

Maurer School of Law: Indiana University  
Digital Repository @ Maurer Law

Federal Communications Law  
Journal

Volume 60 | Issue 2

Article 1

3-2008

## Editor's Note

Matthew D. Lawless

*Indiana University School of Law*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj>

 Part of the [Communications Law Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Lawless, Matthew D. (2008) "Editor's Note," *Federal Communications Law Journal*: Vol. 60: Iss. 2, Article 1.

Available at: <http://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj/vol60/iss2/1>

This Special Feature is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Federal Communications Law Journal by an authorized administrator of Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. For more information, please contact [wattn@indiana.edu](mailto:wattn@indiana.edu).



**JEROME HALL LAW LIBRARY**

INDIANA UNIVERSITY  
Maurer School of Law  
Bloomington

## EDITOR'S NOTE

Welcome to the second Issue of Volume 60 of the *Federal Communications Law Journal*, the official journal of the Federal Communications Bar Association.

This Issue presents a diverse array of communications-law scholarship. In the first Article, Clay Calvert argues that legislators that want to suppress First Amendment-protected images of sexual and violent conduct conveyed on a specific medium face a steep two-step evidentiary burden. Eric Easton, in the second piece, shows how Col. Robert R. McCormick's personal and financial participation in *Near v. Minnesota* persuaded the institutional press to pursue doctrinal litigation for the first time. Nancy King thereafter offers suggestions for improving U.S. privacy and data protection laws in the context of the emerging practice of mobile advertising. And Tim Brennan analyzes the recent deregulatory trend in Canadian telecommunications and explains its implications for U.S. analysts and policymakers.

The Issue concludes with a student Note and a Book Review. In the former, Matt Warner examines the recent history of the must-carry rules and argues that the time is drawing near for further First Amendment challenges. In the latter, Henry Geller brings his wealth of communications policy experience to bear in a review of Carl Ramey's *Mass Media Unleashed: How Washington Policymakers Shortchanged the American Public*.

Once again we owe a great deal of thanks to our authors for their contributions and cooperation with us during the editorial process. We also encourage our readers to explore the expanded content available on our Web site (<http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/>), including Responses to this Issue by Matt Holohan, David Kohler, James Nehf, and others.

Please feel free to contact the *Journal* at the Indiana University School of Law—Bloomington, 211 South Indiana Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana 47405; telephone (812) 855-5952; facsimile (812) 855-5871; email at [fclj@indiana.edu](mailto:fclj@indiana.edu). We continue to look forward to your feedback and submissions.

Matthew D. Lawless  
*Editor-in-Chief*, Volume 60