

University of Kentucky **UKnowledge** 

Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health **Faculty Publications** 

Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health

9-1-2015

# Openness to Change: Experiential and Demographic Components of Change in Local Health Department Leaders

Emmanuel D. Jadhav Ferris State University

James W. Holsinger Jr. University of Kentucky, jwh@email.uky.edu

David W. Fardo University of Kentucky, david.fardo@uky.edu

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pmeh facpub



Part of the Environmental Public Health Commons

#### **Repository Citation**

Jadhav, Emmanuel D.; Holsinger, James W. Jr.; and Fardo, David W., "Openness to Change: Experiential and Demographic Components of Change in Local Health Department Leaders" (2015). Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health Faculty Publications. 32.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pmeh\_facpub/32

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more  $information, please \ contact \ UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.$ 

## Openness to Change: Experiential and Demographic Components of Change in Local Health Department Leaders

#### **Notes/Citation Information**

Published in Frontiers in Public Health, v. 3, article 209, p. 1-8.

© 2015 Jadhav, Holsinger and Fardo.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

#### Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2015.00209





## Openness to change: experiential and demographic components of change in local health department leaders

Emmanuel D. Jadhav1\*, James W. Holsinger Jr.2 and David W. Fardo3

<sup>1</sup> Public Health Programs, Ferris State University, Big Rapids, MI, USA, <sup>2</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA, <sup>3</sup> Department of Biostatistics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

**Background:** During the 2008–2010 economic recession, Kentucky local health department (LHD) leaders utilized innovative strategies to maintain their programs. A characteristic of innovative strategy is leader openness to change. Leader demographical research in for-profit organizations has yielded valuable insight into leader openness to change. For LHD leaders, the nature of the association between leader demographic and organizational characteristics on leader openness to change is unknown. The objectives of this study are to identify variation in openness to change by leaders' demographic and organizational characteristics and to characterize the underlying relationships.

**Materials and Methods:** The study utilized Spearman rank correlations test to determine relationships between leader openness to change (ACQ) and leader and LHD characteristics. To identify differences in the distribution of ACQ scores, Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis non-parametric tests were used, and to adjust for potential confounding, linear regression analysis was performed.

**Data:** Local health department leaders in the Commonwealth of Kentucky were the unit of analysis. Expenditure and revenue data were available from the state health department. National census data were utilized for county level population estimates. A cross-sectional survey was performed of KY LHD leaders' observable attributes relating to age, gender, race, educational background, leadership experience, and openness to change.

**Results:** Leaders had relatively high openness to change scores. Spearman correlations between leader ACQ and departmental 2012–2013 revenue and expenditures were statistically significant, as were the differences observed in ACQ by gender and the educational level of the leader. Differences in ACQ score by education level and agency revenue were significant even after adjusting for potential confounders. The analyses imply that there are underlying relationships between leader and LHD characteristics based on leader openness to change.

Keywords: public health leadership, organization theory, openness to change, public health management, workforce development

1

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Erik L. Carlton, The University of Memphis, USA Katherine Henrietta Leith, University of South Carolina, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Craig Fry, Victoria University, Australia Robert L. Seidman, San Diego State University, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Emmanuel D. Jadhav, College of Health Professions, Ferris State University, 200 Ferris Drive, Big Rapids, MI 49307, USA edja223@uky.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Public Health Education and Promotion, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 14 April 2015 Accepted: 17 August 2015 Published: 01 September 2015

#### Citation:

Jadhav ED, Holsinger JW Jr and Fardo DW (2015) Openness to change: experiential and demographic components of change in local health department leaders. Front. Public Health 3:209. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00209

Components of openness to change

#### Introduction

Local health department (LHD) leaders used innovative strategies to withstand external financial pressures linked to internal quality challenges and service volume during the 2008-2010 economic recession (1). The strategies included charging fees for services and billing insurance, pursuing new funding sources, hiring contractors, utilizing technology, as well as contracting for and/or sharing staff or equipment with other LHDs or non-LHD organizations (2). While several leader characteristics influence the organization's performance, central to the organization's ability to innovate strategically is the leader's openness to change, based on the leader's ability to examine the rationale and need for specific changes (3). Research in organizational and demographic characteristics of for-profit organization leaders have provided valuable insights into the behavior of top executives and their ability to anticipate issues and take action (4). Little is known about the nature of the relationship between openness to change and organizational and demographic characteristics in LHD leaders. This is among the first studies to identify and characterize variations and underlying relationships in LHD leader openness to change.

In public health agencies, leaders' openness to change is of particular interest as LHD leaders are consistently faced with surprises and emergencies (5). In addition to managing the historic cycles of action and inaction associated with public health funding (6), leaders' openness to change is also integral to their ability to control and direct LHDs in a disruptive environment (7). The public expectation that LHD leaders would actively identify financial resources to deliver public health programs (8) during the economic recession is an example of the difficult task that leaders face in finding solutions to problems that are without ready solutions (9).

#### **Background**

Studies have identified that in environments of high uncertainty, leaders exert the most influence on change (10). Indifference toward learning from the environment or competitors leads to poor agency performance (11), implying that a leader's openness to change is central to the adaption process, suggesting that leaders determine their organizations' response to a threat or opportunity outside their organizational environment (12) by moving them toward a future state (13). Several organizational and management theory studies have documented the leader's influence on change management (14, 15) and agency performance (16, 17) and the results are mixed concerning the role of the leader in managing change and agency outcomes (18-20). According to organizational theory, a determinant of openness to change is the perception of the leaders (21), which is driven by the leaders' attitudes and perceived control over their ability to implement change. This line of inquiry is not without its critics, who suggest that relying on leader demographic characteristics alone (22, 23) without studying their psychological values and attitudes may lead to spurious conclusions (24). These contrasting perspectives on the importance (25) and non-importance (26) of the individual leader's attributes suggest that although there is no consensus on how demographic attributes drive a leader's openness to change, the individual leader's openness to change is important in managing change.

For public health agencies, the 2002 IOM report on educating public health professionals for the twenty first century in response to the 1988 IOM report's call for the development of the public health workforce in terms of practice and leadership (27) indicated that communities most successful in producing desired health and social outcomes tend to have significant leadership capacity among other agency and community attributes (28). The IOM report, "Variation in Health Care Spending: Target Decision Making, Not Geography" identified that variation over time in utilization of health care services is attributable to decision making that occurs at the level of the each organization (29). In another study, Keane (30) determined that LHD leaders played a significant role as influential decision makers with regard to the privatization of LHD clinical services. These studies suggest that LHD workforce development will benefit from understanding the relationship between the individual leader's demographic characteristics and role in change management.

#### **Theoretical Framework**

Change management theories based on agency performance criterion have been studied in multiple organizational structures (31). Theories, including the Theory of Planned Behavior, the Upper Echelon Theory, and the Flexible Leadership Theory, provide the basis for understanding the relationship between characteristics of leaders and LHDs and the individual leader's openness to change.

#### **Theory of Planned Behavior**

According to this theory, intention to act is antecedent to behavior. The intention to act is a function of the individual's attitude toward the behavior, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control. The subjective norm is the individual's belief concerning whether specific people approve or disapprove of a planned behavior, which is the motivator for the individual to behave such as to gain the group's approval (32). Perceived behavioral control is the control an individual exercises when performing a behavior (32). These determinants of intention shape the individual's beliefs about the likely consequences of a specific behavior, expectations of its importance to others, and factors that control behavioral performance. The theory provides a plausible explanation for the interaction between individual attitudes and behaviors (33).

#### **Upper Echelon Theory**

Founded on the concept of bounded rationality, this theory suggests that complex information and uncertain situations are not objectively known but merely interpreted through the leader's actions (34). The actions of leaders are in turn guided by their personal interpretation of the situation, and by their personalized construals, values, and personality. Personalized construal in social psychology refers to individual perceptions, comprehension, and interpretation of the world (35) and is a function of experience. The theory suggests that the leaders' demographic characteristics shape the perceptions of leaders and thereby their openness to change. The theory also accounts for managerial discretion, which is latitude of action in the absence of constraints

(36). The concept of managerial discretion explains why some leaders may be more open to change than the others (37).

#### Flexible Leadership Theory

Conceptualized at the organizational level, the four components of this theory are organizational effectiveness, performance determinants, situational variables, and leadership decisions and actions (38). According to the theory, organizational effectiveness is determined by: (a) efficiency and process reliability, (b) human capital, and (c) adaptation to the external environment. The theory accounts for the agency attributes of organization, capacity, and function in shaping the leader's perception toward change.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The units of analysis were the LHD leaders in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The 59 LHDs of Kentucky assist in providing a likely comparison to the socioeconomic variations observed in LHDs across the United States during the 2008–2010 economic recession. A cross-sectional cohort study design was used to collect data on openness to change and leader demographics and LHD attributes. This study received an exemption from the University of Kentucky's institutional review board based on utilizing data that did not identify individual subjects or put individuals at risk.

#### **Data Sources and Measures**

In 2012, primary data were collected on observable demographic attributes of LHD leaders, such as age, gender, race, educational background, leadership experience, and openness toward change. Of the 59 LHDs in Kentucky, responses were received from 47 leaders resulting in a response rate of nearly 80%. The county level population estimates were available from the US Census Bureau annual county population estimates. The 2012–2013 revenues and expenditures for each LHD were obtained from the Kentucky Department of Public Health. To detect and address anomalies in revenues and expenditures and county level population changes, exploratory analyses and descriptive statistics were performed. The responses were compared internally and with existing data to ensure accuracy.

#### **Primary Variable**

The openness to change score was measured by Hage and Dewar's instrument (39), which was developed to specifically measure openness to change in leaders of non-profit organizations. The instrument defines openness to change as the degree to which respondents view change favorably and are therefore more inclined to produce change in their organizations. The scale consists of five items, requiring participants to rate the extent to which they agree with each item on a five-point scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). The openness to change score is the sum of rated responses and reflects the self-assessed openness to change score of the respondent. Lower scores reflect a conservative or non-change-seeking attitude whereas high scores represent a more liberal or change-seeking attitude. The Cronbach's alpha test was used to measure the instrument's internal consistency.

#### Leader Demographic Variables

To account for leader demographic characteristics, data on leader age, race, gender, education level, leader tenure, and leadership experience were collected. Age was treated as a continuous variable. Leader gender, race, and education level were treated as categorical variables. Education level is the highest degree attained, and categorized as Doctoral (DDS, DO, DrPH, DVM, JD, MD, PhD, or other doctoral degrees), or Master (MPH, MSN, MBA, or other master's degree) or Bachelor (BA, BA, BSN, other bachelor degrees), or Associate degree (AD, ASN, other associate degrees). Leader tenure is the self-reported time that respondents have been in their current leadership positions and leadership experience relates to prior executive experience in other LHDs or organizations.

#### **LHD Characteristics**

As discussed in the theoretical framework, the agency characteristics of governance structure, and presence or absence of a board of health, medical director, and reserve fund were accounted for in the analysis. The change in LHD population size for 2012–2013 of the overall LHD revenue (multiple resources make up LHD revenues) and expenditure per capita for 2012–2013 were included in the analysis since it is anticipated that these elements influence the leader's openness to change (40, 41).

#### **Analytical Methods**

The openness to change score is measured on a Likert scale, resulting in the ACQ score being treated as ordinal data with non-parametric tests used for examining the relationships between leader demographics and LHD characteristics. The Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney non-parametric test, an analog to the independent samples *t*-test, was utilized to examine the differences in distribution of ACQ score by the leader's gender, race, leadership experience, and the LHD attributes of a board of health, presence of a roll-over reserve fund, and presence of a separate medical director in the LHD. The Kruskal–Wallis test, the non-parametric analog to the ANOVA test, was performed on the leader's highest degree obtained variable and LHD governance structure variable, both of which were treated as ordinal variables with more than two levels. To adjust for relevant potential confounders, linear regression analysis was performed.

#### **Results**

#### **Leader and LHD Characteristics**

The results in leader characteristics (**Table 1**) demonstrated that the mean age of LHD leaders was 51 years and the average tenure as LHD top executive in current position is 6 years. The 2012–2013 average change in population size was approximately 260 persons with a range of approximately 1,600 persons exiting to about 4,450 persons entering a county (**Table 2**). Although an increase in population size for 2012–2013 was observed, the average 2012–2013 expenditure is approximately 6% less than the 2011–2012 average.

Nearly 62% of leaders were females and approximately 36% were males (**Table 2**). The leadership racial profile demonstrated that over 89% were Caucasian, 4% African American, and 7% of other races. Approximately 45% of the leaders possessed a master's

degree, 28% held bachelor's degrees, 17% a doctoral degree, and the other 10% held and associates or other degree. Over 85% of respondents were first time leaders while approximately 15% had previous leadership experience with other organizations or LHDs. As one of the few states practicing the shared governance model, 68% of Kentucky's LHDs have both state and local governance, 23% have local governance, and about 4% have a state governance structure. More than 90% of all LHDs have a board of health. Over 78% of all LHDs have a reserve roll over funds and approximately 53% of the LHDs have a separate medical director.

TABLE 1 | Leader and local health department characteristics.

Variable	Descriptive statistics			
	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Leader age	51	9.39	30.49	73.72
Leader tenure	6	5.90	0.15	21.75
2012–2013 population change	260	1050	-1662	4456
2011-2012 revenue/capita	179.54	241.98	2.78	1136.99
2012-2013 revenue/capita	100.12	64.31	36.96	312.73
2011-2012 expenditure/capita	99.52	66.75	7.44	363.39
2012-2013 expenditure/capita	95.75	62.44	34.85	305.97

2012–2013 population change = population of 2013 - population of 2012.

TABLE 2 | Leader and local health department characteristics.

Variable	Frequency	Relative frequency
Gender		
Male	17	36.17
Female	29	61.70
Unknown	1	2.13
Race		
White	42	89.36
African American	2	4.26
Others	2	4.26
Unknown	1	2.13
Highest degree obtained		
Doctoral	8	17.02
Master's	21	44.68
Bachelors	13	27.66
Associate degrees	2	4.26
Unknown	3	6.38
Leadership experience		
First timers	40	85.11
Experienced	7	14.89
Governance structure		
State government	2	4.26
Local government	11	23.40
Both state and local	32	68.09
Unknown	2	4.26
Board of health		
Yes, present	44	93.62
No, absent	2	4.26
Unknown	1	2.13
Separate medical director		
Yes	25	53.19
No	22	46.81
Roll over reserve fund		
Yes, present	37	78.72
No, absent	6	12.77
Do not know	3	6.38
Unknown	1	2.13

#### **Relationship between Instrument Elements**

To test for internal consistency and assess the strength of the relationship between the five items on the ACQ instrument, the Cronbach Alpha and Pearson Correlation tests were utilized. The Cronbach's alpha of 0.77 is higher than the acceptable value of 0.70 as suggested by Nunnally and Bernstein (42) and implies that the internal consistency of the instrument is reliable. The moderate but statistically significant correlations between the five items (**Table 3**) suggest that there are no large collinearity concerns between the items on the instrument.

#### Variation in the Leader's Openness to Change

Few leaders strongly disagreed with any of the items on the ACQ instrument. Approximately 2% strongly disagreed that change is refreshing and that the organization becomes a deadening weight over time (Table 4). The findings suggest that an overwhelming majority were in agreement with the items on the ACQ instrument. Specifically, over 87% were in agreement (Strongly Agree or Agree) that there is something refreshing about enthusiasm for change, 81% agreed that leaders should be willing to devote more time to change activities, and 95% were in agreement that the current environment warrants an immediate response. Over 88% were in agreement that change must occur not only at an individual level but also at a system level and over 66% were in agreement that any organizational structure becomes a deadening weight over time and needs to be revitalized. For this final question with the lowest rate of agreement - two-thirds - one quarter of responders were neutral.

TABLE 3 | Openness to change intercorrelation matrix.

Intercorrelation matrix				
Q5	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1
				_
			-	0.54***
		-	0.29*	0.53***
	-	0.39**	0.37**	0.42**
-	0.41**	0.24*	0.54***	0.36**
_		0.39**	0.37**	0.42**

\_\_\_\_\_

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

TABLE 4 | Variation in openness to change

Variable		Frequenc	y distributio	on					
	Strongly disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly agree (%)				
Q1			12.77	51.06	36.17				
Q2	2.13		17.02	57.45	23.40				
Q3		2.13	2.13	72.34	23.40				
Q4		2.13	10.64	42.55	44.68				
Q5	2.13	6.38	25.53	44.68	21.28				

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

Q1. There is really something refreshing about enthusiasm for change; Q2. If I were to follow my deep convictions, I would devote more time to change movements. This seems to me to be a primary need today; Q3. The current situation in the community calls for change, we should do something now (we must respond at once); Q4. If you want to get anywhere, it is the policy of the system as a whole that needs to be changed, not just the behavior of isolated individuals; Q5. Any organizational structure becomes a deadening weight in time and needs to be revitalized.

TABLE 5 | Spearman correlation matrix for ACQ score, leader and LHD characteristics.

Variable				Spearman corr	elations			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Leader age	_							
2. Leader tenure	0.19	_						
3. 2012–2013 population change	-0.03	0.11	_					
4. 2011–2012 revenues/capita	-0.31*	-0.09	-0.47***	-				
5. 2012–2013 revenues/capita	0.12	0.14	-0.31*	0.19	-			
6. 2011–2012 expenditures/capita	0.02	0.11	-0.23	0.24	0.93***	_		
7. 2012–2013 expenditures/capita	0.12	0.13	-0.30*	0.18	0.99***	0.91***	-	
8. Openness to change score	0.17	0.09	0.18	-0.33*	-0.08	-0.16	-0.09	

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

#### Associations between ACQ Score by Leader and LHD Attributes

Statistically significant correlations were observed between leader ACQ score, LHD, and leader characteristics (Table 5). The negative correlation between leader age and preceding year (2011-2012) revenues may be the determinant of intentions that shape the leader's beliefs about the likely consequences of trying innovative strategies as discussed in the Section "Theory of Planned Behavior." This could also reflect the adaptation element of the Flexible Leadership theory, whereby preference for earlier strategies that have worked (43) override the willingness to be open to change or may be the proxy measure of the leader's educational level (44). The strong correlations between 2012-2013 revenues and expenditures were expected since revenues are integral to the delivery of programs and services (45), which subsequently increase the expenditures of the LHD. The moderately strong, statistically significant, correlations between the leader ACQ score and 2012-2013 revenues, and expenditures are of interest to this study as it suggests an underlying relationship between LHD characteristics and leader openness to change. The positive estimates imply that as the revenues and expenditures increase, leaders may be more willing to try innovative strategies. This reflects the management concept discussed in the Upper Echelon Theory whereby the financial health of the LHD informs leaders' understanding of the situation and subsequently influences their openness to change.

## Variation in ACQ Score by Leader and LHD Characteristics

The Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests provide evidence of statistically significant differences in rank average ACQ (r.a.ACQ) score by leader and LHD characteristics (**Table 6**). The r.a.ACQ score of the male leaders is 18.41 and that of female leaders is 26.48, indicating that female leaders have higher r.a.ACQ scores than male leaders. The significant differences in r.a.ACQ between male and female leaders may be attributed to the differences in leadership style between males and females (46). Studies have identified that women leaders prefer a participatory leadership style, such as transformational leadership, which correlates to female values developed through socialization processes that include building relationships, communication, consensus building, power as influence, and working together for a common purpose (47), all of which are integral for the

TABLE 6 | Variation in ACQ score by leader and LHD characteristics.

		p	
Gender			
Male	18.41	*	
Female	26.48		
Race			
White	21.92	*	
African American	44.00		
Others	36.00		
Highest degree obtained			
Doctoral	29.31	**	
Master's	25.45		
Bachelors	13.31		
Associate Degrees	24.00		
Leadership experience			
First timers	22.75		
Experienced	31.14		
Governance structure			
State government	28.5		
Local government	25.77		
Both state and local	21.70		
Board of health			
Yes, present	23.38		
No, absent	26.00		
Separate medical director			
Yes	22.26		
No	25.97		
Roll over reserve fund			
Yes, present	24.35		
No, absent	20.00		

N=47; continuity correction included; Mann–Whitney U-tests for gender, race, leadership experience, board of health, roll over reserve fund, and having a separate medical director under Ho: there is no difference in ACQ between samples; Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA test for highest degree obtained and governance structure under Ho: there is no difference in ACQ between samples. All numbers rounded to two decimal places. "p < 0.05; "p < 0.01; ""p < 0.001.

management of a non-profit, governmental agency, such as an LHD. The significant differences in male and female leaders may also be a proxy measure representing the high number of LHD leaders that are woman and hold a nursing degree (48). In regard to racial diversity, the sample size is too small to make statistically significant conclusions concerning the observed differences in distribution of r.a.ACQ score. The Kruskal–Wallis test for differences in ACQ scores for leaders by the highest degree attained was statistically significant. Those with doctoral degrees had r.a.ACQ = 29; master's degree holders r.a.ACQ = 25; associate and other degree holders r.a.ACQ = 24 and bachelor

Components of openness to change

TABLE 7 | Leader and LHD predictors of ACQ.

	Estimate	р	
ita 2011–2012	-0.003	*	
	-0.89		
ee obtained			
grees	-1.74		
	-2.63	*	
	-0.36		

<sup>\*</sup>p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001.

degree holders r.a.ACQ = 13. The leader educational level findings suggest that there are significant differences in the ACQ score based on the level of highest degree obtained by the leader, which corresponds to other study findings that identified important underlying relationships between the levels of education and leader openness to change (49).

#### **Regression Analysis**

A backward elimination stepwise regression was utilized to develop a parsimonious model relating leader and LHD characteristics to openness to change. Of the financial metrics, only 2011–2012 revenue was included as 2012–2013 revenue, as well as 2011–2012 and 2012–2013 expenditures were highly collinear. Race also was not included due to lack of variability. Estimates of the final model after adjusting for confounders show that previous year LHD revenue (2011–2012) and educational level of the leader remain significantly associated with ACQ (see **Table 7**). The estimates reflect an interesting association between leader education level and openness to change. Relative to a doctoral degree, having a Bachelor's or Associate degree results in leaders being less open to change. The same is not true for those with a Master's level education implying that there is little difference in openness to change for those with any level of graduate education.

#### **Discussion**

The study objectives are informed by the findings of the study. After adjustment for relevant potential confounders, both previous year revenue (2011–2012) and educational level of the leader remain associated with ACQ; however, the effect of gender and current year (2012–2013) revenue and expenditure is no longer statistically significant. The leader and LHD characteristics identified in the analysis correspond to elements of the theoretical

#### References

- Smith MD, Saunders R, Stuckhardt L, McGinnis JM. Best Care at Lower Cost the Path to Continuously Learning Health Care in America [Internet]. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2013) [cited 2013 Nov 14]. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\_id=13444
- Research Brief. Local Health Department job losses and Program Cuts: Findings from the January 2012 Survey [Internet]. Washington, DC: National Association of County & City Health Officials (2012) [cited 2013 Aug 8]. 8 p. Report No.: 7. Available from: http://www.naccho.org/topics/infrastructure/ lhdbudget/upload/research-brief-final.pdf

framework, such as determinants of intention from the Theory of Planned Behavior, the adaptation element for the Flexible Leadership Theory, and managerial discretion from the Upper Echelon Theory, thus characterizing the nature of interaction between the leader, LHD characteristics, and openness to change. However, several limitations need to be acknowledged, the foremost being the inherent limitation of using a Likert scale self-assessment instrument that only establishes rank order and not the magnitude of openness to change. The small sample size (n = 47) limits the application of advanced analytics. The bivariate analyses that are sufficient for meeting the objectives of this study do not inform causal relationships between leader openness to change or leader and LHD characteristics. Also during the time in which the survey was fielded, state public health leaders were strongly encouraging LHD directors to consider operational changes, which may have influenced the leader ACQ score. Since in the analysis, only the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 revenue, expenditures and population change were studied, this time period may be insufficient for observing the effects of leader and LHD characteristics on openness to change. Future studies will benefit from using a longitudinal study design that involves examining causal relationships between individual leader and LHD openness to change attributes.

#### **Conclusion and Implications**

The findings of this study are similar to other openness to change studies (41, 50). In the current context of constant change and ongoing organizational turmoil, the findings are of particular interest to public health workforce development programs that are tasked with preparing leaders to deal with the complex and changing demands of critical public health services (51). Public health workforce development programs would benefit from creating opportunities that emphasize training leaders to recognize the complex interactions between individual leader and agency characteristics based on their openness to change and its subsequent impact on the ability to meet internal and external challenges (52, 53), such as the decline in the number of services offered by LHDs during the 2008–2010 recession (54) and the developing emphasis on emerging infections and deadly pathogens (55). In the interest of the wider public health field, these findings contribute to the literature on leadership attributes and organizational performance (56) that define leadership as a function of the interaction between the social situation and observable demographic characteristics of the leader (57) and the relationship between individual leader's openness to change and agency performance (58).

- Chawla A, Kelloway EK. Predicting openness and commitment to change. Leadersh Organ Dev J (2004) 25(6):485–98. doi:10.1108/01437730410556734
- Boggs GR, Kent EL. Presidents academy: an evolution of leadership development. In: Watts G, editor. New Directions for Community Colleges, No 120, Enhancing Community Colleges Through Professional Development. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass (2002). p. 51–7.
- Koh HK, McCormack M. Public Health Leadership In The 21st Century. Cent Public Leadersh [Internet] (2006) [cited 2012 Apr 27]. Available from: http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/55951
- 6. Holsinger JW. Contemporary Public Health: Principles, Practice, and Policy [Internet]. Lexington, KY: University Press of Kentucky (2013) [cited

- 2013 Aug 7]. Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=489927
- Porter LW, Angle HL, Allen RW. Organizational Influence Processes. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe (2003). 582 p.
- Meyer J, Weiselberg L. County and City Health Departments: The Need for Sustainable Funding and the Potential Effect of Health Care Reform on Their Operations [Internet]: Health Management Associates (2009) [cited 2013 Jun 13]. Available from: http://healthyamericans.org/assets/files/HMA.pdf
- DeCrescenzo P. Leadership Can be Taught. [Review of the Book Leadership Can be Taught: A Bold Approach for a Complex World, S.D. Park] [Internet]. National Clearinghouse for Leadership Programs (2011) [cited 2012 Apr 27]. Available from: http://www.nclp.umd.edu/resources/bookreviews/BookReview-Leader ship\_Can\_Be\_Taught-DeCrecenzo-2011.pdf
- Waldman DA, Ramírez GG, House RJ, Puranam P. Does leadership matter? CEO leadership attributes and profitability under conditions of perceived environmental uncertainty. *Acad Manage J* (2001) 44(1):134–43. doi:10.2307/3069341
- Finkelstein S. Why Smart Executives Fail and What You Can Learn from Their Mistakes. New York, NY: Portfolio (2004).
- Gilgeous V. Operations and the Management of Change. Washington, DC: Pitman Pub (1997).
- 13. Johns G. Organizational Behavior: Understanding and Managing Life at Work. 4th ed. New York, NY: HarperCollins College Publishers (1996). 631 p.
- Beer M, Nohria N. Cracking the Code of Change. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Review (2000). p. 133–41.
- Kotter JP. The Heart of Change [Internet]. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press (2002) [cited 2013 Nov 23]. Available from: https://www.cu.edu/articles/ upload/ExecHeartChange.pdf
- Giambatista RC, Rowe WG, Riaz S. Nothing succeeds like succession: a critical review of leader succession literature since 1994. *Leadersh Q* (2005) 16(6):963–91. doi:10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.09.005
- Thomas AB. Does leadership make a difference to organizational performance? Adm Sci Q (1988) 33(3):388–400. doi:10.2307/2392715
- Ling Y, Simsek Z, Lubatkin MH, Veiga JF. The impact of transformational CEOs on the performance of small- to medium-sized firms: Does organizational context matter? *J Appl Psychol* (2008) 93(4):923–34. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.93. 4.923
- Agle BR, Nagarajan NJ, Sonnenfeld JA, Srinivasan D. Does Ceo charisma matter? an empirical analysis of the relationships among organizational performance, environmental uncertainty, and top management team perceptions of Ceo charisma. *Acad Manage J* (2006) 49(1):161–74. doi:10.5465/AMJ.2006. 20785800
- Tosi HL, Misangyi VF, Fanelli A, Waldman DA, Yammarino FJ. CEO charisma, compensation, and firm performance. *Leadersh Q* (2004) 15(3):405–20. doi:10. 1016/j.leaqua.2004.02.010
- 21. Pettigrew AM. The Awakeing Guiant: Continuity and Change in Imperial Chemical Industries. Oxford: Basil Blackwell (1985).
- Barker VL, Mueller GC. CEO characteristics and firm R&D spending. Manag Sci (2002) 48(6):782–801. doi:10.1287/mnsc.48.6.782.187
- 23. Wiersema MF, Bantel KA. Top management team demography and corporate strategic change. *Acad Manage J* (1992) 35(1):91–121. doi:10.2307/256474
- 24. Lawrence BS. Perspective the black box of organizational demography. *Organ Sci* (1997) **8**(1):1–22. doi:10.1287/orsc.8.1.1
- Peterson RS, Brent D, Martorana PV, Owens PD. The impact of chief executive officer personality on top management team dynamics: one mechanism by which leadership affects organizational performance. *J Appl Psychol* (2003) 88(5):795–808. doi:10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.795
- Meindl JR, Ehrlich SB, Dukerich JM. The romance of leadership. Adm Sci Q (1985) 30(1):78–102. doi:10.2307/2392813
- Institute of Medicine. The Future of Public Health [Internet]. The National Academies Press (1988). Available from: http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php? record\_id=1091
- Century C on EPHP for the 21st. Who Will Keep the Public Healthy?: Educating Public Health Professionals for the 21st Century. 1st ed. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2004). 320 p.
- Newhouse JP, Garber AM, Graham RP, McCoy MA, Mancher M, Kibria
  A. Variation in Health Care Spending: Target Decision Making, Not

- Geography [Internet]. Washington, DC: National Academies Press (2002) [cited 2013 Nov 14]. Available from: http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Du3qICRxtgIC&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=%22the+authority+of+the+charter+granted+to+it+by+the+Congress+in+1863,+the%22+%22furtherance+of+science+and+technology+and+to+their+use+for+the+general%22+&ots=4-p-ZTTlOv&sig=R7w3eRsoov7UcoMWVzsI6FUsAFY
- 30. Keane C, Marx J, Ricci E. Privatization and the scope of public health: a national survey of local health department directors. *Am J Public Health* (2001) **91**(4):611. doi:10.2105/AJPH.91.4.611
- Todnem By R. Organisational change management: a critical review. J Change Manag (2005) 5(4):369–80. doi:10.1080/14697010500359250
- Ajzen I. From intentions to actions: a theory of planned behavior. In: Kuhl J, Beckmann J, editors. Action Control [Internet]. Berlin: Springer (1985). p. 11–39. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69746-3\_2
- Ajzen I, Fishbein M. Attitude-behavior relations: a theoretical analysis and review of empirical research. *Psychol Bull* (1977) 84(5):888–918. doi:10.1037/ 0033-2909.84.5.888
- 34. Mischel W. The Interaction of Person and Situation. Personality at the Crossroads: Current Issues in Interactional Psychology [Internet]. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates (1977) [cited 2013 Nov 25]. p. 217–47. Available from: http://www.questia.com/read/58690182/personality-at-the-crossroadscurrent-issues-in-interactional
- Aronson E, Wilson TD, Akert RM. Social Psychology, 6/E [Internet]. 6th ed. Santa Cruz, CA: University of California (2006) [cited 2013 Nov 25]. Available from: http://wps.prenhall.com/hss\_aronson\_socpsych\_6/64/16425/ 4205041.cw/index.html
- Hambrick DC, Finkelstein S. Managerial discretion: a bridge between polar views of organizational outcomes. Res Organ Behav (1987) 9:369–406.
- Hambrick DC, Finkelstein S, Mooney AC. Executive job demands: New insights for explaining strategic decisions and leader behaviors. *Acad Manage Rev* (2005) 30(3):472–91. doi:10.5465/AMR.2005.17293355
- 38. Yukl G. How leaders influence organizational effectiveness. *Leadersh Q* (2008) 19(6):708–22. doi:10.1016/j.leaqua.2008.09.008
- Hage J, Dewar R. Elite values versus organizational structure in predicting innovation. Adm Sci Q (1973) 18(3):279–90. doi:10.2307/2391664
- Meyer A-M, Davis M, Mays GP. Defining organizational capacity for public health services and systems research. *J Public Health Manag Pract* (2012) 18(6):535–44. doi:10.1097/PHH.0b013e31825ce928
- Musteen M, Barker VL III, Baeten VL. CEO attributes associated with attitude toward change: the direct and moderating effects of CEO tenure. J Business Res (2006) 59(5):604.
- Nunnally JC, Bernstein IH. Psychometric Theory. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill (1994).
- Bantel KA, Jackson SE. Top management and innovations in banking: does the composition of the top team make a difference? *Strateg Manage J* (1989) 10(S1):107–24. doi:10.1002/smj.4250100709
- 44. Mays GP, McHugh MC, Shim K, Lenaway D, Halverson PK, Mooneshinghe R, et al. Getting what you pay for: public health spending and the performance of essential public health services. *J Public Health Manag Pract* (2004) 5(10):435–43. doi:10.1097/00124784-200409000-00011
- Appelbaum SH, Audet L, Miller JC. Gender and leadership? Leadership and gender? A journey through the landscape of theories. *Leadersh Organ Dev J* (2003) 24(1):43–51. doi:10.1108/01437730310457320
- 46. Trinidad C, Normore AH. Leadership and gender: a dangerous liaison? *Leadersh Organ Dev J* (2005) **26**(7):574–90. doi:10.1108/01437730510624601
- Scutchfield FD, Knight EA, Kelly AV, Bhandari MW, Vasilescu IP. Local public health agency capacity and its relationship to public health system performance. *J Public Health Manag* (2004) 10(3):204–15. doi:10.1097/00124784-200405000-00004
- Pitts DW. Leadership, empowerment, and public organizations. Rev Public Pers Adm (2005) 25(1):5–28. doi:10.1177/0734371X04271293
- Sebaa AA, Wallace J, Cornelius N. Managerial characteristics, strategy and performance in local government. *Meas Bus Excellence* (2009) 13(4):12–21. doi:10.1108/13683040911006756
- Vakola M, Nikolaou I. Attitudes towards organizational change: What is the role of employees' stress and commitment? *Employee Relat* (2005) 27(2):160–74. doi:10.1108/01425450510572685

- Wright K, Rowitz L, Merkle A, Reid WM, Robinson G, Herzog B, et al. Competency development in public health leadership. Am J Public Health (2000) 90(8):1202–7. doi:10.2105/AJPH.90.8.1202
- 52. Yukl G, Gordon A, Taber T. A hierarchical taxonomy of leadership behavior: Integrating a half century of behavior research. *J Leadersh Organ Stud* (2002) 9(1):15–32. doi:10.1177/107179190200900102
- 53. Tushman, Michael, O'Reilly C. Ambidextrous organizations: managing evolutionary and revolutionary change. *California Management Review* (1996) Vol. 38, No. 4, Summer, 8–30.
- NACCHO Research Brief. Changes in Local Health Department Services and Activities [Internet]. National Association of County & City Health Officials (2012) [cited 2013 Feb 25]. Available from: http://www.naccho.org/topics/ infrastructure/profile/resources/upload/ResearchBrief-Activities-final\_01-25-2012.pdf
- Bloom BR. Public health in transition. Sci Am (2005) 293(3):92–9. doi:10.1038/ scientificamerican0905-92
- Harrison MI, Kimani J. Building capacity for a transformation initiative: system redesign at Denver Health. Health Care Manage Rev (2009) 34(1):42–53. doi:10. 1097/01.HMR.0000342979.91931.d9

- Gibb CA. The principles and traits of leadership. J Abnorm Soc Psychol (1947) 42(3):267. doi:10.1037/h0056420
- 58. Worley CG, Lawler EE III. Designing Organizations That Are Built to Change. MIT Sloan Management Review [Internet]. [cited 2013 Nov 29]. Available from: http://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/designing-organizations-that-are-built-to-change/

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The Associate Editor, Erik L. Carlton, declares that despite having collaborated with author James W. Holsinger Jr, the review process was handled objectively and no conflict of interest exists.

Copyright © 2015 Jadhav, Holsinger and Fardo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.