



University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

Agronomy Notes

Plant and Soil Sciences

1970

No-Tillage -- Suitability to Kentucky Soils

Robert L. Blevins
University of Kentucky

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss_notes

 Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Blevins, Robert L., "No-Tillage -- Suitability to Kentucky Soils" (1970). *Agronomy Notes*. 128.
https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss_notes/128

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy Notes by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

AGRONOMY NOTES

DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY ————— Lexington 40506

Vol. 3, No. 2

NO-TILLAGE — SUITABILITY TO KENTUCKY SOILS

by

R. L. Blevins

As more farmers adopt no-tillage methods of farming the question arises whether or not all soils are suited to this practice. To get an idea of how well suited the no-tillage method of corn production is to a wide variety of soils, we made a survey in five different physiographic regions of Kentucky in 1969.

The soils studied and corn yields obtained are listed in Table 1. This table also indicates some of the soil properties which suggest how intensively the soil can be used, and how well suited it is for corn production. Since this was a survey, we had no experimental control on factors such as corn variety, planting dates, fertility treatments, and climatic differences. These variables prevent us from making reliable comparisons among soil types or physiographic regions. These data are presented for the purpose of summarizing no-tillage corn yields from a large number of farms over a wide range of soil conditions. The average corn yield of the 40 sites reported in Table 1 was 125 bushels per acre.

We did have a few study sites where corn variety, fertilizer applied, and planting dates were the same for a given soil. The only difference was in the method of tillage employed at these sites. At these sites we compared no-tillage with conventional corn production in the same fields (Table 2). Four out of six soils studied produced higher yields under no-tillage management in 1969, while the other two showed no difference in yield between the two systems.

An example of the effect of this type of corn production on steep slopes was observed on a moderately steep (25%) Baxter cherty silt loam soil. We would normally recommend this particular soil with 25% slope to be kept in continuous cover since the erosion hazard would be severe under conventional tillage practices. On this particular field, corn was seeded into a heavy fescue sod which was then chemically killed. This provided an excellent mulch cover which controlled erosion even during periods of excessive runoff brought about by summer storms. These storms resulted in approximately three inches of rainfall on June 23rd and two inches more on June 29th. Under these conditions this field produced 134 bushels of corn per acre with no visible evidence of erosion during the growing season.

A high percentage of soils in Kentucky suitable for cropland are characterized by having silt loam surface layers. Comparisons of corn production by conventional cultivation versus no-tillage on soils with silt loam textures shows that no-tillage systems conserve more moisture and generally produce higher corn yields. This is

supported by Van Doren (Crops and Soils Magazine, Dec. 1969), who reported that Ohio soils with high silt contents in the surface produced higher yields under no-tillage than under conventional methods. In our study (Table 1) yields of 160 and 141 bushels per acre of corn were produced on loam and fine sandy loam surface soils, respectively. In addition to moisture conservation, no-tillage planting seems particularly suitable for Kentucky because of the large acreage of sloping land with medium textured surfaces which present a high erosion hazard under conventional tillage.

As a result of the soil and water conservation benefits of the system, it seems that no-tillage generally is suitable over the range of soil and slope conditions in Kentucky normally adapted to intensive corn production. No-tillage also would seem suitable for use on an additional acreage of sloping land which we normally do not cultivate. The old adage "the better the soil, the better the crop," however, is still meaningful. There is still some question as to the suitability of no-tillage methods on wet, clayey soils in Kentucky. Additional studies are needed to evaluate the applicability of such management practices on these soils.

This new method of farming significantly increases the potential for row crop production in Kentucky without increasing the risk of erosion losses or soil deterioration. In addition to making it possible to crop more intensively, this new system of farming also results in increased yields on many of our soils.

Table 2. A comparison of corn yields on six different soils using no-tillage vs. conventional tillage methods during 1969.

| Soil | Soil Texture | Soil Slope | Parent Material | Corn Yield bu./Ac. | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | | | No-tillage | Conventional |
| Crider | silt loam | 3% | loess over limestone | 143 | 128 |
| Donerail* | silt loam | 3% | phosphatic limestone | 136 | 117 |
| Faywood | silty clay loam | 7% | limestone | 132 | 133 |
| Grenada | silt loam | 2% | loess over acid sandstone and shale | 104 | 104 |
| Loradale* | silt loam | 6% | phosphatic limestone | 130 | 110 |
| Lowell | silt loam | 8% | limestone | 149 | 132 |
| | | | Average ----- | 132 | 121 |

*Data from S. H. Phillips experimental plots in Woodford and Fayette Counties.

Table 1. A summary of data collected on no-tillage corn plots (1969) including yield, type of sod, kind of soil and pertinent soil properties.^{1/}

| Soil | Surface Texture | Slope | Depth ^{2/} | Soil Drainage | Soil pH 0-7" | Planting Date | Type of Sod | Corn Yield bu./Ac. |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| <u>Eastern Pennyroyal</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Cumberland | Silt loam | 3% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.3 | May 3 | Rye | 120 |
| Cumberland | Silty clay loam | 14% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.4 | May 3 | Rye | 100 |
| Huntington | Silt loam | 1% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.4 | May 3 | Rye | 129 |
| Fredonia | Rocky silt loam | 3% | 25-40" | Well-drained | 6.2 | May 15 | Fescue | 108 |
| Pembroke | Silt loam | 4% | Deep | Well-drained | 5.6 | — | Fescue | 133 |
| Mountview | Silt loam | 2% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.8 | May 1 | Fescue | 145 |
| Mountview | Silt loam | 4% | Deep | Well-drained | — | — | Fescue | 137 |
| Mountview | Silt loam | 3% | Deep | Well-drained | — | — | Rye | 132 |
| Baxter | Silt loam | 25% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.4 | May 1 | Fescue | 134 |
| Baxter | Cherty silt loam | 18% | Deep | Well-drained | — | — | Rye | 133 |
| Elk | Silt loam | 1% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.1 | May 15 | Fescue | 144 |
| Dickson | Loam | 3% | 26"* | Moderate | 6.6 | May 15 | Fescue | 160 |
| Hartsell | Fine sandy loam | 6% | 30" | Well-drained | 6.6 | May 15 | Fescue | 141 |
| Taft | Silt loam | 2% | 20"* | Somewhat poorly | — | — | Fescue | 151 |
| Dunning | Silty clay loam | 1% | Deep | Poorly-drained | 5.7 | May 7 | Fescue | 97 |
| Dabney | Silt loam | 9% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.3 | April 4 | Fescue & Clover | 105 |
| <u>Outer Bluegrass & Knobs</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Bedford | Silt loam | 10% | 21"* | Moderate | 6.6 | May 5 | Orchard Grass | 129 |
| Brashear | Silt loam | 4% | Deep | Well-drained | 5.5 | May 5 | Bluegrass | 110 |
| Faywood | Silty clay loam | 7% | 30" | Well-drained | 5.5 | May 3 | Wheat | 132 |
| Hagerstown | Silt loam | 4% | Deep | Well-drained | 5.6 | May 3 | Wheat | 122 |
| Johnsburg | Silt loam | 1% | 22"* | Somewhat poorly | 6.2 | May 3 | Orchard Grass & Fescue | 110 |
| Lowell | Silt loam | 8% | Deep | Well-drained | — | — | — | — |
| <u>Inner Bluegrass</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Donerail | Silt loam | 3% | Deep | Moderate | 5.0 | April 26 | Bluegrass | 136 |
| Donerail | Silt loam | 1% | Deep | Moderate | — | April 26 | Bluegrass | 152 |
| Loradale | Silt loam | 6% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.8 | May 22 | Bluegrass | 130 |

(Table continued on following page)

Table 1. (Cont.)

| Soil | Surface Texture | Slope | Depth ^{2/} | Soil Drainage | Soil pH 0-7" | Planting Date | Type of Sod | Corn Yield bu./Ac |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Western Coalfields</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Falaya | Silt loam | 1% | Deep | Somewhat poorly | 5.2 | May 13 | Continuous Corn | 150 |
| Grenada | " " | 6% | 22"* | Moderate | 5.7 | May 24 | Fescue | 100 |
| Grenada | " " | 2% | 26"* | Moderate | 5.8 | April 25 | Rye | 104 |
| Loring | " " | 9% | 28"* | Moderate to well | 5.8 | April 25 | 3rd yr. No-til | 162 |
| Loring | " " | 10% | 30"* | " " " | 6.1 | April 25 | 2nd yr. No-til | 154 |
| Loring | " " | 3% | 30"* | " " " | 6.4 | April 27 | 3rd yr. No-til | 160 |
| <u>Western Pennyroyal</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Bedford | Silt loam | 1% | 18"* | Moderate | 7.0 | April 26 | Fescue | 88 |
| Crider | " " | 10% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.0 | April 22 | Fescue | 76 |
| Crider | " " | 4% | Deep | Well-drained | | April 27 | Fescue | 143 |
| Crider | " " | 3% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.3 | April 15 | Fescue | 75 |
| Dickson | " " | 4% | 25"* | Moderate | 6.8 | May 30 | Red Clover | 89 |
| Huntington | " " | 2% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.5 | May 30 | Alfalfa | 192 |
| Pembroke | " " | 2% | Deep | Well-drained | 5.8 | April 24 | Wheat | 108 |
| Pembroke | " " | 3% | Deep | Well-drained | 6.9 | April 22 | Fescue | 80 |
| Pembroke | " " | 8% | Deep | Well-drained | 7.1 | April 9 | Rye | 91 |

^{1/} The author is indebted to Extension Specialists Hugh Hurst, Cliff Taylor, J. T. Williams, and John Wills, who assisted in collecting this data.

^{2/} In this column, "Deep" means that there is no layer restricting root penetration to a depth of 42 inches.

* Fragipan horizon that may restrict root penetration.