

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart

Kentucky Geological Survey

2007

Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Letcher County, Kentucky

Daniel I. Carey University of Kentucky, carey@uky.edu

Steven E. Webb University of Kentucky, steven.webb@uky.edu

Bart Davidson University of Kentucky, bdavidson@uky.edu

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_mc Part of the <u>Geology Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Carey, Daniel I.; Webb, Steven E.; and Davidson, Bart, "Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Letcher County, Kentucky" (2007). *Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart.* 183. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_mc/183

This Map and Chart is brought to you for free and open access by the Kentucky Geological Survey at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Kentucky Geological Survey James C. Cobb, State Geologist and Director UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON

Letcher County Courthouse in Whitesburg



Letcher County, 339 square miles in the Eastern Kentucky Coal Field, was formed in 1842. The highest elevation, 3,739 feet, is a peak on Black Mountain at the headwaters of Roberts Branch in the southeastern corner of the county. The lowest elevation, 940 feet, is where the North Fork of the Kentucky River leaves the county. The 2006 human population of 24,420 was 3.4 percent smaller than that of 2000. There are also many deer. Photos by Dan Carey, Kentucky Geological Survey.





The severe drought of 2007 reduced Bad Branch Falls to a 60-foot trickle down the sandstone cliff. Bad Branch is a Kentucky Wild River inside the 2,639-acre state nature preserve. Photo by Dan Carey, Kentucky Geological Survey.



About 18,500 Letcher Countians depend on private domestic water supplies: about 17,000 use wells and 1,500 use other sources. The Sandlick area has had problems with a lack of water in private wells. Most other areas of the county have high levels of iron or sulfur. Iron in water flowing from underground (photo below) precipitates out with the characteristic iron oxide red color. More than three-quarters of the wells drilled in valley bottoms and on mountain sides are adequate for a domestic supply. Some wells on ridges and mountaintops are adequate for domestic supply. Drilled wells more than 200 feet deep in valleys may yield enough water for small municipal or industrial supplies. North of Pine Mountain, groundwater from most drilled wells is moderately hard and contains noticeable amounts of iron. Salty water in drilled wells probably will not be found less than 200 feet below the principal valley bottoms. Along and south of Pine Mountain the water quality is slightly better, and few wells less than 300 feet below the principal valley bottoms will yield salty water. The groundwater is soft but contains noticeable amounts of iron. This area also contains limestone beds that, when faulted and below drainage, may yield several hundred gallons per minute. Springs in this area will yield 50 gallons per minute, but generally yield less than 10 gallons per minute.

For more information on groundwater in the county, see Carey and Stickney (2005)



For Planning Use Only

This map is not intended to be used for selecting individual sites. Its purpose is to inform land-use planners, government officials, and the public in a general way about geologic bedrock conditions that affect the selection of sites for various purposes. The properties of thick soils may upersede those of the underlying bedrock and should be considered on a site-to-site basis. At any site, it is important to understand the characteristics of both the soils and the underlying rock. For further assistance, contact the Kentucky Geological Survey, 859.257.5500. For more information, visit the KGS Community Development Planning Web Site at kgsweb.uky.edu/download/kgsplanning.htm.

Additional Resources

Listed below are Web sites for several agencies and organizations that may be of assistance with land-use planning issues in Letcher County:

www.whitesburgkentucky.com The Kentuckian News www.kyhometown.com/whitesburg/ Whitesburg/Letcher County ces.ca.uky.edu/Harlan/ University of Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service

www.kradd.org/ Kentucky River Area Development District www.thinkkentucky.com/EDIS/cmnty/index.aspx?cw=073 Kentucky Economic Development Information System www.uky.edu/KentuckyAtlas/21133.html Kentucky Atlas and Gazetteer, Letcher County

quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/21/21133.html U.S. Census data kgsweb.uky.edu/download/kgsplanning.htm Planning information from the Kentucky Geological Survey

Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Letcher County, Kentucky

Daniel I. Carey, Steven E. Webb, Bart Davidson



Acknowledgments Geology adapted from Sparks (2003a-c), Conley (2004), Johnson (2004), Mullins (2004), Murphy (2004), Petersen (2004a-d), and Morris and others (2005a, b). Thanks to Paul Howell, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, for photos. Thanks to Kim and Kent Anness, Kentucky Division of Geographic Information, for base-map data. Thanks to Meg Smath, Kentucky





From 1980 to 2005, Letcher County produced 3.5 million barrels of oil and 74 billion cubic feet of natural gas. The Jenkins quarry (below) produces aggregate from the 340-million-year-old Newman Limestone. Line Fork Compressor Station photo (above) by Dan Carey, Kentucky Geological Survey. Aerial photo by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Services Administration, National



EXPLANATION School

ter wel	ls	
•	Domestic Monitoring Public Mining, industrial, commercial	
•	Agriculture	
<u>М</u>	Wet area	
* *	Gas well Oil well	SS II
	Railroad	R
	County line Watershed boundary Geologic fault Concealed geologic fault Scarp	Y
	Landslide deposits— boulders, gravel, sand Dump or mine spoil	CO A
	Designated flood zone* (FEMA, 2005)	Q
	Source-water protection area, zone 1	
	Wetlands > 1 acre (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2003) Incorporated city boundaries	
	Quarry	CHARLES (S
	Public lands	MASSI

200-foot contour interval

(4) Photo location

Source-Water Protection Areas Source-water protection areas are those in which activities are likely to affect the quality of the drinking-water source. For more information, see kgsweb.uky.edu/download/water/swapp/swapp.htm. *Flood information is available from the Kentucky Division of Water, Flood Plain Management Branch, www.water.ky.gov/floods/.



Scale 1: 63,360 1 inch = 1 mile

References Cited

Carey, D.I., and Stickney, J.F., 2005, Groundwater resources of Letcher County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, County Report 67, www.uky.edu/KGS/water/library/gwatlas/Letcher/Letcher.htm [accessed 7/7/07]. Conley, T.J., 2004, Spatial database of the Mayking quadrangle, Letcher and Knott Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ - 1309. Adapted from Rice, C.L., 1976, Geologic map of the Mayking quadrangle, Letcher and Knott Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1309, scale 1:24,000. Federal Emergency Management Agency, 2005: www.fema.gov [accessed 7/10/07]. Johnson, T.L., 2004, Spatial database of the Kite quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1317. Adapted from Hinrichs, E.N., and Rice, C.L., 1976, Geologic map of the Kite quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1317, scale 1:24,000. McIntosh, J.D., 2004, Soil survey of Knott and Letcher Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 231 p. Morris, L.G., Patton, J.A., Clark, L., Hesley, J., and Lambert, J.R., 2005a, Spatial database of the Tilford quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geological Quadrangle Data DVGQ-451. Adapted from Puffett, W.P., 1965, Geologic map of the Tilford quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-451, scale 1:24,000. Morris, L.G., Patton, J.A., Hesley, J., and Lambert, J.R., 2005b, Spatial database of the Vicco quadrangle, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-418. Adapted from Puffett, W.P., 1965, Geology of the Vicco quadrangle, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-418, scale 1:24,000.

Mullins, J.E., 2004, Spatial database of the Wheelwright quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1251. Adapted from Outerbridge, W.F., 1975, Geologic map of the Wheelwright quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1251, scale 1:24,000. Murphy, M.L., 2004, Spatial database of the Blackey quadrangle, Letcher and Knott Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ -1322. Adapted from Waldrop, H.A., 1976, Geologic map of the Blackey quadrangle, Letcher and Knott Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1322, scale 1:24,000. Petersen, C., 2004a, Spatial database of the Jenkins East quadrangle, Pike and Letcher Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1210. Adapted from Wolcott, D.E., 1974, Geologic map of the Jenkins East quadrangle, Pike and Letcher Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadran gle Map GQ-1210, scale 1:24,000. Petersen, C., 2004b, Spatial database of the Jenkins West quadrangle, Kentucky-Virginia: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1126. Adapted from Rice, C.L., 1973, Geologic map of the Jenkins West quadrangle, Kentucky-Virginia: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1126, scale 1:24,000. Petersen, C., 2004c, Spatial database of the Roxana quadrangle, Letcher and Harlan Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1299. Adapted from Maughan, E.K., 1976, Geologic map of the Roxana quadrangle, Letcher and Harlan Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ - 1299, scale 1:24,000. Petersen, C., 2004d, Spatial database of the Whitesburg quadrangle, Kentucky-Virginia, and part of the Flat Gap quadrangle, Letcher County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1119. Adapted from Rice, C.L., and Wolcott, D.E., 1973, Geologic map of the Whitesburg quadrangle, Kentucky-Virginia, and part of the Flat Gap quadrangle, Letcher County,

Sparks, T.N., 2003a, Spatial database of the Benham and Appalachia quadrangles, Harlan and Letcher Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ -1059. Adapted from Froelich, A.J., and Stone, B.D., 1973, Geologic map of the Benham and Appalachia quadrangles, Harlan and Letcher Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1059, scale 1:24,000. Sparks, T.N., 2003b, Spatial database of the Louellen quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1060. Adapted from Froelich, A.J., 1973, Geologic map of the Louellen quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1060, scale 1:24,000. Sparks, T.N., 2003c, Spatial database of the Nolansburg quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ -868. Adapted from Csejtey, B., Jr., 1970, Geologic map of the Nolansburg quadrangle, southeastern Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-868, scale 1:24,000.

Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1119, scale 1:24,000.



Learn more about Kentucky geology at www.uky.edu/KGS/geoky/

Reservoir embankments—The rocks are rated on limitations for embankment material.

Underground utilities—Included in this group are sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, and other pipes that require fairly deep trenches.

				0							
Rock Unit	Foundation and Excavation	Septic System	Residence with Basement	Highways and Streets	Access Roads	Light Industry and Malls	Intensive Recreation	Extensive Recreation	Reservoir Areas	Reservoir Embankments	Underground Utilities
1. Clay, silt, sand, and gravel (alluvium)	Fair foundation material; easy to excavate. Sea- sonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Severe limitations. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Severe limitations. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Severe limitations. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Severe limitations. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Severe limitations. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Slight to severe limita- tions, depending on type of activity and topography. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Slight to severe limita- tions, depending on type of activity and topography. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Pervious material. Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Fair stability. Fair com- paction characteristics. Piping hazard. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).	Seasonal high water table. Subject to flooding. Refer to soil report (McIntosh, 2004).
2. Clay shale*, siltstone, and sand- stone	Shale is poor foundation material; easy to moder- ately difficult to excavate. Low strength and stabili- ty. May contain plastic clays. See unit 8 for sandstone, siltstone.	Severe limitations. Thin soils and low permeability.	Severe to moderate limitations. Low strength, slumping, and seepage problems.	Severe to moderate limitations. Low strength, slumping, and seepage problems.	Severe to moderate limitations. Low strength, slumping, and seepage problems.	Not recommended.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on activity and topog- raphy.	Severe to slight limitations, depending on activity and topog- raphy.	Slight limitations for small ponds.	Severe limitations. Poor strength and stability.	Moderate limitations. Poor strength. Wetness.
3. Limestone, shale, chert	Good to excellent foundation material; difficult to excavate.	Moderate to severe limitations. Thin soils and impermeable rock associated with shales.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation may be required. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Slight to moderate limita- tions, depending on activity and topography. Slight limitations for forest or nature preserve.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Thin soils.
4. Sandstone, siltstone, shale*, lime- stone, coal, underclay	Fair to good foundation material; difficult to ex- cavate. Possible low strength associated with shales, coals, and underclays. Possibility of underground coal- mine voids.	Severe limitations. Thin soils and impermeable rock associated with shales.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limi- tations, depending on activity. Steep wooded slopes. Slight limitations for forest or nature preserve.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks, includ- ing coal, are jointed or fractured.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe to moderate limitations. Thin soils. Rock excavation.
5. Shale*, silt- stone, sand- stone, minor coal	Fair to poor foundation material; difficult to ex- cavate. Possible low strength associated with shales, sparse coals, and underclays.	Severe limitations. Thin soils and impermeable rock associated with shales.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Unstable slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Unstable slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Unstable slopes.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Unstable slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on activity and topog- raphy.	Slight limitations for forest or nature preserve.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks, includ- ing coal, are jointed or fractured.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Unstable slopes.
6. Sandstone, siltstone, shale, coal	Fair to good foundation material; difficult to exca- vate. Possible low strength associated with shales, coals, and under- clays. Possibility of underground coal-mine voids.	Severe limitations. Thin soils and impermeable rock associated with shales.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required.	Slight to severe limita- tions, depending on activity and topography. Possible steep wooded slopes. Slight limitations for forest or nature preserve.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe to moderate limitations. Thin soils. Possible rock excava- tion.
7. Sandstone, siltstone, shale*, lime- stone	Fair to good foundation material; difficult to ex- cavate. Possible low strength associated with shales.	Severe limitations. Thin soils and impermeable rock associated with shales.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be required.	Slight to severe limita- tions, depending on activity and topography. Possible steep wooded slopes. Slight limitations for forest or nature preserve.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe to moderate limitations. Thin soils. Possible rock excava- tion.
8. Sandstone, siltstone, shale*, minor coal	Excellent foundation material; difficult to excavate. Low strength associated with shales.	Severe limitations. Thin soils.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation. Steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations, depend- ing on activity and slope.	Slight to severe limi- tations, depending on activity. Slight lim- itations for forest or nature preserve.	Slight to moderate limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Slight to moderate limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation.

*Shales and clays in these units may shrink during dry periods and swell during wet periods and cause cracking of foundations. On hillsides, especially where seeps and springs are present, they can also be susceptible to landslides.

The failure of the slope may be rapid, but more commonly is a slow, almost imperceptible movement, called creep, of a few inches per year. Whether rapid or slow, the end results and damage are similar and costly: broken plumbing, cracked walls sidewalks, and driveways is carried well away from and not toward the house; diverting drainage from areas sloping toward