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#### Abstract

Nineteen polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, such as $2,2^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}, 6$-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 84), display axial chirality because they form stable rotational isomers, or atropisomers, that are non-superimposable mirror images of each other. Although chiral PCBs undergo atropselective biotransformation and atropselectively alter biological processes, the absolute structure of only a few PCB atropisomers has been determined experimentally. To help close this knowledge gap, pure $2,2^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}, 6$-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 84) atropisomers were obtained by semi-preparative liquid chromatography with two serially connected Nucleodex $\beta$-PM columns. The absolute configuration of both atropisomers was determined by X-ray single-crystal diffraction. The PCB 84 atropisomer eluting first and second on the Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column correspond to (aR)-(-)PCB 84 and (aS)-(+)-PCB 84, respectively. Enantioselective gas chromatographic analysis with the $\beta$-cyclodextrin-based Chiralsil-Dex CP gas chromatography column showed the same elution order as the Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column. Based on earlier reports, the atropisomers eluting first and second on the BGB-172 gas chromatography column are (aR)-(-)-PCB 84 and (aS)-(+)-PCB 84, respectively. An inversion of the elution order is observed on the Cyclosil-B gas chromatography and Cellulose-3 liquid chromatography columns. These results advance the interpretation of environmental and human biomonitoring as well as toxicological studies.
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## Introduction

Seventy-eight PCB congeners out of the 209 possible congeners display axial chirality. However, only 19 of the 78 chiral congeners form rotational isomers, or atropisomers, that are stable at physiological temperature and under the conditions typically employed for enantioselective gas chromatographic analyses (Harju \& Haglund 1999). These PCB

[^0]congeners have a hindered rotation around the phenyl-phenyl bond due to the presence of three or four ortho chlorine substituents, with Gibbs free energies of activation $\left(\Delta^{\ddagger} \mathrm{G}\right)$ of 176.6 to $184.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for tri-ortho and $\sim 246 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for tetra-ortho substituted PCB congeners (Harju \& Haglund 1999). Depending on the degree of chlorination, technical PCB mixtures contain between $0.15-33 \%$ by weight of chiral PCBs as racemic (i.e., 1:1) mixtures (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2016a). Based on published global production data, chiral PCBs make a significant contribution to the global environmental contamination with PCBs (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2016a), and some congeners, such as chiral PCB 95, are major congeners present in outdoor air (Grimm et al. 2015).

The atropisomers of chiral PCB congeners differ in their environmental transport and fate due to atropselective biotransformation and other biological processes (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2016b; Lehmler et al. 2010). Following exposure to a racemic PCB mixture, chiral PCBs undergo considerable atropisomeric enrichment due to atropselective oxidation by cytochrome P 450 enzymes in mammalian systems, including humans. For example, considerable enrichment of (+)-PCB 84 has been reported in mice following exposure to racemic PCB 84 (Lehmler et al. 2003). As with other chiral small molecules, PCB atropisomers differ in their biological activity and toxicity. Early toxicological studies demonstrate atropselective effects of pure PCB atropisomers on the induction of drug metabolizing enzymes (Püttmann et al. 1990; Püttmann et al. 1989; Rodman et al. 1991). Chiral PCBs atropselectively alter the gene expression of drug metabolizing enzymes and metabolism of amino acids in zebrafish (Danio rerio) embryos and larvae (Chai et al. 2016a; Chai et al. 2016b; Xu et al. 2016). Moreover, several chiral PCB congeners, including PCB 84 and $2,2^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}, 6,6^{\prime}$-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 136), have been implicated in PCBs’ developmental neurotoxicity, possibly by mechanisms involving altered cellular calcium homeostasis (Lehmler et al. 2005a; Pessah et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2014).

Pure atropisomers of chiral PCBs have been separated by enantioselective liquid chromatography (Haglund 1996a, b; Mannschreck et al. 1985; Toda et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2015; Yin et al. 2012) or were prepared in multistep syntheses (Püttmann et al. 1989; Püttmann et al. 1986), which allowed the determination of their optical rotation. Individual PCB atropisomers and their chiral metabolites are currently identified based on their optical rotation (Haglund 1996b) and their elution order on enantioselective gas chromatography or liquid chromatography columns (Haglund 1996b, Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013). However, it remains mostly unknown how the optical rotation and elution order of PCB atropisomers relate to their absolute structure. The absolute configurations of only a few PCB congeners and their metabolites have been reported to date. The absolute configuration of $2,2^{\prime}, 3,3^{\prime}, 4,4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}, 6$-heptachlorobiphenyl (PCB 183) atropisomers was indirectly determined by comparing the experimental and theoretical circular dichroism spectra of pure atropisomers, with the atropisomers eluting first and second on the enantioselective HPLC column having the a $S$ and a $R$ configuration, respectively (Toda et al. 2012). A similar approach was used to determine the absolute structure of the atropisomers of several methoxy, methylthionyl and methylsulfonyl metabolites of chiral PCBs (Pham-Tuan et al. 2005).

Determination of the absolute configuration using circular dichroism spectra of pure atropisomers is cumbersome because this approach requires the availability of relatively large ( 50 to 100 mg ) quantities of pure PCB atropisomers and requires a comparison of experimental with theoretical circular dichroism spectra (Pham-Tuan et al. 2005; Toda et al. 2012). Here we report the direct determination of the absolute structure of both PCB 84 atropisomers using X-ray crystal diffraction, and building on the absolute structure determination, establish the elution order of the $(\mathrm{a} R)$ - and (aS)-PCB 84 atropisomers on different enantioselective chromatography columns.

## Materials and Methods

## Chemicals and Reagents

HPLC-grade methanol (MeOH), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) and 2-dicyclohexylphosphino- $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-dimethoxybiphenyl were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, US). 2,3-Dichlorobenzeneboronic acid was obtained from Combi-blocks (San Diego, CA, US). 2,3,6-Trichloroiodobenzene was provided by Oakwood Products, Inc (Estill, SC, US). All solvents used for synthesis were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, US). Pesticide grade hexane was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburg, PA, US). De-ionized, ultra-filtered water for the HPLC separations was obtained using a Milli-Q Academic A10 system (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, US).

## Synthesis of racemic PCB 84

Racemic PCB 84 was synthesized from 2,3,6-trichloroiodobenzene and 2,3dichlorobenzeneboronic acid, with tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0)/2-dicyclohexylphosphino- $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-dimethoxybiphenyl as catalyst and potassium phosphate as base, using a modified Suzuki-coupling reaction as reported previously (Joshi et al. 2011). A colorless crystalline solid with a yield of $78 \%$ was obtained after purification of the crude reaction mixture with column chromatography on silica gel using hexane as eluent, followed by recrystallization in methanol. The racemic PCB 84 had a purity $>99 \%$ based on relative peak area as determined by GC-MS.

## HPLC separation and crystallization of PCB 84 atropisomers

Semi-preparative separation of PCB 84 atropisomers was performed on a Shimadzu high performance-liquid chromatography system consisting of a DGU-14A degasser, LC-10ATvp liquid chromatograph, SIL-10ADvp auto injector, SCL-10Avp system controller, CTO-20AC column oven, SPD-10Avp UV-VIS detector and FRC-10A fraction collector (Shimadzu; Columbia, MD, US) using two serially connected enantioselective Nucleodex $\beta$ PM columns (silica-based permethylated $\beta$-cyclodextrin, $4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; MachereyNagel, Duren, Germany) with $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(85: 15, \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{V})$ as mobile phase and a flow rate of $0.23 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Haglund 1996b; Lehmler et al. 2005a). The instrument was operated with LabSolutions software version 5.73 (Shimadzu). The general separation procedure was as follows: $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a saturated solution of racemic PCB 84 in HPLC grade methanol (approximately $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was repeatedly injected into the HPLC system and fractions of both PCB 84 atropisomers were collected. Corresponding fractions were pooled and the mobile phase was evaporated under a gentle stream of nitrogen. The residue was re-
dissolved in 1 mL of hexane, the PCB 84 atropisomers were eluted with hexane through a glass pipette packed with 2 g of silica gel, and the solvent was removed under a gentle stream of nitrogen to give 38 mg of the first eluting atropisomer (i.e., $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)-\mathrm{PCB} 84)$ and 74 mg of the second eluting atropisomer (i.e., $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)-\mathrm{PCB} 84)$ starting from approximately 200 mg of racemic PCB 84. The overall purity of $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 and $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)$-PCB 84 were $>99.9 \%$ and $99.3 \%$, respectively, based on relative peak area.

## Enantioselective gas chromatographic determinations

Racemic PCB 84 and pure PCB 84 atropisomers were analyzed on an Agilent 5975 GC system equipped with a ${ }^{63} \mathrm{Ni}$-micro electron capture detector and an Agilent CP7502 CP-Chiralsil-Dex CB capillary column with cyclodextrin directly bonded to dimethylpolysiloxane ( 25 m length, 0.25 mm inner diameter, $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film thickness; Varian, Palo Alto, CA, US). The temperature program for the enantioselective analysis was modified based on a published method (Kania-Korwel et al. 2006): starting temperature $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hold for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, hold at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $190 \mathrm{~min}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and hold at $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . A constant flow rate of $3.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ was used. The injector was operated in the splitless mode at $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the detector temperature was $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resolution of the two atropisomers of racemic PCB 84 was 0.77 on the GC column, based on the equation, $\mathrm{Rs}=\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}-\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) /\left[0.5 \times\left(\mathrm{BW}_{1}+\mathrm{BW}_{2}\right)\right]$, where $\mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}, \mathrm{BW}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{BW}_{2}$ are the retention time and baseline width of first and second eluting peaks.

The enantiomer fractions (EF) of the PCB 84 atropisomer eluting first (i.e., (aR)-(-)-PCB 84) and second (i.e., (aS)-(+)-PCB 84) on the Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column were 0.02 and 0.98 , respectively. The percent enantiomer excess (ee) values of (aR)-(-)-PCB 84 and (aS)-(+)PCB 84 were $97 \%$ ee and $96 \%$ ee, respectively. EF and ee values were calculated based on the equations $\mathrm{EF}=\mathrm{A}_{(+)} /\left[\mathrm{A}_{(+)}+\mathrm{A}_{(-)}\right]$and ee $\%=\left|\mathrm{A}_{(-)^{-}} \mathrm{A}_{(+)}\right| /\left[\mathrm{A}_{(-)}+\mathrm{A}_{(+)}\right] \times 100 \%$, where $\mathrm{A}_{(-)}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{(+)}$are the peak area of $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$ and $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$, respectively.

## X-ray crystal structure analysis

Crystals of both PCB 84 atropisomers suitable for crystal structure analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of the respective atropisomer in methanol. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 90 K on a Bruker-Nonius X8 Proteum diffractometer in the X-ray Crystallography Facility in the Department of Chemistry at the University of Kentucky (Lexington, KY, US) as described previously (Li et al. 2014). The crystal data and other relevant parameters are summarized in Table 1. A comparison of bond lengths and angles is provided in Table 2 and Table 3. The molecular structure with the atom numbering scheme is shown in Figure 1. Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC depositions 1522987 and 1522988 . Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, (fax: +44-(0)1223-336033 or deposit@ccde.cam.ac.uk).

## Results and Discussion

## Solid State Structures

Both PCB 84 atropisomers crystallized in the orthorhombic space group $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1}{ }_{2}{ }_{1}{ }_{1}$, each with two molecules in the asymmetric unit, and packing together via van der Waals interactions. In the structure of $(\mathrm{a} R)$-PCB84, the closest $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ distances are $3.421(2) \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2 \mathrm{a}} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_{4 \mathrm{a}}(1+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}), 3.448$ (2) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2 \mathrm{~b}} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}(1+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-1, \mathrm{z}), 3.4881(19) \AA$ for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2 \mathrm{~b}} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}_{5 b}(1+\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-1, \mathrm{z})$, which are shorter than the van der Waals contact distances (Figure 2). Not surprisingly, given the fact that the two structures are related by inversion, essentially the same interactions are observed with $(\mathrm{a} S)$-PCB84. The X-ray crystallographic analysis showed that the PCB 84 atropisomer eluting first and second on the chiral HPLC column (i.e., (-)-PCB 84 and (+)-PCB 84, respectively) have the $\mathrm{a} R$ and a $S$ configuration, respectively. The two molecules in the asymmetric unit of (aR)-PCB84 (i.e., the atropisomer eluting first on the enantioselective HPLC column) displayed dihedral angles of 79.61(17) ${ }^{\circ}$ and $82.75(17)^{\circ}$ (Figure 3). The dihedral angles of (aS)-PCB84 (i.e., the atropisomer eluting second on the enantioselective HPLC column) are very similar, at $79.6(3)^{\circ}$ and $82.8(3)^{\circ}$. These dihedral angles are close to the dihedral angle of $81.5(2)^{\circ}$ reported previously for racemic PCB 84 (Lehmler et al. 2005b). In comparison, the experimental dihedral angles for non, mono-, di-, tri- and tetra-ortho-chlorine substituted PCB or PCB derivatives range from $5-42^{\circ}$ for non-ortho-chlorine, $47-60^{\circ}$ for mono-ortho-chlorine, $58-75^{\circ}$ for di-ortho-chlorine, $69-87^{\circ}$ for tri-ortho-chlorine and $81-87^{\circ}$ for tetra-ortho-chlorine containing PCBs, respectively (Table S 1 ). This comparison demonstrates that the dihedral angle and, as a consequence, the three-dimensional structure of individual PCB congeners, depend to a significant extent on the number of ortho chlorine substituents. Although chiral PCB congeners with three and four ortho chlorine substituents form stable rotational isomers under physiological conditions, congeners with only three ortho substituents have slightly smaller dihedral angles and are conformationally more flexible in the solid state than congeners with four ortho substituents. Further systematic studies are needed to assess how these slight structural differences influence the ability of chiral PCBs to interact with biological target molecules.

## Elution order and absolute configuration of PCB 84 atropisomers

Studies of the atropisomeric enrichment of PCBs in environmental monitoring and laboratory studies require the use of several enantioselective columns. Unfortunately, the elution order of PCB atropisomers can change depending of the chiral stationary phase (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013). For example, the elution order of the PCB 84 atropisomers depends on the stationary phase of typical enantioselective gas and liquid chromatography columns (Table 4 and 5). It is therefore important to establish the elution order of PCB atropisomers on these frequently used, commercially available columns. Moreover, a growing number of studies demonstrate that chiral PCBs are subject to atropselective biotransformation processes (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2016a, b; Lehmler et al. 2010) and display atropselective biological effects in fish and mammalian model systems (Chai et al. 2016a,b; Lehmler et al. 2005a; Pessah et al. 2009; Püttmann et al. 1990; Püttmann et al. 1989; Rodman et al. 1991; Xu et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2014). To fully understand the interactions of pure PCB atropisomers with cellular targets, such as the
ryanodine receptor, it is not only important to unambiguously identify PCB atropisomers, but also to establish their absolute structure.

As discussed above, the PCB 84 atropisomer eluting first and second on the Nucleodex $\beta$ PM column correspond to $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$ and $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$, respectively. In the case of methoxy, methylthionyl and methylsufonyl metabolites of chiral PCB 149, (aS)atropisomers elute first on the Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column for substituents in the 3 position of the PCB 149 moiety, whereas ( $\mathrm{a} S$ )-atropisomers elute second for substituents in the 4 position of the PCB 149 moiety (Pham-Tuan et al. 2005). Enantioselective gas chromatographic analysis with the $\beta$-cyclodextrin-based CP Chiralsil-Dex CB capillary column showed the same elution order as on the Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column, with (aR)-(-)PCB 84 eluting first and (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 eluting second (Figure 1). The same elution order of the PCB 84 atropisomers has been reported for the BGB-172 ( $20 \%$ tert-butyldimethylsilyl- $\beta$-cyclodextrin), but not the Cyclosil-B capillary column ( $30 \%$ heptakis (2,3-di-O-methyl-6-O-t-butyl dimethylsilyl)- $\beta$-cyclodextrin) (Table 5). The (aR)-atropisomer of PCB 132 and PCB 171 also eluted first on the BGB-172 capillary column, whereas $(\mathrm{a} R)$ PCB 183 eluted second on this column.

## Reinterpretation of chiral PCB 84 signatures and toxicity studies

The determination of the absolute structure of PCB 84 atropisomers for the first time allows the identification of the atropisomer that is enriched in environmental and other biological samples. Metabolism studies with recombinant CYP2B1 or rat liver microsomes indicate that $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 is more rapidly metabolized in rats (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013; Warner et al. 2009). Consistent with the findings from these in vitro studies, (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 was slightly enriched in spleen of laboratory rats $(\mathrm{EF}=0.52)$ (Kania-Korwel et al. 2006). Similarly, (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 was enriched in all tissues from mice (Lehmler et al. 2003). In contrast, $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$ is enriched in both rainbow trout exposed to a mixture containing PCBs and pesticides (Konwick et al. 2006) and stranded cetaceans (Jimenez et al. 1999). Systematic studies of chiral signatures of PCBs in humans are limited (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2016b). Enantiomeric analysis of PCB 84 in breast milk showed no consistent enrichment of either the $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)-$ or $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-atropisomers, with approximately half of the samples displaying near racemic chiral signatures (Bordajandi et al. 2008). (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 was significantly enriched in eggs of predatory birds from Donana National Park (Spain) with EF values ranging from 0.54 to 0.65 (Gomara \& Gonzalez 2006).

Moreover, the absolute structure determinations reported in this study make it possible to unambiguously identify which PCB atropisomer has the more potent effects on, for example, biochemical measures linked to PCBs' developmental neurotoxicity. (aR)-(-)-PCB 84 and $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)$-PCB 84 atropselectively increase $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-phorobol ester binding in rat cerebellar granule cells, with $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 being slightly more potent (Lehmler et al. 2005a). $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ Ryanodine binding analysis suggested that $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 is only slightly more potent than (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 toward sensitizing activation of ryanodine receptor 1 (RyR1) (Pessah et al. 2009).

## Conclusions

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
$(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 (blue line) elutes first and (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 (red line) elutes second on the enantioselective CP-Chirasil-Dex CB capillary column. The chemical structures of the PCB 84 atropisomers are shown above the corresponding peak in the gas chromatogram. Please see under Materials and Methods for additional information regarding the enantioselective GC- $\mu \mathrm{ECD}$ analysis.


Figure 2.
View of van der Waals interaction between layers and intermolecular interactions by looking down the b axis of $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)$-PCB 84 X-ray diffraction. $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts are indicated with grey dotted lines.


Figure 3.
Comparison of the asymmetric unit of $(\mathrm{a} R)-(-)-\mathrm{PCB} 84$ and $(\mathrm{a} S)-(+)$-PCB 84. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## TABLE 1

Crystal data and structure refinement for (aR)-PCB 84 [i.e., (-)-PCB 84], (aS)-PCB 84 [i.e., (+)-PCB 84] and ( $\pm$ )-PCB 84.

| Parameter | (aR)-(-)-PCB 84 | (aS)-(+)-PCB 84 | ( $\pm$--PCB $84^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ |  |  |
| Formula weight | 326.41 |  |  |
| Temperature (K) | 90.0(2) |  | 173.0(10) |
| Wavelength ( A ) | 1.54178 |  | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system, space group | Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{a}=7.6560$ (2) | 7.6565 (2) | 7.7015 (3) |
| $b(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{b}=7.8060$ (3) | 7.8082 (2) | 7.8357 (3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | c = 41.5633 (13) | 41.5654 (11) | 21.1617 (7) |
| $a\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 99.9257(17) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2483.94 (14) | 2484.92 (11) | 1257.92 (8) |
| $Z$ | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| Calculated density ( $\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ ) | 1.746 | 1.746 | 1.724 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 10.397 | 10.393 | 1.123 |
| $F(000)$ | 1296 | 1296 | 648 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.03$ | $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.14$ | $0.28 \times 0.20 \times 0.06$ |
| $\theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.126-68.440 | 4.254-68.408 | 1.95-27.44 |
|  | $-3<\mathrm{h}<9$ | $-9<\mathrm{h}<9$ | $-9<\mathrm{h}<9$ |
| Limiting indices | $-9<k<9$ | $-9<k<9$ | $-10<\mathrm{k}<10$ |
|  | $-49<1<49$ | $-20<1<49$ | $-27<1<27$ |
| Reflections collected/unique | 24731/4352 | 27324/4396 | 10705/2861 |
| R (int) | 0.064 | 0.056 | 0.041 |
| Completeness to $\theta=27.44$ | 97.3\% | 98.5\% | 98.5\% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.753 and 0.349 | 0.273 and 0.144 | 0.936 and 0.744 |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4352/0/307 | 4396/0/307 | 2861/0/154 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.08 | 1.19 | 1.04 |
| Final R indices $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.041, w \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.100$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.050, \omega \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.125$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.032, \omega \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.073$ |
| R indices (all dat) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.043, w \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.110$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.051, w \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.126$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.045, w \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.078$ |
| Absolute structure parameter (x) | 0.075(9) | 0.093(9) | n/a |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\mathrm{e}^{\circ}{ }^{-3}$ ) | 0.50, -0.58 | 0.77, -0.48 | 0.34, -0.28 |

[^1]TABLE 2
Comparison of bond lengths ( A ) of racemic PCB 84 and its two atropisomers

| Bond lengths (A) | (aR)-(-)-PCB84 |  | (aS)-(+)-PCB84 |  | ( $\pm$ )-PCB84 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}$ b | Molecule A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.395(8) | 1.396(7) | 1.406(13) | 1.399(12) | 1.390(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.396(7) | 1.397(8) | 1.409(11) | 1.408(12) | 1.399 (3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.494(8) | 1.493(7) | 1.490(12) | 1.474(12) | 1.491(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.387(9) | 1.394(8) | 1.377(13) | 1.382(13) | 1.392(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 1.724(5) | 1.723(5) | 1.724(9) | 1.730(8) | 1.7289(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.370(7) | 1.385(7) | 1.378(12) | 1.383(11) | 1.382(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 1.741(6) | 1.735(6) | 1.741(10) | 1.737(9) | 1.732(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.385(9) | 1.393(8) | 1.382(13) | 1.416(13) | 1.380(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.376(8) | 1.383(8) | 1.375(13) | 1.352(13) | 1.387(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 1.735(6) | 1.730(5) | 1.747(9) | 1.742(9) | 1.736(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.385(8) | 1.383(8) | 1.383(13) | 1.403(12) | 1.392(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.403(8) | 1.396(8) | 1.399(13) | 1.404(12) | 1.393(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.382(8) | 1.406(8) | 1.375(14) | 1.377(12) | 1.395(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ - $\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 1.727(6) | 1.733(5) | 1.737(9) | 1.739(9) | 1.7288(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.392(9) | 1.367(9) | 1.405(14) | 1.364(13) | 1.384(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ - $\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | 1.730(6) | 1.740(7) | 1.725(10) | 1.732(9) | 1.728(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.389(8) | 1.392(9) | 1.413(13) | 1.410(13) | 1.382(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ - $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.402(9) | 1.377(8) | 1.392(13) | 1.381(12) | 1.383(3) |

[^2]TABLE 3
Comparison of bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of racemic PCB 84 and its two atropisomers

| Bond angle( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | (aR)-(-)-PCB84 |  | (aS)-(+)-PCB84 |  | ( $\pm$ )-PCB84 ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Molecule A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}$ b | Molecule A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Molecule $\mathrm{B}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 117.6(5) | 118.0(5) | 116.2(8) | 116.5(8) | 117.42(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 121.3(5) | 121.0(5) | 122.3(7) | 122.3(8) | 121.35(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 121.1(5) | 121.0(5) | 121.5(8) | 121.3(7) | 121.21(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 120.4(5) | 120.2(5) | 120.9(8) | 120.8(7) | 120.69(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 120.6(5) | 120.1(4) | 121.1(7) | 120.8(6) | 119.91(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 119.0(4) | 119.7(4) | 118.0(7) | 118.4(7) | 119.40(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 120.7(6) | 120.8(5) | 120.9(9) | 121.2(8) | 120.28(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 119.0(5) | 118.7(5) | 120.1(7) | 120.2(6) | 119.09(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 120.2(4) | 120.5(4) | 119.0(7) | 118.5(7) | 120.62(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119.9(5) | 119.6(5) | 120.3(8) | 118.6(8) | 120.12(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$-C(5)-C(4) | 119.4(5) | 119.2(5) | 118.7(8) | 119.3(8) | 119.17(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$-C(6)-C(1) | 122.0(5) | 122.1(5) | 123.1(9) | 123.6(8) | 122.32(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 118.8(4) | 118.7(4) | 118.5(7) | 118.3(6) | 118.38(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 119.2(4) | 119.2(4) | 118.4(7) | 118.1(7) | 119.30(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 119.2(5) | 119.2(5) | 119.0(8) | 117.2(8) | 119.06(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 119.6(5) | 121.4(5) | 122.0(8) | 123.5(8) | 119.61(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 121.2(5) | 119.4(5) | 119.0(8) | 119.3(8) | 121.33(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.0(6) | 119.8(5) | 121.5(9) | 121.3(8) | 120.07(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 120.1(4) | 120.0(4) | 119.7(8) | 121.3(7) | 119.62(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | 119.9(4) | 120.2(5) | 118.8(7) | 117.3(7) | 120.31(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 121.0(5) | 120.2(6) | 120.8(9) | 120.8(8) | 119.97(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | 120.8(5) | 119.7(5) | 121.4(8) | 119.2(7) | 120.62(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | 118.2(5) | 120.1(5) | 117.9(7) | 120.0(7) | 119.40(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 119.1(6) | 120.3(5) | 117.9(9) | 119.8(8) | 120.18(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ - $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.1(6) | 119.7(5) | 120.6(9) | 119.2(8) | 119.94(19) |



| Bond angle( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | (aR)-(-)-PCB84 |  | (aS)-(+)-PCB84 |  | ( $\pm$ )-PCB84 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Molecule A ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}$ b | Molecule ${ }^{\text {a }}$ b | Molecule ${ }^{\text {b }}$ b |  |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 120.5(5) | 120.8(6) | 120.3(8) | 121.6(8) | 120.78(19) |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The structure of ( $\pm$ )-PCB84 has been reported previously (Lehmler et al. 2005b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {There are two molecules (i.e., A and B) in the asymmetric unit of the crystal of each atropisomer }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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## TABLE 4

Elution order of PCB 84 atropisomers on different enantioselective liquid chromatography columns.

| Columns ${ }^{a}$ | Mobile phase | Elution order |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Optical rotation ( $\left.\mathbf{E}_{1} / \mathrm{E}_{2}\right)$ | Absolute structure ( $\mathbf{E}_{1} / \mathbf{E}_{2}$ ) |  |
| Nucleodex $\beta$-PM | MeOH -water | $(-) /(+)$ | $(\mathrm{a} R) /(\mathrm{a} S)$ | (Haglund 1996b, Lehmler et al. 2005a, Reich \& Schurig 1999); this work |
| Cellulose-3 | n -Hexane | (+)/(-) | $(\mathrm{a} S) /(\mathrm{a} R)$ | (Xu et al. 2015) |
| Cellulose-1 | n -Hexane | NS |  | (Yin et al. 2012) |
| Amylose-2 | n -Hexane | NS |  | (Yin et al. 2012) |
| Chiral-Dex | MeOH-TEAA | NS |  | (Reich \& Schurig 1999) |
| Chirasil-Dex modified silica gel | MeOH-TEAA | NS |  | (Reich \& Schurig 1999) |

[^3]TABLE 5
Elution order of PCB 84 atropisomers on different enantioselective gas chromatography columns.

| Columns $^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | Elution order |  | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Optical rotation $\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Absolute structure $\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{1}} / \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |  |
| Chirasil-Dex | $(-) /(+)$ | $(\mathrm{a} R) /(\mathrm{a} S)$ | (Haglund 1996a, Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013, Konwick et <br> al. 2006); this work |
| BGB-172 | $(-) /(+)$ | $(\mathrm{a} R) /(\mathrm{a} S)$ | (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013, Konwick et al. 2006) |
| Cyclosil-B | $(+) /(-)$ | $(\mathrm{a} S) /(\mathrm{a} R)$ | (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013) |
| HP-Chiral-20B | NR |  | (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013) |
| ChiralDex B-DM | NR |  | (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013) |
| ChiralDex B-PM | NR |  | (Kania-Korwel \& Lehmler 2013) |

${ }^{a}$ The Chirasil-Dex, Cyclosil-B and HP-Chiral-20B columns were from Agilent (Santa Clara, CA, US); the BGB-172 column was from BGB Analytics (Boecten, Switzerland); the ChiralDex B-DM and ChiralDex B-PM columns were from Supelco (St. Louis, MO, US).
$\mathrm{E}_{1}$ : atropisomer eluting first on the column; $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ : atropisomer eluting second on the column; NR: not resolved.


[^0]:    Corresponding Author: Dr. Hans-Joachim Lehmler, The University of Iowa, Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, University of Iowa Research Park, \#221 IREH, Iowa City, IA 52242-5000, Phone: (319) 335-4310, Fax: (319) 335-4290, hans-joachim-lehmler@uiowa.edu.

[^1]:    Computer programs: APEX2 (Bruker-AXS 2006), SADABS (Krause et al., 2015), XABS2 (Parkin et al., 1995), and the SHELX suite (Sheldrick 2008, Sheldrick 2015). For Flack 'x' parameter, see (Parsons et al. 2013).
    ${ }^{a}$ The structure of ( $\pm$ )-PCB84 has been reported previously (Lehmler et al. 2005b).

[^2]:    
    

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ The Nucleodex $\beta$-PM column was from Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany); the Cellulose-1, Cellulose-3, and Amylose-2 columns were from Phenomenex (Guangzhou, Chin); and the Chiral-Dex column was from Merck (Kenilworth, New Jersey, US).
    $E_{1}$ : atropiomer eluting first on the column; $E_{2}$ : atropisomer eluting second on the column; NS: separable, but not assigned; TEAA: Triethylammonium acetate.

