

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Soil Science News and Views

Plant and Soil Sciences

7-1982

Seasonal and Yearly Fluctuations of Soil Tests

Lloyd W. Murdock University of Kentucky, lmurdock@uky.edu

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss_views



Part of the Soil Science Commons

Repository Citation

Murdock, Lloyd W., "Seasonal and Yearly Fluctuations of Soil Tests" (1982). Soil Science News and Views. 157. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss_views/157

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Soil Science News and Views by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Department of Agronomy

Soil Science News & Views



Vol. 3, No. 6, July 1982

Seasonal and Yearly Fluctuations of Soil Tests

Lloyd Murdock

One of the most important management tasks of a good farmer is taking a good soil sample. The recommendations based on these samples will help determine yield and profitability. A number of factors have an effect on the soil test results.

Seasonal Fluctuations

Seasonal fluctuations change the results of some of the soil test factors. During the summer and the fall as soils dry, the soil water moves to the surface and brings with it dissolved salts from deeper in the soil. This concentrates some nutrients near the surface and increases their apparent availability as shown by soil tests. The more mobile the element the greater the effect. The most mobile element in abundant supply in a well fertilized soil at this time is (NO_3) nitrogen. As the nitrate moves with the water and concentrates in the upper 6 inches, it brings with it cations. At the same time nutrients are being taken from the soil by plant uptake. The overall effect is small and will cause little change in the fertility recommendations.

These increased salts at the soil surface also lower the pH. The increased cations at the surface display H⁺ from the soil into the soil solution. This causes the pH to decrease as the soil dries. The pH is also lowered during this same time by nitrification of ammonium nitrogen released from soil organic matter or added as fertilizer.

At high rates of fertilization these effects are quite significant. Large additions of fertilizer can cause the soil pH at midseason to be 0.6 to 1.0 pH units below that prior to fertilization. If the soil becomes moist some of this effect is alleviated and the pH will increase to some extent.

When sampling in the summer it should be realized that the pH is abnormally low at time of sampling. When sampling in the winter and spring one should keep in mind that the crop will grow in a soil with a pH lower than that shown by the soil test result.

It helps to take the soil samples the same time each year so that the seasonal fluctuations will be removed when comparing soil tests of different years.

The College of Agriculture is an Equal Opportunity Organization with respect to education and employment and is authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age and handicap. Inquiries regarding compliance with Title VI and Title VI and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Title IX of the Educational Amendments. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and other related matters should be directed to Equal Opportunity Office. Kentucky Cooperative Extension Service. University of Kentucky. Room S-105. Agricultural Science Building-North, Lexington, Kentucky, 40546.

Yearly Fluctuations

How accurate is the soil sample? Soil test results often will vary greatly from one year to the next, causing farmers to question the validity of soil testing. The primary cause of this, in most cases, is in the soil sampling. Many soils are highly heterogenous. In addition to this, uneven soil erosion, type of tillage, depth of tillage, time of sampling, contaminants, uneven soil fertility application patterns, and lack of an adequate number of cores can also cause variation in soil test results. Because of this, a soil sampling history should be established. This would mean testing the soil and recording the results. In future years when test results appear to be unrepresentative, the history can be used to make a decision on the validity of the results. The soil test history is also useful in a number of other ways. The table below shows this variability in a series of samples taken from the same field by a farmer over a number of years. The field was in a corn and soybean rotation for grain production only. It is easy to see that the 1978 results are out of line and should be discarded and recommendations based on another sample or past history.

Yearly Variability of Soil Test Results from Soil Samples Taken By a Farmer from a Sloping Upland Field in Caldwell County

The second second second					Charles of the latest and the latest
	Year	рН	<u>P</u> 1b	/ac	
	1972	6.5	11	236	
	1973	6.5	29	255	
	1978	6.6	7	182	
	1979	6.6	20	352	
	1980	6.2	27	285	

The best way to minimize the variability which such fluctuations can have on soil test values is to (1) do a good job of sampling the field each time it is sampled and (2) sample at about the same time each year and keep a good field record system to include date at which samples were taken and lime and fertilizer application was made.