot	study. DV :			assessed by	opinions,		may have
study.	Assertiveness			five	compliment		responded in a
(P)(QUAL	. Population:			international	ing others,		socially
)	27 registered			experts and	and saying		desirable
	nurses			minor	no. These		manner. This
	attending a			alterations	findings		study assumes
	one-year			were made	suggest that		that
	nursing			before it	nurses		assertiveness is
	degree			was used for	behave in a		an easily
	program at			research.	more		understood
	the same			Reliability:	passive		concept,
	university.			Reliability	than		although
				was	assertive		participants
				assessed by	manner.		may have

-							
				a test-retest,			confused it
				which			with
				revealed no			aggression.
				significant			Also, this
				differences			study only
				in			measures the
				participant			participants'
				responses			views on their
				on two			assertive
				separate			behavior as
				occasions.			opposed to
							measuring
							their actual
							assertive
							behavior.
7)	To describe	N/A	A descripted	A 44-item	Sixty-two	Nurses and	The response
Timmins,	the assertive		self-report	questionnair	percent of	midwives	rate was low at
F. &	behavior of a		survey design	e based on	the sample	need to	27%. A self-
McCabe C.	group of		was adopted,	themes	said they	learn how	report
(2005).	professional		and data were	emerging	did not find	to behave	questionnaire
Nurses'	nurses and		collected in	from the	it difficult	assertively.	was used,
and	midwifes and		2003.	literature.	to behave	Assertiven	which poses
midwives'	explore			Content	in an	ess training	the risk of
assertive	potential			reliability	assertive	should be	socially
behavior in	barriers and			was ensured	manner in	included in	desirable
the	facilitators to			by	the	both pre-	responses.
workplace.	the use of			presenting	workplace.	and post-	Although it
(P)(QUAN	assertiveness			the	However,	registration	was assumed
)	skills in the			questionnair	most had	education	that
	workplace.			e to a panel	not	programs.	assertiveness is
	IV: Nurses			of six	received		an easily
	who			international	assertivenes		understood
	participated			experts.	s training.		concept, and a
	in the study.			Alterations	Respondent		definition was
	DV:			were made	s frequently		provided, it is
	Assertive			in response	compliment		possible that
	behavior.			to	ed their		misinterpretati
	Population:			suggestions	colleagues		on occurred.
	A random			and in	and let		Also, this
	sample of			response to	them		study only
	nurses and			the pilot	express		measures the
	midwives			study done	their		participants'
	registered			in 2003. A	opinions,		views on their
	with the			test-retest	but did not		assertive
	National			was done	express		behavior as
	Nursing			and revealed	their own		opposed to

		T	T	T	T	T	
	Board of			no	opinions or		measuring
	Ireland.			significant	make		their actual
				differences	requests		assertive
				in	often.		behavior.
				participant	Responsibil		
				responses	ity to		
				on two	patients		
				separate	emerged as		
				occasions.	a		
				Also, using	supporting		
				the pilot	factor for		
				_			
				study data,	using		
				the internal	assertive		
				consistency	behavior.		
				of items in	Managers,		
				the	the work		
				questionnair	atmosphere,		
				e was	and fear		
				assessed by	were		
				using	viewed as		
				Cronbach's	obstacles to		
				coefficient	using		
				alpha,	assertive		
				which	behavior.		
				reached a			
				satisfactory			
				level at			
				0.88.			
8)	To explore	N/A	Correlational	The Caring	One	The	The sample
McCartan,	the		and cross-	Assessment	significant	findings	group and size
P.J. &	relationship		sectional	Instrument	result	suggest	were not
Hargie,	between		study.	and the	between the		representative.
O.D.W.	assertion and		study.	assertion	assertion	presence of	It is possible
(2004).	caring skills.			inventory	measureme	caring	that 'reactivity'
Assertiven	_			were used to	nts and	attributes	occurred due
	Two study						to video
ess and	objectives			collect self-	caring skill	can not be	
caring: are	sought to			report data.	scores was	offered as	recording. The
they	determine			Reliability:	noted. This	a possible	camera may
compatible	whether both			A test-retest	suggests a	reason for	have provoked
?	positive and			was done,	relationship	non-	anxiety in
(P)(QUAN	negative			which	between	assertion in	subjects, which
)	assertive			showed high	negative	nurses.	may have
	behaviors			test	assertion		influenced
	were related			reliability.	and the		their
	to caring				caring		responses.
	skills. IV:				'accessible'		responses.

	T	T	1	1	T	T	
	Nurses				subscale.		
	participating				Overall, the		
	in the study.				findings		
	DV: the				suggest that		
	relationship				positive and		
	between				negative		
	caring and				assertive		
	assertiveness				behaviors		
	skills.				are not		
					related to		
	Population: 94 trained						
					caring skills.		
	nurses				SKIIIS.		
	undergoing a						
	part-time						
	program						
	leading to an						
	advanced						
	degree in a						
	large school						
	of nursing						
	and						
	midwifery						
	from a						
	university in						
	Northern						
	Ireland.						
9)	To assess the	: N/A	Cross-	The Rathus	The Rathus	More than	The population
McCartan,	assertiveness		sectional	Assertivene	Assertivene	one	is not large or
P.J. &	of nursing		study.	ss Schedule	ss Schedule	assessment	diverse enough
Hargie	students. IV:		-	(RAS), a	accurately	method is	to be
O.D.W.	Nursing			Semantic	reflected	needed	representative.
(1990).	students. DV :			Differential	what the	when	Only 19
Assessing	assertive			Measureme	student	assessing	students were
assertive	behavior.			nt, a	nurses felt	assertivene	assessed, and
behavior in	Population:			behavioral	about their	ss in	13 of the 19
student	19 student			test, and	own levels	student	students were
nurses: a	nurses.			observer	of	nurses.	female.
compariso				ratings.	assertivenes	Limiting a	
n of				Reliability:	s. Having a	study to	
assertion				Because	positive	one	
measures.				there is	relationship	assessment	
(P)				negative	with the	method	
(QUAN)				stigma	RAS would	may lead	
(20/111)				attached to	suggest that	to	
				females	subjective	inaccuracie	
				behaving	reports	s with	
				ochavilig	reports	o willi	

assertively,	have strong	subsequent	
female	predictive	failure of	
observers	abilities,	training	
may have	however	programs	
viewed	other	in	
assertivenes	findings of	communic	
s in others	the study	ation skills.	
negatively.	fail to	Greater	
Also, if the	support	emphasis	
students	this.	must be	
used	tilis.	given at	
assertive		the	
behavior		beginning	
verbally		of training	
during the			
behavioral		programs to how	
test, this		student	
may have		nurses	
not been			
reflected in		perceive themselves	
their			
		with regard	
nonverbal		to	
communicat		assertivene	
ion and		ss and	
therefore		other .	
not seen by		communic	
the		ation skills.	
observers.			
Students			
may believe			
themselves			
to be			
assertive			
and act			
assertively			
in other			
situations,			
but may not			
have acted			
assertively			
in the			
particular			
situation			
presented			
for the			
study.			

10)	- mi	27/4		- ·	- ·		
10)	The purpose	N/A	Twenty-five	The web-	Results	Since all of	This research
Kageyama	of this study		nurses from	based	showed that	the	was not a
T.,	was to		and urban	assertion	assertion	participant	randomized
Kobayashi	examine the		tertiary	program	knowledge	s assertion	controlled trial,
T., Nagami	effects of		hospital in	that was	and	knowledge	so the effects
M.,	web-based		western	used to see	voluntary	and	of the training
Shimazu	assertion		Japan	if	behavior in	voluntary	might not all
A.,	training		completed	assertivenes	assertive	behavior	be attributable
Yamagishi	programs for		the 70-minute	s would	behavior	subscales	on the direct
M. (2007).	Japanese		assertion	increase	had	of the ACL	effects of the
Effect of	hospital		program	over the	increased as	increased	training.
web-based	nurses based		during a 3-	study was	post-	at post-	Participants
assertion	on their		week period.	the Internet	training and	training	were
training for	assertion		The changes	Navigware:	remained	and	volunteers and
stress	knowledge,		between pre-	Assertion in	higher a	remained	so it might be
manageme	attitude,		training and	the	month later.	high 1	easy to
nt of	behavior, job		post-training	Workplace.	With regard	month	improve their
Japanese	stress, and		and between	They	to job	after, it	assertion
nurses. (P)	depression.		pre-training	completed a	stress,	suggests	because such
(QUAN)	The study		and 1 month	questionnair	mental	that	volunteers
	was also used		after the	e that	workload	assertion	would have a
	to gauge how		training were	included	decreased.	training	high interest
	assertive		tested	items on the	The results	should be	level and be
	these students			demographi	also	incorporate	motivated for
	felt after the			c	showed the	d into	the training.
	class was			characteristi	effects of	hospital	Also, the
	completed			cs of the	web-based	training.	participants
	IV: nurses			participants	assertion	The	were few in
	who			(assertion,	training on	student's	number and all
	participated			knowledge,	assertion	assertivene	from one
	in the study			assertive	skills and	ss also	hospital.
	DV: assertive			attitudes and	stress	increased	
	behavior			behavior,	managemen	after the	
	Population-			job stress	t for	class.	
	25 Nurses			and	Japanese		
				depression).	hospital		
				The	nurses.		
				Assertive	Lastly, the		
				Mind Scale	students		
				measured	were also		
				assertive	more		
				attitudes and	assertive		
				the	and		
				Assertion	confident in		
				Check List	the hospital		
				measured	once the		

	assertive	class was	
	behaviors.	completed	
	The Job		
	Stress Brief		
	Questionnai		
	re measured		
	job stress		
	and		
	depression		
	was		
	measured by		
	a part of the		
	Brief Job		
	Stress		
	Questionnai		
	re. The		
	values are		
	reliable		
	because in		
	the		
	statistical		
	analyses,		
	the changes		
	of the scores		
	were tested		
	both		
	between		
	pre-training		
	and post-		
	training and		
	between		
	pre-training and 1 month		
	after the		
	training.		
	Lastly,		
	changes in		
	the		
	participants		
	response		
	divided by		
	the medians		
	of each		
	assertion		
	subscale		
	were tested		

for
reliability.
Assertion
knowledge
and
voluntary
behavior of
the ACL
significantly
increased at
post-
training
(+1.32, P <
0.001;
+0.14, P 1/4
0.011), and
remained
significantly
high 1
month later
(+0.96, P <
0.001;
+0.12, P 1/4
0.031)