



Gluck Equine Research Center Faculty Publications

Gluck Equine Research Center

12-19-2017

# Evaluation of a Field-Deployable Reverse Transcription-Insulated Isothermal PCR for Rapid and Sensitive On-Site Detection of Zika Virus

Mariano Carossino University of Kentucky, mcarossino@uky.edu

Yanqiu Li *University of Kentucky*, yanqiu.li@uky.edu

Pei-Yu A. Lee GeneReach

Chuan-Fu Tsai GeneReach

Pin-Hsing Chou GeneReach

See next page for additional authors

**Follow this conductional works at in the period of the Entomology Commons, Large or Food Animal and Equine Medicine Commons, Public Health Commons, and the Viruses Commons** 

### **Repository Citation**

Carossino, Mariano; Li, Yanqiu; Lee, Pei-Yu A.; Tsai, Chuan-Fu; Chou, Pin-Hsing; Williams, Dennis; Skillman, Ashley; Cook, R. Frank; Brown, Grayson; Chang, Hsiao-Fen G.; Wang, Hwa-Tang T.; and Balasuriya, Udeni B. R., "Evaluation of a Field-Deployable Reverse Transcription-Insulated Isothermal PCR for Rapid and Sensitive On-Site Detection of Zika Virus" (2017). *Gluck Equine Research Center Faculty Publications*. 13.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/gerc\_facpub/13

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Gluck Equine Research Center at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Gluck Equine Research Center Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

### Authors

Mariano Carossino, Yanqiu Li, Pei-Yu A. Lee, Chuan-Fu Tsai, Pin-Hsing Chou, Dennis Williams, Ashley Skillman, R. Frank Cook, Grayson Brown, Hsiao-Fen G. Chang, Hwa-Tang T. Wang, and Udeni B. R. Balasuriya

Evaluation of a Field-Deployable Reverse Transcription-Insulated Isothermal PCR for Rapid and Sensitive On-Site Detection of Zika Virus

### **Notes/Citation Information**

Published in BMC Infectious Diseases, v. 17, 778, p. 1-15.

© The Author(s). 2017

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI) https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-017-2852-4

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 



# Evaluation of a field-deployable reverse transcription-insulated isothermal PCR for rapid and sensitive on-site detection of Zika virus

Mariano Carossino<sup>1</sup>, Yanqiu Li<sup>1</sup>, Pei-Yu A. Lee<sup>2</sup>, Chuan-Fu Tsai<sup>2</sup>, Pin-Hsing Chou<sup>2</sup>, Dennis Williams<sup>3</sup>, Ashley Skillman<sup>1</sup>, R. Frank Cook<sup>1</sup>, Grayson Brown<sup>4</sup>, Hsiao-Fen G. Chang<sup>2</sup>, Hwa-Tang T. Wang<sup>2</sup> and Udeni B. R. Balasuriya<sup>1\*</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** The recent emergence of Zika virus (ZIKV) in Brazil and its precipitous expansion throughout the Americas has highlighted the urgent need for a rapid and reliable on-site diagnostic assay suitable for viral detection. Such point-of-need (PON), low-cost diagnostics are essential for ZIKV control in vulnerable areas with limited resources.

**Methods:** We developed and evaluated a ZIKV-specific field-deployable RT-iiPCR reagent set targeting the E gene for rapid detection of ZIKV in ZIKV-spiked human and mosquito specimens, and compared its performance to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) RT-qPCR assays targeting the E and NS2B genes, respectively.

**Results:** These assays demonstrated exclusive specificity for ZIKV (African and Asian lineages), had limits of detection ranging from 10 to 100 in vitro transcribed RNA copies/ $\mu$ l and detection endpoints at 10 plaque forming units/ml of infectious tissue culture fluid. Analysis of human whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, urine, and mosquito pool samples spiked with ZIKV showed an agreement of 90% (k = 0.80), 92% (k = 0.82), 95% (k = 0.86), 92% (k = 0.81), 90% (k = 0.79), and 100% (k = 1), respectively, between the RT-iiPCR assay and composite results from the reference RT-qPCR assays. Overall, the concurrence between the ZIKV RT-iiPCR and the reference RT-qPCR assays was 92% (k = 0.83).

**Conclusions:** The ZIKV RT-iiPCR has a performance comparable to the reference CDC and PAHO RT-qPCR assays but provides much faster results (~1.5 h) with a field-deployable system that can be utilized as a PON diagnostic with the potential to significantly improve the quality of the health care system in vulnerable areas.

Keywords: Zika virus, Insulated isothermal PCR, iiPCR, POCKIT, Point-of-need assay

### Background

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a mosquito-borne flavivirus first isolated in 1947 from a febrile rhesus macaque monkey in the Zika Forest of Uganda and subsequently identified in infected *Aedes africanus* mosquitoes [1, 2]. Human infection was first reported in Nigeria in 1954 [3], however ZIKV remained in relative obscurity for nearly 60 years until a change in its infection pattern was observed with the occurrence of the first major outbreak in Yap (Federated States of Micronesia) where approximately 74% of the population were infected and 18% of the infected people developed symptomatic disease [4], typically characterized by an acute, mild febrile illness of short duration. Since then, ZIKV has spread throughout the Pacific, and serosurveillance studies suggest that ZIKV infection is widespread throughout Africa, Asia, and Oceania [4–7]. In March 2015, ZIKV was first identified in the Americas associated with an extensive outbreak of exanthematous illness in Bahia, Brazil with an



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: ubalasuriya@uky.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Maxwell H. Gluck Equine Research Center, Department of Veterinary Science, College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

estimate of 1.3 million suspected cases by December 2015 [8–11]. The virus precipitously spread throughout the Americas and has now been reported in at least 33 countries including Puerto Rico, US Virgin Islands, and the continental US [5, 6, 12, 13].

ZIKV belongs to the family *Flaviviridae*, genus *Flavivirus*, and it is closely related to other mosquito-borne flaviviruses such as dengue (DENV), West Nile (WNV), and Japanese encephalitis viruses (JEV) [14, 15]. ZIKV has a positive-sense, single stranded RNA (+ ssRNA) genome of approximately 11 kb in length and a single open reading frame (ORF) flanked by two untranslated regions (UTRs) at both the 5' and 3' termini. The single ORF encodes for a polyprotein that, upon cleavage, gives rise to 3 structural (capsid [C], precursor of membrane [prM], and envelope [E] proteins) and 7 nonstructural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) [14-18]. ZIKV strains can be phylogenetically grouped into two distinct phylogenetic lineages (African and Asian lineages) [5, 17, 19, 20]. Similarly to other flaviviruses, ZIKV is primarily transmitted by Aedes species of mosquitoes, including the urban and suburban mosquito species A. aegypti and A. albopictus, also implicated in the transmission of DENV and alphaviruses such as Chikungunya virus (CHIKV) [18, 21–25]. Even though urban and suburban transmission cycles involve human-mosquito-human transmission, the sylvatic transmission cycle apparently involves non-human primates as the main viral reservoir [5]. In addition, ZIKV can be transmitted from the mother to the developing fetus during pregnancy or to the infant during the peripartum [26]. Most importantly, the virus can be transmitted during sexual intercourse via semen or vaginal secretions [27-31]. Also, ZIKV can be potentially transmitted by blood transfusions [32-36], and transmission through transfusion of a platelet concentrate has been recently reported in Brazil [36].

Although the vast majority of infected individuals (approximately 80%) remain asymptomatic, ZIKV can cause a wide range of clinical manifestations ranging from a mild, acute febrile illness to severe neurologic disease (i.e. Guillain-Barré syndrome), and devastating congenital anomalies including microcephaly, ocular malformations, and other neurologic defects [5, 6, 37-43]. However, in adult individuals where clinical manifestations do occur they are usually mild, selflimiting, and non-specific associated with an acute febrile illness characterized by low-grade (~38 °C) and short-term (2-7 days) fever, fatigue, rash, arthralgia, myalgia, headache, and conjunctivitis. These clinical signs are indistinguishable from those induced by many other flaviviral or alphaviral infections. Hence, laboratory diagnosis of ZIKV is mandatory to confirm the clinical diagnosis [5, 43, 44]. Therefore, the availability of rapid, reliable, and relatively low cost diagnostic tools is of utmost importance for ZIKV control and management. Currently, clinical diagnosis of ZIKV infection relies on serological assays for the detection of antibodies (including rapid lateral-flow immunochromatographic assays, IgM capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [MAC-ELISA], and plaque reduction neutralization test [PRNT]) and molecular-based assays for the detection of viral nucleic acids (conventional or quantitative, real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction [RT-qPCR]) [43-45]. Serological assays do not offer a suitable specificity due to the extensive antibody cross-reactivity with other flaviviruses [43-45]. In contrast, molecular-based assays for detection of ZIKV RNA (e.g. RT-qPCR) are high throughput, sensitive, and highly specific. Several conventional and RT-qPCR assays have been described [43-51]. To date, there are two ZIKV RT-qPCR assays validated by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Atlanta, GA, USA) which target the prM and E genes [17], and an NS2B-specific RT-qPCR assay recently developed by the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) in response to the ZIKV outbreak in South America which intends to replace the CDC-validated ZIKV prM RT-qPCR assay of lower sensitivity [43]. However, the use of RT-qPCR assays as diagnostic tests requires centralized laboratory facilities, trained personnel, expensive equipment, and extended turnaround times associated with sample transportation over large distances. Consequently, RT-qPCR assays are not suitable for use within clinical settings in rural areas or may not be available in areas with poor resources including developing countries where ZIKV is spreading at an accelerated rate. Therefore, the socio-economic gap implies that a significant number of suspected cases do not have access to appropriate testing. For these reasons, point-of-need (PON) molecular detection tools for easy, rapid, reliable, inexpensive, and on-site ZIKV testing can not only significantly improve the quality of the health care system in vulnerable areas, but also ensure rapid testing in blood banks and provide enhanced field surveillance of ZIKV transmission with an overall impact of major significance on public health. To date, only three potential PON, molecular-based assays to detect ZIKV RNA have been developed, although not extensively evaluated on target diagnostic specimens [52-54].

Recently, a fluorescent probe hydrolysis-based insulated isothermal PCR (iiPCR) for amplification and detection of nucleic acids has been described [55] for a number of important pathogens including DENV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and *Plasmodium spp.* in human specimens [56–58]. The iiPCR is highly sensitive and specific for the detection of both DNA and RNA not only from human, but also various animal pathogens [59–70]. The PCR reaction (denaturation, annealing, and extension) is accomplished in a capillary vessel (R-tube<sup>™</sup>; GeneReach USA, Lexington, MA, USA) heated through the bottom end of the tube where, based on the Rayleigh-Bénard convection principle, the fluids cycle through temperature gradients. The results are ready in a short time (~ 1.5 h) within a field-deployable device (POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Nucleic Acid Analyzer, GeneReach USA). Integration of the hydrolysis probe technology and an optical detection module allows automatic detection and interpretation of iiPCR results in the form of "positive" or "negative" readouts in a relatively low-cost device [55] (Fig. 1).

In this study, we developed and evaluated a PON onestep RT-iiPCR reagent set targeting the E gene for the detection of ZIKV RNA from spiked-in specimens in a field-deployable system (POCKIT<sup>™</sup>). The analytical sensitivity and specificity were extensively analyzed and compared to the reference CDC (prM and E genes) and PAHO (NS2B gene) singleplex RT-qPCR assays. Subsequently, the performance of the three assays was compared using ZIKV-spiked specimens (including whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, and urine) and homogenized mosquito pools.

### Methods

### Cells, viruses, and viral RNA

Vero cells (ATCC° CCL-81<sup>st</sup>) were maintained in Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM, Mediatech, Inc., Manassas, VA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals, Flowery Branch, GA), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco°, Carlsbad, CA), and penicillin and streptomycin (100 U/ml and 100  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively; Gibco°) at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The mosquito cell lines C6/36 (*A. albopictus* [ATCC° CRL-1660<sup>st</sup>]) and AP-61 (*A. pseudoscutellaris*) were kindly provided by Dr.

Jason Velez (CDC, Atlanta, GA). C6/36 were maintained in 1X Dulbecco's modified minimum essential medium (DMEM, Gibco<sup>\*</sup>) supplemented with 7.5% sodium bicarbonate (Gibco<sup>\*</sup>), 10% fetal bovine serum, 1X non-essential amino acids (Gibco<sup>\*</sup>), and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco<sup>\*</sup>) at 30 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. AP-61 were maintained in 1X Leibovitz's L-15 medium (Gibco<sup>\*</sup>) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 7.5% tryptone phosphate broth (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 30 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

Tissue culture fluid (TCF) derived from Vero cells infected with ZIKV PRVABC59 (ATCC° VR-1843™), FLR (ATCC<sup>®</sup> VR-1844<sup>™</sup>), and MR766 (ATCC<sup>®</sup> VR-1838<sup>™</sup>) strains were used for analytical sensitivity and specificity evaluation of ZIKV-specific RT-qPCR and RT-iiPCR assays. Briefly, confluent monolayers of Vero cells were inoculated with a 1/10 dilution of ZIKV PRVABC59, FLR, and MR 766 strains in a minimal volume of maintenance media without fetal bovine serum. After 1 h adsorption at 37 °C, monolayers were overlaid with complete EMEM and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> until 100% cytopathic effect was observed (72 h post infection). Infected flasks were frozen/thawed, clarified by centrifugation at 1500 X g for 15 min at 4 °C, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C. Mosquito cell lines, C6/36 and AP-61, were infected in a similar fashion. Viral stocks were subsequently titrated in confluent 6-well plates of Vero cells. Briefly, serial ten-fold dilutions  $(10^{-1} - 10^{-12})$  of virus stocks were prepared in 1X MEM (Mediatech, Inc.) and 200 µl of each dilution were added in duplicate wells. After 1 h adsorption at 37 °C and 5% CO2, infected monolayers were overlaid with complete EMEM supplemented with 0.75% carboxymethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and incubated for 96 h.



Monolayers were stained with a 1% crystal violet solution, and viral titers expressed as plaque forming units per ml (PFU/ml) of TCF.

Genomic RNA from diverse ZIKV strains and Dengue virus (DENV) serotypes 1–4 (Table 1) were obtained from BEI Resources (Manassas, VA). Yellow fever virus (YFV), WNV, and CHIKV RNA (Table 1) were obtained from the European Virus Archive (EVAg, Marseille, France).

### Human blood, urine and semen samples and mosquitoes

Unused whole blood (6 ml tubes containing EDTA) and serum (6 ml clot tubes) from 20 healthy donors were obtained through the Kentucky Blood Center, Beaumont Centre Circle, Lexington, KY to be used in this study. Archived urine samples from healthy volunteers were obtained from the BioBank, Center for Clinical and Translational Science, Chandler Medical Center, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky. All the donors have provided informed consent at the time of sample submission and the specimens were coded and individual identifiers were permanently removed from specimens. Human semen samples were obtained from a commercial source (Lee Biosolutions, Inc., Maryland Heights, MO, USA). Dead A. albopictus mosquitoes were obtained from the Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexignton, Kentucky.

Tab	le	1 V	iruses	utilized	to	assess	the	analytical	specificity	of	the
new	рс	bint	-of-ne	ed ZIKV	RT	-iiPCR	assa	у			

Virus strain	Place and year of isolation	Source
ZIKV PRVABC59	Puerto Rico, 2015	ATCC®
ZIKV FLR	Colombia, 2015	ATCC®
ZIKV MR 766	Uganda, 1947	ATCC®
ZIKV IB H 30656	Nigeria, 1968	BEI
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259359	Panama, 2015	BEI
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259249	Panama, 2015	BEI
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259364	Panama, 2015	BEI
DENV serotype 1, Hawaii	Hawaii, 1944	BEI
DENV serotype 2, New Guinea C	New Guinea, 1944	BEI
DENV serotype 3, Philippines/H87/ 1956	Republic of the Philippines, 1956	BEI
DENV serotype 4, H241	Republic of the Philippines, 1956	BEI
YFV 17D	N/A	EVAg
WNV NY99	New York, 1999	EVAg
CHIKV H20235/STMARTIN/2013	St. Martin, 2013	EVAg

ZIKV Zika virus, DENV dengue virus, YFV yellow fever virus, WNV West Nile virus, CHIKV Chikungunya virus, N/A not applicable, ATCC<sup>\*</sup> American Type Culture Collection, BEI BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH, EVAg European Virus Archive

### ZIKV-spiked human specimens (whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, and urine)

Whole blood and serum specimens from each donor were separated into six aliquots and spiked with ZIKV PRVABC59 strain  $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ PFU/ml of TCF})$  to yield different viral titers (10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>2</sup>, 10, and 1 PFU/ml) of whole blood or serum. One aliquot of both whole blood and serum from each donor was inoculated with an equivalent volume of uninfected EMEM as mock-spiked control. Overall, a total of 20 specimens for each viral concentration were generated (20 donors x [five different viral concentrations plus one mock-spiked control] = 120 whole blood/serum specimens). An aliquot from each spiked whole blood specimen (n = 120) was stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction, while the remaining was centrifuged at 1000 X g for 10 min at 4 °C for plasma separation (n = 120) and stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction. Spiked serum samples (n = 120)were stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction. Similarly, a total of 20 archived urine samples (stored at -80 °C) were obtained from volunteer donors (males/ females). Urine samples (n = 120) were separated and spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain as described above and stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction.

In addition, a total of 4 pooled whole semen samples (1 ml each, 3 human donors per pool, 12 total human donors) from healthy, certified infectious disease-free male donors were purchased from Lee Biosolutions, Inc. Each pool was separated into 6 aliquots and spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain to reach viral titers of  $10^6$ ,  $10^3$ ,  $10^2$ , 10, and 1 PFU/ml of whole semen as explained above. One aliquot was inoculated with an equivalent volume of uninfected EMEM as mock-spiked control. Spiked aliquots were stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction.

### **ZIKV-spiked mosquito pools**

A total of 105 A. albopictus mosquitoes were divided into 7 pools (15 mosquitoes per pool). One ml of mosquito diluent (1X DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.05 mg/ml gentamicin sulphate [Mediatech, Inc.], 100 U/ml and 100 µg/ml of penicillin and streptomycin, and 5  $\mu$ g/ml amphotericin B (Gibco<sup>®</sup>) containing various concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>,  $10^2$ , 10, 1 PFU/ml, respectively which provided concentrations ranging from  $6 \times 10^4 - 0.06$  PFU/mosquito) were used to spike each mosquito pool. One mosquito pool was spiked with 1 ml of mosquito diluent as mock-spiked control. Subsequently, mosquito pools were completely homogenized using an Omni TH homogenizer (Omni, Inc., Kennesaw, GA) and disposable tips, and centrifuged at 1500 X g for 20 min at 4 °C. The clarified homogenate was stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction.

### Nucleic acid extraction

Nucleic acids from TCF, spiked human specimens (whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, and urine), and spiked mosquito pools were extracted using an automated magnetic bead-based extraction system (taco<sup>™</sup> mini, GeneReach USA) as previously described [56, 66]. Briefly, 200 µl of TCF, spiked whole blood, plasma, serum, urine, or supernatant derived from mosquito pools were added into the first well of a taco™ Preloaded DNA/RNA Extraction plate (GeneReach USA) containing lysis buffer and subjected to the extraction steps as described in the manufacturer's user manual. Elution was performed with 200 µl of Elution buffer. Due to sample limitations, 100 µl of spiked semen samples were used and nucleic acids were eluted with 100 µl of Elution buffer. All nucleic acids were stored at -80 °C for future use.

### Synthesis of target genes and in vitro transcribed RNA preparation

ZIKV-specific in vitro transcribed (IVT) RNA was synthesized in order to determine the analytical sensitivity of the ZIKV-specific RT-iiPCR and compared with the ZIKV-specific CDC (prM and E) and PAHO (NS2B) RT-qPCR assays. For this purpose, a 614 nt insert containing the targeted regions (prM [nt position 900-1000], E [nt position 1084–1364], NS2B [nt position 4500-4610] and NS5 gene [nt position 9340-9460] genes) derived from ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (GenBank Accession number KX087101.2) were chemically synthesized and cloned into the pGEM°-3Z vector (Promega, Madison, WI) downstream of the T7 promoter (pZIKV-MENS2B5) by a commercial company (GeneArt<sup>™</sup> Gene ThermoFisher Scientific, Synthesis, Regensburg, Germany). Subsequently, E. coli K12 DH10B<sup>™</sup> T1R were transformed with the construct. Transformed bacteria were cultured overnight at 37 °C with shaking (270 rpm). Plasmid DNA was purified using QIAprep Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions and screened by restriction digestion using the unique EcoRI, BamHI, and HindIII restriction sites within and flanking the insert. Sequence authenticity was confirmed by Sanger sequencing using T7 and SP6 promoter-specific primers. Plasmid DNA (1 µg) was linearized using HindIII, purified using the High Pure PCR Product Purification kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) as instructed, and 0.5 µg of plasmid DNA was used for in vitro transcription of the ZIKV MENS2B5 insert using the Megascript° T7 Transcription kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Residual plasmid DNA was removed by digestion with TURBO<sup>™</sup> DNase (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 15 min at 37 °C. The IVT RNA product was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, subjected to a clean-up procedure using the MEGAclear<sup>™</sup> Transcription Clean-Up kit (ThermoFisher Scientific), and quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). The ZIKV MENS2B5 IVT RNA was stored at -80 °C until used. The number of ZIKV IVT RNA molecules per microliter (copies/µl) was calculated according to the following formula:

### Number of IVT RNA molecules/ $\mu L$

 $= \frac{\text{Avogadro's number } (6.022 \times 10^{23}) \times \text{IVT RNA concentration } (g/\mu\text{L})}{\text{IVT RNA molecular weight } (g)}$ 

The concentration of ZIKV IVT RNA was adjusted to  $10^7$  copies/µl using nuclease-free water containing 40 ng/µl of Ambion<sup>®</sup> Yeast tRNA (ThermoFisher Scientific), and serially ten-fold diluted ( $10^7 - 0.1$  IVT RNA copies/µl) using nuclease-free water containing Ambion<sup>®</sup> Yeast tRNA.

### ZIKV-specific TaqMan® real-time RT-PCR assays

The CDC-validated ZIKV-specific TaqMan® RT-qPCR assays targeting prM and E genes along with the PAHO ZIKV-specific TaqMan® RT-qPCR assay targeting NS2B gene were utilized as previously described. Primer and probe sequences as well as fluorescent dyes and quenchers used are shown in Table 2. The reaction was set up using the QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, the 25 µl reaction contained 12.5 µl of 2X QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR Master Mix with ROX, 0.25 µl QuantiTect RT Mix, 200 nM TaqMan<sup>®</sup> fluorogenic probe, 500 nM each primer, and 5 µl of template RNA. Reverse transcription and amplification were carried out in an ABI 7500 Fast Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems<sup>®</sup>, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). The program included 30 min at 50 °C (reverse transcription step), 15 min at 95 °C (PCR initial activation step), followed by 45 cycles at 94 °C for 15 s (denaturation) and 60 °C for 1 min (combined annealing/ extension). Even though the analytical sensitivity and specificity of all ZIKV-specific RT-qPCR assays (targeting prM, E, and NS2B) were evaluated, only the ZIKV RT-qPCR assays targeting E and NS2B were used to assess their performance in ZIKV-spiked specimens and to compare with the performance of the ZIKV RT-iiPCR reagent set. Amplification with one of the two ZIKV RT-qPCR assays (E and NS2B) determined a sample as positive, with a cutoff Ct value of ≤38.5 as described by Lanciotti, et al. [17]. Samples with 38.5 < Ct value  $\ge 45$  were considered inconclusive.

**ZIKV-specific reverse-transcription insulated isothermal PCR** The ZIKV-specific RT-iiPCR (POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Zika Virus Reagent Set) assay was designed to target the E gene of ZIKV (proprietary). The RT-iiPCR reaction conditions, such as concentrations of primers and probe, *Taq* DNA

 Table 2 Primer and probe sequences used in the CDC and PAHO RT-qPCR assays

Name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Target	Position <sup>a</sup>	Function	Reference
ZIKV914prM	TTGGTCATGATACTGCTGATTGC	prM	nt914–936	RT-qPCR forward primer	Lanciotti et al. [17]
ZIKV990prMc	CCTTCCACAAAGTCCCTATTGC	prM	nt990–969	RT-qPCR reverse primer	Lanciotti et al., [17]
ZIKV965prMFAM	FAM-CGGCATACAGCATCAGGTGCATAGGAG-TAMRA	prM	nt939–965	RT-qPCR forward probe	Lanciotti et al., [17]
ZIKV1165E	CCGCTGCCCAACACAAG	Е	nt1165-1181	RT-qPCR forward primer	Lanciottiet al., [17]
ZIKV1241Ec	CCACTAACGTTCTTTTGCAGACAT	Е	nt1241-1218	RT-qPCR reverse primer	Lanciotti et al., [17]
ZIKV1216HEX	HEX-AGCCTACCTTGACAAGCARTCAGACACTCAA-BHQ1	Е	nt1186-1216	RT-qPCR forward probe	Lanciotti et al., [17]
ZIKV4513NS2B	CTGTGGCATGAACCCAATAG	NS2B	nt4513–4532	RT-qPCR forward primer	Waggoner and Pinsky, [43]
ZIKV4603NS2Bc	ATCCCATAGAGCACCACTCC	NS2B	nt4603–4584	RT-qPCR reverse primer	Waggoner and Pinsky, [43]
ZIKV4558cFAM	FAM-CCACGCTCCAGCTGCAAAGG-TAMRA	NS2B	nt4558–4539	RT-qPCR probe	Waggoner and Pinsky, [43]

<sup>a</sup>Nucleotide position is based on ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (Puerto Rico, 2015), GenBank accession number KX087101.2

polymerase, and reverse transcriptase, were tested systematically to obtain the highest sensitivity and specificity. Following optimization of the RT-iiPCR assay conditions, the reagents including primers and probe were lyophilized (proprietary) and used in this study. Briefly, after reconstituting the lyophilized pellet with 50 µl of Premix Buffer B (GeneReach USA), 5 µl of the sample nucleic acid was added to the reaction. Subsequently, 50  $\mu$ l of the final mixture was transferred into an R-tube<sup>™</sup> (GeneReach USA), sealed with a cap, spun for 10 s in a cubee<sup>™</sup> centrifuge (GeneReach USA), and placed into a POCKIT<sup>™</sup> device (GeneReach USA). The default program, that included an RT step at 50 °C for 10 min and an iiPCR step at 95 °C for 30 min, completed in less than one hour. Signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios, i.e. light signals collected after iiPCR/fluorescent signals collected before iiPCR [65], were converted automatically to "+", "-", or "?" according to the default S/N thresholds by the built-in algorithm. The results were shown on the display screen at the end of the program. A "?" indicated that the results were ambiguous and the sample should be tested again (Fig. 1).

### Statistical analysis

Standard curves were performed using nucleic acids prepared from a serial dilution series of both a ZIKV-infected TCF stock  $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ PFU/ml})$  and IVT RNA  $(10^7 \text{ to } 0.1 \text{ IVT RNA copies/µl})$ . Pearson correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$  were used to assess curve fitness. PCR amplification efficiencies (%) were calculated using the following formula:  $\text{E} = \left[10^{-\frac{1}{\text{slope}}} - 1\right] \times 100$  after regression analysis. Limit of detection with 95% confidence (LOD<sub>95%</sub>) was determined by statistical probit analysis (a non-linear regression model) using the commercial software SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for all assays (ZIKV prM, E, and NS2B RT-qPCR, and ZIKV E RT-iiPCR). The performance of ZIKV RT-iiPCR in spiked-in specimens was compared to the

combined use of E and NS2B RT-qPCR assays; the overall degree of agreement between the assays (combined CDC E and PAHO NS2B RT-qPCR vs. RT-iiPCR) was evaluated for the total number of specimens, and also by sample type categories independently. Contingency tables  $(2 \times 2)$  for ZIKV- and mock-spiked samples were generated to estimate the relative sensitivity and specificity of each assay per sample category, and compared using the McNemar's test for paired data. The level of significance was set at 0.01.

### **Results**

### Comparison of the analytical sensitivity and specificity of the ZIKV RT-iiPCR and reference CDC and PAHO ZIKV RT-qPCR assays

(i). Analytical sensitivity. The analytical sensitivity of the PON ZIKV RT-iiPCR was determined using a (a) ten-fold dilution series (six replicates per dilution) of ZIKV IVT RNA (10<sup>7</sup> to 0.1 IVT RNA copies/ $\mu$ l) containing the target sequence, and (b) ten-fold serial dilutions  $(10^{0}-10^{-13})$  of nucleic acid extracted from TCF derived from ZIKV PRVABC59infected Vero cells containing a viral titer of  $10^7$ PFU/ml. These samples were also used to determine the analytical sensitivities of the CDC-validated prM and E, and PAHO-validated NS2B ZIKV RT-qPCR assays (Tables 3 and 4). Standard curves generated for the three RT-qPCR assays using both a serial dilution of infectious TCF and IVT RNA demonstrated perfect linearity ( $R^2 > 0.99$ ) and optimal amplification efficiencies ranging between 97% and 105% (data not shown). For the ZIKV IVT RNA serial dilution, the RT-iiPCR showed 100%, 83%, 83%, 17%, and 0% detection rates for reaction mixtures containing 1000; 100; 10; 1; and 0.1 IVT RNA copies/µl, respectively (Table 3), and a 100% detection endpoint at 10 PFU/ml of infectious TCF (PRVABC59 strain, Table 4). Probit analysis

	ZIKV prM RT-	-qPCR	ZIKV E RT-c	IPCR	ZIKV NS2B RT-qP	CR	ZIKV RT-iiPCR	
ZIKV RNA copies/µl	No. positive/No. tested	Rate (%)	No. positive/No. tested	Rate (%)	No. positive/No. tested	Rate (%)	No. positive/No. tested	Rate (%)
10 <sup>7</sup>	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100
10 <sup>6</sup>	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100
10 <sup>5</sup>	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100
10 <sup>4</sup>	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100
10 <sup>3</sup>	3/6	50	6/6	100	6/6	100	6/6	100
10 <sup>2</sup>	1/6	17	6/6	100	6/6	100	5/6	83
10	0/6	0	5/6	83	6/6	100	5/6	83
1	0/6	0	1/6	17	1/6	17	1/6	17
0.1	0/6	0	0/6	0	0/6	0	0/6	0

Table 3 Analytical sensitivity of ZIKV RT-qPCR and RT-iiPCR assays using ZIKV in vitro transcribed RNA

PRVABC59, Puerto Rico 2015 strain (ATCC<sup>®</sup> VR-1843™)

determined that the limit of detection 95% (LOD<sub>95%</sub>) of the ZIKV RT-iiPCR was 130 copies/ $\mu$ l of ZIKV IVT RNA. Regarding the CDC and PAHO RT-qPCR assays, the 100% detection endpoints were found at 10,000 IVT RNA copies/ $\mu$ l and 100 PFU/ml of infectious TCF for the prM RT-qPCR assay, 100 IVT RNA copies/ $\mu$ l and 10 PFU/ml of infectious TCF for the E RT-qPCR assay, and 10 IVT RNA copies/ $\mu$ l and 10 PFU/ml of infectious TCF for the NS2B RT-qPCR assay, respectively (Tables 3 and 4). LOD<sub>95%</sub> was estimated at 4102; 21; and 6 IVT RNA copies/ $\mu$ l for the prM, E, and NS2B RT-qPCR assays, respectively. Therefore, the overall analytical sensitivity of the ZIKV RT-iiPCR was comparable to that of the CDC E and PAHO NS2B RT-qPCR assays in detecting viral RNA, while having a higher performance when compared to that of the CDC prM RT-qPCR assay.

(ii). Analytical specificity. The specificity and pan-reactivity of the ZIKV CDC and PAHO RTqPCR and RT-iiPCR assays were evaluated using a panel of reference viral RNA from different ZIKV strains (African and Asian lineages) as well as other flaviviruses and alphaviruses that frequently cause similar clinical symptoms including DENV serotypes 1–4, WNV, YFV, and CHIKV (Table 1). The CDC prM and PAHO NS2B RT-qPCR assays were able to detect all ZIKV strains from the Asian lineage.

 Table 4 Analytical sensitivity of ZIKV RT-qPCR and RT-iiPCR assays using RNA derived from infectious tissue culture fluid (10<sup>0</sup>-10<sup>-13</sup>)

 ZIKV PRVABC59<sup>a</sup>

2000 1000 00	0.00											
Dilutions	ZIKV pr	M RT-qPCR (C	Ct value)	ZIKV E	RT-qPCR (C	t value)	ZIKV NS	2B RT-qPCR (	Ct value)	ZI	KV RT-iiP	CR
10 <sup>0</sup>	16.92	16.96	16.89	17.22	17.22	17.32	16.61	16.57	16.45	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-1</sup>	19.34	19.42	19.36	19.41	19.34	19.43	18.87	18.90	18.86	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-2</sup>	23.08	23.06	22.91	23.17	23.21	23.14	22.68	22.65	22.53	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-3</sup>	26.02	26.08	26.05	26.18	26.18	26.12	25.50	25.54	25.52	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-4</sup>	29.62	29.53	29.63	29.76	29.73	29.9	29.06	29.64	29.72	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-5</sup>	32.66	32.50	32.73	33.13	32.94	32.90	32.29	32.01	31.99	Pos	Pos	Pos
10 <sup>-6</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	36.89	36.61	36.26	36.94	35.98	36.71	Pos	Pos	Pos
10 <sup>-7</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	40.64	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Pos	Neg	Pos
10 <sup>-8</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
10 <sup>-9</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
10 <sup>-10</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
10 <sup>-11</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-12</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	ND	ND	ND
10 <sup>-13</sup>	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg	ND	ND	ND

Detection endpoints (100%) are indicated in bold, and are equivalent to 100 PFU/ml for ZIKV prM RT-qPCR assay, and 10 PFU/ml for ZIKV E and NS2B RT-qPCR assays and ZIKV RT-iiPCR assay. *Neg* negative, *Pos* positive, *ND* not determined; PRVABC59, Puerto Rico 2015 strain (ATCC<sup>°</sup> VR-1843<sup>™</sup>); <sup>a</sup>1x10<sup>7</sup> plaque forming units per ml (PFU/ml); bold and italic, 100% detection end point

However, the PAHO NS2B RT-qPCR assay did not successfully amplify RNA derived from strains belonging to the African lineage (MR 766 and IB H 30656) while the CDC prM RT-qPCR assay was able to detect the IB H 30656 strain but not the MR 766 strain (Table 5). The CDC E RT-qPCR and the RT-iiPCR assays successfully detected all ZIKV strains from both lineages (Table 5). Moreover, the RT-iiPCR detected ZIKV RNA (PRVABC59 and FLR [Asian lineage], and MR766 [African lineage] strains) derived from both infected mammalian (Vero) and mosquito (C6/36 and AP-61) cell lines. All assays were highly specific and did not detect any other related flaviviruses or CHIKV (Table 5).

## Performance evaluation of the RT-iiPCR using ZIKV-spiked human samples

As a result of the lower analytical sensitivity of the CDC prM RT-qPCR assay, the performance of the ZIKV RTiiPCR was evaluated and compared to the CDC E and PAHO NS2B RT-qPCR assays as recently recommended [43] using specimens (n = 481, including whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, urine, and mosquitos) spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain. Negative controls were generated by the addition of non-infected TCF to aliquots of the same clinical samples (mock-spiked).

(i). Whole blood. Whole blood samples derived from 20 healthy individuals were spiked with different concentrations (10<sup>6</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup>, 10<sup>2</sup>, 10, 1 and 0 [mock] PFU/ml) of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain to simulate varying degrees of viremia titers, giving a total of 100 ZIKV-spiked and 20 mock-spiked samples. The

combined use of the CDC and PAHO RT-gPCR assays (CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR) detected 60/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20)(Additional file 1: Table S1). All ZIKV-spiked samples that yielded false negative results using the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR assays (40/100) contained  $\leq$ 100 PFU/ml. Among these, eight samples vielded inconclusive results with Ct > 38.5 for at least one of the RT-qPCR assays, with a titer range within 10 (n = 6) to 1 (n = 2) PFU/ml of whole blood. Detection rates per viral titer are shown in Table 6. In contrast, the RT-iiPCR showed a higher detection rate and identified 74/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20). Similarly to the RT-qPCR assays, those samples that yielded false negative results (26/100) had viral titers ≤100 PFU/ml (Table 6). The CDC-PAHO RT-gPCR and the RTiiPCR assays showed an agreement of 90% for this sample type (k = 0.80 [CI 95%: 0.69–0.91]) (Table 7).

(ii). Plasma. Plasma samples derived from 20 healthy individuals were spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain as previously indicated, giving a total of 100 ZIKV-spiked and 20 mockspiked samples. The combined CDC-PAHO RTqPCR detected 74/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20) (Additional file 1: Table S1). All samples that yielded false negative results using the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR assays (26/100) contained ≤100 PFU/ml of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain. Among these, five samples yielded inconclusive results with

Table 5	Inclusivity	and exclusivity	test panel used	to compare the	e specificity of	ZIKV RT-qPCR and	RT-iiPCR assays
---------	-------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------	------------------	-----------------

Virus	Lineage	ZIKV prM RT-qPCR (Ct value)	ZIKV E RT-qPCR (Ct value)	ZIKV NS2B RT-qPCR (Ct value)	ZIKV RT-iiPCR
ZIKV MR 766	African	Neg	18.85	Neg	Pos
ZIKV IB H 30656	African	22.58	21.85	Neg	Pos
ZIKV PRVABC59	Asian	16.94	17.27	17.81	Pos
ZIKV FLR	Asian	18.89	18.97	20.94	Pos
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259359	Asian	22.52	23.47	22.75	Pos
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259249	Asian	26.85	27.24	26.27	Pos
ZIKV H/PAN/2015/CDC-259364	Asian	26.03	26.46	25.62	Pos
DENV serotype 1, Hawaii	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DENV serotype 2, New Guinea C	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DENV serotype 3, Philippines/H87/1956	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
DENV serotype 4, H241	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
YFV 17D	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
WNV NY99	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg
CHIKV H20235/STMARTIN/2013	N/A	Neg	Neg	Neg	Neg

Pos positive, Neg negative, N/A not applicable

<sup>a</sup>Number between parentheses indicates the number of inconclusive results (Ct > 38.5)

Ct > 38.5 for at least one of the RT-qPCR assays, all of which had a titer of 1 PFU/ml (Table 6). In contrast, the RT-iiPCR demonstrated a higher detection rate and identified 86/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20). Similarly to the RTqPCR assays, those samples that yielded false negative results (14/100) had viral titers  $\leq$ 100 PFU/ ml (Table 6). The agreement between the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR and the RT-iiPCR assays was 92% (k = 0.82 [CI 95%: 0.71-0.93]) (Table 7), higher than that observed with whole blood samples.

(iii). Serum. Serum samples derived from 20 healthy individuals were spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain as previously indicated, giving a total of 100 ZIKVspiked and 20 mock-spiked samples. The combined CDC-PAHO RT-gPCR detected 78/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20) (Additional file 1: Table S1). All samples that yielded false negative results using the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR assays (22/100) were spiked with ≤10 PFU/ml of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (Table 6), which demonstrated a lower detection limit compared to other blood-derived specimens (i.e. whole blood and plasma). Among these, nine samples yielded inconclusive results with Ct > 38.5 for at least one of the RT-gPCR assays, with 7/9 having a titer of 1 PFU/ml and 2/9 having a titer of 10 PFU/ml. In contrast, the RT-iiPCR showed a higher detection rate and identified 90/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20). Those samples that yielded false negative results (10/100) had viral titers of 1 PFU/ml (Table 6). The highest level of agreement between assays was observed for this sample type among other blood-derived specimens (95%; k = 0.86 [CI 95%: 0.76–0.97]) (Table 7). Even though the RT-iiPCR had a higher detection rate than the RT-qPCR assays, both assays consistently detected viral RNA in samples containing as low as 100 PFU/ml of virus.

(iv). Semen. Since it has been recently demonstrated that ZIKV can be sexually transmitted from infected individuals, we assessed the performance of the ZIKV RT-iiPCR in spiked semen samples. Each of a total of 4 pooled semen samples (semen from three individuals per pool [total of 12 semen samples]) were spiked with  $10^6$ ,  $10^3$ ,  $10^2$ , 10, 1 and 0 (mock) PFU/ml of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (n = 24). The CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR detected 15/20 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the negative samples yielded positive results (0/4) (Additional file 1: Table S1). One out of the 5 false negative results obtained using the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR assays contained a viral titer of 10 PFU/ml, while the other samples that yielded negative results had viral titers of 1 PFU/ml of semen (Table 6). The RT-iiPCR detected 17/20 positive samples and 0/4 negative samples. The three ZIKV-spiked samples that were undetectable had viral titers of 1 PFU/ml (Table 6). In summary, the agreement between the two assays was 92% (k = 0.81 [CI 95%: 0.57–1]) (Table 7).

**Table 6** Detection rates per assay according to the specimen'sviral titer and sample type

Sample type	Viral titer (PFU/ml)	RT-iiPCR No. positive/No. tested	CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR No. positive/No. tested <sup>a</sup>
Whole	1	4/20	0/20 (2)
blood	10	11/20	3/20 (6)
	10 <sup>2</sup>	19/20	17/20
	10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	20/20
	10 <sup>6</sup>	20/20	20/20
Plasma	1	9/20	1/20 (5)
	10	18/20	14/20
	10 <sup>2</sup>	19/20	19/20
	10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	20/20
	10 <sup>6</sup>	20/20	20/20
Serum	1	10/20	1/20 (7)
	10	20/20	17/20 (2)
	10 <sup>2</sup>	20/20	20/20
	10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	20/20
	10 <sup>6</sup>	20/20	20/20
Semen	1	1/4	0/4
	10	4/4	3/4
	10 <sup>2</sup>	4/4	4/4
	10 <sup>3</sup>	4/4	4/4
	10 <sup>6</sup>	4/4	4/4
Urine	1	1/20	0/20
	10	12/20	1/20 (5)
	10 <sup>2</sup>	20/20	16/20 (3)
	10 <sup>3</sup>	20/20	20/20
	10 <sup>6</sup>	20/20	20/20
Mosquito	1	0/1	0/1
pools	10	1/1	1/1
	10 <sup>2</sup>	1/1	1/1
	10 <sup>3</sup>	1/1	1/1
	10 <sup>4</sup>	1/1	1/1
	10 <sup>6</sup>	1/1	1/1
<sup>a</sup> Number bet	ween parent	heses indicates the numbe	r of inconclusive

Specimen type	RT-iiPCR		Agreement (k, Cl95%) <sup>b</sup>			
		Positive	Negative	Total		
Overall performance	Positive	288	38	326	92% (0.83 [0.77–0.88])	
	Negative	1	154	155		
	Total	289	192	481		
Whole blood	Positive	60	11	71	90% (0.80 [0.69–0.91])	
	Negative	0	41	41		
	Total	60	52	112		
Plasma	Positive	74	9	83	92% (0.82 [0.71–0.93])	
	Negative	0	32	32		
	Total	74	41	115		
Serum	Positive	78	6	84	95% (0.86 [0.76–0.97])	
	Negative	0	27	27		
	Total	78	33	111		
Semen	Positive	15	2	17	92% (0.81 [0.57–1])	
	Negative	0	7	7		
	Total	15	9	24		
Urine	Positive	56	10	66	90% (0.79 [0.67–0.89])	
	Negative	1	45	46		
	Total	57	55	112		
Mosquito pools	Positive	5	0	5	100% (1)	
	Negative	0	2	2		
	Total	5	2	7		

Table 7	Agreement	between	the RT-iiPCR	and RT-c	PCR assa	ys for detec	tion of ZIKV	RNA in	diverse spi	iked sp	becimens
---------	-----------	---------	--------------	----------	----------	--------------	--------------	--------	-------------	---------	----------

aSamples that yielded inconclusive results (Ct > 38.5) were not included in the analysis. <sup>b</sup>The Kappa statistic and 95% confidence interval is shown within brackets

(v).Urine. Urine samples derived from 20 healthy individuals were spiked with different concentrations of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain as previously indicated, giving a total of 100 ZIKVspiked and 20 mock-spiked samples. The combined CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR detected 57/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mockspiked samples yielded positive results (0/20)(Additional file 1: Table S1). All samples that yielded false negative results (43/100) were spiked with ≤100 PFU/ml of ZIKV PRVABC59 strain (Table 6). Among the eight samples that yielded inconclusive results (Ct > 38.5 for at least one of the RT-qPCR assays), 5/8 and 3/8 had a titer of 10 PFU/ml and 100 PFU/ml, respectively. In contrast, the RT-iiPCR showed a higher detection rate and identified 73/100 ZIKV-spiked samples while none of the mock-spiked samples yielded positive results (0/20). Those samples that yielded false negative results (27/100) had viral titers  $\leq 10$ PFU/ml (Table 6). The level of agreement between assays for this sample type was 90% (k = 0.79[CI 95%: 0.67-0.89]) (Table 7).

## Performance evaluation of the RT-iiPCR using ZIKV-spiked mosquito pools

The performance of the PON ZIKV RT-iiPCR was also evaluated in ZIKV-spiked mosquito pool specimens to assess its suitability as a rapid surveillance test in the vector population. Six mosquito pools (*A. albopictus*, n = 15 per pool) spiked with ZIKV PRVABC59 strain at concentrations ranging from  $10^6$  to 1 PFU/ml of mosquito pool homogenate (equivalent to  $6 \times 10^4 - 0.06$  PFU/mosquito) and a mock-spiked *A. albopictus* pool (n = 15) were evaluated. Both the combined CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR and RT-iiPCR correctly identified 5/7 ZIKV- ( $10^6 - 10$  PFU/ml) and mock-spiked mosquito pools, with the exception of that containing 1 PFU/ml (Table 6), indicating 100% agreement between assays (Table 7).

## Overall performance comparison between ZIKV RT-iiPCR and the reference CDC and PAHO ZIKV RT-qPCR assays

Analysis of a total of 481 spiked and mock-spiked whole blood, plasma, serum, semen, urine, and mosquito pool specimens (excluding samples that yielded inconclusive RT-qPCR results) determined an overall agreement of 92% (k = 0.83 [CI 95%: 0.77–0.88]) between the ZIKV RT-iiPCR and the CDC and PAHO ZIKV RT-qPCR assays (Table 7) along with no statistical differences in their specificity (McNemar's test, *p*-value > 0.01). Even though there is no consensus gold standard test for the diagnosis of ZIKV infection in different clinical specimens, contingency analysis of ZIKV- and mock-spiked specimens demonstrated that the ZIKV RT-iiPCR had a higher sensitivity than the composite results obtained from the CDC-PAHO RT-qPCR assays for the detection of viral RNA in whole blood, plasma, and urine samples (McNemar's test, *p*-value < 0.01). In contrast, no statistical differences in sensitivity were observed for serum, semen, and mosquito pool specimens between assays.

### Discussion

ZIKV has caused a major pandemic in the Americas during 2015–2016, with serious repercussions to the healthcare system in Brazil as well as other Caribbean countries [1, 4–8, 10, 11, 38, 42, 71]. In addition to its vector-mediated transmission, it has been demonstrated that ZIKV can be shed in the semen of infected male patients and be effectively transmitted during sexual intercourse [27–31, 72–76]. Furthermore, it also poses a significant threat to the blood bank network [33–36, 77].

Even though there are two CDC-validated and one PAHO-validated RT-qPCR assays for molecular diagnosis of ZIKV infection [17, 43], these are not suitable for use within clinical settings in rural areas or may not be available in areas with limited resources including developing countries where ZIKV is spreading at an accelerated rate. This disease, among other mosquito-borne infections, adds impetus to the development of accurate, rapid, inexpensive, and on-site detection methodologies (i.e. PON) that can aid in the clinical management of affected patients, disease surveillance, and control of epidemics in vulnerable areas and also ensure rapid testing of blood and blood products in blood banks. Here, we report the development and evaluation of a PON molecular detection test (RT-iiPCR assay) for the detection of ZIKV RNA in diverse human specimens that are likely to be encountered under field conditions. Furthermore, we determined that this assay is appropriate for detection of ZIKV RNA in homogenized mosquito pools, demonstrating its potential utility for monitoring viral prevalence in vector populations. This assay is based on the iiPCR technology [55], and it is designed for use in conjunction with a fully field-deployable device (POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Nucleic Acid Analyzer, GeneReach USA) that allows rapid amplification and detection of viral nucleic acids (~1.5 h from sample to result, including nucleic acid extraction time [Fig. 1]). A number of iiPCR-based assays have been developed for detection of human and animal pathogens [56, 57, 59–70] with two of the most recent additions being directed against all serotypes of DENV and MERS-CoV [56, 58]. The sensitivity and specificity of all iiPCR-based assays have demonstrated to be comparable with other diagnostic methods currently in use (e.g. RT-qPCR, nested PCR, virus isolation). However, RT-iiPCR offers several advantages over conventional molecular-based assays (e.g. RTqPCR assays) including lyophilized reagents that can be transported at ambient temperature, ease of reaction setup, automated detection and simple result interpretation in the form of "+" (positive result) or "-" (negative result), and rapid results (Fig. 1).

The POCKIT<sup>™</sup> system can be combined with fielddeployable manual or automatic nucleic acid extraction systems (PetNAD™ Nucleic Acid Co-prep Kit or taco™ mini Nucleic Acid automated extraction system [taco<sup>™</sup> mini], GeneReach USA) or other column-based extraction systems of choice. Accordingly, a taco<sup>™</sup> mini  $(30 \times 26.5 \times 26 \text{ cm}, \text{ W x D x H}, 5 \text{ kg})$  and a POCKIT<sup>TM</sup> device  $(31 \times 26 \times 15 \text{ cm}, \text{ W x D x H}, \text{ W})$ kg) have been combined for field applications 2.1(POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Combo), and can be powered by a car or rechargeable battery. The POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Combo has been accepted as a mobile PCR tool in the management of animal health. Also, a hand-held model, POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Micro Plus  $(6.3 \times 15.2 \times 5.0 \text{ cm}, \text{W x D x H}; 0.3 \text{ kg}; \text{GeneReach USA})$ has been developed for field applications. Recently, feasibility of the combination of POCKIT<sup>™</sup> Micro Plus and the automatic taco<sup>™</sup> mini was demonstrated in a field test carried out in Vietnam for monitoring avian influenza A viruses in poultry markets. Test results using a influenza A RT-iiPCR reagent set were comparable to those of an RTqPCR in a central laboratory (unpublished data). Furthermore, we have clearly demonstrated that this platform can be used for dengue and MERS-CoV diagnosis in human clinical samples [56, 58]. Thus, the POCKIT<sup>™</sup> system plus the automatic bead-based taco<sup>™</sup> mini is potentially suitable for use as a PON tool for ZIKV detection in clinical specimens.

To date, three other PON assays based on the use of either biomolecular sensors/CRISPR-based technology, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP), or reverse transcription strand invasion based amplification (RT-SIBA) technologies have been described for detection of ZIKV RNA [52–54]. While these methods provide rapid, on-site results, they offer a limited sensitivity [52], limited specificity [54], or have not been compared to the CDC or PAHO-validated RT-qPCR of routine use in diagnostic laboratories [53]. In addition, their performance has not been evaluated in a large set of specimens. Instead, the ZIKV RT-iiPCR assay involves the use of a technology specifically developed for field application and which has already been validated for detection of several major pathogens in clinical samples, and is based on the TaqMan<sup>°</sup> chemistry which is less likely to yield false positive results.

Detection of ZIKV RNA can be achieved in several sample types derived from infected individuals including blood-derived samples (whole blood, plasma, serum), other body fluids (semen, urine, saliva, vaginal secretions), and cytological specimens [43, 78-80]. The period of time during which viral RNA is detectable varies depending on the sample type as well as individual variation, ranging from a short (transient viremia) to a prolonged time post-infection in the case of other body fluids such as urine, semen, and saliva. Even though detection of ZIKV RNA during the viremic period is usually possible within the first week after disease onset [6, 81], a recent study has estimated that ZIKV RNA loss occurs at a median of 14 days in serum (95th percentile up to 54 days), 8 days in urine (95th percentile up to 39 days), and 34 days in semen (95th percentile up to 81 dpi) in infected humans [79]. However, ZIKV has been detected for as long as 6 months in semen of some individuals [76]. Viral titers are also variable depending on the clinical specimen tested, days post-infection, and other factors. Viremia titers can range from 2 to  $10^6$  PFU/ml (~9 ×  $10^2$ -7.3 ×  $10^5$ viral RNA copies/ml) of blood [17, 46] while urine titers seem to be frequently within the 10 to  $10^3$  PFU/ml range  $(\sim 4.3 \times 10^2 - 2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ viral RNA copies/ml})$  [82]. Interestingly, seminal shedding occurs at very high viral loads  $(2.9 \times 10^8 - 1.2 \times 10^3 \text{ viral RNA copies/ml})$  [75]. In this study, specimens were spiked over a range of viral concentrations according to the estimated viral titers observed in ZIKV naturally infected individuals. Since the RT-iiPCR, CDC E, and PAHO NS2B assays showed an equal 100% detection rate (10 PFU/ml) and strong agreement between each other (k = 0.83), it is expected that the RT-iiPCR would have a similar clinical performance as the reference RT-qPCR assays and be suitable for detecting clinical specimens with at least a viral titer of 10 PFU/ml, while lower viral titers as those observed during late viremia may offer challenges and, consequently, the use of other tests may be more suitable at that stage of infection (i.e. serological tests). Even though we have extensively evaluated this assay using spiked human specimens and mosquitoes, testing of clinical specimens derived from infected individuals and mosquitoes is required to further confirm the performance of this new PON assay under field conditions.

In this study, the ZIKV-specific RT-iiPCR assay demonstrated a comparable analytical sensitivity and specificity to reference RT-qPCR assays that have been validated by CDC and PAHO for diagnosis of this flaviviral infection in humans. The ZIKV RT-iiPCR targets a conserved region within the E gene and while it is capable of detecting ZIKV strains from both Asian and African lineages, it showed no reactivity with genomic RNA from other flaviviruses or CHIKV. Regarding the assay's performance in spiked specimens, the RT-iiPCR demonstrated a substantial level of agreement with the reference RT-gPCR assays (92%, k = 0.83). The best performance for both the RT-iiPCR and the reference RT-qPCR assays was observed for plasma, serum, semen, and mosquito pools, with levels of agreement higher than 90%. In the case of ZIKVspiked whole blood, plasma, and urine, false negative results were frequently observed for both the RT-iiPCR and reference RT-qPCR assays in those samples containing ≤100 PFU/ml of ZIKV. Such limitations in the detection of viral RNA in these samples were consistent with results from previous studies [46, 48, 83]; and may be associated with sample volume, the presence of PCR inhibitors [84-86], or extremely low concentrations of target RNA. Even though limited sample volumes may have an impact on the assay's performance, the use of a reduced volume of semen samples in this study (100 µl) did not appear to have detrimental effects on the results. Although this study suggests that serum may be a more suitable sample for PCR-based testing of ZIKV than whole blood or plasma, this needs to be further evaluated using clinical samples from naturally infected patients.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, the ZIKV RT-iiPCR reagent set provides comparable performance to the reference CDC and PAHO RT-qPCR assays currently in use for diagnosis of ZIKV in a variety of spiked specimen types including mosquitoes. Nonetheless, further evaluation of its performance in clinical samples derived from infected patients is warranted. In contrast to the RT-qPCR assays, the RT-iiPCR assay is fully deployable under field conditions and, thus, can be used as a PON assay in remote, resource-deprived areas to provide rapid results (~1.5 h turnaround time from sample to result) at relatively low costs (< 10 USD per RT-iiPCR test vs.  $\geq$  20 USD per RT-qPCR test) and with the use of reagents that are stable at room temperature for two years without compromising the assay's performance. Therefore, the ZIKV RT-iiPCR could provide a highly effective PON assay that would enhance disease management, screening of blood bank supplies, and viral surveillance in human or insect populations with an improvement of the quality of the health care system of major significance particularly in remote or low-infrastructure areas within developing countries.

### **Additional file**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Performance evaluation of the RT-iiPCR and RT-qPCR assays in ZIKV-spiked specimens. (DOCX 24 kb)

#### Abbreviations

+ssRNA: Positive-sense single-stranded RNA; C: Capsid; CDC: Center for Disease Control and Prevention; CHIKV: Chikungunya virus; Ct: Cycle threshold; DENV: Dengue virus; IVT: In vitro transcribed; JEV: Japanese encephalitis virus; MERS-CoV: Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; ORF: Open reading frame; PAHO: Pan-American Health Organization; PFU/ ml: Plaque forming units per milliliter; prM: Precursor of membrane; PRNT: Plaque reduction neutralization test; RT-iiPCR: Reverse transcription insulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction; RT-qPCR: real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; TCF: Tissue culture fluid; UTRs: Untranslated terminal repeat; WNV: West Nile virus; YFV: Yellow fever virus; ZIKV: Zika virus

### Acknowledgements

The following reagents were obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH: Genomic RNA from Zika Virus, PRVABC59, NR-50244; Zika Virus, FLR, NR-50241; Zika Virus, MR 766, NR-50085; Zika Virus, IB H 30656, NR-50086; Zika Virus H/PAN/2015/CDC-259359, NR-50329; Zika Virus, H/PAN/2015/CDC-259249, NR-50330; and Zika Virus, H/PAN/2015/CDC-259364, NR-50331; Dengue Virus Type 1, Hawaii, NR-4287; Dengue Virus Type 2, New Guinea C, NR-4288; Dengue Virus Type 3, Philippines/H87/1956, NR-2771; and Dengue Virus Type 4, H241, NR-4289. West Nile virus NY99, Yellow Fever virus 17D, and Chikungunya virus H20235/STMARTIN/2013 nucleic acids were obtained from the European Virus Archive goes Global project (EVAg, Marseille, France), which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 653316. The authors would like to kindly acknowledge Dr. Jason Velez (CDC, Atlanta, GA) for providing the mosquito cell lines and Ms. Kathleen M. Shuck for proof reading the manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was funded by the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment (CAFE; grant number 1013172620) and the Maxwell H. Gluck Equine Research Center (GERC), Department of Veterinary Science (grant number 1215389410), University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets from this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### Authors' contributions

MC designed experiments, prepared the spiked clinical samples, performed RT-iiPCR and RT-qPCR assays and drafted the manuscript; YL propagated and titrated various ZIKV strains used in this study and helped to prepare spiked clinical samples and performed virus nucleic acid extractions, PAL performed data analysis and drafted the manuscript with MC; CFT and PHC designed and developed the ZIKV RT-iiPCR reagents; DW provided the human blood samples; AS helped MC to establish the CDC and PAHO RT-gPCR assays in the laboratory; RFC is the Co-Pl and helped to write the manuscript; GB provided the mosquitoes; HGC and HTW are project leaders at GeneReach USA, and they coordinated and supervised the ZIKV RT-iiPCR assay development; and UBRB (principal investigator) conceived the idea to develop a PON assay for the detection of ZIKV RNA in clinical specimens and collaborated with GeneReach USA, secured funding for the project from the College of Agriculture, Food and Environment and the Maxwell H. Gluck Equine Research Center at the University of Kentucky, designed the experiments, directed and supervised the project, and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Kentucky Blood Center provided the routine donor blood samples collected from allogeneic blood donors for the purpose of donor testing. The donor consent for blood donation includes that the blood sample(s) collected could be used for research purposes. Furthermore, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) designee determined that this project does not require IRB review because the samples utilized in this study were either commercial or de-identified from the Center for Clinical and Translational Science Biorepository (BioBank).

### **Consent for publication**

All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Competing interests

MC, YL, DW, AS, RFC, GB, and UBRB declare no competing interests. CT, PAL, PC, HGC, and HTW are affiliated with GeneReach USA, Lexington, MA. However, this does not alter our adherence to BMC Infectious Diseases policies on sharing data and materials.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Maxwell H. Gluck Equine Research Center, Department of Veterinary Science, College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA. <sup>2</sup>GeneReach USA, Lexington, MA, USA. <sup>3</sup>University of Kentucky Medical Center, Chandler Hospital, Kentucky Blood Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA.

### Received: 2 July 2017 Accepted: 22 November 2017 Published online: 19 December 2017

#### References

- Dick GW, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. Zika virus. I. Isolations and serological specificity. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1952;46(5):509–20.
- Haddow AJ, Williams MC, Woodall JP, Simpson DI, Goma LK. Twelve isolations of Zika virus from Aedes (Stegomyia) Africanus (Theobald) taken in and above a Uganda Forest. Bull World Health Organ. 1964;31:57–69.
- Macnamara FN. Zika virus: a report on three cases of human infection during an epidemic of jaundice in Nigeria. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1954; 48(2):139–45.
- Duffy MR, Chen TH, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Holzbauer S, Dubray C, et al. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(24):2536–43.
- Plourde AR, Bloch EM. A literature review of Zika virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(7):1185–92.
- Petersen LR, Jamieson DJ, Honein MA. Zika Virus. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(3): 294–5.
- 7. Hayes EB. Zika virus outside Africa. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15(9):1347-50.
- Hennessey M, Fischer M, Staples JE. Zika virus spreads to new areas region of the Americas, may 2015-January 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(3):55–8.
- 9. Zika virus outbreaks in the Americas. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2015;90(45):609–10. (PMID 26552108).
- Campos GS, Bandeira AC, Sardi SI. Zika Virus Outbreak, Bahia. Brazil Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(10):1885–6.
- Zanluca C, Melo VC, Mosimann AL, Santos GI, Santos CN, Luz K. First report of autochthonous transmission of Zika virus in Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2015;110(4):569–72.
- Thomas DL, Sharp TM, Torres J, Armstrong PA, Munoz-Jordan J, Ryff KR, Martinez-Quinones A, Arias-Berrios J, Mayshack M, Garayalde GJ, et al. Local transmission of Zika virus–Puerto Rico, November 23, 2015-January 28, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(6):154–8.
- Likos A, Griffin I, Bingham AM, Stanek D, Fischer M, White S, Hamilton J, Eisenstein L, Atrubin D, Mulay P, et al. Local mosquito-borne transmission of Zika virus - Miami-Dade and Broward counties, Florida, June-august 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(38):1032–8.
- 14. Kuno G, Chang GJ, Tsuchiya KR, Karabatsos N, Cropp CB. Phylogeny of the genus Flavivirus. J Virol. 1998;72(1):73–83.
- Lindenbach BD, Rice CM. Molecular biology of flaviviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2003;59:23–61.
- 16. Chambers TJ, Hahn CS, Galler R, Rice CM. Flavivirus genome organization, expression, and replication. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1990;44:649–88.
- 17. Lanciotti RS, Kosoy OL, Laven JJ, Velez JO, Lambert AJ, Johnson AJ, Stanfield SM, Duffy MR. Genetic and serologic properties of Zika virus

associated with an epidemic, yap state, Micronesia, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14(8):1232–9.

- Shan C, Xie X, Muruato AE, Rossi SL, Roundy CM, Azar SR, Yang Y, Tesh RB, Bourne N, Barrett AD, et al. An infectious cDNA clone of Zika virus to study viral virulence, mosquito transmission, and antiviral inhibitors. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(6):891–900.
- Lanciotti RS, Lambert AJ, Holodniy M, Saavedra S, Signor Ldel C. Phylogeny of Zika virus in western hemisphere, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(5):933–5.
- Ye Q, Liu ZY, Han JF, Jiang T, Li XF, Qin CF. Genomic characterization and phylogenetic analysis of Zika virus circulating in the Americas. Infect Genet Evol. 2016;43:43–9.
- 21. Thangamani S, Huang J, Hart CE, Guzman H, Tesh RB. Vertical transmission of Zika virus in Aedes Aegypti mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016;95(5):1169–73.
- 22. Marchette NJ, Garcia R, Rudnick A. Isolation of Zika virus from Aedes Aegypti mosquitoes in Malaysia. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1969;18(3):411–5.
- Ferreira-de-Brito A, Ribeiro IP, Miranda RM, Fernandes RS, Campos SS, Silva KA, Castro MG, Bonaldo MC, Brasil P, Lourenco-de-Oliveira R. First detection of natural infection of Aedes Aegypti with Zika virus in Brazil and throughout South America. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2016;111(10):655–8.
- 24. Wong PS, Li MZ, Chong CS, Ng LC, Tan CH. Aedes (Stegomyia) albopictus (Skuse): a potential vector of Zika virus in Singapore. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(8):e2348.
- Grard G, Caron M, Mombo IM, Nkoghe D, Mboui Ondo S, Jiolle D, Fontenille D, Paupy C, Leroy EM. Zika virus in Gabon (Central Africa)–2007: a new threat from Aedes Albopictus? PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(2):e2681.
- Besnard M, Lastere S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau V, Musso D. Evidence of perinatal transmission of Zika virus, French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. Euro Surveill. 2014;19(13)
- D'Ortenzio E, Matheron S, Yazdanpanah Y, de Lamballerie X, Hubert B, Piorkowski G, Maquart M, Descamps D, Damond F, Leparc-Goffart I. Evidence of sexual transmission of Zika virus. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(22):2195–8.
- Hills SL, Russell K, Hennessey M, Williams C, Oster AM, Fischer M, Mead P. Transmission of Zika virus through sexual contact with travelers to areas of ongoing transmission — continental United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65:215–6.
- McCarthy M. US health officials investigate sexually transmitted Zika virus infections. BMJ. 2016;352:i1180.
- McCarthy M. Zika virus was transmitted by sexual contact in Texas, health officials report. BMJ. 2016;352:i720.
- Musso D, Roche C, Robin E, Nhan T, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Potential sexual transmission of Zika virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(2):359–61.
- Aubry M, Richard V, Green J, Broult J, Musso D. Inactivation of Zika virus in plasma with amotosalen and ultraviolet a illumination. Transfusion. 2016; 56(1):33–40.
- Jimenez A, Shaz BH, Bloch EM. Zika virus and the blood supply: what do we know? Transfus Med Rev. 2017;31(1):1–10.
- Kuehnert MJ, Basavaraju SV, Moseley RR, Pate LL, Galel SA, Williamson PC, Busch MP, Alsina JO, Climent-Peris C, Marks PW, et al. Screening of blood donations for Zika virus infection - Puerto Rico, April 3-June 11, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(24):627–8.
- Galel SA, Williamson PC, Busch MP, Stanek D, Bakkour S, Stone M, Lu K, Jones S, Rossmann SN, Pate LL, et al. First Zika-positive donations in the continental United States. Transfusion. 2017; https://doi.org/10.1111/trf.14029.
- Barjas-Castro ML, Angerami RN, Cunha MS, Suzuki A, Nogueira JS, Rocco IM, Maeda AY, Vasami FG, Katz G, Boin IF, et al. Probable transfusion-transmitted Zika virus in Brazil. Transfusion. 2016;56(7):1684–8.
- Driggers RW, Ho CY, Korhonen EM, Kuivanen S, Jaaskelainen AJ, Smura T, Rosenberg A, Hill DA, DeBiasi RL, Vezina G, et al. Zika virus infection with prolonged maternal Viremia and fetal brain abnormalities. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(22):2142–51.
- Mlakar J, Korva M, Tul N, Popovic M, Poljsak-Prijatelj M, Mraz J, Kolenc M, Resman Rus K, Vesnaver Vipotnik T, Fabjan Vodusek V, et al. Zika virus associated with Microcephaly. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(10):951–8.
- Tetro JA. Zika and microcephaly: causation, correlation, or coincidence? Microbes Infect. 2016;18(3):167–8.
- Schuler-Faccini L, Ribeiro EM, Feitosa IM, Horovitz DD, Cavalcanti DP, Pessoa A, Doriqui MJ, Neri JI, Neto JM, Wanderley HY, et al. Possible association between Zika virus infection and Microcephaly - Brazil, 2015. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(3):59–62.
- Acevedo N, Waggoner J, Rodriguez M, Rivera L, Landivar J, Pinsky B, Zambrano H. Zika virus, Chikungunya virus, and dengue virus in

cerebrospinal fluid from adults with neurological manifestations, Guayaquil, Ecuador. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:42.

- Krauer F, Riesen M, Reveiz L, Oladapo OT, Martinez-Vega R, Porgo TV, Haefliger A, Broutet NJ, Low N, Group WHOZCW. Zika virus infection as a cause of congenital brain abnormalities and Guillain-Barre syndrome: systematic review. PLoS Med. 2017;14(1):e1002203.
- Waggoner JJ, Pinsky BA. Zika virus: diagnostics for an emerging pandemic threat. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(4):860–7.
- Charrel RN, Leparc-Goffart I, Pas S, de Lamballerie X, Koopmans M, Reusken C. Background review for diagnostic test development for Zika virus infection. Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94(8):574–584D.
- Landry ML, St George K. Laboratory diagnosis of Zika virus infection. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2017;141(1):60–7.
- Faye O, Faye O, Diallo D, Diallo M, Weidmann M, Sall AA. Quantitative real-time PCR detection of Zika virus and evaluation with field-caught mosquitoes. Virol J. 2013;10:311–2.
- Faye O, Faye O, Dupressoir A, Weidmann M, Ndiaye M, Alpha Sall A. One-step RT-PCR for detection of Zika virus. J Clin Virol. 2008;43(1):96–101.
- Waggoner JJ, Gresh L, Mohamed-Hadley A, Ballesteros G, Davila MJ, Tellez Y, Sahoo MK, Balmaseda A, Harris E, Pinsky BA. Single-reaction multiplex reverse transcription PCR for detection of Zika, Chikungunya, and dengue viruses. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(7):1295–7.
- Balm MN, Lee CK, Lee HK, Chiu L, Koay ES, Tang JW. A diagnostic polymerase chain reaction assay for Zika virus. J Med Virol. 2012;84(9):1501–5.
- Corman VM, Rasche A, Baronti C, Aldabbagh S, Cadar D, Reusken CB, Pas SD, Goorhuis A, Schinkel J, Molenkamp R, et al. Assay optimization for molecular detection of Zika virus. Bull World Health Organ. 2016;94(12):880–92.
- Xu MY, Liu SQ, Deng CL, Zhang QY, Zhang B. Detection of Zika virus by SYBR green one-step real-time RT-PCR. J Virol Methods. 2016;236:93–7.
- 52. Pardee K, Green AA, Takahashi MK, Braff D, Lambert G, Lee JW, Ferrante T, Ma D, Donghia N, Fan M, et al. Rapid, low-cost detection of Zika virus using programmable biomolecular components. Cell. 2016;165(5):1255–66.
- Eboigbodin KE, Brummer M, Ojalehto T, Hoser M. Rapid molecular diagnostic test for Zika virus with low demands on sample preparation and instrumentation. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2016;86(4):369–71.
- Song J, Mauk MG, Hackett BA, Cherry S, Bau HH, Liu C. Instrument-free pointof-care molecular detection of Zika virus. Anal Chem. 2016;88(14):7289–94.
- Chang HF, Tsai YL, Tsai CF, Lin CK, Lee PY, Teng PH, Su C, Jeng CC. A thermally baffled device for highly stabilized convective PCR. Biotechnol J. 2012;7(5):662–6.
- 56. Go YY, Rajapakse RP, Kularatne SA, Lee PY, Ku KB, Nam S, Chou PH, Tsai YL, Liu YL, Chang HF, et al. A pan-dengue virus reverse transcription-insulated isothermal PCR assay intended for point-of-need diagnosis of dengue virus infection by use of the POCKIT nucleic acid analyzer. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(6):1528–35.
- 57. Chua KH, Lee PC, Chai HC. Development of insulated isothermal PCR for rapid on-site malaria detection. Malar J. 2016;15:134–7.
- Go YY, Kim YS, Cheon S, Ku KB, Nam SW, Kim M, Lee P-YA, Lin Y-C, Tsai Y-L, 1146 Wang H-TT et al: Evaluation and clinical validation of a fielddeployable Reverse Transcription-Insulated Isothermal PCR Assay for the Detection of the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. J Mol Diagn 2017;19(6):817–827.
- Tsai YL, Wang HC, Lo CF, Tang-Nelson K, Lightner D, Ou BR, Hour AL, Tsai CF, Yen CC, Chang HF, et al. Validation of a commercial insulated isothermal PCR-based POCKIT test for rapid and easy detection of white spot syndrome virus infection in Litopenaeus Vannamei. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e90545.
- Balasuriya UB, Lee PA, Tsai YL, Tsai CF, Shen YH, Chang HG, Skillman A, Wang HT, Pronost S, Zhang Y. Translation of a laboratory-validated equine herpesvirus-1 specific real-time PCR assay into an insulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction (iiPCR) assay for point-of-need diagnosis using POCKIT nucleic acid analyzer. J Virol Methods. 2017;241:58–63.
- Wilkes RP, Kania SA, Tsai YL, Lee PY, Chang HH, Ma LJ, Chang HF, Wang HT. Rapid and sensitive detection of feline immunodeficiency virus using an insulated isothermal PCR-based assay with a point-of-need PCR detection platform. J Vet Diagn Investig. 2015;27(4):510–5.
- Wilkes RP, Tsai YL, Lee PY, Lee FC, Chang HF, Wang HT. Rapid and sensitive detection of canine distemper virus by one-tube reverse transcriptioninsulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction. BMC Vet Res. 2014;10:213.
- Wilkes RP, Lee PY, Tsai YL, Tsai CF, Chang HH, Chang HF, Wang HT. An insulated isothermal PCR method on a field-deployable device for rapid and sensitive detection of canine parvovirus type 2 at points of need. J Virol Methods. 2015;220:35–8.

- 64. Zhang J, Tsai YL, Lee PY, Chen Q, Zhang Y, Chiang CJ, Shen YH, Li FC, Chang HF, Gauger PC, et al. Evaluation of two singleplex reverse transcription-insulated isothermal PCR tests and a duplex real-time RT-PCR test for the detection of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus and porcine deltacoronavirus. J Virol Methods. 2016;234:34–42.
- Tsai YL, Wang HT, Chang HF, Tsai CF, Lin CK, Teng PH, Su C, Jeng CC, Lee PY. Development of TaqMan probe-based insulated isothermal PCR (iiPCR) for sensitive and specific on-site pathogen detection. PLoS One. 2012;7(9):e45278.
- 66. Carossino M, Lee PY, Nam B, Skillman A, Shuck KM, Timoney PJ, Tsai YL, Ma LJ, Chang HF, Wang HT, et al. Development and evaluation of a reverse transcription-insulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction (RT-iiPCR) assay for detection of equine arteritis virus in equine semen and tissue samples using the POCKIT system. J Virol Methods. 2016;234:7–15.
- Tsai YL, Lin YC, Chou PH, Teng PH, Lee PY. Detection of white spot syndrome virus by polymerase chain reaction performed under insulated isothermal conditions. J Virol Methods. 2012;181(1):134–7.
- Soltan MA, Tsai YL, Lee PY, Tsai CF, Chang HF, Wang HT, Wilkes RP. Comparison of electron microscopy, ELISA, real time RT-PCR and insulated isothermal RT-PCR for the detection of rotavirus group a (RVA) in feces of different animal species. J Virol Methods. 2016;235:99–104.
- Ambagala A, Pahari S, Fisher M, Lee PA, Pasick J, Ostlund EN, Johnson DJ, Lung O. A rapid field-deployable reverse transcription-insulated isothermal polymerase chain reaction assay for sensitive and specific detection of bluetongue virus. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2015; https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12554.
- Ambagala A, Fisher M, Goolia M, Nfon C, Furukawa-Stoffer T, Ortega Polo R, Lung O. Field-deployable reverse transcription-insulated isothermal PCR (RTiiPCR) assay for rapid and sensitive detection of foot-and-mouth disease virus. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2016; https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12554.
- 71. WHO statement on the first meeting of the International Health Regulations (2005) (IHR 2005) Emergency Committee on Zika virus and observed increase in neurological disorders and neonatal malformations. http://www. who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2016/1st-emergency-committeezika/en/. In.: World Health Organization; 1 February 2016.
- Froeschl G, Huber K, von Sonnenburg F, Nothdurft HD, Bretzel G, Hoelscher M, Zoeller L, Trottmann M, Pan-Montojo F, Dobler G, et al. Long-term kinetics of Zika virus RNA and antibodies in body fluids of a vasectomized traveller returning from Martinique: a case report. BMC Infect Dis. 2017;17(1):55.
- Mansuy JM, Dutertre M, Mengelle C, Fourcade C, Marchou B, Delobel P, Izopet J, Martin-Blondel G. Zika virus: high infectious viral load in semen, a new sexually transmitted pathogen? Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(4):405.
- Mansuy JM, Suberbielle E, Chapuy-Regaud S, Mengelle C, Bujan L, Marchou B, Delobel P, Gonzalez-Dunia D, Malnou CE, Izopet J, et al. Zika virus in semen and spermatozoa. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016;16(10):1106–7.
- Matheron S, d'Ortenzio E, Leparc-Goffart I, Hubert B, de Lamballerie X, Yazdanpanah Y. Long-lasting persistence of Zika virus in semen. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;63(9):1264.
- Nicastri E, Castilletti C, Liuzzi G, Iannetta M, Capobianchi MR, Ippolito G. Persistent detection of Zika virus RNA in semen for six months after symptom onset in a traveller returning from Haiti to Italy, February 2016. Euro Surveill. 2016;21(32) https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.2821. 2832.30314.
- Musso D, Nhan T, Robin E, Roche C, Bierlaire D, Zisou K, Shan Yan A, Cao-Lormeau VM, Broult J. Potential for Zika virus transmission through blood transfusion demonstrated during an outbreak in French Polynesia, November 2013 to February 2014. Euro Surveill. 2014;19(14)
- Zambrano H, Waggoner J, Leon K, Pinsky B, Vera K, Schettino M, Rivera L, Landivar J, Granda M, Lee A et al: High incidence of Zika virus infection detected in plasma and cervical cytology specimens from pregnant women in Guayaquil, Ecuador. Am J Reprod Immunol 2017, 77(2):doi: https://doi. org/10.1111/aji.12630.
- Paz-Bailey G, Rosenberg ES, Doyle K, Munoz-Jordan J, Santiago GA, Klein L, Perez-Padilla J, Medina FA, Waterman SH, Gubern CG, et al. Persistence of Zika virus in body fluids - preliminary report. N Engl J Med. 2017; https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613108.
- Murray KO, Gorchakov R, Carlson AR, Berry R, Lai L, Natrajan M, Garcia MN, Correa A, Patel SM, Aagaard K, et al. Prolonged detection of Zika virus in vaginal secretions and whole blood. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23(1):99–101.
- Barzon L, Pacenti M, Franchin E, Lavezzo E, Trevisan M, Sgarabotto D, Palu G. Infection dynamics in a traveller with persistent shedding of Zika virus RNA in semen for six months after returning from Haiti to Italy, January 2016. Euro Surveill. 2016;21(32). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.32.30316.

- Bonaldo MC, Ribeiro IP, Lima NS, Dos Santos AA, Menezes LS, da Cruz SO, de Mello IS, Furtado ND, de Moura EE, Damasceno L, et al. Isolation of infective Zika virus from urine and saliva of patients in Brazil. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10(6):e0004816.
- Abd El Wahed A, Sanabani SS, Faye O, Pessoa R, Patriota JV, Giorgi RR, Patel P, Bohlken-Fascher S, Landt O, Niedrig M, et al. Rapid molecular detection of Zika virus in acute-phase urine samples using the Recombinase polymerase amplification assay. PLOS Currents Outbreaks. 2017; https://doi.org/10.1371/ currents.outbreaks.

a1377f1371db1372c1377d1366c1373fc1370ea1370a774305d774319e.

- 84. Bustin SA, Nolan T. Pitfalls of quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. J Biomol Tech. 2004;15(3):155–66.
- Fleige S, Pfaffl MW. RNA integrity and the effect on the real-time qRT-PCR performance. Mol Asp Med. 2006;27(2–3):126–39.
- Schrader C, Schielke A, Ellerbroek L, Johne R. PCR inhibitors occurrence, properties and removal. J Appl Microbiol. 2012;113(5):1014–26.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

