



Osgoode Legal Studies Research Paper Series

Research Papers, Working Papers, Conference Papers

2014

# Causing a Racket: Unpacking the Elements of Cultural Capital in an Assessment of Urban Noise Control, Live Music, and the Quiet Enjoyment of Private Property

Sara Ross

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/olsrps

#### **Recommended** Citation

Ross, Sara, "Causing a Racket: Unpacking the Elements of Cultural Capital in an Assessment of Urban Noise Control, Live Music, and the Quiet Enjoyment of Private Property" (2014). Osgoode Legal Studies Research Paper Series. 15. http://digitalcommons.osgoode.yorku.ca/olsrps/15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers, Working Papers, Conference Papers at Osgoode Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Osgoode Legal Studies Research Paper Series by an authorized administrator of Osgoode Digital Commons.

# OSGOODE HALL LAW SCHOOL LEGAL STUDIES RESEARCH PAPER SERIES

Research Paper No. 67 Vol. 10/ Issue. 15/ (2014)

# Causing a Racket: Unpacking the Elements of Cultural Capital in an Assessment of Urban Noise Control, Live Music, and the Quiet Enjoyment of Private Property

Sara Ross

#### Editors:

Editor-in-Chief: Carys J. Craig (Associate Dean of Research & Institutional Relations and Associate Professor, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, Toronto) Production Editor: James Singh (Osgoode Hall Law School, York University, Toronto)

> This paper can be downloaded free of charge from: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2495194

Further information and a collection of publications from the Osgoode Hall Law School Legal Studies Research Paper Series can be found at:

http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/JELJOUR\_Results.cfm?form\_name=journalbrowse&journal\_id=722488





Osgoode Legal Studies Research Paper No. 67 Vol. 10/ Issue. 15/ (2014)

# Causing a Racket: Unpacking the Elements of Cultural Capital in an Assessment of Urban Noise Control, Live Music, and the Quiet Enjoyment of Private Property

Sara Ross

# Abstract:

I examine the tension between and the treatment of the elements of cultural capital within dynamic mixed-use spaces, and posit that Canada's current noise control and noise pollution legislation, by-laws, and case law demonstrate a hierarchical protection framework placing greater importance on the "quiet enjoyment of private property" over live music culture, where performances are often the subject of noise complaints. While the elements of cultural capital valued by those who favour the value of quiet enjoyment of private property is well represented throughout legislation, by-laws, and case law, the elements of cultural capital valued by those who favour the value of live music venues and events is comparatively unaddressed.

# Keywords:

Noise complaints, cultural capital, critical legal theory, live music, private property

Author(s): Sara Ross Osgoode Hall Law School York University, Toronto E: <u>SaraRoss@osgoode.yorku.ca</u>

# <u>CAUSING A RACKET:</u> <u>Unpacking the Elements of Cultural Capital in an Assessment of Urban Noise Control,</u> <u>Live Music, and the Quiet Enjoyment of Private Property</u>

Sara Gwendolyn Ross\*

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Noise: "a sound, especially a loud or *unpleasant* or *undesired* one."<sup>1</sup>

Since sound is a sensory perception, there is no physical distinction between sound and noise.<sup>2</sup> Consequently, noise is defined as unwanted sound,<sup>3</sup> a definition that reveals the negative connotations the use of the word "noise" carries in our society. That noise is an annoyance should come as no surprise—its status as an ancient nuisance requiring regulation and control appears as far back as the Roman and Medieval European eras, where some of the dominant civilizations had rules intended to rein in the negative effects of noise, especially nighttime noise that disrupted the sleep of city-dwellers.<sup>4</sup> Today's urban-dwellers encounter noise on a daily and nightly basis.<sup>5</sup> This can have measurable health consequences,<sup>6</sup> especially as the urban cores of Canadian cities become increasingly louder due to higher population densities that result from

<sup>\*</sup> Sara Ross is a PhD in Law student at Osgoode Hall Law School. She has an LLM from the University of Ottawa Faculty of Law, BCL and LLB from McGill University Faculty of Law, and undergraduate degrees from both McGill and the University of Alberta. She is currently an instructor of Legal Process at Osgoode, and a former Editor-in-Chief of the *McGill Law Journal*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Canadian Oxford Dictionary, 2d ed, sub verbo "noise" [emphasis added].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> World Health Organization. *Guidelines for Community Noise* (Geneva: World Health Organization, Bergland Birgitta, Thomas Lindvall & Dietrich H Schwela, eds (Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999), online: <a href="http://whqlibdoc.who.int"></a> at iii [WHO Noise Guidelines] at vii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WHO Noise Guidelines, *supra* note 1 at iii. Rules regulating noise certainly appear in many other instances throughout history but I do not intend to undertake a historical assessment of noise regulation. See also George A Spater, "Noise and the Law" in James L Hildebrand, ed, *Noise Pollution and the Law* (Buffalo: William S Hein & Co, 1970) 21. See also *Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc*, 2005 SCC 62, [2005] 3 SCR 141 at para 18. <sup>5</sup> Donald F Anthrop, "The Noise Crisis" in Hildebrand, *supra* note 4, 3 at 4 deems noise pollution to be a global

issue and the WHO Noise Guidelines (*supra* note 2) treat it in the same manner. While noise may be considered to be a "luxury" problem (*ibid* at iii), the perception that noise is a dilemma faced primarily by developed countries ignores the prevalence of noise in marginalized spaces and developing countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> I will not focus here on the negative effects of noise on health, but see e.g. the WHO Noise Guidelines, *supra* note 2 at 21-36 for a discussion of health consequences.

the growing numbers of individuals who seek to live downtown.<sup>7</sup> This trend towards urbandwelling can be can be traced to the regeneration efforts of urban planners who aim to revitalize city centers and capitalize on their culture, such as live music venues and live music scenes.<sup>8</sup> Culture is increasingly seen as a competitive asset for urban economies in this endeavour.<sup>9</sup>

The revitalization efforts involve the creation of new housing developments, such as luxury condominiums in downtown cores, which result in mixed-use environments where urbandwellers share their new neighbourhood with those aforementioned live music venues and live music event attendees. As more people inhabit or purchase private property within these culturally-rich spaces, they are faced with "noise" and the deleterious effects of the elements of cultural capital that often drew them to the neighbourhood in the first place<sup>10</sup>—especially in their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See e.g. Derek Spalding, "City of Noise: What's that you say? Ottawa is getting Louder", Ottawa Citizen (18 October 2013) online: Ottawa Citizen <a href="http://www.ottawacitizen.com">http://www.ottawacitizen.com</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See e.g. Matthew Burke & Amy Schmidt, "How should we plan and regulate live music in Australian cities? Learnings from Brisbane" (2013) 50:1 Australian Planner 68 at 69; Arie Romein & Jan Jacob Trip, "Notes of discord: Urban cultural policy in the confrontational city" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. *The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives* (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 54 at 62. Live music fits within Richard Florida's "Bohemian Index" as a factor that attracts "talented and high human-capital individuals" to a city *(ibid)*, see also Richard Florida's discussion of the "Bohemian Index" in Richard Florida, *The Rise of the Creative Class: And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure, Community and Everyday Life* (New York: Basic Books, 2002) at 333 [Florida, *Rise of the Creative Class*] and Richard Florida, *Cities and the Creative Class* (New York: Routledge, 2005) at ch 5ff [Florida, *Cities*].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Romein & Trip, *supra* note 8 at 54 also credit this trend to the emergence of authors such as Florida (*Rise of the Creative Class, supra* note 8) and his notion of the "creative city". For the Canadian application of these ideas related to the "creative city", see e.g. Deborah Leslie & Norma M Rantisi. "Creativity and urban regeneration: The role of La Tohu and the Cirque du Soleil in the Saint-Michel neighborhood in Montreal" in Grodach & Silver, *supra* note 8, 83; Tom Hutton & Catherine Murray, "The new cultural economy and its discontents: Governance innovation and policy disjuncture in Vancouver" in Grodach & Silver, *supra* note 8, 143; Daniel Silver, "Local politics in the creative city: The case of Toronto" in Grodach & Silver, *supra* note 8, 249. See also Rosemary J Coombe, "Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference" (2005) 1 Law, Culture and the Humanities 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> These new inhabitants, identified in the relevant literature as gentrifiers, are often the most frequent users of nightlife events such as those involving live music. Ironically, they are usually the most vocal and articulate in objecting to deleterious effects, such as noise: Silvia Rief, *Club Cultures: Boundaries, Identities, and Otherness* (New York: Routledge, 2009) at 36; Paul Chatterton & Robert Hollands, *Urban Nightscapes: Youth Cultures, Pleasure Spaces and Corporate Power* (London, UK: Routledge, 2003) at 64.

interactions with a live music scene,<sup>11</sup> which can now conflict with other elements of cultural capital that they may value more, such as the quiet enjoyment of their private property.<sup>12</sup>

I examine the tension between and the treatment of these elements of cultural capital, especially within the above-noted dynamic mixed-use spaces, and posit that Canada's current noise control and noise pollution legislation, by-laws, and case law demonstrate a hierarchical protection framework placing greater importance on the "quiet enjoyment of private property" over live music culture, where performances are often the subject of noise complaints. While the elements of cultural capital valued by those who favour the value of quiet enjoyment of private property is well represented throughout legislation, by-laws, and case law, the elements of cultural capital valued by those who favour the value of live music venues and events is comparatively unaddressed.

#### **I. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

#### A. Cultural Capital

In order to examine this hierarchical framework, I first turn to Pierre Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital.<sup>13</sup> Cultural capital traditionally discusses family, class position, and the investment made in the cultural capital of youth, with the intent that the return on this investment will reproduce the class position held by the parent (usually within the middle class) in the youth.<sup>14</sup> Particular activities are valued when investing in cultural capital, such as travel,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For example, complaints related to music comprised 53.3% of noise complaints made in the City of Ottawa between January 2008 and July 2013 (Dennis Leung & Derek Spalding. "Majority of complaints are music related", chart in Spalding, *supra* note 7. Certainly this figure also includes complaints pertaining to music blaring from a neighbour's apartment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>See e.g. Rief, *supra* note 10 at 56. Also, what is meant by "elements of cultural capital" will be clarified below. <sup>13</sup>See generally Pierre Bourdieu, *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*, translated by Richard Nice (London, UK: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ibid.

language abilities, high culture, the high arts,<sup>15</sup> and so on.<sup>16</sup> Cultural capital is not inevitably inherited or innately possessed by middle-class individuals, but it is accrued by amassing particular types of knowledge, abilities, and skills:<sup>17</sup> what I term the "elements" of cultural capital. I view cultural capital as the aggregate value that is derived from these constitutive elements,<sup>18</sup> which carry differential value as some are valued more than others.<sup>19</sup> The differential value ultimately attributed to the aggregate cultural capital of individuals and groups results a hierarchical framework.

# **B.** Postmodernism and Metanarrative

As an aggregator of independent elements, cultural capital is a metanarrative, and its hierarchies result in a skewed valuation of different types of culture.<sup>20</sup> As a metanarrative<sup>21</sup> cultural capital furnishes a theoretical framework that seeks to justify and explain the unequal and differential assignment of value of the cultural capital of particular groups or individuals based on their preferences for and practices of different elements of cultural capital.<sup>22</sup> In doing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Shane Homan identifies the "high" arts as opera, classical music, and musical theatre ("From Coombs to Crean: popular music and cultural policy in Australia" (2013) 19:3 International Journal of Cultural Policy 382 at 383). Homan (*ibid* at 384), also notes the distinction between "entertainment" and "high" cultural forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Gerry Veenstra, "Culture and Class in Canada" (2010) 35:1 Canadian Journal of Sociology 83 at 100 [Veenstra, "In Canada"]: Based on statistical analysis, Veenstra identifies traditional "highbrow" cultural practices in Canada with activities such as "listening to CBC radio, attending classical music performances, and visiting art galleries". In contrast, Veenstra identifies "lowbrow" cultural practices in Canada as visiting or attending "parks, historic sites, ... theatrical performances, festivals, or popular music performances" (*ibid* at 101).
<sup>17</sup> Tara J Yosso, "Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community and cultural wealth"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Tara J Yosso, "Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community and cultural wealth" (2005) 8:1 Race Ethnicity and Education 69 at 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Bourdieu, *Distinction*, *supra* note 13 at 114-16, 118-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Veenstra, "In Canada", supra note 16 at 86-87.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See e.g. Jean-Francois Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge*, translated by Geoff Bennington & Brian Massumi (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984).
 <sup>21</sup> *Ibid* at 31-41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> *Ibid* at 37; The Editorial Staff, "Daring to Deconstruct: The Rise of Postmodernism in Theory" (2010) 31:4 Harvard International Review 32 at 33.

so, Bourdieuean cultural capital unfairly minimizes the value of the everyday lived experiences and realities of those who are "at the bottom of society's well."<sup>23</sup>

My intention is to deconstruct the metanarrative of cultural capital, distilling its narratives or "elements". This exercise allows me to examine the value placed on each element of cultural capital and the reasons for their different valuations.

#### C. Critical Race Theory

The limitations of Bourdieuean cultural capital are characterized by its focus on the white middle- and upper-classes, which necessarily uses white middle-class culture as the standard by which all other iterations of culture are situated and valued.<sup>24</sup> To overcome the limitations of Bourdieuean cultural capital, I rely on the work of Tara Yosso, who writes from the perspective of critical race theory.<sup>25</sup> Her work allows me to shift the focus of Bourdieuean cultural capital from the values and interests of white middle- and upper-class individuals to those traditionally excluded by this theory<sup>26</sup>—those who often voicelessly inhabit the margins and whose interests, due to a plethora of intersecting forms of subordination or stigmatization, do not neatly fit within current noise legislation and by-laws that are mired in subjectivities.<sup>27</sup> The voicelessness of the margins that critical race theory seeks to bring to the center is essential to address so that all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Derrick A Bell, Faces at the Bottom of the Well: The Permanence of Racism (New York: Basic Books, 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Yosso, *supra* note 17 at 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> *Ibid* at 77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Due to the limited scope of this paper, I will not enter into an assessment of the identities and characteristics of those who are not well-represented by current noise legislation and by-laws. I will instead focus on establishing that this group does in fact exist, how and where they have been excluded from the legislation and by-laws, as well as reasons why they have been excluded. But see *ibid* at 73. On intersecting forms of subordination, see e.g. Kimberle Crenshaw, "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Colour" (1991) 43 Stan L Rev 1241. For a discussion of hidden subjectivities and the use and politics of space, see e.g. Patricia L Price, "At the Crossroads: Critical Race Theory and Critical Geographies of Race" (2010) 34:2 Progress in Human Geography 147 at 151 and also Patricia J Williams, *The Alchemy of Race and Rights* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991) at 11.

voices may be heard and included within society's dominant discourse that figures in the creation of legislation and by-laws.<sup>28</sup>

Yosso adds an important dimension to cultural capital. She highlights the shortcomings of traditional iterations of Bourdieuean cultural capital specifically by challenging the hierarchical valuation of cultural capital within the upper- and middle-classes, which, as noted above, excludes marginalized and racialized communities.<sup>29</sup> Bourdieuean cultural capital traditionally views these communities as "full of cultural poverty disadvantages" because alternative forms of cultural capital are unacknowledged and unrecognized in his framework.<sup>30</sup> Yosso argues that racialized and marginalized communities are in reality characterized by a wealth of cultural capital and goes on to introduce an alternative concept that she terms "community cultural wealth"<sup>31</sup> While alternative forms of cultural capital and cultural knowledge are greatly valuable to the individuals within these communities or marginalized groups, they do not necessarily carry value, or capital, within the context of dominant society.<sup>32</sup> Therefore, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Regarding the disallowance of entry into a discourse, Yosso refers to the exclusion of racialized individuals from the process of theorizing (*supra* note 17 at 69). She refers to Gloria Anzaldúa (*Haciendo Caras/making face, making soul: creative and critical perspectives by women of color* (San Francisco: Aunt Lute Press, 1990) at xxv) to note how important it is that the excluded and non-dominant occupy this theorizing space and import their own approaches and methodologies in order to transform the "theorizing space" in question. The transformation of this space is what leads to theories that incorporate that which they previously excluded. This applies to discourse that shapes legislation and by-laws that govern our society. Without a voice, how can affected parties have their interests satisfactorily addressed? For a discussion of discriminatory practices within the Canadian legal system, see Carol A Aylward, *Canadian Critical Race Theory: Racism and the Law* (Halifax: Fernwood Publishing, 1999) at 40-49. My intention is to show that there are affected parties who are excluded in current noise legislation and by-laws with regard to particular undervalued elements of their cultural capital, which results in a disconnect between current legislation and by-laws and those to whom they apply. In order to ensure that all involved parties are effectively represented and to remedy this voicelessness, the fact of voicelessness and exclusion must first be identified in comparison to groups and the constitutive elements of their cultural capital that is currently well-represented.
<sup>29</sup> Yosso, *supra* note 17. See also Pierre Bourdieu & J Passeron, *Reproduction in education, society and culture* 

<sup>(</sup>London: Sage, 1977).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Yosso, *supra* note 17 at 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> *Ibid* at 70. Yosso describes six of these unacknowledged and unrecognized forms of cultural capital that make up community cultural wealth: aspirational capital, familial capital, social capital, navigational capital, resistant capital, and linguistic capital. These six, which are not an exhaustive list, feed into and edify community cultural wealth, which is then translated into cultural capital (see *ibid* at 78).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> *Ibid* at 76. Elsewhere, Sarah Thornton's work on club cultures (*Club Cultures: Music Media and Subcultural Capital* (Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 1995) addresses alternative forms of cultural capital that exist within

problem with the traditional parameters and definition of cultural capital is that they do not acknowledge community differences that would account for alternative forms of cultural capital. Essentially, Yosso argues for an extension of the boundaries of what constitutes cultural capital and who may have cultural capital.<sup>33</sup> It is from within these extended boundaries of cultural capital that I base my analysis of the hierarchical valuation attributed to elements of cultural capital embodied in the quiet enjoyment of private property versus those embodied by the live music scene.

#### **D.** Methodology and Deconstruction

In applying Yosso's argument, I look to the deconstructed elements of cultural capital that comprise this newly enlarged notion of cultural capital. The cultural capital in communities that have been traditionally attributed a deficit-value cultural capital will necessarily be comprised of different elements than the traditional elements of Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital. Now looking to all of the elements that actually comprise cultural capital, we see how the metanarrative of cultural capital incorrectly imposes a hierarchy of acceptability and value within the elements of cultural capital. Elements not traditionally conceived as forming part of cultural

subcultures. She refers to cultural capital in this context as "subcultural capital". I agree with Alan O'Connor's critique of Thornton's use of cultural capital ("The Eagle and the Hummingbird: Questions for Cultural Studies", (2001) 10:1 Pretexts: literary and cultural studies 93 at 98-99). He views Thornton's application of Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital as an aberration that fails to deploy the notion in the way Bourdieu intended. Yosso avoids this pitfall by arguing for an extended form of cultural capital that includes alternative forms of cultural capital rather than creating an alternative hierarchical framework for these other forms of cultural capital. In passing, it bears mentioning that these notions of alternative cultural capital or subcultural capital criticized by O'Connor may also be described in terms of what Bourdieu calls, "non-certified cultural capital", see e.g. Bourdieu, supra note 13 at 358. This approach is taken up by Lise Bernard in "Le capital culturel non certifié comme mode d'accès aux classes moyennes : L'entregent des agents immobiliers" (2012) 1 Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales 68. Bernard discusses the cultural capital deployed by real estate agents as non-certified cultural capital—such as having a way with words or a well-honed knowledge of how to negotiate social contexts-but which can nonetheless enable an individual to enjoy the same benefits as would be provided by having strong certified cultural capital in terms of enabling a satisfactory placement within the middle and upper classes (*ibid*). <sup>33</sup> See generally Yosso, *supra* note 17.

capital, such as live music events that are linked to popular (or "low") culture, are attributed a lesser value, and are thus less likely to benefit from protection.

Applying this methodology, I argue that the exclusion, lack of acknowledgement, and undervaluing of elements that are often within the realm of deficit-valued cultural capital, such as noise-producing live music venues and culture, can be seen through a lack of representation in noise control legislation, by-laws, and existing case law.

# E. The Relevance of Deconstructing Cultural Capital: Who is Excluded

Focusing on the elements of cultural capital permits insight into the everyday lived effects on individuals created by differential valuation within legislation and by-laws of certain elements of cultural capital.<sup>34</sup> The relevance of asking why these elements of cultural capital are invisible in noise control legislation and by-laws is threefold. First of all, statistical data indicates that Canadians in fact engage in a wide variety of cultural activities where live musical and cultural performances are highly valued and attended.<sup>35</sup> The lack of protection for noise-creating live music events and venues in noise control legislation, by-laws, and case law reveals an under-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Rebecca Johnson writes of the importance of remaining aware of justice and inequality within the seemingly trivial events of everyday life: see "Bars, Breasts, Babies: Justice L'Heureux-Dubé and the Boundaries of Belonging" in Elizabeth Sheehy, ed, *Adding Feminism to the Law: The Contributions of Madame Justice L'Heureux-Dubé* (Toronto: Irwin Law, 2004) 143 at 151. See also Aylward, *supra* note 28 at 19, who notes the connection between legal concepts and their effects in "real people's lives" (citing Shannon O'Byrne, "Legal Criticism as Storytelling" (1991) Ottawa L Rev 487).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See e.g. Veenstra, "In Canada", *supra* note 16; Gerry Veenstra, "Can Taste Illumine Class? Cultural Knowledge and Forms of Inequality" (2005) 30:3 Canadian Journal of Sociology 247; Daniel Silver & Terry Nichols Clark, "Buzz as an Urban Resource" (2013) 38:1 Canadian Journal of Sociology 1.

and an over-representation of the interests of those who do not value these elements of cultural capital as much.<sup>36</sup>

Second of all, live music events and venues represent elements of cultural capital that create a space for individuals to negotiate the transition period between youth and adulthood.<sup>37</sup> This time period has been extended in the last several decades as individuals start families later in life, which results in a higher number of individuals who are currently in this life phase, leading to changes in consumption practices.<sup>38</sup> For these individuals, live music venues and events can provide a space for creativity, a place for community-creating bonds to flourish,<sup>39</sup> and may play an important role in urban liveability as well as the creation of a rich cultural life in the city.<sup>40</sup> Additionally, while some consider this extended youth phase to be accompanied by a greater amount of disposable income since these individuals often choose to remain living with their parents,<sup>41</sup> this is not always true. An extended youth phase also enables more time spent forming a career path through education—time characterized by lower income periods. It is thus beneficial that live music venues often remain open and accessible to lower-income groups in areas that may otherwise be characterized by economically inaccessible and higher-end entertainment and consumption venues.<sup>42</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Appropriating theoretical approaches such as cultural capital and applying them to individuals traditionally disempowered by their application can, conversely, have an empowering effect, see e.g. Anzaldúa: "If we have been gagged and disempowered by theories, we can also be loosened and empowered by theories" (*supra* note 28 at xxvi, again, as referred to by Yosso, *supra* note 17 at 70).
<sup>37</sup> See e.g. Ben Gallan & Chris Gibson. "Mild-mannered bistro by day, eclectic freak-land at night: memories of an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See e.g. Ben Gallan & Chris Gibson. "Mild-mannered bistro by day, eclectic freak-land at night: memories of an Australian music venue" (2013) 37:2 Journal of Australian Studies 174.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Chatteron & Hollands, *supra* note 10 at 9-10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Gallan & Glen, *supra* note 37; Rief, *supra* note 10 at 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Nathaniel Bavinton, "Putting leisure to work: city image and representations of nightlife" (2010) 2:3 Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure & Events 236 at 238.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Chatteron & Hollands, supra note 10 at 9-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> *Ibid* at 64-66. For a discussion of the role served by club culture in providing youth momentary enjoyment of liberty and luxury while free from adult commitments focused on economic capital, see generally Thornton's book on club cultures, *supra* note 32 at 103ff. Again (see above at note 32), I avoid O'Connor's critique of Thornton's application of Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital by discussing the elements of cultural capital and how they are

The third reason, as noted previously, is linked to the prior two reasons and adds a layer of complexity to my analysis. As areas commonly associated with nightlife and live music events continue to see increasing gentrification, notably shaped by middle-class professionals and young adults who "seek to live in inner city areas that offer opportunities for entertainment, exotic difference and excitement such as those with a vibrant culture and night-life scene,"<sup>43</sup> these areas also face the simultaneous consequence of noise complaints voiced by these same gentrifiers who tend to be "the most articulate and vocal in representing their interests against [the] negative side-effects" of these new mixed-use zones within which they have chosen to invest in private property.<sup>44</sup> While these individuals may be seen as wishing to both have their cake and eat it too, the bottom line is that their collective power in voicing their concerns, combined with their relatively high income levels and social status, can ultimately push out and silence noise-creating live music venues.<sup>45</sup> The absence of legislation and by-laws addressing the interests of live music venues, attendees, and events places these elements of cultural capital in a precarious position with little recourse when faced with displacement.<sup>46</sup> A palpable example of this type of situation is that of Brisbane, Australia's The Press Club:

A decade ago in Brisbane, a small live music venue named The Press Club sat next to the former head-quarters of the defunct *Sun* newspaper in Fortitude Valley. The abandoned offices were redeveloped into the Sun Apartments, following planning approval. The new residential complex shared a wall with the existing live music operator ... as well as having a nightclub underneath. Noise complaints ensued, and, under the regulatory frameworks of the time, particularly the noise and liquor law, The Press

hierarchically valued rather than proposing that attendees of live music events have a discrete cultural capital unique to that subculture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Rief, *supra* note 10 at 36; T Butler, "Gentrification" in N Buck et al, eds, *Changing Cities: Rethinking Urban Competitiveness, Cohesion and Governance* (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Rief, *supra* note 10 at 36; Paul Chatterton, "Governing nightlife: Profit, fun and (dis)order in the contemporary city" (2002) 1:2 Entertainment Law 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Rief, *supra* note 10 at 36; Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> For illuminating discussions on physical exclusion and inclusion of racialized, subordinated, or otherwise stigmatized individuals from the landscape, see generally the critical geographic approach to these issues. For e.g. Price, *supra* note 27 at 153.

Club was forced to effectively cease live music performance. With the continued gentrification of Fortitude Valley, other venues faced a similar fate. <sup>47</sup>

#### F. A Note on Terminology

By using the term "live music", I am referring specifically to a context where the music at a venue is the focal point of the event rather than incidental to the event.<sup>48</sup>

Legislation, by-laws, and case law related to noise control deploy specific terminology to describe the noise/sound emitted from live music venues or during live music events. Music-related noise is often referred to with terms such as sound amplification and reproduction, as well as sound equipment, vibrations, and "public address systems".

# **II. THE HOW AND WHERE OF EXCLUSION**

# A. Legislation and By-laws<sup>49</sup>

Noise legislation and by-laws have an unfortunate history as tools for distinguishing

between "acceptable" and "unacceptable" cultural forms,<sup>50</sup> and thus controlling undesirable

premises, their conditions of use, and their attendees.<sup>51</sup> These laws and legislation enable control

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> This distinguishes live music events from the incidental music that characterizes many nightclubs, which is not to say that live music events cannot occur at nightclubs or that nightclubs cannot also be considered live music events. My point is simply that not all nighttime events including music are included within my definition of live music events. See also, Gallan & Gibson, *supra* note 37 at note 1, who characterize live music as "amplified, original compositions, with an accessible rather than elitist sensibility. [They] also intend the term to encompass diverse genres such as rock 'n'roll, rhythm and blues, metal, and punk music as opposed to classical performance." Additionally, Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 68 use the definition: "music performed in public by at least one person in real time, that is, not pre-recorded." As Burke & Schmidt (*ibid*), also delineate, "It is difficult to disentangle this live music, per se, from the broader realm of the night-time economy."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> I will not undertake an exhaustive analysis of noise control legislation in Canada due to the scope of this paper. The examples I consider are intended as illustrations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Deborah Talbot, "Regulation and Racial Differentiation in the Construction of Night-time Economies: A London Case Study" (2004) 41:4 Urban Studies 887 at 888 [Talbot, "London"].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Deborah Talbot, *Regulating the Night: Race, Culture and Exclusion in the Making of the Night-time Economy* (Hampshire: Ashgate, 2007) at 49, 98-99, 101, 128 [Talbot, *Regulating*]; Mariana Valverde, "Taking land use seriously: toward an ontology of municipal law" (2005) 9:1 Law, Text, Culture 34.

of premises without any need to exercise moral or political judgment about their hours of use and the activities occurring within.<sup>52</sup> Since live music creates sound that is potentially perceived as noise and as a nuisance, in areas where live music venues, live music event attendees, and urban-dwellers increasingly co-habit the same space, conflicts surrounding noise can be the main arena where their respective elements of cultural capital collide.<sup>53</sup> Complaints about noise and nuisance can be a way for residents in these mixed-use spaces to voice their dissent against existing live music venues. The use of complaints can also help them to oppose new venues via their role in contesting applications for liquor licenses.<sup>54</sup>

# i. The International Context

At the international level, the World Health Organization [WHO] Noise Guidelines

constitute the leading document dealing with noise control.<sup>55</sup> These guidelines suggest three key

noise control principles:

(a) The precautionary principle. In all cases, noise should be reduced to the lowest level achievable in a particular situation. Where there is a reasonable possibility that public health will be damaged, action should be taken to protect public health without awaiting full scientific proof.

(b) The polluter pays principle. The full costs associated with noise pollution (including monitoring, management, lowering levels and supervision) should be met by those responsible for the source of noise.

(c) The prevention principle. Action should be taken where possible to reduce noise at the source. Land-use planning should be guided by an environmental health impact assessment that considers noise as well as other pollutants.<sup>56</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Talbot, *Regulating*, *supra* note 51 at 98-99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> *Ibid* at 101, 133.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> WHO Noise Guidelines, *supra* note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> *Ibid* at 66.

Clearly this document prioritizes the significant negative health effects of many types of noise around the globe and is not likely a good starting point for protecting the elements of cultural capital inherent in live music culture. It is nonetheless an instructive exercise to unpack the values embodied and language used in this document since it shapes international awareness of noise as a pollutant.

A strong emphasis is placed on the "polluter pays principle", which adopts a narrow viewpoint that fails to take context into account. For example, where a residential development is placed next to a live music venue, the polluter pays principle does not account for the role of the developer in knowingly constructing residences that will subject the new inhabitants to noise that will compromise the quiet enjoyment of their private property.<sup>57</sup> The polluter pays principle is a blanket castigation of the source of the perceived noise that also fails to account for first-use rights that may be reasonably anticipated in certain situations.<sup>58</sup> The third WHO Noise Guidelines—the prevention principle—may be read to address a first-use scenario but suffers from weak wording in comparison to the emphatically worded polluter pays principle. Reference is made to the source of noise and reduction, which is again a blanket castigation of the source of perceived noise. The suggestion of land-use planning guided by an environmental health impact assessment does not clearly encompass important potential prevention mechanisms, such as a contextual assessment of the parties involved and their potentially competing or complimentary values and objectives,<sup>59</sup> or a developer's responsibility to properly insulate new residences from noise or to be forthcoming regarding the noise levels of the area in question.<sup>60</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8, see above at note 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Cf Burke & Schmidt, supra note 8 at 74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Critique of the policies suggested by the WHO Noise Guidelines is pertinent since they are intended to guide the creation of government policy framework around the world (WHO Noise Guidelines, *supra* note 2 at 66). Greater acknowledgment of context is important to create well-tailored solutions that meet the needs of communities and neighbourhoods and do not result in detrimental results within other elements of the social fabric such as culture. <sup>60</sup> *Cf* Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 74-75; see below at Section III.B

# ii. The Canadian Context

Noise control of non-transportation related noise sources in Canada is regulated both provincially and municipally.<sup>61</sup> Canadian cities address noise with a variety of mechanisms that have shifted over time.<sup>62</sup> Ontario's municipalities, for example, witnessed a shift in provincial legislation from the perception of noise as a matter of public order and nuisance to one of environmental pollution.<sup>63</sup> Again considering the context of Ontario, municipalities deal with the impacts of noise via two principal means.<sup>64</sup> The first is through land-use planning, such as the *Planning Act*,<sup>65</sup> which is accomplished primarily through official plans and zoning by-laws that must be consistent with provincial policy statements.<sup>66</sup> The second is through the regulatory powers provided by the *Municipal Act*, *2001*.<sup>67</sup> In 2006, amendments were made to the *Municipal Act*, *2001*, which extended the authority of municipalities in regulating noise and established permitting and licensing regimes.<sup>68</sup>

In Ontario, the Ministry of the Environment's Model Municipal Noise Control By-law Final Report [Model By-law] was introduced in 1978 as a guide for noise by-laws drafted by municipalities.<sup>69</sup> Its approach to noise control continues to be followed by municipalities in Ontario.<sup>70</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Konstantine J Stavrakos, "Municipal Noise By-laws: How Municipalities May Rise to the Challenge of Regulating Noise as an Environmental Pollutant" (2011) 86:4 MPLR 40 at 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Noise regulation in Ontario first appeared in the *Municipal Corporations Act, 1849*, 12 Vict, c 81 (also known as the *Baldwin Act*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> This occurred with the passing of the *Environmental Protection Act*, 1971, SO 1971, c 86; see also Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> RSO 1990, c P13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> SO 2001, c 25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Ontario Ministry of the Environment, "Model Municipal Noise Control By-law: Final Report" (August 1978) [Model By-law].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 52.

#### a) Prohibition, Permitting, and Qualitative versus Quantitative Standards

The Model By-law favoured a prohibition-based approach to noise control rather than a permit or licensing-based approach, which is now replicated in municipal by-laws in Ontario, although permits are nonetheless issued by certain municipalities for public (or special) events.<sup>71</sup> Also still reflected in current by-laws, the prohibition-based approach of the Model By-law then combined qualitative and quantitative standards that prohibited noises that exceeded these standards.<sup>72</sup> At the time, the Model By-law recommended qualitative standards for smaller municipalities but suggested combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches would be more appropriate for larger municipalities.<sup>73</sup>

Oualitative noise regulation deals with prohibiting particular types of noise, such as the emission of sound (music) from sound amplification and reproduction devices. Qualitative noise regulation also prohibits types of noise that result in particular effects, such as those which are likely to interfere with an individual's quiet enjoyment of their private property.<sup>74</sup> Quantitative noise regulation centres on the measurement and restriction of noise levels.<sup>75</sup>

# B. Subjectivity, Qualitative Regulation, and Case Law

<sup>72</sup> Model By-law, *supra* note 69; Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 53. See e.g. Toronto Noise By-law, *supra* note 71, London Noise By-law, supra note 71, Hamilton Noise By-law, supra note 71, and Ottawa Noise By-law, supra note 71 for municipalities that combine a qualitative and quantitative assessment of noise. Cf City of Oshawa, By-law 112-82, Noise By-law (2 October 1982) and City of Mississauga, By-law 785-80 amended by By-laws 226-82, 62-92, Nuisance Type Noise By-law (15 October 1980), which are examples of municipalities that follow only the qualitative approach.

<sup>73</sup> Model By-law, *supra* note 69; Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> See e.g. City of Ottawa, by-law No 2004-253, *Noise By-law* (9 June 2004), which provides for an exemption application to use sound reproduction devices for the purposes of a special event. Note that this is the only exemption that can be applied for in the domain of live music in Ottawa. See also e.g. City of Toronto by-law, Municipal Code c 591, Noise (27 August 2010) at s 591-10 [Toronto Noise By-law]; City of London By-law PW-12 Noise By-law (4 May 2009) [London Noise By-law]; City of Hamilton, By-law 03-020, Noise By-law (1 January 2001) [Hamilton Noise By-law].

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> *Ibid* at 53.
 <sup>75</sup> *Ibid*.

The qualitative regulation of noise is a core concern for the equitable application of noise control in cities,<sup>76</sup> and it is within qualitative noise standards that the relative valuation of elements of cultural capital is notably apparent. The qualitative assessment of noise in by-laws is inherently subjective both in application and interpretation.<sup>77</sup> This has led to court challenges of qualitatively focused noised by-laws, which have engaged the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*.<sup>78</sup>

Subjectivity arises in determining the acceptability of the particular type of noise in question, the source of the noise, the time of the day or night the noise occurred, the place or space where the noise occurred, and so on. This subjectivity applies both in terms of enforcing noise control after the noise-causing event as well as in preventative noise management, such as permits and licensing for noise-causing events. Case law is useful for an assessment of differential and inequitable application of noise control in particular contexts and in relation to specific groups of citizens.

Before launching into an examination of subjectivity, it bears noting that courts have nonetheless defended the qualitative and subjective assessment of noise as a necessary mechanism allowing for flexible and adaptable means of responding to specific neighbourhood requirements.<sup>79</sup> For example, in *Ottawa (City) v Friedman*:

[A] certain lack of specificity is required to accommodate the varying needs and preferences of particular groups of residents. An inner city community as opposed to a suburban community, or again, a community of pre-dominantly retired residents as opposed to a community of predominately university students may tolerate a very different standard of what are reasonable nighttime noises. In other words, the nature of the community will become one more factor that this court will consider in determining whether a level of noise at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> *Ibid* at 54.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Part I of the Constitution Act 1982, being Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (UK), 1982, c 11 [Charter]. See e.g. Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc, supra note 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 54-55.

night is reasonable and disturbs the peace and comfort of any person. In light of this desire for flexibility, it cannot be concluded ... that noise by-laws require an objective standard.<sup>80</sup>

#### i. Subjectivity Based on the Type and Source of Noise

Since subjectivity plays an important role in the present lower valuation of particular elements of cultural capital such as live music, it is instructive to examine the contexts where

subjectivity arises. Since noise is so closely tied to the notion of annoyance, the perception of

whether or not a sound is perceived as a noise or music is heavily dependent upon the particular

individual placing a noise complaint.<sup>81</sup>

The problem of subjectivity regarding the type of noise is readily apparent in Canadian

case law dealing with noise complaints. For example, the Court of Queen's Bench for

Saskatchewan in R v Changing Winds Restaurant Inc illustrates the subjective aspect of a noise

complaint:

Mr. Dyck ... testified that he preferred country and western music to rock music. Further, he stated that if the music had been higher and he could sing along with the music, any kind of music, he would not have been bothered. The trial judge therefore concluded that what was bothering Mr. Dyck "was not the fact that it was emanating from the Changing Winds, as I understand his statement, was that he couldn't understand it to enjoy it. That being the case, we're dealing with quality of reproduction, quality of sound, and of course, this section - this by-law - does not deal with the quality of sound."<sup>82</sup>

Perhaps the most well-known Canadian case dealing with a noise infraction, and the subjective or qualitative analysis of noise/sound, is the Supreme Court of Canada's ruling in *Montreal* (*Ville*) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc.<sup>83</sup> Briefly, this case involved a exotic dancer's club situated on

<sup>81</sup> Stavrako, *supra* note 61 at 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> 1998 CarswellOnt 5974 (WL Can) (Ont Prov Div) at paras 30-33. See also the majority ruling of the Supreme Court of Canada in *Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc, supra* note 4 at para 33: "The only acceptable interpretation is one that takes the context into account."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> (1985) 44 Sask R 148 (QB) at para 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Supra note 4.

Saint Catherine Street in Montreal that had installed loudspeakers in the main entrance to project music and commentary in order to attract passers-by.<sup>84</sup> One night at around midnight, a nearby police officer heard the club's music and charged the club with a noise violation under articles 9(1) and 11 of Montreal's Noise By-law.<sup>85</sup> The club contested the charge on grounds of invalidity of articles 9(1) and 11 but the Municipal Court upheld the charge.<sup>86</sup> However, when the club subsequently appealed the Municipal Court's decision to the Superior Court of Quebec, the Superior Court quashed the conviction based on the impugned provisions' restriction of freedom of expression.<sup>87</sup> While the Quebec Court of Appeal upheld the Superior Court's decision, the Supreme Court eventually overturned the Court of Appeal's decision after interpreting article 9(1) of Montreal's Noise By-law and ultimately finding that the section 2(b) Charter infringement was justified under section 1 of the Charter—thus reinstating the original noise violation charge.<sup>88</sup>

While there is no live music at play in this case, the nature of the source of the noise in terms of venue and contents of the projected sound sharply highlight how subjectivity colours noise control enforcement. Justice Binnie's dissenting opinion is particularly revelatory of this matter. He begins his dissent straightaway by noting the identity of the venue charged with a

. . . . .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> *Ibid* at para 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> *Ibid*; *By-law concerning noise*, RBCM 1994, c B-3 [Montreal Noise By-law]. Arts 9(1) and 11 read as follows:

<sup>9.</sup> In addition to the noise referred to in article 8, the following noises, where they can be heard from the outside, are specifically prohibited:

<sup>(1)</sup> noise produced by sound equipment, whether it is inside a building or installed or used outside;

<sup>11.</sup> No noise specifically prohibited under articles 9 or 10 may be produced, whether or not it affects an inhabited place.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc, supra note 4 at para 5.
 <sup>87</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>quot;, Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> I*bid* at para 100.

noise violation: a strip club.<sup>89</sup> He then turns to the ban on noise produced by sound equipment in article 9(1) of Montreal's Noise By-law and explains that this ban, on face value, could also include sounds such as the residential use of a radio projecting classical music into a peaceful garden, the ringing of a cell phone, and so on.<sup>90</sup> Yet, he states, due to the nature, purpose, and source of the noise, it is highly unlikely that these other noises/sounds would ultimately result in the finding of a noise violation.<sup>91</sup>

#### ii. Subjectivity Based on the Time of Noise

The time of the day that noise occurs is a determinative factor in determining how it will be treated, especially in the context of live music events since they generally occur in the evening or at night. This particular component of a qualitative-based approach to noise regulation has the greatest effect on the subjective view of the acceptability of live music venues and events. For this section of the paper, it is helpful to include live music events within the more general nighttime economy due to the abundance of literature on the subject.

The fact that music/noise occurs during the nighttime hours is usually what leads to noise complaints in the first place. As mentioned in the introduction to this paper, the regulation of noise has always been more concerned with noise at night since its most detrimental effects are usually considered to be those that affect sleep.<sup>92</sup> As such, it is within the context of the night that there is the greatest observable tension between the elements of cultural capital embodied by live music culture and the elements represented by the quiet enjoyment of private property.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> *Ibid* at para 102, Binnie J, dissenting
 <sup>90</sup> *Ibid* at para 102, 174.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> *Ibid* at para 118

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> WHO Noise Guidelines, *supra* note 2 at iii.

The nighttime economy presents municipalities with the same dilemma faced in urban regeneration strategies that seek to draw young, middle-class professionals to the urban core with promises of a vibrant and edgy culture but then must simultaneously manage the vocal protests of this same group in dealing with the deleterious effects of dwelling in the urban core.<sup>93</sup> On the one hand, a vibrant nighttime economy provides a city with attractive economic benefits but, on the other hand, increases regulatory concerns related to noise and nuisance.<sup>94</sup> Ultimately, "there is a strong notion in public and legal discourses of the current decade that the nighttime economy is a source of 'pollution' and social dysfunction in need of control and 'cultural engineering'."<sup>95</sup> This pervasive opinion is particularly problematic since those who consume nightlife are largely disregarded in devising governance strategies, leaving them voiceless.<sup>96</sup> Since consumers within the nighttime economy tend to be young people, this exemplifies a deficit valuation of elements of cultural capital that characterize the particular demographic they belong to.<sup>97</sup> This is particularly relevant in the context of live music since the focal point that music enjoyment carries within this scene may prove a relative sanctuary compared to other nightlife options.<sup>98</sup>

# C. Unfortunate Bedfellows: Live Music and Liquor Legislation

The time/space that live music events share with nighttime entertainment and leisure mean that the interests of live music culture must frequently be negotiated within the terms of alcohol consumption and control. Since licensing is often used to manage urban order and the

 $<sup>^{93}</sup>$  Rief, *supra* note 10 at 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Talbot, "London", *supra* note 50 at 887; Rief, *supra* note 10 at 55

 $<sup>^{95}</sup>$  *Ibid* at 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Chatterton & Hollands, *supra* note 10 at 64. This includes disregard both in addressing or solving problems consumers face as well as defining these problems in the first place (*ibid* at 64-66).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> *Ibid*; Gallan & Gibson, *supra* note 37 at 177.

acceptable use of space,<sup>99</sup> it is no surprise that the granting of liquor permits takes into account

whether or not it is anticipated that live music (and noise) will accompany the liquor license if granted.

The balance that must be struck between the interests of live music venues and the

surrounding communities is clearly exemplified through public interest hearings that occur as

part of applications for a liquor license. Citing a prior decision, the Ontario Alcohol and Gaming

Commission writes:

Public interest hearings often raise very difficult issues which require a delicate balance of the interest of those who live in the community with those who would earn their living there. Under the Liquor Licence Act, there is a qualified right to a liquor licence, subject to the considerations of the "public interest". The Act thus recognizes the very real impact that a licensed premises may have on the surrounding community, and the local residents are provided with a forum in which their concerns can be voiced.<sup>100</sup>

While on the surface this may seem to strike an equal balance between live music culture

and the quiet enjoyment of private property, the reality is that the interests of the surrounding

community are often favoured-whether this occurs through the specific wording of

legislation,<sup>101</sup> or through the aggregate power of the surrounding community's complaints

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Phil Hubbard, Roger Matthews & Jane Scoular. "Legal Geographies—Controlling Sexually Oriented Businesses: Law, Licensing, and the Geographies of a Controversial Land Use" (2009) 30:2 Urban Geographies 185; Valverde, *supra* note 51.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Cue's Whole 9 Yards (Re), 2007 CanLII 6216, citing Juke Box Live (unreported).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> See e.g. *Triple Gates Investment Ltd (Re)*, 2008 NSUARB 148: The license applicant applied for a lounge license in order to serve alcohol and remain open after 9pm. The application also included a proposal to offer live and amplified entertainment consisting of a "Lebanese singer and belly dancer". Since the *Liquor Control Act* (RSNS 1989, c 260 [Act]) in place at the time required that a public hearing be held, which resulted in petitions from two nearby condominium complexes that opposed the application. In order for the application to succeed under the Act (specifically the *Liquor Licensing Regulations*, NS Reg 365/2007) in place at the time, s 8(b) required that "the Review Board [be] satisfied that operating the licensed premises will not *interfere in any way* with the quiet enjoyment of neighbouring properties" [emphasis added]. The license applicant testified regarding his "passion of bringing Lebanese culture to Canada [and] providing authentic Lebanese food" (at para 8). Individuals from the condominium complexes who opposed the application were concerned with matters such as the change in clientele that the license would bring and how it would affect their quiet enjoyment (at para 15), that the amplified music to accompany the lounge license would fail to add to the comfort and enjoyment of the condominium residents (at para 18), and that the right of the condominium residents to quiet enjoyment would be greatly affected (at paras19-20).

compared to the live music venue and attendees, who will not often be aware of the public hearing let alone go out of their way to defend a venue at the hearing.<sup>102</sup>

It thus becomes apparent that the regulation of liquor through the provision of liquor licensing is another regulatory mechanism in controlling the availability and viability of music events held by venues at night. When a surrounding community asserts that the quiet enjoyment of their private property is or may be affected, more weight is often attributed to their interests than a venue's music events at night. And when the provision of liquor licensing to a venue is successfully deterred, a valuation has occurred where the elements of cultural capital of one group are being attributed a higher value than the elements of cultural capital valued by the other group.

#### D. Notions of Tolerance and the Space and Place of Noise

It must be kept in mind that the notion of quiet enjoyment of private property is also a

subjective determination that depends on the space and place within which it is expected. For

example, as stated by Nova Scotia Utility and Review Board:

Further, the Board considers that quiet enjoyment can be a concept which is measured in degrees. The level of quiet enjoyment that can be expected in a rural setting, or on the outskirts of a small town, may be quite different than that which can be reasonably expected in a vibrant urban environment such as downtown Halifax.<sup>103</sup>

Evidence was adduced on the part of the license applicant demonstrating that noise pollution would be controlled (at para 26). Ultimately, however, the license applicant could not overcome the wording of the Act which required that operation of the licensed premises be judged prospectively to *not interfere in any way* with the quiet enjoyment of the neighbouring properties (at paras 30-39). *NB*: The specific language of the regulation mentioned above (s 8(b)) was amended subsequent to 13 January 2012 by removing the strict statement that the operation of the licensed premise "will not interfere *in any way*". It now instead simply states that the licensed premise "will not interfere". As a result, the standard that a venue must meet no longer seems entirely impossible, and now just seems very difficult to satisfy.

 $<sup>^{102}</sup>$  Rief, *supra* note 10 at 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> The Bitter End and Mosaic, 2011 NSUARB 86 (available on CanLII) at 55.

Especially with increasing levels of ambient noise levels in urban centers, and the increasing popularity of downtown dwelling with a view to experiencing a vibrant cultural environment, expectations for the quiet enjoyment of private property must be properly managed.<sup>104</sup> A certain level of tolerance is required of urban-dwellers.<sup>105</sup> But, as stated by the Supreme Court of Canada, "[t]olerance of noise varies from one individual to another."<sup>106</sup>

"Tolerance" is in fact a key ingredient in the "creative city", the concept popularized by Richard Florida that led so many urban planners to jump onto the bandwagon of urban regeneration strategies—strategies that have, more often than not, resulted in the gentrification of culturally-rich urban cores.<sup>107</sup> As explained by Rosemary Coombe, the creative city, and its accompanying economy and industries,<sup>108</sup> value "creative people".<sup>109</sup> In selling the "urban cultural experience", cities and their urban planners seek to attract creative people to urban cores by commodifying not only the space (restored old buildings or new constructions replacing the old)<sup>110</sup> but also the individuals who traditionally inhabit the space, which Coombe describes as "those who bear cultural difference, be they artists, homosexuals, or 'ethnic' minorities."<sup>111</sup> Yet while cities have been busy with these urban regeneration and commodification projects, the ingredient of "tolerance" in managing these new gentrified and mixed-use spaces is largely unaddressed in noise legislation and by-laws. This is increasingly problematic not only for those

<sup>107</sup> Romein & Trip, *supra* note 9 at 54; Rief, *supra* note 10 at 55; Florida, *Cities*, *supra* note 8 at ch 6ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Spalding, *supra* note 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> For example, regarding the management of resident expectations and live music concerts in Halifax, City Councillor Sue Uteck has stated that "residents all over the municipality are asked to put up with noise at certain times of the year as part of the price they pay for living in a vibrant city." (Alex Boutilier,"Common concert gets pass on noise bylaw" (23 June 2010) online: Metro Halifax <a href="http://metronews.ca/news/halifax/>">http://metronews.ca/news/halifax/></a>). <sup>106</sup> *Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc, supra* note 4 at para 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> In legal terms, creative industries can be identified as those that produce copyrights, patents, designs, and trademarks: Coombe, *supra* note 9 at 43; see generally John Howkins, *The Creative Economy* (New York: Allen Lane, 1991). In essence, creative industries are defined by their creative content: Florida, *Rise of the Creative Class, supra* note 8 at 46-47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> "Creative people" are those who work in the creative industries, see above at note 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> Coombe, *supra* note 9 at 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> *Ibid* at 42.

individuals and venues receiving the short end of the stick and who are not being tolerated, but it is also problematic for the viability of these newly developed spaces. Coombe notes that an important element in maintaining the creative draw of these culturally rich centers is social tolerance, respect for diversity, and cultural dialogue.<sup>112</sup>

Interestingly, however, "tolerance" does make an appearance in the *Civil Code of Quebec* [CCQ] at article 976 : "Neighbours shall suffer the normal neighbourhood annoyances that are not beyond the limit of tolerance they owe each other, according to the nature or location of their land or local custom." In unpacking this provision, it is difficult not to notice that the CCQ seems to hint at a neighbour's burden to tolerate a certain extent of annoyance within a neighbourhood, correlative to the kind of neighbourhood they choose to inhabit. The CCQ is careful to note the location and context of the annoyance as a factor to consider in tolerating an annoyance. The mention of "local custom" bears noting as well. This may be particularly relevant in addressing situations of gentrification where pre-existing live music venues, or live music customs, existed before the urban regeneration projects that led to new housing developments and mixed-use zones. In these situations, greater tolerance would certainly be important.

#### **III. WHAT CAN BE DONE?**

#### A. A Seed for Change in Legal Decisions

What is fundamentally remiss in the Canadian context, is a failure to effectively balance the valuation of elements of cultural capital embodied in the live music scene with the elements of cultural capital that comprise an individual's quiet enjoyment of private property. As I have demonstrated, this imbalance is characterized by the appearance of the notion of quiet enjoyment of private property in legislation, by-laws, and case law, but the same cannot be said for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> *Ibid*.

cultural value of live music, which only appears in relation to concerns linked to noise and nuisance. Much of this is traceable to the evaluation of noise complaints via a qualitative assessment that is inherently subjective. Justice Binnie's dissenting opinion in *Montreal (Ville) v* 2952-1366 Quebec Inc, addresses this subjective component in assessing the source and type of noise in determining "acceptable" noise versus "unacceptable" noise—that noise produced by sound equipment emitted from a strip club onto Saint Catherine's Street at night would receive differential treatment from the sounds of Mozart produced by sound equipment emitted from a kitchen window into a garden.<sup>113</sup> Justice Binnie suggests that these two situations could theoretically both be "caught" by noise control legislation, yet it would be highly unlikely that a passing police officer would apprehend the Mozart-projecting perpetrator. Certainly Justice Binnie's dissenting opinion does not carry precedential power, but it is nonetheless the seed for new thought and future legal decisions moving forward.

#### **B.** Case Study: The Fortitude Valley Entertainment Precinct

I now return to the example of the former industrial suburb Fortitude Valley in Brisbane, Australia, which demonstrates what I view as a better balance in dealing with live music venues and surrounding communities. Brisbane did not always support live music, as you may recall from the unfortunate example of The Press Club described at the beginning of this paper.<sup>114</sup> After The Press Club affair of 1999, Brisbane's once dismal record began to improve. In order to protect the rich and diverse live music scene in Fortitude Valley from encroaching urban redevelopment due to urban renewal initiatives, a campaign lobbying the government was initiated. The government eventually agreed to work with the local music industry in order to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> *Supra* note 4 at paras 118-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 73. See also the unfortunate events surrounding the Press Club, above, at note 47.

accommodate the area's live music consumers and live music venue operators as well as the area's new residential housing developers.<sup>115</sup> Noise pollution problems were indeed a concern as gentrification took place and apartments were built next door to clubs and pubs that had inhabited the area first. As opposed to prior approaches, the government now deployed greater collaboration and cooperation between the involved parties.<sup>116</sup> This ultimately resulted in a unique place-based approach creating a designated "Entertainment Precinct", replete with altered liquor and planning laws and a distinct manner of addressing noise emissions.<sup>117</sup>

Of particular relevance, the Entertainment Precinct was governed by a quantitative standard for noise emissions rather than a qualitative and subjective standard. This quantitative standard set allowable noise levels from within venues instead of basing levels on noise heard inside dwellings situated nearby.<sup>118</sup> Accompanying the standards required of live music venues, new housing developments also had to adhere to a set of standards requiring them to invest in noise reduction measures, such as high levels of noise insulation, that lowered noise in all residences by a specified amount.<sup>119</sup>

This arrangement is admirable in its equitable treatment of the involved parties. Rather than allowing live music venues to be pushed out by new development, or simply establishing "first-use rights" for venues in existence before new residential developments, the Entertainment Precinct protects both live music venues and enables housing developments for those who wish to live in the area.<sup>120</sup> There is an element of "full-disclosure" here as well where prospective property purchasers and new residents are made aware of the specific noise levels that can be

<sup>117</sup> *Ibid*.

- <sup>119</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>120</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> *Ibid* at 74.

expected at different times of the day, night, and week.<sup>121</sup> The Entertainment Precinct even makes available a "Valley Sound Machine" where prospective purchasers or renters can virtually experience anticipated noise levels.<sup>122</sup> There are also other non-noise-related mechanisms that have been implemented in the Entertainment Precinct in order to better the experience of the nightlife consumer in Fortitude Valley.<sup>123</sup>

A cautionary criticism provided by Schmidt and Burke, however, is that the Fortitude Valley Entertainment Precinct model may not be applicable to cities larger than Brisbane.<sup>124</sup> It nonetheless bears noting that, from a Canadian perspective, Brisbane is not that small a city. With a population of approximately 2.2 million it is about the size of metropolitan Vancouver, Canada's third-largest city. Brisbane is also larger than the City of Montreal, not including its metropolitan areas, as well as being only slightly smaller than the City of Toronto under the same terms.

#### **C. Revamping Permitting and Licensing Processes**

In the Canadian context, another possibility may be to better employ permitting processes that are currently underutilized by municipalities in Ontario.<sup>125</sup> In applying this to a greater valuation of live music venues and events as elements of cultural capital, this may be a worthy route to explore. Currently in cities such as Ottawa, exemptions from the Noise By-law may be provided for the use of sound reproduction devices in the case of special events.<sup>126</sup> The provision

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> *Ibid* at 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> This sound machine can be accessed through the Brisbane City Council website:

http://www.brisbane.qld.gov.au/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Burke & Schmidt, *supra* note 8 at 75-76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> *Ibid* at 76-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> See also Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 53, 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Although the parameters of these exemptions impose strict time restrictions both in terms of duration of the entire event as well as daily limitations on when permissible sound reproduction and amplification may occur: Ottawa Noise By-law, *supra* note 71.

of similar exemptions could be widened to include live music events that occur in permanent venues.<sup>127</sup> It would be preferable if improved permit and licensing regimes that could potentially apply specifically to live music venues were focused on noise and sound amplification and reproduction rather than embedded within liquor permits. By placing the noise/sound control of venues exhibiting live music within liquor legislation, liquor by-laws, and liquor permits, there is an unnecessary negative link between concerns with alcohol and elements of cultural capital embodied by live music events.

Licensing regimes may also be better utilized in order to create special business licenses for venues that operate at night and seek to present music that may lead to noise complaints.<sup>128</sup> While increased focus on business licenses may be seen as an additional mechanism for the enforcement of noise control, a properly engineered licensing regime bearing in mind the interests of live music could also provide greater protection to live music venues and, generally, greater attention to addressing the tension between live music event attendees and the surrounding community's concerns with quiet enjoyment of private property.<sup>129</sup>

#### **CONCLUSION**

At some point or another, most of us have encountered the concept of a noise complaint—whether we placed the complaint, the complaint was made about us, or we were simply made aware of a context within which it occurred. Seemingly a trivial detail to most of us, it is often these unimportant minutiae of everyday life that belie society's underlying systemic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> The logistics of this suggestion will have to be explored in greater detail elsewhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> See also Stavrakos, *supra* note 61 at 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> The City of Edmonton, for example, has a Business License Bylaw (City of Edmonton, by-law 13138, *Business License Bylaw* (29 August 2002)) that is along these lines, although the pros and cons and successes and failures of Edmonton as a case study is beyond the scope of this paper.

biases, injustices, and exclusionary frameworks.<sup>130</sup> In order to identify these systemic problems and attempt to remedy them, it is important to pay attention and question these small aspects of everyday life. While we may not be affected personally, there is certainly someone out there who suffers the effects. And even while we may be able to shrug off the effects of an inconsequential injustice and ignore a subtle sign of systemic marginalization or exclusionary practice, we are also ignoring our good fortune in experiencing only this subtle effect.

I have drawn on Bourdieu's notion of cultural capital, expanded what is traditionally considered to be cultural capital through Yosso's reformulation of cultural capital that includes alternative forms of culture capital, and I have teased cultural capital apart into its constitutive elements. The purpose of considering the constitutive elements of cultural capital, such as the enjoyment and appreciation of live music events and the quiet enjoyment of private property, is to be able to see the relative value they are attributed by legislation, by-laws, and case law, notably within Canada and Ontario.

A hierarchy is revealed where those who value elements such as live music are far less represented than those who value the quiet enjoyment of private property. These values characterize different groups within society, or maybe even different aspects of ourselves, and represent differential treatment. Justice Binnie's resounding dissent in *Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Quebec Inc* takes a stab at subjective qualitative assessment of noise that clearly castigates certain sources and types of noise over others.<sup>131</sup> The lack of protection for live music in current by-laws and legislation, in comparison to the healthy representation of the quiet enjoyment of private property, can result in the sudden gutting of a rich cultural center—as exemplified in 1999 in Brisbane with the end of live music at The Press Club that began to spread to the rest of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> See also Johnson, *supra* note 34 at 151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Supra note 4.

Fortitude Valley before the conscientious citizens took action and pressured the local and state government to intervene. We must also jealously guard our cultural treasures and equally value each other's preferred elements of cultural capital. As the number of gentrifiers grows, and the number of youth who elongate their period of early adult development increases, the clashes between the dominant and the marginalized within the context of mixed-use space will only intensify, unless something is done to resolve this tension.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### **LEGISLATION**

*By-law concerni0ng noise*, RBCM 1994, c B-3.

*Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, Part I of the *Constitution Act*, 1982, being Schedule B to the *Canada Act 1982* (UK), 1982, c 11.

City of Edmonton, by-law 13138, Business License Bylaw (29 August 2002).

City of Ottawa, by-law No 2004-253, Noise By-law (9 June 2004).

Halifax Regional Municipality, by-law N-200 Respecting Noise, *Noise By-law* (14 September 1999).

Liquor Control Act, RSNS 1989, c 260.

Liquor Licence Act, RSO 1990, c L19.

Liquor Licensing Regulations, NS Reg 365/2007 (current version).

Liquor Licensing Regulations, NS Reg 365/2007 (version in force until 13 January 2012).

Municipal Corporations Act, 1849, 12 Vict, c 81.

Parks and Pathways Bylaw, City of Calgary No 20M2003, s 21(e).

# JURISPRUDENCE

3022778 Nova Scotia Limited (Re), 2011 NSUARB 198 (available on CanLII). 3023423 Nova Scotia Limited (Re), 2011 NSUARB 86 (available on CanLII) 6607543 Canada Inc (Backstage Night Club) (Re), 2008 CanLII 35187 (ON AGC) Asher Investments, Inc v City of Cincinnati, 701 NE 2d 400 (Ohio App Ct 1997). Chiang v Yang, 1999 BCPC 0029, [1999] BAJ No 966 (BC Prov Ct). Cue's Whole 9 Yards (Re), 2007 CanLII 6216 (ON AGC) Freeman's Little New York Downtown Limited (Re), 2011 NSUARB 166 (CanLII) Lafferty v City of Winchester, 46 SW 3d 752 (Tenn App Ct 2000). Montreal (Ville) v 2952-1366 Québec inc, 2005 SCC 62, [2005] 3 SCR 141 Meadowbrook Swimming Club v Albert, 197 A 146 (Balto Cir 1938). Nanaimo (City) v Rascal Trucking Ltd, [2000] 1 SCR 342. Ottawa (City) v Freidman, 1998 CarswellOnt 5974 (WL Can) (Ont Prov Div). Pitchman's Pub and Eatery, Re, 2004 NSUARB 42 (CanLII) R v Changing Winds Restaurant Inc, (1985) 44 Sask R 148 (QB) R v Pawlowski, 2011 ABQB 93. R v Pawlowski, 2011 ABCA 267 R v Thomas, [1993] 1 SCR 835. Re 1413592 Ontario Inc (Terra Cotta Inn), 2009 CanLII 78699. The Bitter End and Mosaic, 2011 NSUARB 86 (available on CanLII) Triple Gates Investment Ltd (Re), 2008 NSUARB 148

# SECONDARY SOURCES: MONOGRAPHS

- Anthrop, Donald F. "The Noise Crisis" in James L Hildebrand, ed. Noise Pollution and the Law (Buffalo: William S Hein & Co, 1970) 3.
- Anzaldúa, Gloria. Haciendo Caras/making face, making soul: creative and critical perspectives by women of color (San Francisco: Aunt Lute Press, 1990).
- Aylward, Carol A. Canadian Critical Race Theory: Racism and the Law (Halifax: Fernwood Publishing, 1999).
- Bell, Derrick A Bell. Faces at the Bottom of the Well: The Permanence of Racism (New York: Basic Books, 1996).

Bourdieu, Pierre & J Passeron. Reproduction in education, society and culture (London: Sage, 1977).

Bourdieu Pierre, Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste, translated by Richard Nice (London, UK: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1984).

Butler, T. "Gentrification" in N Buck et al, eds. Changing Cities: Rethinking Urban Competitiveness, Cohesion and Governance (Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005).

Chatterton, Paul & Robert Hollands. Urban Nightscapes: Youth Cultures, Pleasure Spaces and Corporate Power (London, UK: Routledge, 2003).

- Cohen, Sara. Decline. Renewal and the City in Popular Music Culture: Beyond the Beatles (Hampshire, UK: Ashgate, 2007).
- Cole, Daniel H. Pollution & Property: Comparing Ownership Institutions for Environmental Protection (Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- Coombe, Rosemary J. "Contingent Articulations: A Critical Cultural Studies of Law" in A Sarat & T Kearns, eds, Law in the Domains of Culture (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1998) 21.
- Florida, Richard. The Rise of the Creative Class: And How It's Transforming Work, Leisure, Community and Everyday Life (New York: Basic Books, 2002).
  - -. Cities and the Creative Class (New York: Routledge, 2005).
  - ——. The Flight of the Creative Class (New York: HarperBusiness, 2005).
- Gelder, Ken. Subcultures: Cultural histories and social practice (London, UK: Routledge, 2007).
- Grodach, Carl. "City image and the politics of music policy in the 'Live Music Capital of the World" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 98.

Hildebrand, James L, ed. Noise Pollution and the Law (Buffalo: William S Hein & Co, 1970).

Howkins, John. The Creative Economy (New York: Allen Lane, 1991).

- Hutton, Tom & Catherine Murray, "The new cultural economy and its discontents: Governance innovation and policy disjuncture in Vancouver" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 143.
- Johnson, Rebecca. "Bars, Breasts, Babies: Justice L'Heureux-Dube and the Boundaries of Belonging" in Elizabeth Sheehy, ed. Adding Feminism to the Law: The Contributions of Madame Justice L'Heureux-Dube (Toronto: Irwin Law, 2004) 143.
- Kearns, Gerry & Chris Philo. Selling Places: The City as Cultural Capital, Past and Present (Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1993).
- Leslie, Deborah & Norma M Rantisi. "Creativity and urban regeneration: The role of La Tohu and the Cirque du Soleil in the Saint-Michel neighborhood in Montreal" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 83.
- Lewin, Stuart F, Alan H Gordon & Channing J Hartelius. Law and the Municipal Ecology: Air, Water, Noise, Over-Population (Washington: National Institute of Municipal Law Officers, 1970).
- Lyotard, Jean-Francois. The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge, translated by Geoff Bennington & Brian Massumi (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984).
- Mawani, Renisa. "In Between and Out of Place: Mixed-Race Identity, Liquor, and the Law in British Columbia, 1850-1913" in Sherene H Razack, ed, Race, Space, and the Law: Unmapping a White Settler Society (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2002).
- Pun, Gregory S & Margaret I Hall. The Law of Nuisance in Canada (Markham: LexisNexis, 2010).

- Razack, Sherene H, ed. Race, Space, and the Law: Unmapping a White Settler Society (Toronto: Between the Lines, 2002).
- Redhead, Steve, Derek Wynne & Justin O'Connor, eds. The Clubcultures Reader: Readings in Popular Cultural Studies (Oxford: Blackwell Publishers, 1997).

Rief, Silvia. Club Cultures: Boundaries, Identities, and Otherness (New York: Routledge, 2009).

- Romein, Arie & Jan Jacob Trip. "Notes of discord: Urban cultural policy in the confrontational city" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 54.
- Rowe, David & Nathaniel Bavinton eds. On the Bounce: The Challenge of the Night-Time Economy (New South Wales: Cultural Industries and Practices Research Centre (CIPS), 2004).
- Silva, Daniel. "Local politics in the creative city: The case of Toronto" in Carl Grodach & Daniel Silver, eds. The Politics of Urban Cultural Policy: Global perspectives (London, UK: Routledge, 2013) 249.
- Spater, George A Spater. "Noise and the Law" in " in James L Hildebrand, ed. Noise Pollution and the Law (Buffalo: William S Hein & Co, 1970) 21.
- Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak" in Cary Nelson & Lawrence Grossberg, eds. Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture (London: Macmillan, 1988) 271.
- Talbot, Deborah. Regulating the Night: Race, Culture and Exclusion in the Making of the Nighttime Economy (Hampshire: Ashgate, 2007).
- Thornton, Sarah. Club Cultures: Music Media and Subcultural Capital (Cambridge, UK: Polity Press, 1995).
- Williams, Patricia J. The Alchemy of Race and Rights (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991).

# **SECONDARY SOURCES: ARTICLES**

- Attanasi, Giuseppe, et al. "Cultural investment, local development and instantaneous social capital: A case study of a gathering festival in the South of Italy" (June 2013) 43 The Journal of Socio-Economics [nd].
- Bailey, Peter. "Breaking the Sound Barrier: A Historian Listens to Noise" (1996) 2:2 Body and Society 49.
- Bavinton, Nathaniel. "Putting leisure to work: city image and representations of nightlife" (2010) 2:3 Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure & Events 236.
- Bernard, Lise. "Le capital culturel non certifié comme mode d'accès aux classes moyennes : L'entregent des agents immobiliers" (2012) 1 Actes de la recherche en sciences sociales 68.

Blomley, Nick. "Property, Pluralism and the Gentrification" (1997) 12 CJLS 187.

Burke, Matthew & Amy Schmidt. "How should we plan and regulate live music in Australian cities? Learnings from Brisbane" (2013) 50:1 Australian Planner 68.

- Chatterton, Paul. "Governing nightlife: Profit, fun and (dis)order in the contemporary city" (2002) 1:2 Entertainment Law 23.
- Coombe, Rosemary J. "Legal Claims to Culture in and Against the Market: Neoliberalism and the Global Proliferation of Meaningful Difference" (2005) 1 Law, Culture and the Humanities 35.
- Crenshaw, Kimberle. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Colour" (1991) 43 Stan L Rev 1241.
- Gallan, Ben & Chris Gibson. "Mild-mannered bistro by day, eclectic freak-land at night: memories of an Australian music venue" (2013) 37:2 Journal of Australian Studies 174.
- Godsil, Rachel D. "Race Nuisance: The Politics of Law in the Jim Crow Era" (2006) 105 Mich L Rev 505.
- Hubbard, Phil, Roger Matthews & Jane Scoular. "Legal Geographies--Controlling Sexually Oriented Businesses: Law, Licensing, and the Geographies of a Controversial Land Use" (2009) 30:2 Urban Geography 185.
- Koshan, Jennifer. "Street Preaching and the Charter: The City of Calgary's Appeal in Pawlowski", online: (15 March 2011) ABlawg.ca, The University of Calgary Faculty of Law Blog on Developments in Alberta Law <a href="http://ablawg.ca">http://ablawg.ca</a>.
- Hamill, Sarah E. "Private Right to Public Property: The Evolution of Common Property in Canada" (2012) 58 MLJ 365.
- Homan, Shane. "From Coombs to Crean: popular music and cultural policy in Australia" (2013) 19:3 International Journal of Cultural Policy 382.
- Hubbard, Phil, Roger Matthews & Jane Scoular. "Legal Geographies--Controlling Sexually Oriented Businesses: Law, Licensing, and the Geographies of a Controversial Land Use" (2009) 30:2 Urban Geographies 185.
- Jenkins, Barbara. "Cultural spending in Ontario, Canada: trends in public and private funding" (2009) 15:3 International Journal of Cultural Policy 329.
- Mommaas, Hans. "Cultural Clusters and the Post-Industrial City: Towards the Remapping of Urban Cultural Policy" (2004) 41:3 Urban Studies 507.
- O'Byrne, Shannon. "Legal Criticism as Storytelling" (1991) Ottawa L Rev 487.
- O'Connor, Alan. "The Eagle and the Hummingbird: Questions for Cultural Studies" (2001) 10:1 Pretexts: literary and cultural studies 93.
- Price, Patricia L. "At the Crossroads: Critical Race Theory and Critical Geographies of Race" (2010) 34:2 Progress in Human Geography 147 at 151.
- Reed, Major Walter D. "William J Batten and Katie M Batten, his wife, v United States of America" (1963) 9 MLJ 246.
- Schabas, Paul. "Freedom of Expression and the Press: An Update" (2010) 27 NJCL 263.
- Scott, Michael. "Cultural entrepreneurs, cultural entrepreneurship: Music producers, mobilising and converting Bourdieu's alternative capitals" (2012) 40 Poetics 237.
- Silver, Daniel & Terry Nichols Clark. "Buzz as an Urban Resource" (2013) 38:1 Canadian Journal of Sociology 1.

- Smith, Dorothy E. "Texts and the ontology of organizations and institutions" (2001) 7:2 Studies in Cultures, Organizations, and Societies 159.
  - ——. " Incorporating Texts into Ethnographic Practice" in Dorothy E Smith, ed, Institutional Ethnography as Practice (Lantham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc, 2006) 65.
- Staff (Editorial). "Daring to Deconstruct: The Rise of Postmodernism in Theory" (2010) 31:4 Harvard International Review 32.
- Stavrakos, Konstantine J. "Municipal Noise By-laws: How Municipalities May Rise to the Challenge of Regulating Noise as an Environmental Pollutant" (2011) 86:4 MPLR 40.
- Stevenson, Deborah. "Night-Moves: Cultural Precincts and the 24-Hour City" in David Rowe & Nathaniel Bavinton eds. On the Bounce: The Challenge of the Night-Time Economy (New South Wales: Cultural Industries and Practices Research Centre (CIPS), 2004) 12.
- Talbot, Deborah. "Regulation and Racial Differentiation in the Construction of Night-time Economies: A London Case Study" (2004) 41:4 Urban Studies 887.
- Valverde, Mariana. "Moral Capital" (1994) 9 CJLS 213.
- Veenstra, Gerry. "Culture and Class in Canada" (2010) 35:1 Canadian Journal of Sociology 83.
- Veenstra, Gerry. "Can Taste Illumine Class? Cultural Knowledge and Forms of Inequality" (2005) 30:3 Canadian Journal of Sociology 247.
- Yosso, Tara J. "Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community and cultural wealth" (2005) 8:1 Race Ethnicity and Education 69.

# **OTHER**

- Boutilier, Alex."Common concert gets pass on noise bylaw" (23 June 2010) online: Metro Halifax <a href="http://metronews.ca/news/halifax/>">http://metronews.ca/news/halifax/></a>
- Canadian Transportation Agency. *Guidelines for the Resolution of Complaints Concerning Railway Noise and Vibrations*, (Ottawa: Canadian Transportation Agency, 2008).
- DJ Magnificent. Statement (26 September 2013), "DOUBLE BARREL!! SOLO edition" in "event pages", online: <a href="http://www.facebook.com">http://www.facebook.com</a>>.
- Leung, Dennis & Derek Spalding. "Majority of complaints are music related", "More complaints at night ...", and "Wards with the most complaints", Charts in "City of Noise: What's that you say? Ottawa is getting Louder", *Ottawa Citizen* (18 October 2013) online: Ottawa Citizen <a href="http://www.ottawacitizen.com">http://www.ottawacitizen.com</a>>.
- General Manager of Ottawa HI Jail Hostel. Response to hostel guest review (17 July 2013) in tripadvisor.ca hotel reviews, online: <a href="http://www.tripadvisor.ca">http://www.tripadvisor.ca</a>.
- Gordon, ME. Administration and Regulation of Noise in Alberta (Alberta: Environment Council of Alberta, 1980.
- Hotel guest of Ottawa HI Jail Hostel. "Like Staying in a Dive Bar", Review (14 July 2013) in "tripadvisor.ca hotel reviews", online: <a href="http://www.tripadvisor.ca">http://www.tripadvisor.ca</a>.

- Jones, HW, ed. Noise in the Human Environment, vol 1 (Alberta: Environment Council of Alberta, 1979).
- Olukotun, Deji. "Critical Legal Studies and the Veil of Rights", Blog, online: <a href="http://dejiridoo.com/blog>">http://dejiridoo.com/blog></a>.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment. "Model Municipal Noise Control By-law: Final Report" (August 1978).
- Spalding, Derek. "City of Noise: What's that you say? Ottawa is getting Louder", *Ottawa Citizen* (18 October 2013) online: Ottawa Citizen <a href="http://www.ottawacitizen.com">http://www.ottawacitizen.com</a>>.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for Community Noise (Geneva: World Health Organization, Bergland Birgitta, Thomas Lindvall & Dietrich H Schwela, eds (Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999), online: <a href="http://whqlibdoc.who.int">http://whqlibdoc.who.int</a>>.
- World Soundscape Project. A Survey of Community Noise By-Laws in Canada (Labatt Breweries of Canada, 1972).