



Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications

Physics and Astronomy

12-31-2013

Evolution of Magnetism in the Single-Crystal Honeycomb Iridates $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3$

Gang Cao University of Kentucky, gang.cao@uky.edu

Tongfei Qi University of Kentucky, tongfei.qi@uky.edu

L. Li University of Kentucky

Jsaminka Terzic University of Kentucky, jasminka.terzic@uky.edu

Vincent Shian Cao *University of Kentucky*, vincent.cao@uky.edu

See next page for additional authors

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub Part of the <u>Condensed Matter Physics Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Cao, Gang; Qi, Tongfei; Li, L.; Terzic, Jsaminka; Cao, Vincent Shian; Yuan, Shujuan; Tovar, M.; Murthy, Ganpathy; and Kaul, Ribhu K., "Evolution of Magnetism in the Single-Crystal Honeycomb Iridates $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2 IrO_3$ " (2013). *Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications*. 447.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/physastron_facpub/447

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics and Astronomy at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Authors

Gang Cao, Tongfei Qi, L. Li, Jsaminka Terzic, Vincent Shian Cao, Shujuan Yuan, M. Tovar, Ganpathy Murthy, and Ribhu K. Kaul

Evolution of Magnetism in the Single-Crystal Honeycomb Iridates $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2 IrO_3$

Notes/Citation Information Published in *Physical Review B*, v. 88, issue 22, 220414, p. 1-4.

©2013 American Physical Society

The copyright holder has granted permission for posting the article here.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.220414

Evolution of magnetism in the single-crystal honeycomb iridates $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3$

G. Cao,¹ T. F. Qi,¹ L. Li,¹ J. Terzic,¹ V. S. Cao,^{1,2} S. J. Yuan,^{1,3} M. Tovar,¹ G. Murthy,¹ and R. K. Kaul¹

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0055, USA

²Paul Laurence Dunbar High School, Lexington, Kentucky 40513, USA

³Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China

(Received 8 July 2013; revised manuscript received 16 September 2013; published 31 December 2013)

We report the successful synthesis of single crystals of the layered iridate $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3, 0 \le x \le 0.9$, and a thorough study of its structural, magnetic, thermal, and transport properties. This compound allows a controlled interpolation between Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃, while maintaining the quantum magnetism of the honeycomb Ir⁴⁺ planes. The measured phase diagram demonstrates a suppression of the Néel temperature T_N at an intermediate x, indicating that the magnetic orders in Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃ are distinct. X-ray data show that for $x \approx 0.7$, when T_N is suppressed the most, the honeycomb structure is least distorted, leading to the speculation that at this intermediate doping of the material is closest to the spin liquid that has been sought after in Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃. By analyzing our magnetic data with a single-ion theoretical model we also show that the trigonal splitting on the Ir⁴⁺ ions changes sign from Na₂IrO₃ to Li₂IrO₃.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.220414

PACS number(s): 75.40.Cx, 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Gb, 75.50.Lk

Introduction. The iridates have been recognized recently as a unique arena for the study of new phases of matter that arise from simultaneously strong electron-electron and spinorbit interactions. Thus far, the most novel manifestation of this interplay in this family of materials is the t_{2g}^5 , $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ Mott insulating state, originally experimentally observed in the layered perovskite, Sr₂IrO₄ [1–3]. The iridates have inspired a large body of theoretical and experimental work [4], since the J_{eff} levels have a mixed spin and orbital character, which may result in a host of exciting quantum ground states [5].

The interest in this field received a major boost when a theoretical analysis [6] showed that the oxygen mediated superexchange processes between the Ir⁴⁺ moments in the honeycomb iridates Na2IrO3 and Li2IrO3 result in the celebrated Kitaev model (KM) for the $J_{\rm eff} = 1/2$ degrees of freedom, $H_K = K \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^{\gamma} \sigma_j^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma = x, y, z$ denotes a different Pauli matrix for each direction of bond on the honeycomb lattice and $\vec{\sigma}_i$ acts on the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ states on site *i*. The KM can be solved exactly, and its ground state is an exotic magnetically disordered quantum "spin liquid." [7]; However, it is experimentally established that both honeycomb iridate compounds order magnetically: Na₂IrO₃ orders at $T_N = 18$ K [8], and Li₂IrO₃ orders at $T_N = 15$ K [9,10]. There are many theoretical proposals for interactions that are supplementary to the Kitaev model that would cause magnetic ordering, including additional exchange processes [10–16], strong trigonal fields [17], or weak coupling instabilities [18]; currently there is no consensus on which of these is correct.

On the experimental side, there are now fairly thorough studies of Na_2IrO_3 using both momentum resolved resonant inelastic x-ray and neutron scattering techniques that establish the pattern of magnetic ordering to be of an unusual zigzag type [12,19–21]. This has been possible in part due to the availability of large single crystals of Na_2IrO_3 . Because of various difficulties in chemical synthesis, no such single crystals are available for Li_2IrO_3 and the detailed magnetic ordering pattern of this compound is still unknown. It is noted that an early study on polycrystal Li_2IrO_3 exhibited no magnetic order above 5 K [22], but more recent measurements show

a magnetic transition at $T_N = 15$ K [10]. The conspicuous absence of single crystals of Li₂IrO₃ is clearly a major roadblock in a complete characterization of this material.

In this Rapid Communication we fill the gap in our understanding by the successful synthesis and study of single crystals of $(Na_{1-r}Li_r)_2 IrO_3$ [23]. The central findings of our work are as follows: As x is tuned, we find from x-ray data that the lattice parameters evolve monotonically from Na to Li, retaining the basic Mott insulating honeycomb structure of the Ir^{4+} planes for all x. Even so, there is a nonmonotonic dramatic change in Néel temperature T_N with x, in which T_N initially decreases from 18 K at x = 0 to 1.2 K at x = 0.70before it rises to 7 K at x = 0.90, indicating that the magnetic ground states at x = 0 and 1 are not related linearly, as had been previously suggested [10]. X-ray structure data show that the Ir⁴⁺ honeycomb lattice is least distorted at $x \approx 0.7$. Interesting, we find the lowest T_N and highest frustration parameter also at $x \approx 0.7$. In addition, the high-temperature anisotropy in the magnetic susceptibility is simultaneously reversed and enhanced upon Li doping, and as a result, the in-plane magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ becomes significantly greater than the perpendicular-to-plane susceptibility $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ or $\chi_{\parallel}(T) > \chi_{\perp}(T)$ for x = 0.90, which sharply contrasts with the weaker magnetic anisotropy for x = 0 where $\chi_{\parallel}(T) < \chi_{\parallel}(T)$ $\chi_{\perp}(T)$. Using a single-ion theoretical model, we show that the anisotropy arises from a trigonal crystal field Δ oriented perpendicular to the honeycomb layers, and the anisotropy change is the result of a sign change in the local trigonal field between the Na and Li compounds. We thus conclude that the magnetic ground states of the pure Na and Li compounds are distinct and are separated by a quantum phase transition that is driven by the evolution of the crystal structure as x is varied.

Measurements. The methods by which our single crystals are grown and the measurements are carried out are described in the Supplemental Material (SM) [24]. Li doping retains the space group of C2/m that Na₂IrO₃ adopts but induces a systematic decrease in the lattice parameters since the ionic radius of the Li ion is approximately 25% smaller than that of the Na ion. The lattice parameters are shown in Fig. 1(a). An



FIG. 1. (Color online) Synthesis and structure of $(Na_{1-x}A_x)_2IrO_3$ (with A = Li or K). (a) The lattice parameters a and c (left scale) and the b (right scale) as a function of x. Note that the green data points for Li₂IrO₃ (x = 1.0) are obtained from Ref. [10]. Inset: An illustration of the crystal structure of Na₂IrO₃. (b) The evolution of distortion of the honeycomb lattice of Ir⁴⁺ ions from $L_2 > L_1$ for x = 0 to $L_1 > L_2$ for x = 1. At $x \approx 0.7$, the system is a near perfect honeycomb.

important feature of this change is that the lattice parameter c is more severely compressed than a and b. For example, for x = 0.90, the decrease in a, b, and c is 2.5%, 2.7%, and 6.5%, respectively. The corresponding angle between the c axis and the basal plane β increases to 109.58° for x = 0.90 from 108.67° for x = 0. In Fig. 1(b), we show how the distortion of the a-b honeycomb lattice on which the Ir⁴⁺ moments reside evolves with x. The ratio L_2/L_1 (defined in the inset) clearly shows the lattice anisotropy reversal from Na to Li.

We extract T_N by locating the low-temperature peak in the specific heat C(T), shown in Fig. 2(a), as well as the in-plane susceptibility $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$, shown in Fig. 2(b). The pronounced peaks in C(T)/T unambiguously signal a continuous magnetic phase transition for all x. As shown in the main panel and inset of Fig. 2(b), we find consistent values for T_N extracted from $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$. Interestingly, $T_N(x)$ is not a smooth interpolation between the already known x = 0 and 1 limits. It is initially suppressed from 18 K for x = 0 to 5 K for x = 0.28 and then to 1.2 K for x = 0.70 before it rises to 1.4 K for x = 0.80 and finally 7 K for x = 0.90. We note that the trend of T_N increasing again in our single crystal, x = 0.9, is consistent with previous measurements on polycrystalline



FIG. 2. (Color online) Study of the Néel transition as a function of *x* in $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3$ in the specific heat and in-plane susceptibility. (a) The specific heat for different *x*. We have plotted C(T)/T for presentation purposes. (b) The in-plane magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T. Inset: Zoom-in of the χ_{\parallel} data to show kinks at the phase transitions.

samples of Li₂IrO₃ [10]. A phase diagram that summarizes T_N and the Curie-Weiss scale θ_{CW} as a function of x is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). A natural conclusion based on the strongly suppressed value of T_N is that it goes to zero for some xand one encounters at least one quantum phase transition in the evolution from Na₂IrO₃ to Li₂IrO₃ at $x \approx 0.7$, implying that the magnetic ground states of Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃ are not adiabatically connected. It is interesting to note that at $x \approx 0.7$, when T_N is suppressed the most, the honeycomb plane is closest to ideal [i.e., $L_2/L_1 \approx 1$ in Fig. 1(b)]. Finally, we note that the dominant role of Li doping is to tune the chemical pressure, which in turn causes an evolution of the lattice structure. This can be verified by noting that if we dope in K instead of Li, T_N increases, as shown in Fig. 3(a). This is consistent with K doping achieving a negative chemical pressure because the ionic radius of K is larger than Na.

Anisotropy in $\chi_{\parallel}, \chi_{\perp}$. There are a number of striking features observed in our measurements of the direction dependent susceptibility in our single-crystal samples; the data for x = 0 and 0.9 are shown in Fig. 4. First, there are large anisotropies in the susceptibility even when $T \gg T_N$; indeed, the Curie constant \mathcal{A} itself depends on the direction of the applied field. We define the Curie constant by the usual definition, $\lim_{T\to\infty} \chi_{\parallel,\perp} = \mathcal{A}_{\parallel,\perp}/T$. It is natural to attribute such anisotropies to the spin-orbit coupling (we study this in detail below). Second, the anisotropy between $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ and

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 88, 220414(R) (2013)



FIG. 3. (Color online) Ordering and interaction scales of $(Na_{1-x}A_x)_2IrO_3$ (A = Li or K) as a function of x. (a) The Néel temperature T_N , and (b) the Curie-Weiss temperature θ_{CW} (left scale) and the frustration parameter (right scale) as a function of x. Note that K doping increases T_N , in sharp contrast to Li doping, and that the green data points for Li₂IrO₃ (x = 1.0) are obtained from Ref. [10].

 $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ is reversed upon Li doping: For x = 0, $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} < \mathcal{A}_{\perp}$, but for x = 0.9, $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} > \mathcal{A}_{\perp}$.

In order to understand the origin of this change, we calculate the $\chi_{\parallel,\perp}$ from the Hamiltonian for a single Ir ion with a t_{2g}^5 configuration with spin-orbit coupling $\lambda > 0$, a trigonal distortion Δ , and an external field \vec{h} :

$$H = -\lambda \vec{l} \cdot \vec{s} - \Delta (\vec{l} \cdot \hat{n})^2 - \vec{h} \cdot (2\vec{s} - \vec{l}), \qquad (1)$$

where \vec{l} are the usual spin-1 matrices and \vec{s} are the usual spin-1/2 matrices, satisfying $[l_x, l_y] = il_z$ and $[s_x, s_y] = is_z$. We have made use here of the well-known l = 1 description of the t_{2g} states [25]. The trigonal distortion vector \hat{n} must point along a body diagonal of a cube that circumscribes the oxygen octahedra. In the material [see the inset of Fig. 1(a)] the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb planes indeed points along a body diagonal for all the oxygen octahedra and is the natural direction to associate with \hat{n} (we will verify this assumption from an analysis of the magnetic data below; structural data included in the SM [24] also verify this assertion). We calculate $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel,\perp}$, which in our theoretical calculation (see Supplemental Material [24] for details) only depends on Δ/λ , and these are plotted in Fig. 5(a). We make the following observations from our model calculations: Because of the rotational symmetry, A is the same for all directions perpendicular to \hat{n} ; when $\Delta = 0$ the response is



FIG. 4. (Color online) Comparisons between x = 0 and 0.90: The temperature dependence of (a) the in-plane and perpendicular-toplane magnetic susceptibility $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ and $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.1$ T for x = 0 and 0.9. Inset: The enlarged low-temperature $\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ (left scale) and $\chi_{\perp}(T)$ (right scale). (b) The angular dependence of magnetization M at $\mu_0 H = 1$ T for x = 0 and 0.90 of $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3$ in the *a-c* plane (solid circles) and for x = 0 in the *a-b* plane (open squares). Inset: A schematic of the sample orientation relative to the *a* and *c* axis and the magnetic field H. A detailed interpretation of the anisotropies of χ and its relation to the trigonal field Δ is given in the text. Data for other dopings are shown in the SM (Ref. [24]).

Rotation Position Θ (deg)

rotationally invariant (i.e., $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} = \mathcal{A}_{\perp}$) even when $\lambda \neq 0$; the anisotropy between \mathcal{A}_{\perp} and \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} is reversed as the sign of Δ changes; and, as expected for $\Delta/\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, the system becomes rotationally invariant again.

At high temperatures ($T \gg \theta_{CW}$), the Ir ions contribute independently to the susceptibility and one can hence use the high-*T* experimental data to extract the Curie constants *A*. First of all, we find that the experimentally measured *A* is the same within our errors of analysis for different directions in the honeycomb plane, but it is clearly different for the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb layers [shown for x = 0 by the rotation experiments in Fig. 4(b)]; this fact substantiates our claim that the \hat{n} vector is along the direction perpendicular to the honeycomb layers. Next, as noted above, the anisotropy in the susceptibility requires a finite Δ , indicating that this paramater cannot be neglected in models of these materials. From Fig. 5, it is clear that the change in anisotropy between



FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Curie constants \mathcal{A}_{\parallel} and \mathcal{A}_{\perp} as a function of the parameter Δ/λ calculated from the model Eq. (1). (b) An extrapolation of the experimental $\chi_{\perp}(T)/\chi_{\parallel}(T)$ in the high-temperature limit. At $T = \infty$ this ratio should be simply $\mathcal{A}_{\perp}/\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}$. Note that Na₂IrO₃ has $\mathcal{A}_{\perp}/\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} > 1$ and hence $\Delta < 0$, and based on the shown extrapolation for x = 0.9, Li₂IrO₃ will extrapolate to $\mathcal{A}_{\perp}/\mathcal{A}_{\parallel} < 1$ with $\Delta > 0$. In both cases, clearly $\lambda \gg |\Delta|$.

x = 0 and 0.9 indicates that the sign of Δ changes between Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃. Quantitatively, we extract the ratio

- [1] B. J. Kim, H. Jin, S. J. Moon, J.-Y. Kim, B.-G. Park, C. S. Leem, J. Yu, T. W. Noh, C. Kim, S.-J. Oh *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 076402 (2008).
- [2] S. J. Moon, H. Jin, K. W. Kim, W. S. Choi, Y. S. Lee, J. Yu, G. Cao, A. Sumi, H. Funakubo, C. Bernhard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 226402 (2008).
- [3] B. J. Kim, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, S. Sakai, T. Morita, H. Takagi, and T. Arima, Science 323, 1329 (2009).
- [4] W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, arXiv:1305.2193.
- [5] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- [6] G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 017205 (2009).
- [7] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
- [8] Y. Singh and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. B 82, 064412 (2010).
- [9] H. Kobayashi, M. Tabuchi, M. Shikano, H. Kageyama, and R. Kanno, J. Mater. Chem. 13, 957 (2003).
- [10] Y. Singh, S. Manni, J. Reuther, T. Berlijn, R. Thomale, W. Ku, S. Trebst, and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 127203 (2012).
- [11] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 027204 (2010).
- [12] S. K. Choi, R. Coldea, A. N. Kolmogorov, T. Lancaster, I. I. Mazin, S. J. Blundell, P. G. Radaelli, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, K. R. Choi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 127204 (2012).
- [13] I. Kimchi and Y.-Z. You, Phys. Rev. B 84, 180407 (2011).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 88, 220414(R) (2013)

 $\mathcal{A}_{\perp}/\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}$ by extrapolating $\chi_{\perp}/\chi_{\parallel}$ as a function of 1/T, as shown in Fig. 5(b). For Na₂IrO₃ we can do this reliably. We estimate $\Delta/\lambda = -0.05$ for Na₂IrO₃, which we note is smaller than previous estimates [18,21]. Based on our data, we conclude that for Li₂IrO₃ the sign of Δ changes and its magnitude is somewhat larger: Our best estimate gives $\Delta/\lambda \approx 0.1$.

In summary, we present evidence that Na₂IrO₃ and Li₂IrO₃ have distinct magnetic orders, by studying the evolution of structural, thermodynamic, and magnetic properties of $(Na_{1-x}Li_x)_2IrO_3$ with x across a phase transition at $x \approx 0.7$. Two possible tuning parameters for the phase transition that we have identified are the crystal field splitting Δ and the anisotropy of the distortion of the honeycomb layers, both of which change sign from the Na to Li compounds. It is likely that a competition between the two is required to explain the magnetic ordering. Exploring these issues is an exciting direction for future theoretical research.

The authors are thankful to Natasha Perkins and Feng Ye for useful discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. DMR-0856234, No. EPS-0814194, No. DMR-1265162, and No. DMR-1056536 (R.K.K. and M.T.). G.C. also acknowledges the hospitality of the China High Magnetic Field Laboratory of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

- [14] C. C. Price and N. B. Perkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 187201 (2012).
- [15] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 097204 (2013).
- [16] H.-S. Kim, C. H. Kim, H. Jeong, H. Jin, and J. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 87, 165117 (2013).
- [17] S. Bhattacharjee, S.-S. Lee, and Y. B. Kim, New J. Phys. 14, 073015 (2012).
- [18] I. I. Mazin, H. O. Jeschke, K. Foyevtsova, R. Valentí, and D. I. Khomskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 197201 (2012).
- [19] X. Liu, T. Berlijn, W.-G. Yin, W. Ku, A. Tsvelik, Y.-J. Kim, H. Gretarsson, Y. Singh, P. Gegenwart, and J. P. Hill, Phys. Rev. B 83, 220403 (2011).
- [20] F. Ye, S. Chi, H. Cao, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, R. Custelcean, T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, and G. Cao, Phys. Rev. B 85, 180403 (2012).
- [21] H. Gretarsson, J. P. Clancy, X. Liu, J. P. Hill, E. Bozin, Y. Singh, S. Manni, P. Gegenwart, J. Kim, A. H. Said *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 076402 (2013).
- [22] I. Felner and I. Bradaric, Physica B **311**, 195 (2002).
- [23] For comparison only, we also present some results on $(Na_{1-x}K_x)_2 IrO_3$ (with $x \le 0.02$); the pure compound K₂IrO₃ has not yet been synthesized.
- [24] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.88.220414 for methods of crystal growth, additional measurements and details of theoretical calculations.
- [25] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 1970).