

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Entomology Faculty Publications

Entomology

2-27-2019

A Determining Factor for Insect Feeding Preference in the Silkworm, *Bombyx mori*

Zhong-Jie Zhang Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Shuai-Shuai Zhang Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Bao-Long Niu Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Dong-Feng Ji Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Xiao-Jing Liu Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

See next page for additional authors

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub Part of the <u>Biology Commons</u>, and the <u>Entomology Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Zhang, Zhong-Jie; Zhang, Shuai-Shuai; Niu, Bao-Long; Ji, Dong-Feng; Liu, Xiao-Jing; Li, Mu-Wang; Bai, Hua; Palli, Subba Reddy; Wang, Chen-Zhu; and Tan, An-Jiang, "A Determining Factor for Insect Feeding Preference in the Silkworm, *Bombyx mori*" (2019). *Entomology Faculty Publications*. 187.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub/187

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Entomology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Authors

Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Dong-Feng Ji, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, and An-Jiang Tan

A Determining Factor for Insect Feeding Preference in the Silkworm, Bombyx mori

Notes/Citation Information

Published in *PLOS Biology*, v. 17, no. 2, e3000162, p. 1-17.

© 2019 Zhang et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162



G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Zhang Z-J, Zhang S-S, Niu B-L, Ji D-F, Liu X-J, Li M-W, et al. (2019) A determining factor for insect feeding preference in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. PLoS Biol 17(2): e3000162. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162

Academic Editor: Anurag A. Agrawal, Cornell University, UNITED STATES

Received: September 28, 2018

Accepted: February 8, 2019

Published: February 27, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Zhang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number No.U1738110 and No.31572330) received by A.T. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

SHORT REPORTS

A determining factor for insect feeding preference in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*

Zhong-Jie Zhang^{1,2}, Shuai-Shuai Zhang³, Bao-Long Niu¹, Dong-Feng Ji¹, Xiao-Jing Liu², Mu-Wang Li⁶, Hua Bai⁵, Subba Reddy Palli⁶, Chen-Zhu Wang³, An-Jiang Tan²*

1 Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, China, 2 Key Laboratory of Insect Developmental and Evolutionary Biology, Center for Excellence in Molecular Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, 3 State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, 4 Sericultural Research Institute, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, China, 5 Department of Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America, 6 Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, United States of America

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

* ajtan01@sibs.ac.cn

Abstract

Feeding preference is critical for insect adaptation and survival. However, little is known regarding the determination of insect feeding preference, and the genetic basis is poorly understood. As a model lepidopteran insect with economic importance, the domesticated silkworm, Bombyx mori, is a well-known monophagous insect that predominantly feeds on fresh mulberry leaves. This species-specific feeding preference provides an excellent model for investigation of host-plant selection of insects, although the molecular mechanism underlying this phenomenon remains unknown. Here, we describe the gene GR66, which encodes a putative bitter gustatory receptor (GR) that is responsible for the mulberry-specific feeding preference of B. mori. With the aid of a transposon-based, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein-9 nuclease (Cas9) system, the GR66 locus was genetically mutated, and homozygous mutant silkworm strains with truncated gustatory receptor 66 (GR66) proteins were established. GR66 mutant larvae acquired new feeding activity, exhibiting the ability to feed on a number of plant species in addition to mulberry leaves, including fresh fruits and grain seeds that are not normally consumed by wild-type (WT) silkworms. Furthermore, a feeding choice assay revealed that the mutant larvae lost their specificity for mulberry. Overall, our findings provide the first genetic and phenotypic evidences that a single bitter GR is a major factor affecting the insect feeding preference.

Author summary

The molecular mechanism underlying species-specific feeding preference in insects is poorly understood. The silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, is a typical monophagous plant-eating



Abbreviations: ANOVA. analysis of variance: cDNA. complementary DNA; CRISPR/Cas9, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/ CRISPR-associated protein-9 nuclease; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; DNase I, deoxyribonuclease I; FBS, fetal bovine serum; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; GR, gustatory receptor; GRN, gustatory receptor neuron; GR66, gustatory receptor 66; HEK293T, human embryonic kidney 293T; L5D3, third-day fifthinstar; Nos-Cas9, pBac[IE1-DsRed2-Nos-Cas9]; OR, olfactory receptor; ORF, open reading frame; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; SAPID, spike analysis programs for insect data; SEM, standard error of the mean; sgRNA, small guide RNA; TALEN, transcription activator-like effector nuclease; U6-sqRNA, pBac/IE1-EGFP-U6-BmGR66-sgRNAJ; WT, wild-type; ZFN, zinc-finger nuclease.

insect, but the genetic basis for its famous mulberry-specific feeding preference is unknown. Here, we identify gustatory receptor 66 (GR66) as a determinant of the silkworm's mulberry-specific monophagy. GR66-mutant larvae generated by clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein-9 nuclease (Cas9) acquired new feeding activity and showed the ability to feed on various plant species that are not normally consumed by the wild-type (WT) animals; a twochoice assay demonstrated that the mutant larvae had lost their feeding preference for mulberry. Our genetic and phenotypic evidence therefore demonstrates that GR66 is a major factor affecting the feeding preference of the silkworm.

Introduction

Chemosensory processes, including olfaction and gustation, are critical for host-plant selection in phytophagous insects [1,2]. Olfaction is responsible for host orientation, and gustation plays a central role in host selection [3,4]. Insect gustatory receptors (GRs), as well as olfactory receptors (ORs), therefore play critical roles in determining insect feeding preference. Most insect GRs are expressed exclusively in gustatory receptor neurons (GRNs) and transmit signals through GRNs to regulate insect feeding behaviors [5,6]. Insect GRs are known to recognize sugars, bitter compounds, and nonvolatile pheromones [7,8]. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, GR5a and GR66a are found in different populations of GRNs [5]. GR5a-positive GRNs respond to various sugars, and GR66a-positive GRNs respond to many bitter compounds [9,10]. In the butterfly, *Papilio xuthus*, a GR was reported to be involved in host-plant recognition for oviposition [11]. In addition, GRs are also required for the detection of CO₂, nutrients, light, and temperature [12–14]. Large numbers of insect GRs have been identified in many insect species [15–24]. However, most GRs have not been functionally characterized, and the roles played by these GRs in insect feeding preferences remain unclear.

Based on the host-plant selection range, the feeding preferences of phytophagous insects are classified as monophagous, oligophagous, and polyphagous. Lepidoptera, the largest lineage of phytophagous insects, includes many important agricultural and forest pests that exhibit high diversity in terms of feeding preference. The domesticated silkworm, Bombyx mori, is a beneficial lepidopteran insect that has been a major contributor to silk production for thousands of years. One of the main characteristics of B. mori is its monophagous feeding preference, and silkworm larvae predominantly feed on fresh mulberry leaves (Morus alba L.). Several polyphagous silkworm mutant strains that feed on the leaves of various plants that are rejected by normal silkworms have been reported [25,26]. Genetic analysis of one representative strain, Sawa-J, revealed that a major recessive gene on the *polyphagous* (*pph*) locus was potentially responsible for this change in feeding preference [27]. However, the molecular mechanism underlying the monophagous feeding preference of B. mori is unknown, and whether GR genes are involved the feeding preference of silkworm remains to be determined. Recently, a complete set of 76 GR genes was identified in *B. mori* [28]. Among these genes, only three sugar GRs were functionally characterized [29-31], whereas most of the GRs remained functionally identified, including 66 putative bitter GRs [28].

The biological functions of most insect GRs are poorly understood, especially those of nondrosophilid insects, due to the lack of reverse genetic approaches for the study of these insect species. This is especially true for lepidopteran species, because RNA interference functions with variable efficiency in many species [32]. Recent advances in the development of targeted genomic manipulation tools provide great benefits for functional genomic research of lepidopteran insects. These genomic manipulation tools—including zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs), transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), and the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/CRISPR-associated protein-9 nuclease (Cas9) system—have been extensively used to generate targeted mutations at single or multiple sites in many organisms in vitro and in vivo [33–35]. Among these tools, the CRISPR/Cas9 system is the most extensively used mutagenesis system due to its high mutagenic efficiency and simple procedure. Among lepidopteran insects, the CRISPR/Cas9 system has been successfully established in *B. mori* [36–38], *Spodoptera litura* [39], *Plutella xylostella* [40], and *Helicoverpa armigera* [41].

In the current study, we investigated the genetic basis for the feeding preference towards mulberry exhibited by silkworm. We mutated the *GR66* gene, which encodes a putative bitter GR, in *B. mori* using the Cas9/small guide RNA (sgRNA) system. Homozygous *GR66* mutant larvae exhibited expanded diets, indicating that the *GR66* gene is responsible for mulberry-specific feeding behavior in the silkworm. Acquiring new feeding activity in the silkworm will contribute to modern sericulture as well as to the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of insect–host interactions.

Results

Tissue-specific expression and cell localization of GR66

It was reported that there are 76 putative GRs distributed on 16 of the 28 chromosomes of B. mori [28]. Among these genes, only one putative bitter GR gene, GR66, was identified as being located on the third chromosome. The genomic locus of this gene is within the putative *pph* locus of the polyphagous Sawa-J silkworm strain [27]. This finding indicates that GR66 might be the candidate gene for the *pph* locus and could be involved in the feeding preference of silkworm. We first investigated the relative mRNA levels of *GR66* in different larval tissues using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). It has been reported that most insect GRs are localized in the taste sensilla of the larval mouthparts [28,42] (Fig 1A). As expected, GR66 was predominantly expressed in larval maxillae (Fig 1B). The open reading frame (ORF) of the GR66 gene contains 1,140 base pairs and encodes a 380-amino-acid polypeptide. Bioinformatic analysis revealed that the GR66 protein consists of seven transmembrane domains with an intracellular N terminus, which is distinct from the structures of members of the G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family (Fig 2B). We further investigated the cellular localization of this protein via transfection of an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-fused GR66 expression plasmid into mammalian 293T cells. The results showed that the protein is localized on the cell membrane (Fig 1C).

Establishment of GR66 homozygous mutant lines

To investigate the potential involvement of *GR66* in the feeding preference of silkworm, we genetically ablated *GR66* using a transposon-based, Cas9/sgRNA-mediated mutagenesis system [37]. Two independent transgenic lines were established by transposon-mediated germline transformation. One transgenic line expressed Cas9 under the control of the germ-cell–specific promoter *Bmnos* [37], and the other line expressed two sequence-specific sgRNAs targeting *GR66* (Fig 2A) under the control of the *BmU6* promoter [38]. Each line also expressed an IE1 promoter-derived fluorescent marker (EGFP in the Cas9-expressing line or DsRed2 in the sgRNA-expressing line) to facilitate the screening of positive individuals from the embry-onic stage [37]. In the F1 hybrids between the Cas9 and sgRNA lines, somatic mutagenesis was identified by PCR-based analysis and subsequent sequencing. Mutants were generated at a single site or both sites (S1A Fig), indicating that successful mutagenesis was induced by the





Fig 1. *GR66* expression in tissues and cellular localization of GR66. (A) Scanning electron micrographs of first-instar heads. The area inside the white box is enlarged on the right. (B) Relative mRNA levels of *BmGR66* in *Bombyx mori* tissues as determined by qRT-PCR. Total RNA was isolated from the antennae, labra, mandibles, maxillae, labia, thoracic legs, and midguts. The RNA was converted to cDNA, which was used as a template to quantify *BmGR66* mRNA levels using *Bmrp49* as a reference gene. The data shown was the mean \pm SEM (n = 3). Underlying data can be found in <u>S1 Data</u>. (C) Photographs of HEK293T cells expressing the EGFP-GR66 fusion protein and stained with DAPI. n = 32. Scale bars: 10 µm. cDNA, complementary DNA; EGFP, enhanced green

fluorescent protein; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; GR66, gustatory receptor 66; HEK293T, human embryonic kidney 239T; Ls, lateral sensilla; Mp, maxillary palp; Ms, medial sensilla; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; SEM, standard error of the mean.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162.g001

transgenic CRISPR/Cas9 system. Somatic mutants of *GR66* showed no deleterious phenotype compared with the wild-type (WT) animals, indicating that knocking out *GR66* did not interfere with silkworm development and fertility. To obtain heritable, nontransgenic, homologous mutants to assess feeding preference, a series of crossing strategies and PCR-based screening experiments were performed (S1B Fig) as described previously [43]. Finally, two independent homozygous lines with truncated GR66 proteins were established (S2 Fig). One mutant line (Δ GR66-1) had a 929-bp genomic DNA deletion at the *GR66* locus, resulting in a 180-bp





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162.g002

deletion in the ORF and to a truncated 319-aa protein, which was 60 aa shorter than WT GR66 protein (S2 Fig). The other mutant line ($\Delta GR66-2$) had a 931-bp genomic deletion at the *GR66* locus, resulting in a 182-bp deletion in the ORF and to a 312-aa protein, which was 67 aa shorter than the WT GR66 protein (S2 Fig). The truncated GR66 proteins of the $\Delta GR66-1$ and $\Delta GR66-2$ mutants contained only six or five transmembrane domains, respectively (Fig 2B). Because the truncated proteins did not have all seven transmembrane domains that are essential for the function of the membrane proteins [44,45], we presumed that both mutants lacked *GR66* functions.

Feeding behavior in GR66 mutant silkworms

Consistent with the transgenic somatic mutants, homologous GR66 mutant silkworms were fully viable and fertile. We first used homozygous *AGR66-2* newly moulted fifth-instar larvae to assess feeding behavior. After 24 h of starvation treatment to facilitate feeding sensitivity, both WT and homozygous GR66-2 mutant larvae were provided various food sources for 24 h (Fig 3A–3E), and then, the increase in weight and number of droppings were recorded (Fig 3G and 3H). The leaves of Mongolian oak (Quercus mongolica Fisch. ex Ledeb.), fruits of apple (Malus domestica) and pear (Pyrus spp.), and seeds of soybean (Glycine max) and corn (Zea mays) were subjected to analysis. Mulberry leaves were also used as a control. Both WT and mutant larvae ate the mulberry leaves and exhibited normal development (Fig 3A and S1 Movie). Leaves of Mongolian oak are known food sources of Chinese oak silkworm, Antherea *pernvi*, but are not consumed by *B. mori*. The $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae ate the oak leaves (Fig 3B and S2 Movie), and droppings were observed (Fig 3H), but the body weights did not increase significantly (Fig 3G). The $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae exhibited a 15.96% weight increase with approximately seven droppings per larva after feeding on apple, whereas the WT animals did not attempt to consume apple, and no droppings were observed (Fig 3C, 3G and 3H and S3 Movie). Furthermore, we found that the $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae could also feed on pear (Fig 3D and S4 Movie), which belongs to the same family as apple, namely, Rosaceae. A 25.47% weight increase was observed for $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae, whereas no significant increase was observed for WT animals (Fig 3G and 3H). The $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae could feed on both fresh soybean and corn, with a 10.56% and 14.08% increase in weight, respectively, whereas no significant weight increase was observed for WT animals (Fig 3E-3H, S5 Movie and S6 Movie). After feeding, the larvae were dissected to confirm food digestion, and the results showed that the midguts were filled with the residues of the indicated foods (Fig $3A^{2}-3F^{2}$). Additionally, the Mongolian oak leaf residue diffused into the anterior part of the midguts (Fig 3B'), indicating that Mongolian oak leaves could not be digested well. This finding also explained why the body weight did not increase significantly (Fig 3G). A similar result was obtained when the $\Delta GR66-1$ mutant line was subjected to analysis (S3 Fig). Notably, none of the larvae could survive the entire fifth-instar stage when reared on food other than mulberry (S4 Fig), indicating that B. mori mostly adapted to mulberry leaves during long-term cultivation.

Feeding preference of GR66 mutants

To further investigate the feeding preference of *GR66* mutants, we performed a two-choice assay in prestarved fifth-instar larvae. Given a choice between mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves, the WT larvae exhibited a strong preference for mulberry leaves and did not attempt to eat Mongolian oak leaves (Fig 4A and 4A'). In contrast, the Δ *GR66* larvae exhibited similar feeding preferences for both mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves (Fig 4B, 4B', 4C and 4C'). In addition, a commercial artificial diet containing mulberry leaf powder and another artificial diet that lacked mulberry leaf (1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder)



Fig 3. The feeding preference of the $\Delta GR66-2$ line was expanded. The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ after 24 h of starvation ate mulberry leaves (A), Mongolian oak (B), apple (C), pear (D), soybean (E), and corn (F). (G) $\Delta GR66-2$ fed on apple, pear, soybean, and corn showed a significant increase in weight when compared to WT animals fed on the same materials. The midguts of $\Delta GR66-2$ after 24 h of starvation showed that the animals ate mulberry leaves (A'), Mongolian oak (B'), apple (C'), pear (D'), soybean (E'), and corn (F'). Scale bars: 50 mm in A, A', B, B', C, C', D, D', E, E', F, and F'. (G) $\Delta GR66-2$ fed on apple, pear, soybean, and corn showed a significant increase in weight when compared to WT animals fed on the same materials. The WT and $\Delta GR66-2$ larvae fed on Mongolian oak did not show an increased body weights after 24 h of feeding. (H) Number of droppings (per larva) from larvae fed on mulberry, Mongolian oak, apple, pear, soybean, and corn at 24 h after initiation of feeding. The data shown were the mean \pm SEM (n = 18 silkworms). The asterisks indicated significant differences as calculated by a two-tailed *t*-test: ns (not significant), ***P < 0.001. Underlying data can be found in S1 Data. GR66, gustatory receptor 66; SEM, standard error of the mean.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162.g003



Fig 4. Two-choice assays with newly moulted fifth-instar larvae. The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of WT after 24 h of starvation released between mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves after 0 (A) and 1 h (A'). The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ after 24 h of starvation released between mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves after 0 (B) and 1 h (B'). The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-1$ after 24 h of starvation released between mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves after 0 (C) and 1 h (C'). The newly moulted fifth instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-1$ after 24 h of starvation released between mulberry leaves and Mongolian oak leaves after 0 (C) and 1 h (C'). The newly moulted fifth instar larvae of WT after 24 h of starvation released between an artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and an artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (D) and 1 h (D'). The newly moulted fifth instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ after 24 h of starvation released between an artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (D) and 1 h (D'). The newly moulted fifth instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ after 24 h of starvation released between an artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and an artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (E) and 1 h (E'). The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-1$ after 24 h of starvation released between an artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and an artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (E) and 1 h (E'). The newly moulted fifth-instar larvae of $\Delta GR66-1$ after 24 h of starvation released between an artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (F) and 1 h (F'). Scale bars: 20 mm in A, A', B, B', C, C', D, D', E, and E'. Each assay was performed in triplicate (technical replicates). WT, wild-type.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000162.g004

were also used for a two-choice assay. Similar to the previous result, the WT larvae exhibited a strong preference for the artificial diet containing mulberry (Fig 4D and 4D'), whereas the $\Delta GR66$ larvae exhibited similar feeding preferences for both artificial diets (Fig 4E, 4E', 4F and 4F'). These results revealed that the *GR66* mutant larvae had lost their specificity for mulberry, suggesting that *GR66* is required for the mulberry-specific feeding preference of *B. mori.* In addition, we performed two-choice feeding assays with neonate larvae. Both the WT and *GR66* mutant neonate larvae exhibited a strong preference for the artificial diet containing mulberry (S5 Fig). Although this phenotypic consequence remained to be elucidated, we speculated that food choice of neonate larvae are also strongly affected by ORs, because olfaction is responsible for host orientation [46].

Response of GR66 mutants to sweet and bitter stimuli

Most insect GRs are located in the taste sensilla of the larval mouthparts, and it has been reported that the medial sensilla are responsible for sweet taste perception and lateral sensilla are responsible for bitter taste perception in Lepidoptera [28]. To investigate whether *GR66* mutants exhibit altered responses to different tastes, electrophysiological recording analysis on contact chemosensilla was performed on taste sensilla, including the medial and lateral styloconic sensilla of fifth-instar larvae in the $\Delta GR66-2$ line. We first investigated two sweet stimulants, namely, sucrose and myo-inositol, in the lateral sensilla. No difference was detected between WT and *GR66* mutants at a concentration of 10 mM, indicating that *GR66* depletion was irrelevant for the perception of these two sweet stimuli (S6A and S6B Fig). We subsequently investigated two

bitter substances, namely, caffeine and salicin, in the medial sensilla at a concentration of 10 mM. The results showed that the electrophysiological response to these two substances was not affected by *GR66* depletion (S6C and S6D Fig). We further tested the response to caffeine and salicin at different concentrations, and similar results were obtained (S6E and S6F Fig). These results indicated that the *GR66* mutants did not exhibit altered responses to these typical sweet or bitter substances. Other compounds in mulberry leaves, especially the potential ligands of GR66, remain to be identified.

Discussion

Molecular mechanisms of host-plant selection in phytophagous insects remain to be elucidated, and how GRs are involved in their feeding behaviors is poorly understood. To reveal the molecular mechanism underlying mulberry-specific herbivory in *B. mori*, we genetically ablated a putative bitter GR, *GR66*, via Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted mutagenesis. Homologous mutant larvae exhibited loss of mulberry specificity and the ability to feed on a wide range of food sources, indicating that *GR66* is a determinant of the monophagous feeding preference of *B. mori*.

Increasing numbers of insect GRs have been identified, and their critical roles in detection of environmental stimulations have been reported [7-14]. In phytophagous insects, most reported GRs belong to putative bitter GR subfamily and they are necessary in the recognition of many plant secondary metabolites, which are normally bitter compounds [47]. In B. mori, the subfamily of the bitter GRs contains up to 66 genes and is the largest subfamily among the total 76 identified GRs in B. mori [28]. None of these putative bitter GRs had been functionally elucidated until the current study on GR66. Our data strongly suggest that GR66 is a major factor affecting the feeding preference of silkworm, because mutation of this gene could change the mulberry-specific herbivory of silkworm. We speculate that GR66 may serve as a feeding inhibitor in B. mori. This finding explains why GR66 mutagenesis could result in the acceptance of an expanded range of host-plant materials by the larvae. In WT animals, GR66 is active and inhibits the feeding behavior on nonhost materials, whereas certain compounds in mulberry leaves directly or indirectly repress GR66 activity, leading to initiation of such feeding behavior. Future validation of potential ligands of GR66 in mulberry leaves and identification of food components that dictate host specificity will be critical for elucidation of this species-specific feeding preference. In the current study, the $\Delta GR66$ strains did not exhibit significant electrophysiological differences in the selection of sweet or bitter substances, including salicin. Our results were different from previously reported results for the polyphagous silkworm strain Sawa-J, which exhibited reduced sensitivity to the bitter compound salicin [26]. Because the pph locus in the Sawa-J strain has not been mapped to a single gene [26], the different electrophysiological phenotypes between the Sawa-J and $\Delta GR66-2$ strains indicated that the putative involvement of different or additional genes, such as the many other GR genes in B. mori, should be taken into account to explain the monophagous feeding preference for mulberry. We presumed that the effects of these genes led to the Sawa-J strains and GR66 mutants exhibiting different responses to salicin. Additionally, it is possible that GR66 mutagenesis did not create completely null mutants (Fig 2), and truncated GR66 may still respond to salicin.

Mulberry leaves have been used as the only food source for mass rearing of silkworm for thousands of years. Due to limitations associated with labor and land consumption and seasonal cycles in the harvesting of fresh mulberry leaves, the development of silkworm strains that can feed on cost-effective diets instead of mulberry leaves has been pursued. Conversion of the monophagous silkworm to a polyphagous species by GR mutagenesis therefore provides a promising approach for the development of alternative food sources for mass rearing of silkworm. Furthermore, lepidopteran insects include a large number of agricultural and forest pests that exhibit high diversity in terms of feeding habits. Orthologous genes of *GR66* or other GRs in lepidopteran insects may play key roles in the species-specific feeding preferences of these insects. Insect feeding preference is a very complicated biological process and is probably more complex than determined by a single gene. Large numbers of insect GRs remains to be functional elucidated, and they should also be considered to play important roles in feeding preference. Elucidation of the critical role of GRs in insect feeding preference will provide insights into the mechanisms underlying insect feeding behavior and insect–plant interactions, facilitating the development of novel strategies for pest management.

Materials and methods

Silkworms

A multivoltine and monophagous silkworm strain, Nistari, was used in all the experiments. Larvae were fed fresh mulberry leaves at 25°C under standard conditions [48].

Scanning electron microscopy

Heads were excised from newly hatched first-instar larvae of *B. mori*. The excised heads were washed in PBS and fixed with FAA solution (1:1:18 ratio of 37% to 40% formaldehyde to acetic acid anhydride to 50% ethanol). The fixed samples were dehydrated via exposure to gradually increasing concentrations of ethyl alcohol (50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%) using a rotary machine. The heads were dried in a critical-point dryer and then coated with platinum prior to observation under a scanning electron microscope (JEOL).

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the antennae, labra, mandibles, maxillae, labia, thoracic legs, and midguts of third-day fifth-instar (L5D3) larvae using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The RNA was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) to remove genomic DNA. One microgram of total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using the ReverAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas). Relative mRNA levels were determined by qRT-PCR using SYBR Green real-time PCR master mix (TOYOBO). The PCR conditions used were as follows: initial incubation at 95°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in S1 Table. Another primer pair—namely, RP49-F and RP49-R (S1 Table)—was used as an internal control [48].

Molecular cloning and plasmid construction

The ORF of *BmGR66* was PCR-amplified using cDNA synthesized from the total RNA isolated from the maxillae at L5D3 as a template. The PCR products obtained were directly cloned into the pcDNA-3.0 vector to generate GR66-pcDNA3.0. To detect the expression of *BmGR66* in human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK293T) cells, the ORF of GFP was cloned and incorporated in-frame upstream of *BmGR66* with a flexible linker modifying the amino acids GGGGS. To construct the transgenic CRISPR/Cas9 system, we used the activator line *pBac[IE1-Ds-Red2-Nos-Cas9]* (*Nos-Cas9*), in which Cas9 was driven by a germ-cell–specific promoter, as described previously [37]. The plasmid *pBac[IE1-EGFP-U6-BmGR66-sgRNA]* (*U6-sgRNA*), used to express the sgRNA, was constructed as described previously [38]. The sgRNA targeting sites were designed as GN19NGG. The primers used for plasmid construction are listed in S1 Table.

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. For receptor localization analysis, HEK293T cells were seeded in 35-mm sterilized glass-bottom dishes and incubated for 24 h. EGFP-GR66-pcDNA3.0 was transfected into HEK293T cells using Lipofec-tamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 24 h, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and finally incubated with DAPI for 10 minutes. The cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy on a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal laser scanning microscope attached to a Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope using a Zeiss Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 NA oil immersion lens.

Germline transformation and mutagenesis analysis

Germline transformation of silkworm was performed as described previously [48]. For the transgenic CRISPR/Cas9 system, the *Nos-Cas9* line was crossed with the *U6-sgRNA* line, and genomic DNA was extracted from the *Nos-Cas9*:U6-sgRNA as previously described [38]. Subsequently, genomic PCR followed by sequencing was carried out to identify *GR66* mutant alleles.

Screening strategy and establishment of homozygous mutant strains

To establish a stable homozygous mutant line, the *Nos-Cas9:U6-sgRNA* (F1) were crossed with the WT. For the F2 progeny that lacked fluorescence, PCR-based genotyping was performed using genomic DNA extracted from adult legs as templates. Removal of legs did not interfere with moth survival and fertility. Details regarding the crossing procedure are shown in S1 Fig. Briefly, we backcrossed F1 somatic mutants with WT moths and used PCR to identify heterozygous F2 mutant animals. The selected F2 mutants were backcrossed with WT moths again. The progeny of this cross were approximately 50% heterozygotes and 50% WT animals. The F3 heterozygous mutants, 50% heterozygous mutants, and 25% WT animals. The F4 homozygous mutants were then sib-mated to obtain 100% homozygous animals, which were used in subsequent experiments.

Larval feeding behavior assay

Newly moulted fifth-instar larvae were starved for 24 h prior to conducting the behavioural assay. After starvation, each larva was placed in a sterile culture dish separately. Different plant-derived food materials, such as mulberry (*M. alba*), Mongolian oak (*Q. mongolica* Fisch. ex Ledeb.), apple (*M. domestica*), pear (*Pyrus* spp.), soybean (*G. max*), and corn (*Z. mays*), were placed in the culture dishes. After 24 h, the weights of larvae were recorded, and the number of droppings was counted. Two-choice feeding preference tests were performed using plant leaves or artificial diets. Leaves of mulberry and Mongolian oak were placed on separate sides of the container 2 cm away from the middle. A two-choice feeding assay with an artificial diet containing mulberry leaf powder and an artificial diet that was 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder was performed as described above. Twenty newly moulted fifth-instar larvae after starvation for 24 h or a brood of neonate larvae were placed in the center. Photographs were taken at 0 and 60 min after release.

Electrophysiological recording

Tip recordings for insect contact chemosensilla were performed on the medial and lateral styloconic sensilla of fifth-instar *B. mori* larvae as described previously with some modification [49, 50]. Heads with the first thoracic segments were cut from newly hatched fifth-instar larvae that were starved for 24 h. An AgCl-coated silver loop was inserted into each head until pressure caused the mouthparts to open, and then the loop was connected to a copper miniconnector, which served as the recording electrode. A recording glass electrode filled with the stimulus solution was brought in contact with the tip of the styloconic sensillum under a dissecting microscope. Responses were recorded from both the medial and lateral styloconic sensilla on both sides of the head. Stimuli lasted 1 s and were separated by an interval of 3 min to allow for recovery and to minimize adaptation. The tip diameter size of the stimulating electrode was approximately 50 µm, which is suitable for stimulation of single styloconic sensilla. Action potentials (spikes) generated during the first second after stimulus onset were amplified by the amplifier (Syntech Taste Probe DTP-1; Hilversum, the Netherlands) and filtered (A/Dinterface, Syntech IDAC-4; Hilversum, the Netherlands). The electrophysiological signals were recorded and analyzed with the aid of spike analysis programs for insect data (SAPID) Tools software, version 16.0 [51], as well as Autospike version 3.7 software (Syntech, Hilversum, the Netherlands). Solutions of sucrose, myo-inositol, caffeine, and salicin dissolved in 2 mM KCl were used as stimulants in the electrophysiological experiments. For each stimulant and corresponding sensillum responsive to the stimulant, 15 WT and mutant larvae that hatched from 3 to 5 different rearing batches were tested. A solution of 2 mM KCl served as a control. Data are presented as the means \pm standard error of the means (SEMs).

Statistical analysis

All the experiments in this study were performed with at least three replicates. All the data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM. The differences between groups were examined by either two-tailed Student *t*-test or two-way ANOVA. Statistically significant differences are indicated by asterisks.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Deletions in GR66 caused by CRISPR/Cas9 and an experimental diagram for generation of the homozygous mutant lines. (A) Genomic mutagenesis induced by the transgenic CRISPR/Cas9 system. Various deletion mutations of TS1 and TS2 were detected in heterozygous Nos-Cas9:U6-sgRNA offspring. The numbers in brackets in the middle of each sequence refer to the 1,403-bp interspace fragment that was found between the targeting sites. The PAM sequence is shown in red. (B) The strategy for generation of a homozygous mutant using the transgenic CRISPR/Cas9 system. (1) Preblastoderm silkworm embryos were injected with the transgenic plasmids Nos-Cas9 or U6-sgRNA to produce two transgenic silkworm lines. (2) Subsequently, the two transgenic lines were hybridized to produce founder animals (F1), which expressed both Cas9 and GR66 sgRNAs. (3) The F1 somatic mutant was backcrossed with WT to obtain F2 progeny. The F2 progeny that lacked fluorescence and complete deletion events were backcrossed with WT moths again to obtain F3 animals that were 50% heterozygotes and 50% WT animals. (4) The F3 heterozygous animals were then sib-mated to obtain F4 hybrids that were 25% F4 homozygous mutants, 50% heterozygous mutants, and 25% WT animals. (5) The F4 homozygous mutants were then sib-mated to obtain 100% homozygous F5 progeny, which were used in subsequent experiments. Two GR66 allele mutant lines were established. The sequence below shows the mutation event. The PAM sequence is shown in red. CRISPR/ Cas9, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/CRISPR-associated protein-9 nuclease; GR66, gustatory receptor 66; Nos-Cas9, pBac[IE1-DsRed2-Nos-Cas9]; PAM, protospacer adjacent motif; sgRNA, small guide RNA; U6-sgRNA, pBac[IE1-EGFP-U6-BmGR66-sgRNA];

WT, wild-type. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Comparison of *GR66* **among WT**, *ΔGR66-1*, **and** *ΔGR66-2*. (A) Genomic PCR of *GR66* of WT, *ΔGR66-1*, and *ΔGR66-2*. *ΔGR66-1* had a 929-bp genomic DNA deletion, and *ΔGR66-2* had a 931-bp genomic DNA deletion at the *GR66* locus. (B) RT-PCR of *GR66* of WT, *ΔGR66-1*, and *ΔGR66-2*. *ΔGR66-1* had a 180-bp deletion, and *ΔGR66-2* had a 182-bp deletion in the ORF. (C) Amino acid sequence alignment of the GR66 protein in WT, *ΔGR66-2*, and *ΔGR66-2*. *Δ*GR66-1 is 60 aa shorter than the WT GR66 protein. *Δ*GR66-2 is 67 aa shorter than the WT GR66 protein. Identical amino acids are indicated with "*." GR66, gustatory receptor 66; ORF, open reading frame; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-PCR; WT, wild-type. (TIF)

S3 Fig. The feeding preference of the Δ *GR66-1* **line was expanded.** The newly moulted fifthinstar larvae of Δ *GR66-1* after 24 h of starvation ate mulberry leaves (A), Mongolian oak (B), apple (C), pear (D), soybean (E), and corn (F). (G) Δ *GR66-1* fed on apple, pear, soybean, and corn showed a significant increase in weight when compared to WT fed on the same materials. Scale bars: 5 mm in A, B, C, D, E, and F. (G) Δ *GR66-1* fed on apple, pear, soybean and corn showed a significant increase in weight when compared to WT fed on the same materials. The body weights of the larvae of WT and Δ *GR66-1* fed on Mongolian oak did not show an increase in weight after 24 h of feeding. (H) Number of droppings (per larva) from larvae fed on mulberry, Mongolian oak, apple, pear, soybean, and corn at 24 h after initiation of feeding. The data shown was the mean ± SEM (*n* = 18 silkworms). The asterisks indicated significant differences as calculated by a two-tailed *t*-test: ns (not significant), ****P* < 0.001. Underlying data can be found in <u>S1 Data</u>. GR66, gustatory receptor 66; SEM, standard error of the mean; WT, wild-type.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Survival assays with Δ **GR66-2 mutant neonate larvae.** The data shown was the mean ± SEM (*n* = 30 silkworms). Each assay was performed in triplicate. Underlying data can be found in <u>S1 Data</u>. GR66, gustatory receptor 66; SEM, standard error of the mean. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Two-choice assays with neonate larvae. The neonate larvae of WT released between the artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and the artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (A) and 1 h (A'). The neonate larvae of $\Delta GR66-1$ released between the artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and the artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (B) and 1 h (B'). The neonate larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ released between the artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and the artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (B) and 1 h (B'). The neonate larvae of $\Delta GR66-2$ released between the artificial diet with mulberry leaf powder and the artificial diet with a 1:1 ratio of soybean powder to corn powder after 0 (C) and 1 h (C'). Scale bars: 10 mm in A, A', B, B', C, and C'. Each assay was performed in triplicate (technical replicates). GR66, gustatory receptor 66; WT, wild-type.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Electrophysiological responses to sucrose and myo-inositol in lateral sensilla and caffeine and salicin in medial sensilla. (A) Representative spike traces of the lateral sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with 2 mM KCl, 10 mM sucrose, and 10 mM myo-inositol. $\Delta GR66-2$ mutant larvae responded normally to sucrose and inositol. (B) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the lateral sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with 10 mM sucrose and 10 mM myo-inositol. (C) Representative spike traces of medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with 2 mM KCl, 10 mM caffeine, and 10 mM salicin. $\Delta GR66-2$

mutant larvae responded normally to sucrose and inositol. (D) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with 10 mM caffeine and 10 mM salicin. (E) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with different concentrations of caffeine. (F) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with different concentrations of caffeine. (F) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with different concentrations of caffeine. (F) Electrophysiological response frequencies of the medial sensilla of the indicated genotypes stimulated with different concentrations of salicin. The data shown were the mean \pm SEM (n = 20 silkworms). Significance was assessed by a two-tailed *t*-test: ns (not significant). Underlying data can be found in S1 Data. GR66, gustatory receptor 66; SEM, standard error of the mean. (TIF)

S1 Table. Primers used in this work. (DOCX)

S1 Data. Numerical data used in the figures. (XLSX)

S1 Movie. *ΔGR66-2* mutant fifth-instar larva fed on leaves of mulberry. GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

(AVI)

S2 Movie. *AGR66-2* mutant fifth-instar larva fed on leaves of Mongolian oak. GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

```
(AVI)
```

S3 Movie. *ΔGR66-2* mutant fifth-instar larva fed on fruits of apple. GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

(AVI)

S4 Movie. *∆GR66-2* mutant fifth-instar larva fed on fruits of pear. GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

(AVI)

S5 Movie. *∆***GR66-2 mutant fifth-instar larva fed on seeds of soybean.** GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

(AVI)

S6 Movie. *∆GR66-2* mutant fifth-instar larva fed on seeds of corn. GR66, gustatory receptor 66.

(AVI)

Acknowledgments

We thank Xiao-YAN Gao and Ji-Qin Li (CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for their help with scanning electron microscopy.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, An-Jiang Tan.

Data curation: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Dong-Feng Ji, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.

Formal analysis: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.

Funding acquisition: An-Jiang Tan.

- Investigation: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Dong-Feng Ji, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.
- Methodology: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Dong-Feng Ji, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.

Project administration: Bao-Long Niu, An-Jiang Tan.

- Resources: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Mu-Wang Li, An-Jiang Tan.
- Software: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Xiao-Jing Liu, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.

Supervision: An-Jiang Tan.

- Validation: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Xiao-Jing Liu, Mu-Wang Li, Hua Bai, Subba Reddy Palli, Chen-Zhu Wang, An-Jiang Tan.
- Visualization: Zhong-Jie Zhang, Shuai-Shuai Zhang, Bao-Long Niu, Xiao-Jing Liu, An-Jiang Tan.
- Writing original draft: Zhong-Jie Zhang, An-Jiang Tan.

Writing - review & editing: Zhong-Jie Zhang, An-Jiang Tan.

References

- 1. Ozaki M. Feeding behavior regulation in the fly: effect of a noxious substance through the taste and olfactory neurons. Chem Senses. 2005; 30 Suppl 1:i289–90.
- Fujii S, Yavuz A, Slone J, Jagge C, Song X, Amrein H. *Drosophila* sugar receptors in sweet taste perception, olfaction, and internal nutrient sensing. Curr Biol. 2015; 25(5):621–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2014.12.058</u> PMID: 25702577
- DeGennaro M, McBride CS, Seeholzer L, Nakagawa T, Dennis EJ, Goldman C, et al. orco mutant mosquitoes lose strong preference for humans and are not repelled by volatile DEET. Nature. 2013; 498 (7455):487–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12206 PMID: 23719379
- Liman ER, Zhang YV, Montell C. Peripheral coding of taste. Neuron. 2014; 81(5):984–1000. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.022</u> PMID: 24607224
- Thorne N, Chromey C, Bray S, Amrein H. Taste perception and coding in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol. 2004; 14(12):1065–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.05.019 PMID: 15202999
- Gordon MD, Scott K. Motor control in a *Drosophila* taste circuit. Neuron. 2009; 61(3):373–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.033 PMID: 19217375
- Scott K. Gustatory Processing in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Annu Rev Entomol. 2018; 63:15–30. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-020117-043331 PMID: 29324046
- Watanabe K, Toba G, Koganezawa M, Yamamoto D. Gr39a, a highly diversified gustatory receptor in Drosophila, has a role in sexual behavior. Behav Genet. 2011; 41(5):746–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10519-011-9461-6 PMID: 21416142
- Dahanukar A, Lei YT, Kwon JY, Carlson JR. Two Gr genes underlie sugar reception in *Drosophila*. Neuron. 2007; 56(3):503–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.024 PMID: 17988633
- Shim J, Lee Y, Jeong YT, Kim Y, Lee MG, Montell C, et al. The full repertoire of *Drosophila* gustatory receptors for detecting an aversive compound. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:8867. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms9867</u> PMID: 26568264
- 11. Ozaki K, Ryuda M, Yamada A, Utoguchi A, Ishimoto H, Calas D, et al. A gustatory receptor involved in host plant recognition for oviposition of a swallowtail butterfly. Nat Commun. 2011; 2:542. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1548 PMID: 22086342

- Jones WD, Cayirlioglu P, Kadow IG, Vosshall LB. Two chemosensory receptors together mediate carbon dioxide detection in *Drosophila*. Nature. 2007; 445(7123):86–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> nature05466 PMID: 17167414
- Xiang Y, Yuan Q, Vogt N, Looger LL, Jan LY, Jan YN. Light-avoidance-mediating photoreceptors tile the *Drosophila* larval body wall. Nature. 2010; 468(7326):921–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09576 PMID: 21068723
- Ni L, Bronk P, Chang EC, Lowell AM, Flam JO, Panzano VC, et al. A gustatory receptor paralogue controls rapid warmth avoidance in *Drosophila*. Nature. 2013; 500(7464):580–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature12390 PMID: 23925112
- Robertson HM, Wanner KW. The chemoreceptor superfamily in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*: expansion of the odorant, but not gustatory, receptor family. Genome Res. 2006; 16(11):1395–403. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.5057506 PMID: 17065611</u>
- 16. Robertson HM, Warr CG, Carlson JR. Molecular evolution of the insect chemoreceptor gene superfamily in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100 Suppl 2:14537–42.
- Hill CA, Fox AN, Pitts RJ, Kent LB, Tan PL, Chrystal MA, et al. G protein-coupled receptors in Anopheles gambiae. Science. 2002; 298(5591):176–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1076196</u> PMID: 12364795
- Kent LB, Walden KK, Robertson HM. The Gr family of candidate gustatory and olfactory receptors in the yellow-fever mosquito Aedes aegypti. Chem Senses. 2008; 33(1):79–93. https://doi.org/10.1093/ chemse/bjm067 PMID: 17928357
- Tribolium Genome Sequencing C, Richards S, Gibbs RA, Weinstock GM, Brown SJ, Denell R, et al. The genome of the model beetle and pest *Tribolium castaneum*. Nature. 2008; 452(7190):949–55. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06784 PMID: 18362917
- McKenna DD, Scully ED, Pauchet Y, Hoover K, Kirsch R, Geib SM, et al. Genome of the Asian longhorned beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*), a globally significant invasive species, reveals key functional and evolutionary innovations at the beetle-plant interface. Genome Biol. 2016; 17(1):227. https://doi. org/10.1186/s13059-016-1088-8 PMID: 27832824
- You M, Yue Z, He W, Yang X, Yang G, Xie M, et al. A heterozygous moth genome provides insights into herbivory and detoxification. Nat Genet. 2013; 45(2):220–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2524</u> PMID: 23313953
- Koenig C, Hirsh A, Bucks S, Klinner C, Vogel H, Shukla A, et al. A reference gene set for chemosensory receptor genes of Manduca sexta. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2015; 66:51–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ibmb.2015.09.007 PMID: 26365739
- Zhan S, Merlin C, Boore JL, Reppert SM. The monarch butterfly genome yields insights into long-distance migration. Cell. 2011; 147(5):1171–85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.052</u> PMID: 22118469
- Heliconius Genome C. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species. Nature. 2012; 487(7405):94–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11041 PMID: 22722851
- Asaoka K. Deficiency of gustatory sensitivity to some deterrent compounds in "polyphagous" mutant strains of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. J Comp Physiol A. 2000; 186(11):1011–8. PMID: 11195277
- lizuka T, Tamura T, Sezutsu H, Mase K, Okada E, Asaoka K. Genetic analysis of the electrophysiological response to salicin, a bitter substance, in a polyphagous strain of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(5):e37549. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037549 PMID: 22649537
- Mase K, Iizuka T, Yamamoto T, Okada E, Hara W. Genetic mapping of a food preference gene in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, using restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs). Genes Genet Syst. 2007; 82(3):249–56. PMID: 17660695
- 28. Guo H, Cheng T, Chen Z, Jiang L, Guo Y, Liu J, et al. Expression map of a complete set of gustatory receptor genes in chemosensory organs of *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2017; 82:74–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.02.001 PMID: 28185941
- 29. Sato K, Tanaka K, Touhara K. Sugar-regulated cation channel formed by an insect gustatory receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(28):11680–5. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019622108 PMID: 21709218
- Zhang HJ, Anderson AR, Trowell SC, Luo AR, Xiang ZH, Xia QY. Topological and functional characterization of an insect gustatory receptor. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(8):e24111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024111</u> PMID: 21912618
- Kikuta S, Endo H, Tomita N, Takada T, Morita C, Asaoka K, et al. Characterization of a ligand-gated cation channel based on an inositol receptor in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016; 74:12–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.04.010 PMID: 27132146

- Terenius O, Papanicolaou A, Garbutt JS, Eleftherianos I, Huvenne H, Kanginakudru S, et al. RNA interference in Lepidoptera: an overview of successful and unsuccessful studies and implications for experimental design. J Insect Physiol. 2011; 57(2):231–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2010.11.006 PMID: 21078327
- Bibikova M, Golic M, Golic KG, Carroll D. Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in *Drosophila* using zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics. 2002; 161(3):1169–75. PMID: 12136019
- Carroll D. Genome engineering with targetable nucleases. Annu Rev Biochem. 2014; 83:409–39. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060713-035418 PMID: 24606144
- Hsu PD, Lander ES, Zhang F. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. Cell. 2014; 157(6):1262–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.010 PMID: 24906146
- Wang Y, Li Z, Xu J, Zeng B, Ling L, You L, et al. The CRISPR/Cas system mediates efficient genome engineering in *Bombyx mori*. Cell Res. 2013; 23(12):1414–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2013.146</u> PMID: 24165890
- Xu J, Chen S, Zeng B, James AA, Tan A, Huang Y. Bombyx mori P-element somatic inhibitor (BmPSI) is a key auxiliary factor for silkworm male sex determination. PLoS Genet. 2017; 13(1):e1006576. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006576 PMID: 28103247
- Zhang Z, Liu X, Shiotsuki T, Wang Z, Xu X, Huang Y, et al. Depletion of juvenile hormone esterase extends larval growth in *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2017; 81:72–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ibmb.2017.01.001 PMID: 28057597
- Zhu GH, Xu J, Cui Z, Dong XT, Ye ZF, Niu DJ, et al. Functional characterization of SlitPBP3 in Spodoptera litura by CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016; 75:1–9. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.05.006 PMID: 27192033
- Huang Y, Chen Y, Zeng B, Wang Y, James AA, Gurr GM, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 mediated knockout of the abdominal-A homeotic gene in the global pest, diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*). Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016; 75:98–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.06.004 PMID: 27318252
- Wang J, Zhang H, Wang H, Zhao S, Zuo Y, Yang Y, et al. Functional validation of cadherin as a receptor of Bt toxin Cry1Ac in *Helicoverpa armigera* utilizing the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016; 76:11–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.06.008 PMID: 27343383
- 42. Apostolopoulou AA, Rist A, Thum AS. Taste processing in Drosophila larvae. Front Integr Neurosci. 2015; 9:50. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2015.00050 PMID: 26528147
- Liu Q, Liu W, Zeng B, Wang G, Hao D, Huang Y. Deletion of the *Bombyx mori* odorant receptor coreceptor (BmOrco) impairs olfactory sensitivity in silkworms. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2017; 86:58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.05.007 PMID: 28577927
- 44. Joseph RM, Sun JS, Tam E, Carlson JR. A receptor and neuron that activate a circuit limiting sucrose consumption. Elife. 2017;6.
- Hu Y, Han Y, Shao Y, Wang X, Ma Y, Ling E, et al. Gr33a modulates *Drosophila* male courtship preference. Sci Rep. 2015; 5:7777. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07777 PMID: 25586066
- 46. Tanaka K, Uda Y, Ono Y, Nakagawa T, Suwa M, Yamaoka R, et al. Highly selective tuning of a silkworm olfactory receptor to a key mulberry leaf volatile. Curr Biol. 2009; 19(11):881–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.04.035</u> PMID: 19427209
- 47. Chapman RF. Contact chemoreception in feeding by phytophagous insects. Annu Rev Entomol. 2003; 48:455–84. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.48.091801.112629 PMID: 12414737
- 48. Tan A, Fu G, Jin L, Guo Q, Li Z, Niu B, et al. Transgene-based, female-specific lethality system for genetic sexing of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(17):6766–70. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1221700110 PMID: 23569267
- Hodgson ES, Lettvin JY, Roeder KD. Physiology of a primary chemoreceptor unit. Science. 1955; 122 (3166):417–8. PMID: 13246649
- 50. Loon JJAV. Chemoreception of phenolic acids and flavonoids in larvae of two species of Pieris. J Comp Physiol A. 1990; 166(6):889–99.
- Smith JJB, Mitchell BK, Rolseth BM, Whitehead AT, Albert PJ. SAPID tools: microcomputer programs for analysis of multi-unit nerve recordings. Chemical Senses. 1990; 15(3):253–70.