

### **University of Kentucky UKnowledge**

Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart

Kentucky Geological Survey

2004

## Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Jefferson County, Kentucky

Bart Davidson University of Kentucky, bdavidson@uky.edu

Daniel I. Carey University of Kentucky, daniel.carey@uky.edu

Stephen F. Greb University of Kentucky, greb@uky.edu

Preston S. Lacy University of Kentucky

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs mc



Part of the Geology Commons

#### **Repository Citation**

Davidson, Bart; Carey, Daniel I.; Greb, Stephen F.; and Lacy, Preston S., "Generalized Geologic Map for Land-Use Planning: Jefferson County, Kentucky" (2004). Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart. 80. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/kgs\_mc/80

This Map and Chart is brought to you for free and open access by the Kentucky Geological Survey at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kentucky Geological Survey Map and Chart by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Successful pond construction must prevent water from seeping through structured soils into limestone solution channels below. A compacted clay liner, or artificial liner, may prevent pond failure. Getting the basin filled with water as soon as possible after construction prevents drying and cracking, and possible leakage, of the clayey soil liner. Ponds constructed in dry weather are more apt to leak than ponds constructed in wet weather. Illustration by Paul Howell, U.S. Department of Agriculture —Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Situated on the Ohio River, Louisville is the county seat of Jefferson County, and was founded by George Rogers Clark in 1778. Lewis

and Clark's Corps of Discovery to the American West began their

voyage here, at the nearby Falls of the Ohio (which Louisville was

known as during its early history). Louisville is the 16th largest city

in the United States. Photograph by Stephen Greb, Kentucky

The term "karst" refers to a landscape characterized

by sinkholes, springs, sinking streams (streams that

disappear underground), and underground drainage through solution-enlarged conduits or caves. Karst

landscapes form when slightly acidic water from rain and snow-melt seeps through soil cover into fractured

and soluble bedrock (usually limestone, dolomite, or

gypsum), such as occurs in units 2 and 3 on this map Sinkholes are depressions on the land surface where

water drains underground. Usually circular and often

water emerges from underground to become surface

water. Caves are solution-enlarged fractures or conduits

funnel-shaped, they range in size from a few feet to

hundreds of feet in diameter. Springs occur when

that are large enough for a person to enter.

7.5-Minute

Quadrangles



A pond liner consisting of clayey soil is placed in loose, moist layers and compacted with a sheepsfoot roller. A geotechnical engineer or geologist should be consulted about the requirements of a specific site. Other leakage prevention measures include synthetic liners, bentonite, and asphaltic emulsions. The U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resources Conservation Service can provide guidance on the application of these liners to new construction, and for treatment of existing leaking ponds. Photograph by Paul Howell, U.S. Department of Agriculture—Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Dams should be constructed of compacted clayey soils at slopes flatter than 3 units horizontal to 1 unit vertical. Ponds with dam heights exceeding 25 feet, or pond volumes exceeding 50 acre-feet, require permits. Contact the Kentucky Division of Water, 14 Reilly Rd., Frankfort, KY

40601, telephone: 502.564.3410.

The alluvium along the Ohio River is the best source of groundwater in the county. Many properly constructed drilled wells will produce over 1,000 gallons per minute from the alluvium; most wells in alluvium will produce enough for a domestic supply at depths of less than 100 feet. In the main sections of the larger creek valleys, and on broad ridges in the central part of the county, most drilled wells will produce enough water for a domestic supply at depths of less than 100 feet. Some wells located in the smaller creek valleys and in some broad ridges in southwestern and central Jefferson County will produce enough water for a domestic supply, except during dry weather. In the upland areas of eastern Jefferson County, most drilled wells will not produce enough water for a dependable domestic supply, although some wells along drainage lines may meet domestic needs, except during dry

Water is hard or very hard but otherwise of good quality. Groundwater in upland areas may contain salt or hydrogen sulfide, especially at depths greater than 100 feet.

References Cited

For more information on groundwater in the county, see Carey and Stickney (2001).

Bhattarai, K., 2001, Spatial database of the Fort Knox quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1375. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., and Sable, E.G., 1977, Geologic map of the Fort Knox quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1375, scale 1:24,000. Carey, D.I., and Stickney, J.F., 2001, Ground-water resources of Jefferson County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey Open-File Report OF-01-56, 30 p. Nelson, H.L., Jr., 2002a, Spatial database of the Anchorage quadrangle, Jefferson and Oldham Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-906. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., Wigley, P.B., and Hawke, B.R.,

1971, Geologic map of the Anchorage quadrangle, Jefferson and Oldham Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-906, scale 1:24,000. Nelson, H.L., Jr., 2002b, Spatial database of the Fisherville quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1321. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1976, Geologic map of the Fisherville quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1321, scale

Nelson, H.L., Jr., 2002c, Spatial database of the Jeffersontown quadrangle, Jefferson County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-999. Adapted from Moore, F.B., Kepferle, R.C., and Peterson, W.L., 1972, Geologic map of the Jeffersontown quadrangle, Jefferson County, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-999, scale 1:24,000

Nelson, H.L., Jr., 2002d, Spatial database of the Jeffersonville, New Albany, and Charlestown quadrangles, Kentucky-Indiana: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1211. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1974, Geologic map of the Jeffersonville, New Albany, and Charlestown quadrangles, Kentucky-Indiana: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1211, scale 1:24,000. Nelson, H.L., Jr., 2002e, Spatial database of the Louisville East guadrangle, Jefferson County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1203. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1974, Geologic map of the Louisville East quadrangle, Jefferson County, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1203, scale 1:24,000.

Nelson, H.L., Jr., and Sparks, T.N., 2002a, Spatial database of the Brooks quadrangle, Bullitt and Jefferson Counties, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-961. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1972, Geologic map of the Brooks quadrangle, Bullitt and Jefferson Counties, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-961, scale 1:24,000. Nelson, H.L., Jr., and Sparks, T.N., 2002b, Spatial database of the Louisville West and Lanesville

quadrangles, Jefferson County, Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1202. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1974, Geologic map of the Louisville West and Lanesville quadrangles, Jefferson County, Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1202, scale 1:24,000. Nelson, H.L., Jr., and Sparks, T.N., 2002c, Spatial database of the Valley Station quadrangle, and part of the Kosmosdale quadrangles, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-962. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C. 1972, Geologic map of the Valley Station quadrangle, and part of the Kosmosdale quadrangles, north-central Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-962, scale 1:24,000. Paylor, R.L., Florea, L., Caudill, M., and Currens, J.C., 2004, A GIS coverage of karst sinkholes in Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digital Publication 5, 1 CD-ROM. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 2003, National Wetlands Inventory, www.nwi.fws.gov/ [accessed 6/16/02]. Zhang, Q., 2002a, Spatial database of the Crestwood guadrangle, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1342. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1976, Geologic map of the Crestwood quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: U.S.

Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1342, scale 1:24,000. Zhang, Q., 2002b, Spatial database of the Mount Washington quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1282. Adapted from Kepferle, R.C., 1976, Geologic map of the Mount Washington quadrangle, northcentral Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1282, scale 1:24,000. Zhang, Q., 2002c, Spatial database of the Waterford quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: Kentucky

Geological Survey, ser. 12, Digitally Vectorized Geologic Quadrangle Data DVGQ-1432. Adapted from Luft, S.J., 1977, Geologic map of the Waterford quadrangle, north-central Kentucky: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1432, scale 1:24,000. Zimmerman, W.H., 1966, Soil survey of Jefferson County, Kentucky: U.S. Department of Agriculture—

# Soil Conservation Service, 137 p.

assistance with land-use planning issues in Jefferson County:

**Additional Planning Resources** Listed below are Web sites for several agencies and organizations that may be of

ces.ca.uky.edu/jefferson/—University of Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service www.kineticnet.net/kyrcd/kh.html—Kentucky Resource Conservation and www.kipda.org/Home/Default.asp—KIPDA Area Development District

www.thinkkentucky.com/edis/cmnty/cw091/—Kentucky Economic Development www.uky.edu/KentuckyAtlas/21111.html—Kentucky Atlas and Gazetteer quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/21/21111.html—U.S. Census data

www.louisvilleky.gov/—General city/county information http://kgsweb.uky.edu/download/misc/landuse/mainkyluplan.htm—More county information www.kdfwr.state.ky.us—Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife



Generalized Geologic Map Land-Use Planning:

Jefferson County, Kentucky Bart Davidson, Daniel I. Carey, and Stephen F. Greb--Kentucky Geological Survey
Preston S. Lacy--University of Kentucky

> Acknowledgments Geology adapted from Bhattarai (2001), Nelson (2002a-e), Nelson and Sparks (2002a-c), and Zhang (2002a-c). Thanks to Paul Howell, U.S. Department of Agriculture--Natural Resources Conservation Service, for pond construction illustrations. Sinkhole data from Paylor and others (2004).

For Planning Use Only This map is not intended to be used for selecting individual sites. Its purpose is to inform land-use planners, government officials, and the public in a general way about geologic bedrock conditions that affect the selection of sites for various purposes. The properties of thick soils may supercede those of the underlying bedrock and should be considered on a site-to-site basis. At any site, it is important to understand the characteristics of both the soils and the underlying rock. For further assistance, contact the Kentucky Geological Survey, 859.257.5500. For more information, and to make custom maps of your local area, visit our Land-Use Planning Internet Mapping Web Site at

kgsmap.uky.edu/website/kyluplan/viewer.htm.

**Source-Water Protection Areas** Source-water protection areas are those in which activities are likely to affect the quality of the drinking-water source. For more information, see kgsweb.uky.edu/download/water/swapp/swapp.htm

LOUISVILLE

**Power Production** 

4 Photograph location

20-foot contour interval

**Construction Materials** 

The Kosmos Cement Plant was founded in 1905, and the nearby

community of Kosmosdale (formerly Riverview) was named after

the plant. About 720,000 tons of cement are produced by the plant

**EXPLANATION** 

Mineral resource operations

Wetlands > 1 acre (U.S. Fish &

Wildlife Service, 2003)

**Urban Services Boundary** 

Wildlife management areas

Source-water protection areas, zone 1

annually. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological

School

Water wells

Domestic

Industrial

Monitoring

---- Concealed fault

Watersheds

Public

Springs



Large cities such as Louisville require great amounts of electricity. Louisville Gas and Electric's Mill Creek Power Station on the Ohio River is a 1600 megawatt coal-fired plant. The flue-gas desulfurization unit at the plant produces half a million tons of gypsum annually as a byproduct that is shipped by barge to a wallboard plant. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological Survey.



A sister power station to Mill Creek, the Cane Run Power Station burns 1.3 million tons of coal per year, all shipped by rail. The plant produces gypsum as a byproduct that must be disposed of in environmentally safe landfills. Photograph by Stephen Greb, Kentucky

Planning Guidance by Rock Unit Type

**PLANNING DEFINITIONS** 

The terms "earth" and "rock" excavation are used in the engineering sense; earth can be excavated by hand tools, whereas rock requires heavy equipment or blasting to remove.

Slight—A slight limitation is one that commonly requires some corrective measure but can be overcome without a great deal of difficulty or expense.

completing the project is commonly a question of feasibility. Severe—A severe limitation is one that is difficult to overcome and commonly is not feasible because of the expense involved. LAND USES

Moderate—A moderate limitation is one that can normally be overcome but the difficulty and expense are great enough that

Septic tank disposal system—A septic tank disposal system consists of a septic tank and a filter field. The filter field is a subsurface tile system laid in such a way that effluent from the septic tank is distributed with reasonable uniformity into the natural soil.

Residences—Ratings are made for residences with and without basements because the degree of limitation is dependent upon ease and required depth of excavation. For example, excavation in limestone has greater limitation than excavation in shale for a house with a basement. Highways and streets—Refers to paved roads in which cuts and fills are made in hilly topography, and considerable

work is done preparing subgrades and bases before the surface is applied. Access roads—These are low-cost roads, driveways, etc., usually surfaced with crushed stone or a thin layer of blacktop. A minimum of cuts and fills are made, little work is done preparing a subgrade, and generally only a thin base is used. The degree of limitation is based on year-around use and would be less severe if not used during the

winter and early spring. Some types of recreation areas would not be used during these seasons. Light industry and malls—Ratings are based on developments having structures or equivalent load limit requirements of three stories or less, and large paved areas for parking lots. Structures with greater load limit requirements would normally

need footings in solid rock, and the rock would need to be core drilled to determine presence of caverns, cracks, etc. Intensive recreation—Athletic fields, stadiums, etc.

FOUNDATION AND EXCAVATION

LIMITATIONS

Copyright 2004 by the University

of Kentucky, Kentucky Geological

For information on obtaining copies

of this map and other Kentucky

View the KGS World Wide Web

Geological Survey maps and

**Public Information Center** 

877.778.7827 (toll free)

publications call:

859.257.3896 or

www.uky.edu/kgs

site at:

**Geology of Kentucky** 

Learn more about Kentucky geology at www.uky.edu/KGS/geoky/

ALLUVIUM: silt, clay, sand, gravel

DEVONIAN: shale, limestone

ORDOVICIAN: limestone, shale

SILURIAN: dolomite, shale

TERTIARY/CRETACEOUS: sand, clay

PENNSYLVANIAN: shale, sandstone, coal

MISSISSIPPIAN: shale, limestone, sandstone

Extensive recreation—Camp sites, picnic areas, parks, etc. Reservoir areas—The floor of the area where the water is impounded. Ratings are based on the permeability of the rock.

Underground utilities—Included in this group are sanitary sewers, storm sewers, water mains, and other pipes that require fairly deep trenches.

Reservoir embankments—The rocks are rated on limitations for embankment material.

1 inch = 1 mile

**Water Quality** 

River traffic influences land use in Jefferson County. Canals and

locks were first built in 1830 to bypass the shallows created by bed-

rock geology at Falls of the Ohio. In the 1960's, older dams were

Greb, Kentucky Geological Survey.

replaced with the McAlpine Locks and Dam. More than 50 million

tons of commerce annually transit the locks. Photograph by Stephen

Access Reservoir Underground Light Industry Intensive Reservoir Extensive and System Roads and Malls Recreation Areas Embankments Recreation Rating Basement Slight to moderate None, but on-site karst | Fair foundation Severe limitations. Water in alluvium may | Slight limitations. Slight to moderate Refer to soil report Refer to soil report Refer to soil report Not recommended. investigation recom- material. Easy Failed septic systems | be in direct contact | Refer to soil report limitations. Avoid limitations. Refer to Refer to soil report Refer to soil report can contaminate construction in floodmended where less to excavate. with basements. (Zimmerman, 1966). soil report (Zimmerman, 1966). | (Zimmerman, 1966). than 25 feet thick roundwater. Refer to | Refer to soil report plain. Refer to (Zimmerman, 1966). over soluble rock. (Zimmerman, 1966) Zimmerman, 1966). immerman, 196 2. Limestone Slight to severe lim- | Slight to moderate | Slight to severe limita- | Slight limitations. Severe limitations. Moderate limitations. | Moderate limitations. Good to excellent Severe to moderate Impermeable rock. Rock excavation. limitations, depending I tions, depending on Reservoir may leak foundation material. Rock excavation Locally fast drainage | excavation may be Possible steep slopes. on topography. Rock on activity and topog-activity and topogra-where rocks are Difficult excavation. possible, Local where rocks are Slight limitations with excavation. Sinks rough fractures and drainage problems, raphy. Possible phy. Possible steep sinks. Danger of suitable topography. | common. Local especially on shale. steep wooded slopes. | wooded slopes. Slight groundwater con-Sinks common and drainage problems. limitations for forest or tamination. caves possible. Groundwater contamnature preserve. nation possible. Moderate limitations 8. Limestone, | Moderate to severe | Severe to moderate Slight to severe lim- | Slight to severe Slight to severe limita- | Moderate to severe | Moderate to severe | Moderate limitations. Rock excavation Rock excavation fimitations, depending | tions, depending on | limitations. Reservoir | limitations. Reservoir | Possible rock limitations. Imperme- | limitations. Rock itations, depending likely. Local drainage possible. Local on topography. Rock on activity and topog- activity and topog- may leak where rocks may leak where rocks excavation. able rock. Locally fast | excavation may be and shale material. drainage through drainage problems, problems. Sinks raphy. Possible excavation. Sinks raphy. Possible steep | are fractured. Sinks | are fractured. Sinks Difficult to especially on shale. steep wooded slopes. | wooded slopes. fractures and sinks to common. Local excavate. water table, with pos-Sinks common and drainage problems. Sinks common. sible contamination. caves possible. Groundwater contamnation possible. loderate to severe Moderate limitations. Moderate to severe Severe to moderate Moderate to slight Dolomite | Moderate to slight | Slight to severe limita- | Moderate to slight | Moderate to slight | Moderate limitations. Excellent foundation limitations. Imperme- | limitations. Rock ex-Rock excavation limitations, depending | limitations, depending | tions, depending on | limitations. Reservoir | limitations. Reservoir | Possible rock material. Difficult to able rock. Locally fast | cavation may be n topography. Rock on activity and topog- activity and topog- may leak where rocks may leak where rocks excavation. drainage through fract- required. drainage problems. steep slopes and excavation. Local raphy. Possible raphy. Possible steep | are fractured. Sinks | are fractured. Sinks | ures and sinks to especially on shale. narrow ravines. drainage problems. wooded slopes. wooded slopes. water table, with pos-Sinks common and Sinks common. sible contamination. aves possible. Fair to good foundation Moderate to severe Severe limitations. Severe limitations. 5. Siltstone Moderate limitations Slight limitations. Severe limitations. Moderate limitations Rock excavation; limitations. Rock exmaterial. Moderately | limitations. Imperme-I Rock excavation: Rock excavation. and shale Reservoir may leak l limitations. Possible rock cavation; locally, locally, upper few able rock. Possible locally, upper few Steep slopes. where rocks are excavation. upper few feet may feet may be rippable. I feet may be rippable. Steep slopes. Possible be rippable. Steep slopes. Possible xpansion of shales. 6. Shale\* Severe limitations. Severe limitations. | Moderate to severe | Severe to slight limita- | Slight limitations Poor foundation Severe limitations. Moderate limitations. Thin soils and low Low strength, slump-Low strength, slump-Low strength, slumplimitations. Depending tions, depending on for small ponds. material; easy to Low strength, slump-Poor strength and ermeability. ing, and seepage ing, and seepage ing, and seepage moderately difficult ing, and seepage on activity. activity and topogto excavate. Low strength and stability May contain plastic Moderate to severe | Moderate to severe | Moderate limitations. Moderate limitations. Moderate to severe Severe to slight '. Shale and Fair to good foundation Severe to moderate limitations, depending limitations, depending limitations, depending limitations. Reservoir | limitations. Reservoir | Possible rock Rock excavation Rock excavation material. Difficult to | limitations. Imperme- | limitations. Rock limestone possible. Possible likely. Local drainon topography. Rock on activity and topog- on activity and topog- may leak where rocks may leak where rocks excavation. able rock. Locally fast | excavation may are fractured. Sinks are fractured. Sinks excavation possible. | raphy. Possible drainage and slump- age problems. drainage through frac- be required. I raphy. Possible

Severe to moderate

excavation may be

required. Possible

mitations. Rock

steep slopes.

Sinks common. Local | wooded slopes.

drainage problems. Sinks common.

limitations, Rock

excavation may be

Possible groundwater contamination.

Severe to moderate

excavation may be

limitations, Rock

required. Possible

wooded slopes.

on activity and

vooded slopes.

limitations, depending | Reservoir may

topography. Possible | are fractured.

leak where rocks

ing problems in shale. Sinks common.

Sinks common and

Severe to moderate

excavation may be

required. Possible

steep slopes.

limitations. Rock

caves possible.

Moderate to severe

excavation may

I sible contamination \*These clay shales may swell when wet and shrink when dry, and are susceptible to landslides

dolomite,

and limestone

tures and sinks. Pos-

sible groundwater

material. Difficult to Thin soils and imper- | limitations. Rock


meable rock. Fast

tures and sinks to

water table, with pos-

drainage through frac- be required.

Fair to good foundation | Severe limitations.





The Floyds Fork Creek drainage basin in east-central Jefferson County contains a mapped wetland area. Water-quality issues in the rural parts of Jefferson County differ from those in the metropolitan Louisville area because fertilizers and pesticides applied to crops, and nitrates from cattle operations, can affect groundwater from domestic (private) water wells. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological Survey.



In metropolitan areas where residential construction is common installed to avoid polluting streams and groundwater. In Jefferson County, this involves recognition of Quaternary sediments near the river and karst geology in the eastern and central part of the county. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological



A 29-mile-long system of floodwalls, gates, pumping stations, and levees allows for multiple land uses in the Louisville metropolitan area. The flood walls were constructed following a 1937 flood when the river crested at 85.4 feet. Ohio River flood stage at McAlpine Dam is 55 feet, and the flood walls that protect the city are constructed for a river height of 88.5 feet. This gate and berm (above) are located near Kosmosdale. The photo below shows the flood of 1997 in Louisville. Photographs by Bart Davidson (above) and Stephen Greb (below), Kentucky Geological Survey.





Growth of the metropolitan Louisville area has been accompanied by alteration of the existing landscape. In this example, retaining walls have been built along a drainage area for flood control adjacent to extensive development. In metropolitan areas, care must be taken to maintain water quality and control stormwater runoff from surrounding parking lots. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological



Drainpipes and limestone riprap are used along the shale slopes of the Muldraugh Escarpment to help prevent water infiltration and subsequent slope instability onto the Gene Snyder Expressway. Photograph by Bart Davidson, Kentucky Geological Survey.

Moderate limitations.

Reservoir may leak | Possible rock

where rocks are