

1-18-2017

Transcriptomic Response of Wolf Spider, *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, to Transgenic Rice Expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab Protein

Juan Wang

Hunan Agriculture University, China

Yuande Peng

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

Kaifu Xiao

Hunan Agriculture University, China

Baoyang Wei

Hunan Agriculture University, China

Jilin Hu

Hunan Agriculture University, China

See next page for additional authors

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub



Part of the [Biotechnology Commons](#), and the [Entomology Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Wang, Juan; Peng, Yuande; Xiao, Kaifu; Wei, Baoyang; Hu, Jilin; Wang, Zhi; Song, Qisheng; and Zhou, Xuguo, "Transcriptomic Response of Wolf Spider, *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, to Transgenic Rice Expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab Protein" (2017). *Entomology Faculty Publications*. 131.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/entomology_facpub/131

Authors

Juan Wang, Yuande Peng, Kaifu Xiao, Baoyang Wei, Jilin Hu, Zhi Wang, Qisheng Song, and Xuguo Zhou

Transcriptomic Response of Wolf Spider, *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, to Transgenic Rice Expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab Protein**Notes/Citation Information**

Published in *BMC Biotechnology*, v. 17, 7, p. 1-10.

© The Author(s). 2017

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-016-0325-2>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Transcriptomic response of wolf spider, *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, to transgenic rice expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab protein

Juan Wang^{1†}, Yuande Peng^{2†}, Kaifu Xiao¹, Baoyang Wei¹, Jilin Hu¹, Zhi Wang^{1*} , Qisheng Song³ and Xuguo Zhou⁴

Abstract

Background: *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) toxin produced in Cry1-expressing genetically modified rice (Bt rice) is highly effective to control lepidopteran pests, which reduces the needs for synthetic insecticides. Non-target organisms can be exposed to Bt toxins through direct feeding or trophic interactions in the field. The wolf spider *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, one of the dominant predators in South China, plays a crucial role in the rice agroecosystem. In this study, we investigated transcriptome responses of the 5th instar spiders fed on preys maintained on Bt- and non-Bt rice.

Results: Comparative transcriptome analysis resulted in 136 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between spiderlings preying upon *N. lugens* fed on Bt- and non-Bt rice (Bt- and non-Bt spiderlings). Functional analysis indicated a potential impact of Bt toxin on the formation of new cuticles during molting. GO and KEGG enrichment analyses suggested that GO terms associated with chitin or cuticle, including “chitin binding”, “chitin metabolic process”, “chitin synthase activity”, “cuticle chitin biosynthetic process”, “cuticle hydrocarbon biosynthetic process”, and “structural constituent of cuticle”, and an array of amino acid metabolic pathways, including “alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism”, “glycine, serine and threonine metabolism”, “cysteine and methionine metabolism”, “tyrosine metabolism”, “phenylalanine metabolism and phenylalanine”, and “tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis” were significantly influenced in response to Cry1Ab.

Conclusions: The Cry1Ab may have a negative impact on the formation of new cuticles during molting, which is contributed to the delayed development of spiderlings. To validate these transcriptomic responses, further examination at the translational level will be warranted.

Keywords: *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, Cry1Ab, Development, RNA-Seq, Chitin, Cuticle

Background

Genetically modified (GM) technology has reshaped the agricultural industry since its insertion in the late 1990s [1]. From 1996 to 2012, the global acreage of GM crops has increased dramatically from 1.7 to 160.4 million hectares [2]. The ecological benefits from rapid development and adoption of GM crops include a significant reduction in both insecticide and herbicide usage and

greenhouse gas emissions [3]. A meta-analysis in 2014 showed a 37% reduction in synthetic pesticide use, 22% increase in crop yield, and 68% increase in farmer profits [4].

Besides yield and profit gains and environmental benefits, non-monetary incentives include time savings, ease of use, and more flexibility in planning [5]. With limited arable land in China, GM technology provides a potential solution to improve agricultural productivity and sustainability. Currently, transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) cotton, resistant to Lepidoptera pests, is the most successful commercial GM crop in China [6]. In 2012, acreage of Bt cotton has reached 3.59 million hectares,

* Correspondence: wangzhispider@hotmail.com

†Equal contributors

¹College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Hunan Agriculture University, No1 Nongda Road, Changsha 410128, Hunan, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



representing 80% of total cotton area in China. Bt cotton increased yield by 10%, reduced insecticide use by 60% and generated additional US \$220 profit per hectare on average [7]. Even with the success of Bt cotton, consumers still have doubts about GM crops, partially due the lack of knowledge regarding the ecological risks [5, 8, 9]. Bt rice is facing the same challenges for the public acceptance.

The community structure of a rice field is primarily composed of soil organisms, rice, insect herbivores, predators, and parasitoids. While insect herbivores are exposed to *Bt* toxins by direct feeding, other community members can access *Bt* toxins through trophic interactions. Previous risk assessment studies showed no harmful effect of Bt rice on diversity, dominant species and abundance of non-target arthropods among the arthropod community in the field [10, 11]. Laboratory studies, on one hand, did not detect adverse impacts of Bt rice on non-target arthropods. For example, the developmental time, fecundity and survival rate of herbivorous insects *Nilaparvata lugens* and *Sogatella furcitera* were unaffected when exposed to Cry1C, Cry2A, and Cry1AC proteins, respectively [12, 13]. No significant effects were found on life history traits for predators as well, including *Chrysoperia sinica*, *Propylea japonica*, *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis*, and *Ummeliata insecticeps* [14–17]. On the other hand, some reports show non-target organisms may be susceptible to *Bt* toxins. A significant longer developmental time of *Pirata subpiraticus* was recorded when it preyed on Bt rice fed *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* [18]. Significantly lower catalase activity was found in *Fosomia candida* fed on Bt rice in comparison to those fed on non-Bt rice [19]. Due to the varying degradation of *Bt* toxin protein in soils with different physicochemical properties [20, 21], researchers did not find consistent differences in soil microorganism communities between Bt and non Bt rice fields [22]. For parasitoids, effects of Bt rice is also inconsistent, which depends on the host species, target or non-target insects [22]. As a whole, risk assessment of Bt rice has been focusing on the organismal level impacts, suborganismal impacts are largely unknown. The advent of genomics era, however, allows us to evaluate ecological risks of transgenic Bt rice on non-target organism at the transcription and translational level.

The wolf spider *Pardosa pseudoannulata* is one of the dominant predators in South China, playing a crucial role in maintaining the stability of the rice agroecosystem [23]. In this study, we carried out a comparative transcriptome analysis of the 5th instar spiders fed on *N. lugens* maintained on Bt- and non-Bt rice, respectively. Developmental time from the 2nd to 8th instars was recorded to reveal the potential impacts of Bt rice on *P. pseudoannulata* and to correlate the biological impacts with differentially expressed genes.

Methods

Plant materials and *Nilaparvata lugens* preparation

Transgenic Shanyou 63 rice expressing Cry1Ab protein (test group) and its non-transgenic parental wild type Shanyou 63 rice (control group) were obtained from the Life Science College, Hunan Normal University. Both rice varieties were grown under nylon nets ($3 \times 2 \times 1 \text{ m}^3$) without insecticide application during the entire experimental period.

Nilaparvata lugens were collected from farmland in the Hunan Academy of Agricultural Science and reared on non-transgenic parental wild type, Shanyou 63, allowing for natural colonization. The newly moulted 2nd instar *N. lugens* nymphs were then transplanted to transgenic and control rice lines. After 15-day feeding, *N. lugens* was collected and used as spider diets [24]

Spider sample collection

Female spiders with egg sacs were collected from the experimental farmland in the Hunan Academy of Agricultural Science. *Pardosa pseudoannulata* larvae were collected immediately after hatch and placed individually in a glass tube with a moist cotton ball separately ($12 \times 100 \text{ mm}$). Spiders in the test and control group were fed daily with *N. lugens* consumed Bt and non-Bt rice, respectively. All tubes were marked and maintained in an artificial climate chamber (30 °C, 70% RH and L:D 10:14 photoperiod). Developmental time of each spiderling at each instar was recorded until sexual maturity was reached. In this analysis, 120 spiders were raised for the developmental time recording (three biological replicates of 20 spiders each for 2 groups). Observation was made twice a day at 9 am and 9 pm, respectively.

Quantification of the *Bt* toxin, Cry1Ab, in spiderling

An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was conducted for Cry1Ab protein detection using a Qualipate kit for Cry1Ab/Cry1Ac (EnviroLogix, US). For each treatment, five 5th instar spiderlings were weighed as a group (test group, 0.0384 g, control group, 0.0316 g), homogenized in 1 ml PBS buffer and centrifuged for 20 min at 2,000 g. The supernatant was used to determine Cry1Ab concentration. Spectrophotometric measurements for three technical replications were obtained using a microplate reader (BioTek, ELX 800) at 450 nm. Purified Cry1Ab toxin (EnviroLogix, US) at concentrations of 0, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 24 ng/L was used to generate a standard curve. Three biological replicates were performed in ELISA assays.

RNA isolation and Illumina sequencing

A total of ten 5th instar spiderlings from the test and control groups were collected, respectively, on the ninth day after moulting and submitted to Oebiotech Enterprise

(Shanghai) for RNA extraction and sequencing. Total RNA was extracted from each sample using TRIzol (Invitrogen Corp, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies Inc, Rockland, DE, USA) using a standard of $1.8 \leq OD_{260}/OD_{280} \leq 2.1$ and was further confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

RNA sequencing libraries were constructed and sequenced on flow cells using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Clean reads were assembled using the *de novo* transcriptome assembler Trinity after removing adaptor sequences, low quantity reads (reads with ambiguous bases N), and duplicate sequences [25]. The libraries were established and unigenes of length greater than 200 bp were subjected to subsequent sequence annotation analysis. All raw reads were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (Accession number: SRR2024874, SRR2024877).

Sequence annotation

All unigenes were compared to those available in the NCBI non-redundant protein (Nr) database and Swiss-prot database using Blastx with an E-value cutoff of 10⁻⁵. The Blast2GO program and WEGO software were used to obtain GO annotation for all unigenes [26, 27]. KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes database) metabolic pathway annotation and COG (clusters of orthologous group) classification of unigenes were determined by Blastx searching against KEGG and COG databases [28, 29]. The best aligning results were used to determine potential function of the unigenes.

Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

The FPKM (number of reads per kb of exon region per million mapped reads) method was used for quantifying gene expression levels and was able to eliminate the influence of different gene lengths and sequencing levels in the calculation of gene expression [30]. The DEGseq software package (<http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/2.6/bioc/html/DEGseq.html>) was used to screen differentially expressed genes (DEGs) based on a statistical analysis of negative binomial distribution and to quantify the gene expression levels with baseMean values [31]. A threshold for false discovery rate of <0.01 and absolute value of log₂ (fold change) ratio > 2 were used to determine significant differences in gene expression.

Functional annotation of DEGs

All DEGs were searched against five public databases, Swiss prot, Nr, COG, GO, and KEGG. The hypergeometric test was used to find significantly enriched GO terms in DEGs based on GO annotation. The calculated *p* value then underwent Bonferroni Correction, using corrected *p* value ≤ 0.001 as a threshold. GO terms

fulfilling this condition are defined as significantly enriched GO terms in DEGs. Similarly, pathway enrichment analysis was conducted to identify significantly enriched metabolic pathways or signal transduction pathways in DEGs, using *p* value ≤ 0.01 as a threshold.

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Transcriptome results were verified using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). Total RNA was isolated from each sample with TRIzol (Invitrogen, USA) and subjected to DNase I treatment (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturers' protocols. cDNA was synthesized with a RevertAid™ H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas Lithuania) and qPCR was performed using the ABI 7900 HT system (ABI, USA) with a reaction volume of 25 μ l containing 1 μ l of 1:10 diluted cDNA in ddH₂O, 12.5 μ l of 2 \times SYBR Green Master Mix (ABI, USA) and 100 nM of each of the primers. The qPCR conditions were 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s for denaturation, 55 °C for 30 s for annealing and 72 °C for 30 s for extension. The experiment was repeated three times, and expression levels of each gene were normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA, GenBank accession number: X13457, primers: 5'-AGATGCCCTTAGATGTCCGG-3'; 5'-AAGGGCAGGGACGTAATCAA-3'). All primers were designed using the primer 3.0 program (<http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/>) [32].

Data analysis

Data on developmental time of spiderlings and qPCR were analysed using a *t*-test with SPSS 17.0 software. Significant differences at *p* < 0.05 were designated with *, and data were presented as the mean \pm SE.

Results

Bt rice affects the developmental time of *P. pseudoannulata*

The Cry1Ab protein content of 5th instar *P. pseudoannulata* spiderlings fed on *N. lugens* maintained on Cry1Ab rice was 1.451 ng/g, while no detectable level of Cry1Ab was observed in the spiderlings fed on *N. lugens* maintained on wild type rice. For total developmental time, the Bt spiderlings spent more time to reach the mature stage when compared to the controls (control group: 67.2 ± 1.58 days, test group: 73.2 ± 1.022 days, *p* < 0.05). The intermoult period of each instar in Bt spiderlings was also longer than that of controls at each instar, except for the 2nd and 8th (*p* < 0.05) (Fig. 1a).

Illumina sequencing and de novo assembly

Sequences of mRNAs pooled from the whole body of spiderlings were analyzed using an Illumina 2000 platform and resulted in 48,243,314 and 42,798,756 raw




Fig. 1 Developmental time of *P. pseudoannulata* spiderling. **a** The left column showed developmental time of spiderlings in the control group, and the right column showed that from the test group. *t*-test was used to determine the significance ($p < 0.05$). **b** qPCR analysis. Technical replicates were performed for each of three biological replicates. Data were presented as mean \pm SE


reads for non-Bt and Bt spiderlings, respectively. Trinity software was used for de novo assembly according to standard parameters. The assembly yielded 217,017 total transcripts (≥ 200 bp) with an average length of 612 bp, and the unigene dataset included 169,703 sequences with an average length of 537 bp. All unigenes were used for the annotation.

Annotation of all assembled unigenes

A total of 169,703 unigene sequences were subjected to blast searching against five public available databases, including Nr, Swiss-prot, COG, GO and KEGG, with a cut-off $E < 10^{-5}$. Of these, 39,727 (23.4%) could be matched to

Nr, 31,039 (18.3%) to Swiss-prot, 7,111 (4.2%) to KEGG, 28,646 (16.9%) to COG and 33,652 (19.8%) unigenes to GO database.

The ontology covers three domains: cellular component, molecular function and biological process [33]. Based on similarity search, 33,652 sequences among all unigenes were categorized into 10,759 GO terms consisting of three domains: cellular component category (1,073), molecular function (2,663) and biological process (7,023 unigenes) and a few fell into more than one gene function group (Fig. 2). Most sequences were annotated as “cellular process”, “single-organism process”, “metabolic process” and “binding”. The results from GO classification presented



a portion of unigenes that had the potential to impact molting, a necessary process for *P. pseudoannulata* to grow. Specifically, many unigenes corresponded to seen chitin-related GO terms (Fig. 3a) and 20 cuticle-related GO terms (Fig. 3b), including 271 in the category of “chitin binding”, 215 in “chitin metabolic process”, 215 in “cuticle chitin catabolic process”, and 211 in “structural constituent of cuticle”.


COG is a database where orthologous genes are classified. Twenty eight thousand six hundred forty-six unigenes among all unigenes were classified and divided into 25 COG categories, among which a cluster for “Signal transduction mechanisms” represented the largest group (10,452, 36.5% of all matched unigenes) followed by “General function prediction only” (9,750, 34.0%) and “Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones” (4,915, 17.2%). The categories of “Cell motility” (0.5%), “Nuclear structure” (0.8%) and “Coenzyme transport and metabolism” (1.1%) had the fewest matching genes (Fig. 4). A group of 66 unigenes were assigned to the category of “chitinase”, which is responsible for dissolving the endocuticle during molting.

Identification of DEGs and functional analysis

In total, 136 DEGs, including 132 down- and four up-regulated, were detected in the Bt spiderlings, compared to the controls (Additional file 1: Table S1). Among all DEGs, 122 had a fold change ranging from five to ten and the remaining 14 had a fold change of more than ten (Fig. 5). For all DEGs, 76 (55.9%) were assigned to

Nr, 55 (40.4%) to Swiss prot, 18 (13.2%) to COG, 9 (6.6%) to KEGG and 60 (44.1%) to GO database. Interestingly, several DEGs were found to regulate biological processes associated with cuticle or chitin, including 27 down-regulated DEGs that were categorized to the GO term “structural constituent of cuticle”, 12 to “chitin binding”, 7 to “chitin metabolic process” and 1 to “cuticle hydrocarbon biosynthetic process”, implying a potential effect of Cry1Ab on spiderling molting (Fig. 3c).

The clusters of DEGs were further characterized by GO and KEGG enrichment analyses, respectively [34]. DEGs were significantly enriched to 44 GO terms (FDR < 0.001), including six belong to “Cellular component” (26 unigenes), 13 to “Molecular function” (82 unigenes) and 25 to “Biological process” (52 unigenes) (Fig. 6). Six of the 44 enriched GO terms were related to chitin and cuticle, including three terms which belong to molecular function categories, “structural constituent of cuticle”, “chitin binding” and “chitin synthase activity”, and three to biological process, “chitin metabolic process”, “cuticle chitin biosynthetic process” and “cuticle hydrocarbon biosynthetic process” (Bold in Fig. 6). Metabolic pathway enrichment analysis demonstrated that 136 DEGs were involved in 20 pathways (Table 1). Among them, 16 functional pathways were significantly enriched (FDR < 0.01), seven of which have been associated with amino acid metabolism, including Alanine, asparatate and glutamate metabolism (ko00250); Glycine, serine and theronine metabolism (ko00260); Cysteine and methionine metabolism (ko00270); Tyrosine metabolism (ko00350),



Phenylalanine metabolism (ko00360); Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis (ko00400) and Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids (ko01040). Apparently, various amino acid metabolic pathways in *P. pseudoannulata* spiderlings were affected in response to Cry1Ab



Real-time PCR assays

To validate RNA-seq results, five DEGs were randomly selected for qPCR analysis. These genes were homologous to structural constituents of cuticle, chitin binding and chitin metabolic process (Additional file 2: Table S2). The expression profile of all five DEGs was consistent with RNA-seq data (Fig. 1-b).

Discussion

Bt toxins can be transferred via the food web and accumulate in organisms to different degrees [35]. The level of *Bt* toxin protein in predators mainly depends on expression patterns of *Bt*-protein in plants, and the feeding behavior of the herbivore [36]. Our tritrophic bioassay indicated the accumulative Cry1Ab content in 5th instar spiderling was 1.451 ng/g when *P. pseudoannulata* was preyed on *N. lugens* maintained on Cry1Ab rice. Although this protein level is slightly lower than those in *Ummeliata insecticeps* (2.04 ng/g) [37], it is still informative. Developmental time of *Bt* spiderlings was significantly prolonged, which is consistent with *Pirata subpiraticus* [35]. However, spiderlings were able to recover from the effect of *Bt* rice at a later instar. Similar to other arthropods, *P. pseudoannulata* must molt periodically to grow. The formation of new cuticle is a vital step during molting of arthropods [38]. We speculated that the delayed development of spiderlings may be due to the disruption of chitin synthesis (formation of the new cuticle) during molting.

Comparative transcriptome analysis identified 136 DEGs between Bt- and non-Bt spiderlings (FDR < 0.001, Log2foldchange > 2). Furthermore, GO annotation and enrichment analysis both suggested potential impacts of *Bt* rice on the chitin synthesis and cuticle formation (Fig. 3-c, Fig. 6). As with other arthropods, the exoskeleton of spider is made of cuticle, of which one of the primary component is chitin [39]. The molting process in spiders involves activation of hypodermal cells, secretion of exuvial fluid and apolysis, activation of enzymes in the exuvial fluid, and secretion of the new cuticle [40]. Functional analysis of DEGs suggested a disruption of new cuticle formation during molting. In addition, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses indicated that GO terms associated with chitin or cuticle, including “chitin binding”, “chitin metabolic process”, “chitin synthase activity”, “cuticle chitin biosynthetic process”, “cuticle hydrocarbon biosynthetic process”, and “structural constituent of cuticle”, and an array of amino acid metabolic pathways, including






Fig. 6 Categories of significantly enriched GO terms within DEGs between Bt and non-Bt spiderlings. Numbers in brackets indicated the sum of annotated DEGs

Table 1 Enriched pathways of DEGs between Bt- and non-Bt spiderlings

ID	Term	FDR
ko00062	Fatty acid elongation	0.0005845
ko00250	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism	0.0075729
ko00260	Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	0.0086477
ko00270	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	0.0066051
ko00330	Arginine and proline metabolism	0.0103575
ko00350	Tyrosine metabolism	0.0031269
ko00360	Phenylalanine metabolism	0.0031269
ko00400	Phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis	0.0007844
ko00650	Butanoate metabolism	0.0037787
ko00710	Carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms	0.0041007
ko00950	Isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis	0.0008605
ko00960	Tropane, piperidine and pyridine alkaloid biosynthesis	0.0007844
ko01040	Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids	0.0031269
ko01200	Carbon metabolism	0.0421923
ko01210	2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism	0.0031269
ko01212	Fatty acid metabolism	0.0121062
ko01230	Biosynthesis of amino acids	0.0246187
ko03320	PPAR signaling pathway	0.0070911
ko04142	Lysosome	0.0007775
ko04978	Mineral absorption	0.0005845

“alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism”, “glycine, serine and threonine metabolism”, “cysteine and methionine metabolism”, “tyrosine metabolism”, “phenylalanine metabolism and phenylalanine”, and “tyrosine and tryptophan biosynthesis” were significantly affected in response to Cry1Ab.

The advent of Genomic Era offers new transcriptome resources for the study of wolf spiders. Meng et al. sequenced cephalothoraxes of *P. pseudoannulata* adults and identified genes involved in insecticide metabolism and detoxification, including P450s, GSTs, AChEs, AChRs, GABA receptors, and GluCl [41]. Xiao et al. carried out RNA-Seq analysis in *P. pseudoannulata* and revealed an array of genes responding to temperature stress [42]. In this study, we focused on the genes corresponding to ingested *Bt* toxins. As a non-model animal without a reference genome, omics resources, such as transcriptomes, lay the foundation for future functional genomic research.

Conclusions

The Cry1Ab may have a negative impact on the formation of new cuticles during molting, which is contributed to the delayed development of spiderlings. To validate these transcriptomic responses, further examination at the translational level will be warranted.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Table S1. DEGs between Bt and non-Bt spiderlings. In all 136 DEGs, including 132 down- and 4 up-regulated, were detected in the Bt spiderlings, compared to the controls. (DOCX 16 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S2. Selected DEGs for qPCR and their primers. These genes were homologous to structural constituents of cuticle, chitin binding and chitin metabolic process. (XLS 55 kb)

Abbreviations

BPHs: Brown planthoppers; Bt: *Bacillus thuringiensis*; COG: Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins; DEG: Differential expression genes; FPKM: Number of reads per kb of exon region per million mapped reads; GM: Genetically modified; GO: Gene ontology; KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Database; PBS buffer: Phosphate buffer solution; qPCR: Real-time PCR; RNA-Seq: RNA sequencing

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Oebiotech Enterprise (Shanghai) for their technical assistance.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (No. 31071943, 318 31272339), the Scientific Research Key Fund of Hunan Provincial Science and Technology Department (No.319 10A054), and Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program of China (No. CAAS-ASTIP-2016-IBFC).

Availability of data and materials

The data set supporting the results of this article are available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/>) repositories, SRR2024874, and SRR2024877.

Authors' contributions

ZW designed the whole study. JW, YDP, and KFX performed all the experiments and analyzed data. BYW collected and analyzed the data. JLH contributed to preparation for the experiment. JW was responsible for the paper writing. QSS, YDP, and XGZ revised and enhanced the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The ethical approval was not required. Materials used in this study were unregulated common arthropod spiders, *Pardosa pseudoannulata*, and insect pests, *Nilaparvata lugens*.

Author details

¹College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Hunan Agriculture University, No1 Nongda Road, Changsha 410128, Hunan, China. ²Institute of Bast Fiber Crops, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changsha, Hunan 410205, China. ³Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA. ⁴Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA.

Received: 6 February 2016 Accepted: 23 December 2016

Published online: 18 January 2017

References

- Bawa AS, Anilakumar KR. Genetically modified foods: safety, risks and public concerns-a review. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2013;50(6):1035–46.
- Kamle S, Ali S. Genetically modified crops: Detection strategies and biosafety issues. *Gene*. 2013;522(2):123–32.
- Brookes G, Barfoot P. Environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996-2013: Impacts on pesticide use and carbon emissions. *GM Crops Food*. 2015;6(2):103–33.

4. Klumper W, Qaim M. A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e111629.
5. Lucht JM. Public acceptance of plant biotechnology and GM crops. *Viruses*. 2015;7(8):4254–81.
6. Wu K. Suppression of cotton bollworm in multiple crops in China in areas with Bt toxin-containing cotton. *Science*. 2009;321(5896):1676–8.
7. Li Y, Peng Y, Hallerman EM, Wu K. Biosafety management and commercial use of genetically modified crops in China. *Plant Cell Rep*. 2014;33(4):565–73.
8. Han F, Zhou D, Liu X, Cheng J, Zhang Q, Shelton AM. Attitudes in China about crops and foods developed by biotechnology. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(9):e0139114.
9. Qiu J. Controversy of GM crops in China. *Natl Sci Rev*. 2014;1(3):466–70.
10. Bai YY, Yan RH, Ye GY, Huang F, Wangila DS, Wang JJ, Cheng JA. Field response of aboveground non-target arthropod community to transgenic Bt-Cry1Ab rice plant residues in postharvest seasons. *Transgenic Res*. 2012; 21(5):1023–32.
11. Lu ZB, Tian JC, Han NS, Hu C, Peng YF, Stanley D, Ye GY. No direct effects of two transgenic Bt rice lines, T1C-19 and T2A-1, on the arthropod communities. *Environ Entomol*. 2014;43(5):1453–63.
12. Lu ZB, Liu YE, Han NS, Tian JC, Peng YF, Hu C, Guo YY, Ye GY. Transgenic cry1C or cry2A rice has no adverse impacts on the life-table parameters and population dynamics of the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Pest Manag Sci*. 2015;71(7):937–45.
13. Qiang FU, Wang F, Dong LI, Yao Q, Lai F, Zhang Z. Effects of insect-resistant transgenic rice lines MSA and MSB on non-target pests *Nilaparvata lugens* and *Sogatella fucifera*. *Acta Entomol Sin*. 2003;46(6):697–704.
14. Bai YY, Jiang MX, Cheng JA, Wang D. Effects of Cry1Ab Toxin on *Propylea japonica* (Thunberg) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) through its prey, *Nilaparvata lugens* Stål (Homoptera: Delphacidae), feeding on transgenic Bt rice. *Environ Entomol*. 2006;35(4):1130–6.
15. Chen M, Liu ZC, Ye GY, Shen ZC, Hu C, Peng YF, Altosaar I, Shelton AM. Impacts of transgenic cry1Ab rice on non-target planthoppers and their main predator *Cyrtorhinus lividipennis* (Hemiptera: Miridae)-A case study of the compatibility of Bt rice with biological control. *Biol Control*. 2007;42(2):242–50.
16. Li Y, Chen X, Hu L, Romeis J, Peng Y. Bt rice producing Cry1C protein does not have direct detrimental effects on the green lacewing *Chrysoperla sinica* (Tjeder). *Toxicol Environ Chem*. 2014;33(6):1391–7.
17. Li Y, Wang Y, Romeis J, Liu Q, Lin K, Chen X, Peng Y. Bt rice expressing Cry2Aa does not cause direct detrimental effects on larvae of *Chrysoperla sinica*. *Ecotoxicology*. 2013;22(9):1413–21.
18. Chen M, Ye GY, Liu ZC, Qi F, Cui H, Peng YF, Shelton AM. Analysis of Cry1Ab toxin bioaccumulation in a food chain of Bt rice, an herbivore and a predator. *Ecotoxicology*. 2009;18(2):230–8.
19. Yuan Y, Ke X, Chen F, Krogh PH, Ge F. Decrease in catalase activity of *Folsomia candida* fed a Bt rice diet. *Environ Pollut*. 2011;159(12):3714–20.
20. Stotzky G. Persistence and biological activity in soil of the insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, especially from transgenic plants. *Plant Soil*. 2004;266(1-2):77–89.
21. Koskella J, Stotzky G. Microbial utilization of free and clay-bound insecticidal toxins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* and their retention of insecticidal activity after incubation with microbes. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1997;63(9):3561–8.
22. Li Y, Hallerman EM, Liu Q, Wu K, Peng Y. The development and status of Bt rice in China. *Plant Biotechnol J*. 2016;14(3):839–48.
23. Zhang G, Zhang W, Gu D. The structure and dynamics of main arthropod predator community in paddy field. *Suppl J Sun Yastan Univer*. 1995;2:33–40.
24. Tian YX, Zhou Y, Xiao K, Wang Z, Chen JJ, Lu XY, Song QS. Effect of Cry1Ab protein on hemocytes of the wolf spider *Pardosa pseudoannulata*. *Biocontrol Sci Technol*. 2013;23(4):423–32.
25. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2011;29(7):644–52.
26. Conesa A, Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*. 2005;21(18):3674–6.
27. Ye J, Fang L, Zheng H, Zhang Y, Chen J, Zhang Z, Wang J, Li S, Li R, Bolund L, et al. WEGO: a web tool for plotting GO annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2006;34(Web Server issue):W293–7.
28. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2000;28(1):27–30.
29. Tatusov RL, Fedorova ND, Jackson JD, Jacobs AR, Kiryutin B, Koonin EV, Krylov DM, Mazumder R, Mekhedov SL, Nikolskaya AN, et al. The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2003;4:41.
30. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold BJ, Pachter L. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2010;28(5):511–5.
31. Wang L, Feng Z, Wang X, Wang X, Zhang X. DESeq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England). 2010;26(1):136–8.
32. Andreas U, Ioana C, Triinu K, Jian Y, Faircloth BC, et al. Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012;40(15):e115.
33. Gene Ontology C. The Gene Ontology project in 2008. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2008;36(Database issue):D440–4.
34. Rivals I, Personnaz L, Taing L, Potier MC. Enrichment or depletion of a GO category within a class of genes: which test. *Bioinformatics* (Oxford, England). 2007;23(4):401–7.
35. Wang J, Peng YD, He C, et al. Cry1Ab-expressing rice did not influence expression of fecundity-related genes in the wolf spider *Pardosa pseudoannulata*. *Gene*. 2016;592(1):1–7.
36. De Schrijver A, Devos Y, De Clercq P, Gathmann A, Romeis J. Quality of laboratory studies assessing effects of Bt-proteins on non-target organisms: minimal criteria for acceptability. *Transgenic Res*. 2016;25(4):1–17.
37. Tian JC, Liu ZC, Chen M, Chen Y, Chen XX, Peng YF, Hu C, Ye GY. Laboratory and field assessments of prey-mediated effects of transgenic Bt rice on *Ummeliata insecticeps* (Araneida: Linyphiidae). *Environ Entomol*. 2010;39(4):1369–77.
38. Gnatzy W, Romer F. Cuticle: Formation, moulting and control. In: Bereiter-Hahn J, Matoltsy AG, Richards KS, editors. *Biology of Integument*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 1984. p. 638–84.
39. Al-Sawalmih A, Li C, Siegel S, Fabritius H, Yi S, Raabe D, Fratzi P, Paris O. Microtexture and chitin/calcite orientation relationship in the mineralized exoskeleton of the american lobster. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2008;18(20):3307–14.
40. Foelix R. *Biology of spiders*. In: Cambridge, Massachusetts, and London, England: Harvard University Press; 1982.
41. Meng X, Zhang Y, Bao H, Liu Z. Sequence analysis of insecticide action and detoxification-related genes in the insect pest natural enemy *Pardosa pseudoannulata*. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4):e0125242.
42. Xiao R, Wang L, Cao Y, Zhang G. Transcriptome response to temperature stress in the wolf spider *Pardosa pseudoannulata* (Araneae: Lycosidae). *Ecol Evol*. 2016;6(11):3540–54.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

