

Overriding default orderings in inflectional morphology

Gregory Stump
University of Kentucky
gstump@uky.edu

[Conference on Defaults in Morphological Theory, University of Kentucky, May 21-22, 2012]

1. Two types of default ordering in inflectional morphology

- the linear order of an affix with respect to the stem to which it attaches
- the linear order in which blocks of realization rules apply

1. Two types of default ordering in inflectional morphology

- the linear order of an affix with respect to the stem to which it attaches
- the linear order in which blocks of realization rules apply

1. Two types of default ordering in inflectional morphology

- the linear order of an affix with respect to the stem to which it attaches
- the linear order in which blocks of realization rules apply

Both sorts of order may be regulated by defaults and overrides:

- *default-affixation overrides* are conditioned by *affixation-inversion property sets*
- *default-composition overrides* are conditioned by *composition-inversion property sets*

Both sorts of order may be regulated by defaults and overrides:

- ***default-affixation overrides***
are conditioned by
affixation-inversion property sets
- *default-composition overrides*
are conditioned by
composition-inversion property sets

Both sorts of order may be regulated by defaults and overrides:

- *default-affixation overrides* are conditioned by *affixation-inversion property sets*
- *default-composition overrides* are conditioned by *composition-inversion property sets*

Both sorts of order may be regulated by defaults and overrides:

- *default-affixation overrides* are conditioned by *affixation-inversion property sets*
- ***default-composition overrides*** are conditioned by *composition-inversion property sets*

Both sorts of order may be regulated by defaults and overrides:

- *default-affixation overrides* are conditioned by *affixation-inversion property sets*
- *default-composition overrides* are conditioned by *composition-inversion property sets*

Talk outline

1. Examples of default ordering
2. Three default-ordering operators
3. French pronominal clitics
4. A PFM analysis of French pronominal clitics
5. Two concluding remarks

Talk outline

1. Examples of default ordering
2. Three default-ordering operators
3. French pronominal clitics
4. A PFM analysis of French pronominal clitics
5. Two concluding remarks

Talk outline

1. Examples of default ordering
2. Three default-ordering operators
3. French pronominal clitics
4. A PFM analysis of French pronominal clitics
5. Two concluding remarks

Talk outline

1. Examples of default ordering
2. Three default-ordering operators
3. French pronominal clitics
4. A PFM analysis of French pronominal clitics
5. Two concluding remarks

Talk outline

1. Examples of default ordering
2. Three default-ordering operators
3. French pronominal clitics
4. A PFM analysis of French pronominal clitics
5. Two concluding remarks

1.1 A default-affixation override: Swahili relative affixes

(1) Swahili relative affixes

GENDER	SINGULAR	PLURAL
m/wa	<i>ye</i>	<i>o</i>
m/mi	<i>o</i>	<i>yo</i>
ki/vi	<i>cho</i>	<i>vyo</i>
ji/ma	<i>lo</i>	<i>yo</i>
n/n	<i>yo</i>	<i>zo</i>
u	<i>o</i>	—
u/n	<i>o</i>	<i>zo</i>
ku	<i>ko</i>	—

Swahili relative affixes are prefixed by default, but are suffixed in tenseless affirmative forms

(2a)

a-na-vyo-vi-soma

SUBJ:CL.m-TNS-REL:CL.vi-Obj:CL.vi-read
'(books) which he is reading'

(2a)

a-na-vyo-vi-soma

SUBJ:CL.m-TNS-REL:CL.vi-OBJ:CL.vi-read

‘(books) which he is reading’

(2a)

a-na-vyo-vi-soma

SUBJ:CL.m-TNS-REL:CL.vi-Obj:CL.vi-read

‘(books) which he is reading’

(2b)

a-si-vyo-vi-taka

SUBJ:CL.m-NEG-REL:CL.vi-OBJ:CL.vi-want

‘(books) which he doesn’t want’

(2b)

a-si-vyo-vi-taka

SUBJ:CL.m-NEG-REL:CL.vi-Obj:CL.vi-want

‘(books) which he doesn’t want’

(2b)

a-si-vyo-vi-taka

SUBJ:CL.m-NEG-REL:CL.vi-*OBJ:CL.vi-want*

‘(books) which he doesn’t want’

(2c)

a-vi-taka-vyo

SUBJ:CL.m-*OBJ:CL.vi-want-REL:CL.vi*

‘(books) which he wants’

(2c)

a-vi-taka-vyo

SUBJ:CL.m-*OBJ:CL.vi-want-REL:CL.vi*

‘(books) which he wants’

(2c)

a-vi-taka-vyo

SUBJ:CL.m-*OBJ:CL.vi-want-REL:CL.vi*

‘(books) which he wants’

1.2 A default-composition override: Fula subject and object agreement

In Fula relative past tense verb forms,
subject agreement precedes object
agreement by default;

this default is overridden in forms in which
1sg subject agreement coincides with 2sg or
3sg.class.1 object agreement

In Fula relative past tense verb forms,
subject agreement precedes object
agreement by default;

this default is overridden in forms in which
1sg subject agreement coincides with 2sg or
3sg.class.1 object agreement

(3a)

mball-u-mi-be'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:I-OBJ:them.CL.2

'I helped them'

(3a)

mball-u-mi-be'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:I-OBJ:them.CL.2

'I helped them'

(3a)

mball-u-mi-be'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:I-OBJ:them.CL.2

'I helped them'

(3b)

mball-u-daa-mo'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:you-OBJ:him.CL.1

'you (sg.) helped him'

(3b)

mball-u-daa-mo'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:you-OBJ:him.CL.1

'you (sg.) helped him'

(3b)

mball-u-daa-mo'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-SUBJ:you-OBJ:him.CL.1

'you (sg.) helped him'

(3c)

mball–u–maa–mi'

help–REL.PAST.ACT–OBJ:you–SUBJ:I

'I helped you (sg.)'

(3c)

mball-u-maa-mi'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-OBJ:you-SUBJ:I

'I helped you (sg.)'

(3c)

mball-u-maa-mi'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-OBJ:you-SUBJ:I

'I helped you (sg.)'

(3d)

mball-u-moo-mi'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-OBJ:him.CL.1-SUBJ:I

'I helped him'

(3d)

mball-u-moo-mi'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-**OBJ:him.CL.1**-SUBJ:I

'I helped him'

(3d)

mball-u-moo-mi'

help-REL.PAST.ACT-OBJ:him.CL.1-SUBJ:I

'I helped him'

2. Three default-ordering operators

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [$<$]

[$<S, X$]

set of affixation-inversion property sets
affix

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [$<$]

[$<$ **S**, X]

set of affixation-inversion property sets

affix

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [$<$]

[$<$ **S**, **X**]

set of affixation-inversion property sets

affix

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [\langle]

[$\langle S, X$]

applies to realize the pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$
of a stem Y with a morphosyntactic
property set σ

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [\langle]

$$\begin{aligned} [\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{X}] (\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) &= \langle \mathbf{YX}, \sigma \rangle \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S}; \\ &= \langle \mathbf{XY}, \sigma \rangle \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [\langle]

$$\begin{aligned} [\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{X}] (\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) &= \langle \mathbf{YX}, \sigma \rangle \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S}; \\ &= \langle \mathbf{XY}, \sigma \rangle \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(4a) Default-prefixation operator [\langle]

$$\begin{aligned} [\langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{X}] (\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) &= \langle \mathbf{YX}, \sigma \rangle \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S}; \\ &= \langle \mathbf{XY}, \sigma \rangle \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(4b) Default-suffixation operator [$>$]

(analogous to [$<$])

[<] and Swahili relative affixes

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(5) *Paradigm function* for
Swahili relative verb forms

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set and
 X is the root of verbal lexeme L ,

$$\text{PF}(\langle L, \sigma \rangle) = [\text{IV} : [\text{III} : [\text{II} : [\text{I} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]]]$$

(6) *Nar notation*

$[n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the
narrowest applicable rule in Block n to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$

(7) *Realization rules*
for Swahili relative verb forms

- a. **Block I** (object agreement)
- b. **Block II** (relative affixes)
- c. **Block III** (tense, polarity)
- d. **Block IV** (subject agreement)

(7) *Realization rules*

for Swahili relative verb forms

- a. **Block I** (object agreement)
- b. **Block II** (relative affixes)
- c. **Block III** (tense, polarity)
- d. **Block IV** (subject agreement)

(7) *Realization rules*
for Swahili relative verb forms

- a. **Block I** (object agreement)
- b. **Block II** (relative affixes)
- c. **Block III** (tense, polarity)
- d. **Block IV** (subject agreement)

(7) *Realization rules*
for Swahili relative verb forms

- a. **Block I** (object agreement)
- b. **Block II** (relative affixes)
- c. **Block III** (tense, polarity)
- d. **Block IV** (subject agreement)

(7) *Realization rules*
for Swahili relative verb forms

- a. **Block I** (object agreement)
- b. **Block II** (relative affixes)
- c. **Block III** (tense, polarity)
- d. **Block IV** (subject agreement)

(7b) **Block II** (in which $\mu = \text{su}$ or ob)

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{m/wa}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, ye] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{ki/vi}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, cho] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{n/n}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, yo] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{m/wa}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, o] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{ki/vi}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, vyo] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{n/n}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, zo] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(7b) **Block II** (in which $\mu = \text{su}$ or ob)

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{m/wa}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, ye](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{ki/vi}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, cho](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{sg}, \text{n/n}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, yo](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{m/wa}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, o](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{ki/vi}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, vyo](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{REL}(\mu): \{\text{pl}, \text{n/n}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}, zo](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(8) *Affixation-inversion property sets*
in Swahili

S is the set of only and all
tenseless affirmative property sets

(8) *Affixation-inversion property sets*
in Swahili

S is the set of only and all
tenseless affirmative property sets

By default:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle Y, \sigma \rangle) = \langle vyoY, \sigma \rangle$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle Y, \sigma \rangle) = \langle Yvyo, \sigma \rangle$$

By default:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle vyo\mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{Y}vyo, \sigma \rangle$$

By default:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle vyo\mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{Y}vyo, \sigma \rangle$$

By default:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle vyo\mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{Y}vyo, \sigma \rangle$$

By default:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle vyo\mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$[\langle \mathbf{S}, vyo \rangle](\langle \mathbf{Y}, \sigma \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{Y}vyo, \sigma \rangle$$

(9) Proof of *a-na-vyo-vi-soma*
'(books) which he is reading'

(10) Proof of *a-vi-taka-vyo*
'(books) which he wants'

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

a. Recursive definition of *block construct*

- i. If m is a rule block, then m is a block construct.
- ii. If m, n are block constructs and S is a set of composition-inversion property sets, then $[\circ S, m, n]$ is a block construct.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

a. Recursive definition of *block construct*

- i. If m is a rule block, then m is a block construct.
- ii. If m, n are block constructs and S is a set of composition-inversion property sets, then $[\circ S, m, n]$ is a block construct.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

a. Recursive definition of *block construct*

- i. If m is a rule block, then m is a block construct.
- ii. If m, n are block constructs and S is a set of composition-inversion property sets, then $[\circ S, m, n]$ is a block construct.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

a. Recursive definition of *block construct*

- i. If m is a rule block, then m is a block construct.
- ii. If m, n are block constructs and S is a set of composition-inversion property sets, then $[\circ S, m, n]$ is a block construct.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ \mathbf{S}, m, n]$,
$$[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S},$$
$$= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ otherwise;}$$
- if BC is a rule block, $[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ S, m, n]$,
 $[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]]$ if $\sigma \in S$,
 $= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]]$ otherwise;
- if BC is a rule block, $[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ \mathbf{S}, m, n]$,
$$[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S},$$
$$= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ otherwise;}$$
- if BC is a rule block, $[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ \mathbf{S}, m, n]$,
$$[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S},$$
$$= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ otherwise;}$$
- if BC is a rule block, $[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ \mathbf{S}, m, n]$,
$$[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S},$$
$$= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ otherwise;}$$
- if BC is a rule block, $[BC : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

(11) Default-composition operator $[\circ]$

b. *Nar* [narrowest applicable rule] *notation* (revised)

Given block construct BC and pairing $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$:

- if BC is a block construct $[\circ \mathbf{S}, m, n]$,
$$[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle] = [n : [m : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathbf{S},$$
$$= [m : [n : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]] \text{ otherwise;}$$
- if BC is a rule block, $[\text{BC} : \langle Y, \sigma \rangle]$ is the result of applying the narrowest applicable rule in BC to $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$.

[○] and Fula verb agreement

(12) *Realization rules* for relative past tense verb forms in Fula

a. **Block TENSE**

b. **Block SUBJ**

c. **Block OBJ**

(12) *Realization rules* for relative past tense verb forms in Fula

a. **Block TENSE**

b. **Block SUBJ**

c. **Block OBJ**

(12) *Realization rules* for relative past tense verb forms in Fula

a. **Block TENSE**

b. **Block SUBJ**

c. **Block OBJ**

(12) *Realization rules* for relative past tense verb forms in Fula

a. **Block TENSE**

b. **Block SUBJ**

c. **Block OBJ**

(13)

Paradigm function for relative past
tense verb forms in Fula

PF($\langle L, \sigma \rangle$)

= $[[\text{OS}, \text{OBJ}, \text{SUBJ}] : [\text{TENSE} : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]]$

(14) *Composition-inversion property sets*
in Fula

S =

{ {AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}} }

(14) *Composition-inversion property sets*
in Fula

S =

{ {AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}} }

(14) *Composition-inversion property sets* in Fula

S =

{ {AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}} }

(14) *Composition-inversion property sets* in Fula

S =

{ {AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}} }

(14) *Composition-inversion property sets* in Fula

S =

{ {AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past act., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob):{2sg}},
{AGR(su):{1sg}, rel. past mid., AGR(ob): {3sg, CL.1}} }

By default:

$[[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]$

$= [\mathbf{OBJ} : [\mathbf{SUBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]]$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$[[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]$

$= [\mathbf{SUBJ} : [\mathbf{OBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]]$

By default:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{OBJ} : [\mathbf{SUBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{SUBJ} : [\mathbf{OBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

By default:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{OBJ} : [\mathbf{SUBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{SUBJ} : [\mathbf{OBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

By default:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{OBJ} : [\mathbf{SUBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

Where σ is an affixation-inversion property set:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\circ\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{OBJ}, \mathbf{SUBJ}] : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle] \\ & = [\mathbf{SUBJ} : [\mathbf{OBJ} : \langle \mathbf{X}, \sigma \rangle]] \end{aligned}$$

(15) Proof of *mball-u-mi-be*'
'I helped them'

(16) Proof of *mball-u-moo-mi*'
'I helped him'

3. French pronominal clitics

(17) Basic template for proclitic
local-argument pronouns

A	B	C
(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>		

(17) Basic template for proclitic
local-argument pronouns

A	B	C
(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>		

(18) *Jean*

me

te

se

le

la

nous

vous

les

verra.

(19) *Jean* { *me*
te
se
lui } *donnera le livre.*
nous
vous
leur }

(20) *Jean* { *me*
te
se
nous
vous } { *le*
la
les } *présentera.*

(21) *Jean* { *le*
la
les } { *lui*
leur } *présentera.*

- (22) a. **Jean me te présentera.*
b. *Jean me présentera à toi.*
c. *Jean te présentera à moi.*

- (22) a. **Jean me te présentera.*
b. *Jean me présentera à toi.*
c. *Jean te présentera à moi.*

(23) **Jean* { *me*
te
se
nous
vous } { *lui*
leur } *présentera.*

(24) *Jean me présentera à lui.*

(23) **Jean* { *me*
te
se
nous
vous } { *lui*
leur } *présentera.*

(24) *Jean me présentera à lui.*

(25) Basic template for enclitic
local-argument pronouns

D
(ACC)

E
(ACC or DAT;
3rd person DAT only)

le

moi

la

toi

les

lui

nous

vous

leur

(26) a. *Présentez* - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} le \\ la \\ les \end{array} \right\}$ - $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} moi! \\ lui! \\ nous! \\ leur! \end{array} \right\}$

b. *Dépêche-toi!*

c. *Dépêchez-vous!*

(27) Clitic climbing

a. *Marie le lui a fait manger.*

‘Marie made him eat it.’

b. *Jean me la fait envoyer.*

‘Jean has me send it.’

or ‘Jean has it sent to me.’

c. *Pierre la lui a fait écrire par Jean.*

‘Pierre made Jean write it to him.’

Extra datives: ethical datives

- (28) *Il te nous a passé un de ces savons!*
'He gave us an incredible telling-off!'
- (29) *%Nous ne nous vous le faisons pas dire.*
'We couldn't agree more, you don't need to tell us.'
[Literally: 'We don't make you say it, we really don't.']

Extra datives: ethical datives

- (28) *Il te nous a passé un de ces savons!*
‘He gave us an incredible telling-off!’
- (29) *%Nous ne nous vous le faisons pas dire.*
‘We couldn’t agree more, you don’t need to tell us.’
[Literally: ‘We don’t make you say it, we really don’t.’]

Extra datives: ethical datives

- (28) *Il **te** nous a passé un de ces savons!*
'He gave us an incredible telling-off!'
- (29) *%Nous ne **nous** vous le faisons pas dire.*
'We couldn't agree more, you don't need to tell us.'
[Literally: 'We don't make you say it, we really don't.']

(30) Extra datives: clitic climbing

a. *Elle me te les a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to you.’

b. *Elle me les lui a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to her.’

c. **Elle leur les lui a fait envoyer.*

Putatively:

‘She made them send them to her.’

(30) Extra datives: clitic climbing

a. *Elle me te les a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to you.’

b. *Elle me les lui a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to her.’

c. **Elle leur les lui a fait envoyer.*

Putatively:

‘She made them send them to her.’

(30) Extra datives: clitic climbing

a. *Elle me te les a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to you.’

b. *Elle me les lui a fait envoyer.*

‘She made me send them to her.’

c. **Elle leur les lui a fait envoyer.*

Putatively:

‘She made them send them to her.’

(31) Extra datives: clitic climbing

a. %*Jean me te semble fidèle.*

‘Jean seems to me to be faithful to you.’

b. %*Jean me lui semble fidèle.*

‘Jean seems to me to be faithful to her.’

c. **Jean lui leur semble fidèle.*

Putatively:

‘Jean seems to her to be faithful to them.’

(31) Extra datives: clitic climbing

a. %*Jean me te semble fidèle.*

‘Jean seems to me to be faithful to you.’

b. %*Jean me lui semble fidèle.*

‘Jean seems to me to be faithful to her.’

c. **Jean lui leur semble fidèle.*

Putatively:

‘Jean seems to her to be faithful to them.’

(32) Expanded proclitic template for non-local-argument as well as local-argument pronouns

A'	A	B	C
(“extra” DAT)	(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>	<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>	<i>vous</i>		

4. A PFM analysis
of French pronominal clitics:
Defaults and overrides

4.1 Preliminary assumptions

(35) Synthetic property sets:
Sets of specifications
of **AGR** and **TAM**

(36) Clitic property sets:
Sets of specifications of POL,
pronominal ACC
and pronominal DAT

(35) Synthetic property sets:
Sets of specifications
of AGR and TAM

(36) Clitic property sets:
Sets of specifications of **POL**,
pronominal **ACC**
and pronominal **DAT**

(37)

Synthetic paradigm of DONNER	Clitic-group paradigm of DONNER
------------------------------	---------------------------------

Sample cell

⟨DONNER,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut}⟩

⟨*donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut} ∪
{affirm, ACC:{3sgm}, DAT:{3sg}}⟩

Its realization

⟨*donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut}⟩

⟨*le lui donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut} ∪
{affirm, ACC:{3sgm}, DAT:{3sg}}⟩

(37)

Synthetic
paradigm
of DONNER

Clitic-group
paradigm
of DONNER

Sample
cell

⟨DONNER,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut}⟩

⟨*donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut} ∪
{affirm, ACC:{3sgm}, DAT:{3sg}}⟩

Its
realization

⟨*donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut}⟩

⟨*le lui donnera*,
{AGR:{3sg}, fut} ∪
{affirm, ACC:{3sgm}, DAT:{3sg}}⟩

(37)

	Synthetic paradigm of DONNER	Clitic-group paradigm of DONNER
Sample cell	$\langle \text{DONNER}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$
Its realization	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{le lui donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$

(37)

	Synthetic paradigm of DONNER	Clitic-group paradigm of DONNER
Sample cell	$\langle \text{DONNER}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$
Its realization	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{le lui donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$

(37)

	Synthetic paradigm of DONNER	Clitic-group paradigm of DONNER
Sample cell	$\langle \text{DONNER}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$
Its realization	$\langle \textit{donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \rangle$	$\langle \textit{le lui donnera}, \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut}\} \cup \{\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{3\text{sgm}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}\}\} \rangle$

4.2 Realizing clitic-group paradigms

(38) *Realization rules* for French pronominal clitics

a. **Block I.**

$V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{masc}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{le}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{fem}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{la}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{les}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

b. **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(38) *Realization rules* for French pronominal clitics

a. **Block I.**

$V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{masc}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{le} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{fem}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{la} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\text{ACC}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{les} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

b. **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
 $V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(38) *Realization rules* for French pronominal clitics

c. **Block III.**

$V, \{\text{DAT2}:\tau\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle Y, \sigma \rangle,$

where $[\text{II} : \langle X, \{\text{DAT}:\tau\} \rangle] = \langle Y, \{\text{DAT}:\tau\} \rangle$

b. **Block IV.**

$V, \{\text{neg}\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle ne X, \sigma \rangle$

(39) The set S_1 of *affixation-inversion property sets* for (38a,b):

S_1 is the set of affirmative imperative property sets

(39) The set S_1 of *affixation-inversion property sets* for (38a,b):

S_1 is the set of affirmative imperative property sets

(40) Clause in the definition
of the French *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$)

= [IV : [III : [[$\circ S_2$, II, I] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(41)


The set S_2 of *composition-inversion property sets* for (40):

S_2 is the smallest set containing every well-formed extension of (a) that is not an extension of (b):

- a. {ACC:{3, nonrefl}, DAT:{3, nonrefl}}
- b. {affirmative, imperative}.

(42)

Morphosyntactic property sets



(43) Proof of *(Vous) me la présentez*
‘You introduce her to me’

(44) Proof of *(Vous) la leur présentez*
‘You introduce her to them’

(45) Proof of *Présentez-la-moi!*
‘Introduce her to me!’

(N.B.: Finally in a clitic group, *me*,
te assume the shapes *moi*, *toi*.)

4.3 Predicting the “*me-lui* constraint”

(17) Basic template for proclitic
local-argument pronouns

A	B	C
(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>		

(46)

	A	B	C	
a.	<i>Jean</i>	<i>me</i>	<i>verra.</i>	‘Jean will see me.’
b.	<i>Jean</i>	<i>les</i>	<i>verra.</i>	‘Jean will see them.’
c.	<i>Jean</i>	<i>me les</i>	<i>présentera.</i>	‘Jean will introduce them to me.’
d.	<i>Jean</i>	<i>les</i>	<i>lui présentera.</i>	‘Jean will introduce them to her.’
e.	<i>*Jean</i>	<i>me</i>	<i>lui présentera.</i>	Putatively: ‘Jean will introduce me to her.’

(47) Person Case Constraint:

*1st/2nd/*se* acc. clitic + nonethical dat. clitic

(Rezac 2010:155)

(48) Czech

Chci mu tě ukázat.

I.want **him.DAT** **you.SG.ACC** show.INF

‘I want to show you (sg.) to him.’

(49) Polish

Wczoraj mnie jemu przedstawiłeś.

yesterday **me.ACC** **him.DAT** introduced.2SG.MASC

‘Yesterday you (sg. masc.) introduced me to him.’

(50) Feature cooccurrence restriction

If SL-2 or SL-[5] is nonempty,
then SL-4 is empty.

(Miller & Sag 1997: 597)

(51) Feature cooccurrence restriction

{CLTS $\langle \dots, x : \{obj\}, \dots, \{dat\}, \dots \rangle$ }

$\Rightarrow x : \{3, nonrefl\}$

(Bonami & Boyé 2007: 305)

(50) Feature cooccurrence restriction

If SL-2 or SL-[5] is nonempty,
then SL-4 is empty.

(Miller & Sag 1997: 597)

(51) Feature cooccurrence restriction

{CLTS $\langle \dots, x : \{obj\}, \dots, \{dat\}, \dots \rangle$ }

$\Rightarrow x : \{3, nonrefl\}$

(Bonami & Boyé 2007: 305)

Because clitics from Groups A and C are introduced by the same rule block, the proposed analysis entails the “*me-lui* constraint”

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, me \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, te \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, se \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, nous \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, vous \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, lui \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, leur \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

Because clitics from Groups A and C are introduced by the same rule block, the proposed analysis entails the “*me-lui* constraint”

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, me \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, te \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, se \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, nous \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, vous \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, lui \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT}: \{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, leur \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(52) $\sigma = \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut},$
 $\text{affirm}, \text{ACC:}\{1\text{sg}\}, \text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$

(53) PF($\langle \textit{présentera}, \sigma \rangle$)

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(52) $\sigma = \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut},$
affirm, ACC: {1sg}, DAT: {3sg, nonrefl}

(53) PF($\langle \textit{présentera}, \sigma \rangle$)

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(52) $\sigma = \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut},$
affirm, ACC: {1sg}, DAT: {3sg, nonrefl}}

(53) PF($\langle \textit{présentera}, \sigma \rangle$)

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \textit{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}, \textit{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{pl}, \textit{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur}] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(52) $\sigma = \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut},$
affirm, ACC: {1sg}, DAT: {3sg, nonrefl}}

(53) PF($\langle \textit{présentera}, \sigma \rangle$)

(38b) **Block II.** Where $\mu = \text{ACC}$ or DAT ,

$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{me} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{sg}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{te} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{3, \text{refl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{se} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{1\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{nous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\mu: \{2\text{pl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{vous} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{sg}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{lui} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$
$V, \{\text{DAT:}\{3\text{pl}, \text{nonrefl}\}\}$:	$\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_1, \textit{leur} \rangle (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(52) $\sigma = \{\text{AGR:}\{3\text{sg}\}, \text{fut},$
affirm, ACC: {1sg}, DAT: {3sg, nonrefl}\}

(53) PF($\langle \textit{présentera}, \sigma \rangle$)

(54) **Narrowness.** Where (a) and (b) are realization rules, (a) is narrower than (b) iff either

(i) $C = C'$ and τ_2 is a proper subset of τ_1 or

(ii) C is a proper subset of C' .

(a) $C, \tau_1: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow f_1(\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(b) $C', \tau_2: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow f_2(\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

4.4 A unified account of proclitic and enclitic pronouns

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ \mathbf{S}_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, y](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, z](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $\mathbf{S}_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ \mathbf{S}_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, y](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, z](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $\mathbf{S}_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ S_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_3, y \rangle](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle S_3, z \rangle](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $S_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ \mathbf{S}_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, y](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, z](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $\mathbf{S}_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ \mathbf{S}_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, y](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, z](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $\mathbf{S}_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(55) A hypothetical possibility

a. Paradigm function:

$$\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma \rangle) = [[\circ \mathbf{S}_3, \text{II}, \text{I}] : \langle X, \sigma \rangle]$$

b. Block I: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, y](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

c. Block II: $C, \{\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [< \mathbf{S}_3, z](\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

d. $\mathbf{S}_3 = \{\sigma_2\}$

(56) a. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_1 \rangle) = \langle zyX, \sigma_1 \rangle$

b. $\text{PF}(\langle X, \sigma_2 \rangle) = \langle Xzy, \sigma_2 \rangle$

(57) a. *Me lo spedisce.*
1SG.DAT 3SG.ACC sends
'He sends it to me.'

b. *Spedisci-me-lo!*
send.IMPV-1SG.DAT-3SG.ACC
'Send it to me!'

(58)

a. *O Paulo deu-no-lo.*

the Paulo gave-1.PL.DAT-3.SG.MASC.ACC

‘Paulo gave it to us.’

b. *O Paulo não no-lo*

deu.

the Paulo NEG 1.PL.DAT-3.SG.MASC.ACC

gave

‘Paulo didn't give it to us.’

The proposed analysis does not require clitic sequences to be generated as a separate constituent that is positioned as a unit with respect to its host.

(59)

a. *Je leur y en ai porté.*

‘I carried some there to them.’

b. *Envoyez-leur-y-en!*

‘Send some to them there!’

(60)

Realization rules for the “adverbial” clitics

a. **Block EN.**

$V, \{EN:yes\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle \mathbf{S}_1, en \rangle] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

b. **Block Y.**

$V, \{Y:yes\}: \langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [\langle \mathbf{S}_1, y \rangle] (\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[oS₁, [oS₂, II, I], [oS₁, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[oS₁, [oS₂, II, I], [oS₁, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[oS₁, [oS₂, II, I], [oS₁, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[oS₁, [oS₂, II, I], [oS₁, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[**oS₁**, [**oS₂**, II, I], [**oS₁**, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

(17) Basic template for proclitic local-argument pronouns

A	B	C
(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>		

(25) Basic template for enclitic local-argument pronouns

D	E
(ACC)	(ACC or DAT; 3 rd person DAT only)
<i>le</i>	<i>moi</i>
<i>la</i>	<i>toi</i>
<i>les</i>	<i>lui</i>
	<i>nous</i>
	<i>vous</i>
	<i>leur</i>

(62) a. *Vous nous le donnez.*

‘You give it to us.’

b. *Donnez-le-nous!* ‘Give it to us!’

(17) Basic template for proclitic local-argument pronouns

A	B	C
(ACC or DAT)	(ACC)	(DAT)
<i>me</i>	<i>le</i>	<i>lui</i>
<i>te</i>	<i>la</i>	<i>leur</i>
<i>se</i>	<i>les</i>	
<i>nous</i>		
<i>vous</i>		

(25) Basic template for enclitic local-argument pronouns

D	E
(ACC)	(ACC or DAT; 3 rd person DAT only)
<i>le</i>	<i>moi</i>
<i>la</i>	<i>toi</i>
<i>les</i>	<i>lui</i>
	<i>nous</i>
	<i>vous</i>
	<i>leur</i>

(62) a. *Vous nous le donnez.*

‘You give it to us.’

b. *Donnez-le-nous!* ‘Give it to us!’

(59) a. *Je leur y en ai porté.*

‘I carried some there to them.’

b. *Envoyez-leur-y-en!*

‘Send some to them there!’

Proclitic and enclitic instances of the same clitic are introduced by the same rule, and the interaction of rule blocks conforms to a single structural generalization.

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[$\circ S_1$, [$\circ S_2$, II, I], [$\circ S_1$, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

Proclitic and enclitic instances of the same clitic are introduced by the same rule, and the interaction of rule blocks conforms to a single structural generalization.

(61) Revised *paradigm function*

PF($\langle W, \sigma \rangle$) =

[IV : [III : [[$\circ S_1$, [$\circ S_2$, II, I], [$\circ S_1$, Y, EN]] : $\langle W, \sigma \rangle$]]]

The proposed analysis can be adapted to accommodate dialects other than the “standardized French” dialect considered here. Cf. e.g. (63), (64).

5. Two concluding remarks

(65) Huave completive affix *t*

a. *[mojk-o]-t*

face.down-THEME.VOWEL-COMPL

‘s/he lay face down’

b. *t-[e-mojk-o-r]*

COMPL-2-face.down-THEME.VOWEL-2.INTRANS

‘you (sg.) lay face down’

(65) Huave completive affix *t*

a. [*mojk-o*]-*t*

face.down-THEME.VOWEL-COMPL

‘s/he lay face down’

b. *t*-[*e-mojk-o-r*]

COMPL-2-face.down-THEME.VOWEL-2.INTRANS

‘you (sg.) lay face down’

(65) Huave completive affix *t*

a. [mojk-o]-*t*

face.down-THEME.VOWEL-COMPL

‘s/he lay face down’

b. *t*-[e-mojk-o-r]

COMPL-2-face.down-THEME.VOWEL-2.INTRANS

‘you (sg.) lay face down’

(66)

a. A second default-suffixation operator $[>]_2$

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set,
 X is an affix and Y is a stem:

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2$ is a function such that

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2(\langle Y, \sigma \rangle) = \langle XY, \sigma \rangle$ if Y satisfies \mathbf{C} ;
 $= \langle YX, \sigma \rangle$ otherwise.

b. $V, \{\text{completive}\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow$
 $[> [\text{vowel}] \dots [\text{cons}], t]_2(\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(66)

a. A second default-suffixation operator $[>]_2$

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set,
 X is an affix and Y is a stem:

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2$ is a function such that

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2(\langle Y, \sigma \rangle) = \langle XY, \sigma \rangle$ if Y satisfies \mathbf{C} ;
 $= \langle YX, \sigma \rangle$ otherwise.

b. $V, \{\text{completive}\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow$
 $[> [\text{vowel}] \dots [\text{cons}], t]_2(\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(66)

a. A second default-suffixation operator $[>]_2$

Where σ is a morphosyntactic property set,
 X is an affix and Y is a stem:

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2$ is a function such that

$[> \mathbf{C}, X]_2(\langle Y, \sigma \rangle) = \langle XY, \sigma \rangle$ if Y satisfies \mathbf{C} ;
 $= \langle YX, \sigma \rangle$ otherwise.

b. $V, \{\text{completive}\}$: $\langle X, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow$
 $[> [\text{vowel}] \dots [\text{cons}], t]_2(\langle X, \sigma \rangle)$

(67) Lithuanian reflexive affix *si*

a. *laikaũ-si*

maintain.1SG-REFL

‘I get along’

b. *iš-si-laikaũ*

PREVERB-REFL-maintain.1SG

‘I hold my stand’

c. *su-si-pa-žinti*

PREVERB₁-REFL-PREVERB₂-know.INF

‘to become acquainted with’