

## Maurer School of Law: Indiana University Digital Repository @ Maurer Law

## **Federal Communications Law** Journal

Volume 60 | Issue 1 Article 1

12-2007

## Editor's Note

Matthew D. Lawless Indiana University School of Law

Follow this and additional works at: http://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj



Part of the Communications Law Commons

## Recommended Citation

Lawless, Matthew D. (2007) "Editor's Note," Federal Communications Law Journal: Vol. 60: Iss. 1, Article 1. Available at: http://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/fclj/vol60/iss1/1

This Special Feature is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Federal Communications Law Journal by an authorized administrator of Digital Repository @ Maurer Law. For more information, please contact wattn@indiana.edu.



Welcome to Volume 60 of the Federal Communications Law Journal, the nation's premier journal in communications law and the official journal of the Federal Communications Bar Association. Issue 1 continues the Journal's tradition of presenting timely and important analysis in communications law and policy.

It begins with a debate between Chairman Kevin J. Martin, Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"), Adam G. Ciongoli, Time Warner Inc., Robert W. Peters, Morality in Media, and Dr. Roger Pilon, the Cato Institute. The panelists discuss, in the pithy words of moderator Judge David B. Sentelle, "the expanding or contracting or changing, or whatever they are, regulations on indecency from the [FCC]." Two Articles complement this discussion. The first, by John C. Quale and Malcolm J. Tuesley, makes the case for a First Amendment bar to FCC regulation of indecent content on direct broadcast satellite. In the second, Genelle I. Belmas, Gail D. Love, and Brian C. Foy suggest improvements to the indecency complaint process based on their research into recent FCC consumer complaint denials.

The Issue is rounded out by two student Notes and a Book Review. Cindy J. Cho argues that the FCC's current interpretation of foreign ownership requirements allows foreign applicants to engage in anticompetitive behavior to obtain broadcast licenses in lieu of domestic applicants. James D. Shanahan explains how Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act eliminates statutory protections of discriminatory housing advertisements on the Internet; he recommends that Congress add the Fair Housing Act to the list of exceptions to statutory immunity. Lastly, Victoria F. Phillips reviews Philip M. Napoli's *Media Diversity and Localism: Meaning and Metrics*.

On behalf of the Volume 60 Editorial Board, I would like to thank all of our authors for their contributions and cooperation with us during the editorial process. I would also like to invite you—our readers—to explore expanded content available on our Web http://www.law.indiana.edu/fclj/. Consider submitting a Response in our newly launched Online Forum, or just see what other industry practitioners and scholars have had to say about our recent articles. As before, the Journal can be contacted at Indiana University School of Law-Bloomington, 211 South Indiana Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana 47405; telephone (812) 855-5952; facsimile (812) 855-5871; or by email at fclj@indiana.edu.

Matthew D. Lawless *Editor-in-Chief*, Volume 60