

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart

Kentucky Geological Survey

2007

Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Green County, Kentucky

Daniel I. Carey University of Kentucky, carey@uky.edu

Adam Pike *University of Kentucky,* scpike2@uky.edu


Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_mc Part of the <u>Geology Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Carey, Daniel I. and Pike, Adam, "Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Green County, Kentucky" (2007). *Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart*. 159. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs_mc/159

This Map and Chart is brought to you for free and open access by the Kentucky Geological Survey at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.



Rock Unit	Foundation and Excavation	Septic System	Residence with Basement	Highways and Streets	Access Roads	Light Industry and Malls	Intensive Recreation	Extensive Recreation	Reservoir Areas	Reservoir Embankments	Underground Utilities
1. Clay, silt, sand, and gravel (alluvium)	Fair foundation material; easy to excavate.	Severe limitations. Failed septic systems can contaminate groundwater. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Water in alluvium may be in direct contact with basements. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Slight limitations. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Slight to moderate limitations. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Slight to moderate limitations. Avoid construction in flood- plain. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Not recommended. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).	Not recommended. Refer to soil report (Ross and Leathers, 1982).
2. Limestone, siltstone, and shale	Excellent foundation material; difficult to excavate.	Severe limitations. Impermeable rock. Locally fast drainage through fractures and sinks. Danger of groundwater con- tamination.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable. Sinks common. Drainage required.	Slight to moderate limitations. Rock excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable. Sinks possible. Drainage required.	Slight limitations. Local drainage problems from seeps or springs. Sinks possible.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on topography. Rock excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable. Sinks common. Local drainage problems.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on activity and topography.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on activity and topography.	Severe limitations. Leaky reservoir rock; locally, conditions may be favorable. Sinks possible.	Severe limitations. Leaky rock. Locally, conditions may be favorable.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation.
3. Slumped sand- stone, conglom- erate, and shale	Fair to good foundation material; difficult to ex- cavate.	Severe limitations. Impermeable rock.	Severe to moderate limitations. Difficult excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable.	Severe to moderate limitations. Difficult excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable.	Moderate limitations. Difficult excavation; locally, upper few feet may be rippable.	Moderate to severe limitations. Rock ex- cavation may be re- quired. Possible steep slopes.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on activity and topography.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on activity and topography.	Moderate limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe to moderate limitations. Highly variable amount of rock and earth excavation.
4. Limestone and siltstone	Good to excellent foundation material; difficult to excavate.	Severe limitations. Impermeable rock. Danger of ground- water contamination.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation may be required.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation. Possible steep slopes.	Severe to moderate limitations. Rock ex- cavation. Possible steep slopes.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on topography. Rock excavation. Local drainage problems.	Slight to severe limi- tations, depending on activity and topography.	Slight to severe limi- tations, depending on activity and topography.	Moderate to severe limitations. Reservoir might leak where rocks are fractured.	Moderate to severe limitations. Reservoir might leak where rocks are fractured.	Severe limitations. Rock excavation.
5. Shale and siltstone	Fair to poor foundation material; easy to moderately difficult to excavate. Possible expansion of shales.	Severe limitations. Low permeability.	Severe limitations. Low strength, slump- ing, and seepage problems.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on slopes. Strength, slumping, and seep- age problems.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on slopes. Strength, slumping, and seep- age problems.	Moderate to severe limitations, depending on slopes. Strength, slumping, and seep- age problems.	Slight to severe limi- tations, depending on activity and topography. Strength, slumping, and seepage problems.	Slight to moderate limitations, depending on activity and topography.	Slight limitations. Reservoir may leak where rocks are fractured. Most ponds on shale are successful.	Severe limitations. Poor strength and stability.	Moderate limitations. Poor strength, wet- ness.
6. Gravel (high- level deposits)	Good foundation material; easy to excavate.	Severe to moderate limitations. Possible groundwater contamination.	Slight limitations.	No limitations.	No limitations.	No limitations.	No limitations.	No limitations.	Not recommended. Pervious material.	Not recommended. Pervious material.	Slight limitations.

Mineral Resources

Limestone from the Fort Payne Formation (unit 4) is extracted for construction aggregate at this guarry south of Greensburg. Photo above by Dan Carey, Kentucky Geological Survey. Aerial photo below (2004) by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Farm Services Administration, National Agricultural Imagery Pro-


Magnolia Gas Storage

Field

For Planning Use Only

This map is not intended to be used for selecting individual sites. Its purpose is to inform land-use planners, government officials, and the public in a general way about geologic bedrock conditions that affect the selection of sites for various purposes. The properties of thick soils may supersede those of the underlying bedrock and should be considered on a site-to-site basis. At any site, it is important to understand the characteristics of both the soils and the underlying rock. For further assistance, contact the Kentucky Geological Survey, 859.257.5500. For more information, and to make custom maps of your area, visit the KGS Land-Use Planning Internet Mapping Web Site at kgsmap.uky.edu/website/kyluplan/viewer.htm.

or 877.778.7827 (toll free)



View the KGS World Wide Web site at: www.uky.edu/kgs



ological Survey	y.
EXP	LANATION
	School
*	Gas well
*	Oil well
*	Enhanced re
*	Gas injectio storage well
Water v	vells
•	Domestic Monitoring Industrial Agricultural
O ~	Spring
	County line Watershed Abandoned
	Public lands
	Wetlands >



Learn more about Kentucky geology at www.uky.edu/KGS/geoky/