

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS FRANKFORT

HENRY WARD

July 21, 1961

ADDRESS REPLY TO
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORY
132 GRAHAM AVENUE
LEXINGTON 29, KENTUCKY

P.3.2.

MEMO TO: W. B. Drake

Director of Research

SUBJECT: Pavement Surveys

REFERENCE: T. H. Baker's Letter, November 10, 1959

W. B. Drake's Memos, Feb. 15, May 12,

and June 17, 1960

W. B. Drake's Memo, May 25, 1961 My Memos, June 23, and July 11, 1961

A review of the above referenced reports has been made in order to determine reasonable criteria for portland cement concrete pavement surface condition evaluations. Table 1 is provided to allow comparison of the several projects which have been inspected for surface defects.

The major considerations in the evaluation of a slab's condition, where surface defects exist, are the number of defects, their type and size. It is possible for a slab to contain a large number of surface intrusions without being appreciably damaged, if the impairments are all very small. On the other hand, only a few very large defects would be very damaging. Certainly, the most satisfactory condition would be the complete absence of blemishes.

In the surveys which have been made since the beginning of these pavement investigations, soil holes, chert pop-outs, shale pitting, trash imbedments and cracks have comprised nearly one hundred percent of the defects observed. The defects are listed in declining order of damaging effect to the slabs inspected. By far, the most prevalent types of defects are soil holes and chert pop-outs. These surveys have been made, insofar as possible, to include all defects which were one-half inch or more in diameter and all transverse cracks.

The data in Table 1 show that for all the slabs examined the best ones had zero defects and the worst one 247. For generally uniform areas the extremes for average numbers of defects were 0.3 defects per slab and 64 defects per slab. For the same areas, the most frequently occurring slabs were found to be slabs with zero defects and at the other extreme slabs with 55 defects.

In order to relate the appearance of surface defects in a slab to the specification limits placed on the constituents used in the concrete the following hypothetical calculations have been made wherein a porous chert is the offending foreign matter.

Hypothetical chert concentration in a P.C.C. pavement. Assume mix - 38% FA, 62% CA, Assume CA - No. 36 crushed limestone, 1900 lbs./yd. concrete. Grading of No. 36 stone, middle of specification:

Sieve	% Passing	% Retained			
2-1/2	100	0			
2	90	10			
1	52-1/2	37-1/2			
1/2	20	32-1/2			
4	2-1/2	17-1/2			
pan		2-1/2			

Assume average size is 1 in. Assume chert could pop out through 1 in, of concrete. Hence, the chert in top 2 in. of concrete would be counted. Assume an average 1-in. chert particle to have the volume of a 1-in. sphere.

$$V = 4/3 \text{ n } \text{r}^3 = 1/6 \text{ n } \text{D}^3 = 1/6 (3.142)(1)^3$$
  
 $V = .524 \text{ in}^3$ .

If the specific gravity of this chert is 2.50, a 1-in. particle would weigh .524 in  $^3$  x 62.4/1728 x 2.50 = .0473 lbs/1-in. particle. Assume 1% chert in CA, 1900 lbs. x .01 = 19 lbs. chert/yd. concrete. Number of average chert particles in one cu. yd. concrete = 19/.0473 = 401.7. In a 9-in. pavement, a square yard consists of 1/4 cu. yd. of concrete. Hence, 401.7/4 = 100.4 chert particles. If only the chert in the top 2 inches has popped out, 100.4/4.5 = 22.3 pop-outs per sq. yd. would show a 24-ft. x 50-ft. slab consists of 133.3 sq. yds., and if 1% of chert were present in the CA and the above assumptions true, there would be 133.3 x 22.3 = 3026 pop-outs per slab.

These figures show that even a small percentage of foreign matter, if it is in the form of medium to large lumps, in a concrete mix can under adverse conditions be very detrimental.

Kentucky Department of Highways Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, 1956 edution, give limitations for several types of foreign matter including shale, clay or silt, coal and lignite, and other deleterious substances in coarse and fine aggregates, articles 7.4.0 and 7.3.0 respectively. However, in no case are these limits less than 0.5% which is one half of the amount included in the foregoing hypothetical case. At this level a slab could still show 1513 defects, which are approximately six times more than the number counted in the worst slab observed in the course of these surveys and many times more than the worst average number of defects per slab computed for any given project. Nevertheless, there is no question that several of the slabs encountered were in poor condition.

Whenever large defects are present in a pavement it will not pass a critical visual inspection. Experience from these surveys indicates that any hole one and one-half inches in diameter or larger will attract critical attention. The more of this size or larger defects there are the more severe the appraisal of the slab becomes.

In order to prevent the occurrence of slabs with large defects it would seem prudent to include in the specifications strict limitations on the size of foreign matter in the constituents of concrete to supplement the existing limitations of the quantity of such materials. Along with this a strict enforcement of clean handling procedures for the materials should be required as in articles 4.1.4 and 5.6.2-F of the 1956 Specifications.

A compilation of the average number of defects per slab for each of the projects included in this study with the exceptionally bad areas omitted shows an over-all figure for these projects to be 6.3 defects per 50- x 24-ft. slab. The average number of defects per slab for the Versailles-Frankfort project after omitting the exceptionally bad areas is 7.2 and the combined average number of defects per slab for the other projects examined omitting the exceptionally bad areas is 4.5. Projects included in the above averages were: Versailles-Frankfort US 60; Versailles By-Pass; Paducah By-Pass; Watterson Expressway Extension; North Versailles Street, US 60 & US 62; South Versailles Street, State 33; and Elizabethtown-Upton, I 65.

Milton Evans, Jr.

Research Engineer Associate

TABLE I

Comparison of Pavement Defect Summaries for Sections Surveyed April 27, 1961 thru July 6, 1961

	T) = 4 - 0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Avg. pe	e r	***************************************
<b>~</b>	Date &	<b></b>	50-ft.	<b>3</b> 0 3	Major Type
Project	Section	Range	Slab	Mode	(%)
F 163(10) Paducah	June 1, 1961 Total	0-14	1.5	0	88.7 - soil failure
By-Pass	1290-1305 WB	0-14	2.7	0	92.9 - soil failure
	1438-1443 WB	0-5	2.1	2	100 - soil failure
	1455-1464/50 WB	0-2	0.4	0	62.5 - soil failure
	1438/50-1443 EB	0-2	0.6	0	67 soil failure
	1455-1464/50 EB	0-2	0.6	Q	67 - soil failure
1264 1 12 20	•	0-26	1,1	0	56.4 - soil failure
SP 56 628	<u> Total</u>				
Watterson	25-34/50 SB	0-4	1.4	1	50 - chert failure
Expressway	<b>68-74</b> /50 <b>S</b> B	0-4	1.4	0	60 - soil failure
Extension	98-109/50 SB	0-2	0.3	0	33 - soil, chert & trask failures
	25-34/50 NB	0-26	2.8	1	74.5 - soil failure
	68-74/50 NB	0-3	0.9	О	46.2 - soil & chert
					failures
	98-109/50 NB	0-4	0.6	0	66.6 - chert failure
					39.5 - patches
S-SG 155(1)	May 25, 1961	0-247	14	2	24.3 - soil failure
Versailles-	Totals				30.0 - chert failure
Frankfort					41 - patches
US 60	EB & WB	0 - 247	15	2	24 - soil failure
		•	•		29 - chert failure
			- , - <u>- , - , - , - , - , - , - , - , -</u>		55.6 - chert failure
	69-94 WB	5-82	31	7,17,36	41.7 - patches
			***************************************		49.1 - chert failure
	203-281 WB	6-166	64	<b>*55</b>	49.4 - patches
					55.7 - patches
	336-395 WB	2-247	57	29,36	41.5 - soil failure
					45.9 - patches
	399-414/50 WB	15-127	44	*39	50.7 - soil failure
					50 - patches
	6/50-16/50 EB	1-72	27		41.1 - chert failure
			**************************************		31.6 - patches
	61-74 EB	6-56	22	8	65.4 - chert failure
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**************************************		64.3 - chert failure
	Crossovers	0-64	7**	0	21.4 - patches
	Versailles By-Pass				67 - soil failure
	Interchange &	0-95	12***	2	18.5 - patches
	Midway Turnout				2
	421 Interchange			·	62 - chert failure
	Ramps-Frankfort	0-28	<b>5</b> 姚紫紫	2	18 - patches
Versailles	July 6, 1961	0-43	6	3	77.2 - soil failure
By-Pass	Total		_		
U.S. 60	Westbound	0-43	7	3	79.2 - soil failure
- feet 22	Eastbound	0-17	5	3	74.5 - soil failure
	Crossovers	0-3	0.4**	o	100.0 - soil failure
***************************************	The state of the s	The state of the s	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	manus que ver en en productiva dels sette dels sette set One sette

TABLE I (Continued)

The second secon					
S 155(2)	July 6, 1961	0-10	3****	3	61.3 - soil failure
North	Total				
Versailles					
US 62			**************************************		
S 129(1)	July 6, 1961				
South	Total	0-16	3***	3	72.1 - soil failure
Versailles	North & South	0-16	3.5***	3	71.3 - soil failure
Ку. 33	Intersection	0 - 4	0.6	0	100.0 - soil failure
	May 18-19, 1960				
<u>I-65</u>	550-575 Total	1-77	18	8	92.0 - soil failure
	" SB	5-77	27	8,16,18	95.0 - soil failure
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	" NB	1-20	9	8	85.0 - soil failure
	May 20, 1960		······································		**************************************
A-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11	720-745 Total	0-19	7	3	94.0 - soil failure
-	" SB	0-16	8	6	97.0 - soil failure
	" NB	0-19	6	3	89.0 - soil failure
	May 18-20, 1960				
	Combined	0-77	12	3	93.0 - soil failure
US 60	June 9-10, 1960				
LexVer.	Fayette Co.	6-71	28****	17	84.0 - shale pitting
US 60	June 10, 1960	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
LexVer.	Woodford	0-69	8****	5	83.0 - shale pitting

<sup>\*</sup> Average mode - due to 5 actual modes

<sup>\*\*</sup> All slabs not necessarily 50 ft. long - varies from 20 ft. to 50 ft.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Slabs approximately 50 ft. long

\*\*\*\* A slab is 44' x 50' (10'-12'-12'-10') & (11'-11'-11') rather than 24'x50'

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Average per 30-foot slab.



# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS FRANKFORT

HENRY WARD
COMMISSIONER OF HIGHWAYS

ADDRESS REPLY TO DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORY 132 GRAHAM AVENUE LEXINGTON 29, KENTUCKY

P.3.2

Memo. To:

W. B. Drake

Director of Research

Subject:

Investigation of Concrete Pavements

Versailles By-Pass - US 60

North Versailles, US 60 & US 62, S155(2) South Versailles, State Route 33, S129(1)

On July 5, and July 6, 1961, surveys were made of the subject projects. The data are presented in the same manner as previously established for this type of data. For each project Summary Strip Performance, Summary of Pavement Defects, and Breakdown of Total Defects tabulations are given.

Tables 1 through 6 cover the Versailles By-Pass, Tables 7 through 9, the North Versailles Project, and Tables 10 through 12 the South Versailles Project. These data for the Versailles By-Pass supplement data originally reported June 17, 1960. The data for the other two projects are the first taken for them.

It should be noted that the slab size on the two new Versailles Street Projects are much larger than normal highway slabs, which are usually 24' x 50', such as exist on the Versailles By-Pass. The slabs on the North Versailles Project are 50' long and include two 10' parking lanes and two 12' traffic lanes. The slabs on the South Versailles Project are 50' long and include two 11' parking lanes and two 11' traffic lanes.

The major type of defect is soil for all of these projects. The average per slab on the Versailles By-Pass is now 6 defects per slab, as compared to only 3 defects per slab on May 24, 1960. This is a considerable increase and a good many more slabs show an excessive number of defects. The averages for both the North and the South Versailles Projects are 3 defects per slab (44' x 50') which are not excessively high averages. The North Project has no slabs with more than 10 defects, whereas the South Project has three slabs with more than 10, i.e., 11, 14, and 16. However, considering the larger surface areas involved in these slabs these three slabs do not appear as areas of high defect concentration.

Milton Evans, Jr.

Research Engineer Associate

Table 1 Summary Strip Performance

Project: Woodford County, Versailles By-Pass

US 60 - Westbound Lanes, 4-Lane

Pavement

	D 4.1 1		ъ (				Total
Chatian	Patched	O . 11		cts Rema		T - 4 - 1	Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
67+25	0 .	0	2	0	1	3	3
+50	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
68	Ö	0	2	0	0	2	2
+50	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
69	Ö	5	0	0	0	5	5
+50	o	8	1	0	0	9	9
70	Ö	4	2	0	1	7	7
+50	0	9	0	0	0	9	9
71	Ö	22	2	0	0	24	24
+50	0	15	0	0	0	15	15
72	0	17	4	0	0	21	21
+50	0	8	0	0	1	9	9
73	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+ 50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
74	0	7	1	0	0	8	8
+50	ő	7	1	0	0	8	8
75	0	6	4	0	0	10	10
+50	0	14	0	0	0	14	14
76	ő	4	0	0	0	4	4
+50	0	$\frac{1}{14}$	1	0	0	15	15
77	0	15	0	0	0	15	15
+50	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
78	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
+50	0	2	l	0	0	3	3
79	0	4	0	0	0	4	4
+50	0	6		0	0	7	7
80	0	5	1 0	0	0	7 5	5
+50	0	l	0	0	0	э 1	1
* '	0	_	_	-	-	-	_
81 +50	0	7	0	0 0	0 0	7 3	7 3
+ 50 82	0	2 7	1 2	0	0		
+ 50	0		0	0	0	9 8	9
+ 50 B3	0	8 2				8 2	8 2
+50	0		0 0	0	0		
+50 84	0	4 3		0	0	4 3	4
			0	0	0		3 3
+50 35	0 0	2	0	0	1	3	
		1	0	0	0	1	1
+50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+50	0	4	0	0	0	4.	4
38+50	0	1	4	0	0	5	5

Table 1 (Contd)

<u> </u>	Patched	E LIANE WAY - LIBERTY	Total Defects				
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	cts Remai Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
89	0	6	0	0 .	0	6	6
+50	0	11	1	0	1	13	13
90	0	12	1	0	0	13	13
+50	0	20	1	0	1	22	22
91	0	6	0	1	0	7	7
+50	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
92	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	2	3	0	0	0	3	5
93	1	2	0	0	0	2	3
<b>⊹</b> 50	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
94	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
+50	0	9	1	0	0	10	10
95	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
+50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
96	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+50	0	5	1	0	0	6	6
97	0	8	0	0	0	8	8
+50	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
98	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
+50	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
99	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+50	1	7	1	0	0	8	9
100	0	7	1	0	0	8	8
+50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
101	0	1	2	0	0	3	3
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
102	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
÷50	0	3	0	0	3	6	6
103	0	6	0	0	1	7	7
+50	0	5	2.	0	0	7	7
104	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
+50	0	7	0	0	0	7	7
105	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	1	16	1	0	0	17	18
106	1	3	1	0	0	4	5
+50	0	3	2	0	0	5	5
107	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
+50	0	6	3	0	0	9	9
108	0	6	2	0	1	9	9
+50	0	3	0	0	1	4	4
109	0	2	3	0	0	5	5
<b></b> +50	1	1	1	0	0	2	3
110	0	2	0	0	1.	3	3
+50	0	8	6	0	0	14	14
111	0	7	3	0	1	11	11

Table 1 (Contd)

	Pat-ched			cts Remai			Defects				
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab				
+50	0	2	1	0	0	3	3				
112	0	3	0	0	0	3	3				
+50	0	1	2	0	0	3	3				
113	0	6	1	ő	0	7	7				
+50	0	10	1	ő	0	11	11				
114	Ö	15	. 0	0	0	15	15				
+50	0	3	1	0	1	5	5				
115	0	6	$\overset{ extstyle -}{4}$	0	1	11	11				
+50	0	11	2	0	0	13	13				
116	0	14	0	0	0	14	14				
+50	0	1 1	0	0	1	12	12				
117	0	14	0	0	1	15	15				
+50	0	8	0	0	1	9	9				
118	0	9	1	0	1	11	11				
+50	0	14	0	0	0	14	14				
119	0	10	5	0	0	15	15				
+50	0	16	1	0	0	17	17				
120	3	37	3	0	0	40	43				
<b>⊹</b> 50	5	13	3	0	1	17	22				
121	1	8	7	0	0	15	16				
+50	2	4	2	0	0	6	8				
122*	0	4	5	0	0	9	9				
+25	3	5	4	0	0	9	12				
+50	4	10	5	0	0	15	19				
123	5	5	2	0	0	7	12				
+50	6	14	4	0	1	19	25				
124	0	8	1	0	1	10	19				
+50	0	6	3	0	0	9	9				
125	0	4	0	1	0	5	5				
+50	0	5	1	0	0	6	6				
126*	0	9	4	0	0	13	13				
+25*	0	4	0	0	0	4	4				
+50	0	2	0	0	1	3 7	3 7				
127	0	7	0	0	0	9					
+50	0 0	7	1 0	0 0	1 2	3	9				
128 +50	0	1 1	0	0	1	2	2				
129	0	9	0	0	0	9	9				
+50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1				
130	0	7	0	0	0	7	7				
+50	0	3	2	1	0	6	6				
131	0	2	0	0	0	2	2				
+50	0	10	0	0	0	10	10				
インロ	U	10	V	v	U	10	10				

Table 1 (Contd)

						- Luis Review	Total
	Patched	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cts Remair			Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
132	0	8	0	0	0	8	8
+50	Ö	Ő	0	0	0	0	0
133	0	3	1	0	1	5	5
÷50	0	2	0	0	Ō	2	2
134	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
+50	0	3	2	0	Ö	5	5
135	0	8	0	0	0	8	8
+50	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
136	0	4	1	0	l	6	6
+50	0	3	1	0	0	4	4
137	0	3	1	0	1	5	5
+50	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
138	0	1	3	0	0	4	4
+50	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
139	0	0	I	0	. 0	1	1
+50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
140	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
+50	0	I	0	0	0	1	1
141	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+50	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
142	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
143	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+50	0	4	0	0	0	4	4
144	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
Totals	36	870	151	3	33	1057	1093

<sup>\*</sup> Short Slabs.

Table 2 Summary Strip Performance

Project: Woodford County, Versailles By-Pass,

US 60, Eastbound Lanes, 4-Lane

Pavement

		**************************************		C	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Total
A	Patched			efects Ren			Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
67*	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
+50	ő	3	4	0	0	. 7	7
68	Ö	4	2	0	0	6	6
+50	0	3	1	0	0,	4	4
69	0	2	2	0	0	4	4
+50	0	4	0	1	ő	5	5
70	0	2	2	1	0	5	5
+50	Ő	2	$\frac{2}{4}$	0	1	7	7
71	0	4	0	Ö	0	4	4
+50	ő	4	2	0	0	6	6
72	Ö	7	4	Ö	0	11	11
+50	Ö	5	1	1	0	7	7
73	ő	3	3	0	1	7	7
+50	Ö	4	2	0	0	6	6
74	Ö	9	3	0	0	12	12
+50	0	7	1	0	0	8	8
75	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.
76	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+50	0	1	1	0	1	3	3
77	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
78	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+50	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
79	0	4	1	0	0	5	5
+50	0	2	0	1	0	3	3
80	0	5	2	0	0	7	7
+50	0	3	1	1	0	5	5
81	0	3	1	0	0	4	4
+50	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
82	0	4	0	0	0	4	4
+50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
83	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
<b>+</b> 50	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
84	0	3	8	0	0	11	11
+50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
85	0	1	1	0	2	4	4
+50	0	1	1	0	0	2	2

Table 2 (Contd)

<u> </u>	Patched	J. C	Def	ects Rema	aining		Total Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
	COOPE PARTY OF THE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
86	0	1	3	0	0	4	4
+50	0	5	4	0	0	9	9
87	0	1	2	0	0	3	3
+50	0	1	1	1	0	3	3
88+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
89	0	0′	0	1	0	1	1
+50	0	5 <sup>\</sup>	0	0	0	5	5
90	0	2	2	0	0	4	4
+50	0	5	2	0	0	7	7
91	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
+50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
92	0	2	3	0	0	5	5
+50	0	3	3	0	1	7	7
93	0	5	l	0	0	6	6
<b>+</b> 50	0	10	0	0	0	10	10
94	0	9	0	0	0	9	9
<b>+</b> 50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
95	0	4	1	0	0	5	5
+50	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
96	Ö	8	0	Ō	0	8	8
+50	0	12	0	0	0	12	12
97	Ö	3	1	0	0	4	4
+50	Ö	3	0	0	ő	3	3
98	Ö	2	0	0	0	2	2
+50	0	1	0	0	2	3	3
99	Ö	6	1	0	1	8	8
÷50	0	2	1	1	0	4	4
100	0	6	0	0	2	8	8
+50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
101	0	5	2	0	0	7	7
102	0	1	0	0	0	i	1
+50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
103	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
104	0	5	0	0	1	6	6
+50	0	4	2	0	0	6	6
105*	0	3	1	0	0	4	. 4
	0	1	0	0	0	1	
+25 +50	0			0		2	1 2
106		1 3	1		0	3	3
	0		0	0	0	5 7	3 7
+50	0	6	0	0	1		3
107	0	3	0	0	0	3	
+50	0	10	1	0	0	11	11
108	0	5	1	0	0	6	6
+50	0	4	1	0	0	5	5

Table 2 (Contd)

<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	Total						
	Patched		Defe	cts Remain	ing		Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
							March Con March Ma
109	0	1	1	1	0	3	3
+50	0	2	1	0	1	4	4
110	0	10	1	0	. 0	11	11
+50	0	12	0	0	0	12	12
111	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
+50	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
112	0	2	0	0	2	4	4
<b>+</b> 50	0	4	1	0	0	5	5
113	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
÷50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
114	0	4	0	0	0	4	4 3
<b>+</b> 50	0	3	0	0	0	3	
115	0	0	2	0	0	2	2.
+50	0	1	2	1	0 0	4 2	4
116 +50	0 0	2 3	0	0 0	0	ے 4	2 <b>4</b>
117	0	.5 0	1 3	0	1		$\frac{4}{4}$
+50	0	6	3	0	0	4 9	9
118	0	9	0	0	0	9	9
+50	0	7 15	0	0	2	17	17
119	0	8	0	0	0	8	8
+50	0	10	1	0	1	12	12
120	0	10	0	0	0	10	10
+50	Ö	13	0	0	0	13	13
121	0	15	2	0	0	17	17
+50	ŏ	2	5	Ō	1	8	8
122	0	- 5	0	0	0	5	5
+50	0	8	1	0	0	9	9
123	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
<b>+</b> 50	0	3	1	0	0	4	4
124	0	4	0	0	0	4	4
<b>+</b> 50	0	9	. 0	0	0	9	9
125	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
126	0	7	0	0	0	7	7
+50	0	9.	2	0	4	15	15
127	0	6	2	0	0	8	8
<b>+</b> 50	0	4	4	0	3	11	11
128	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
<b>+50</b>	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
129	0	2	0	0	1	3	3
+50	0	2	0	0	2	4	4
130	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
<b>+50</b>	0	1	4	0	1	6	6

Table 2 (Contd)

<del>- **, *, *, **, **, ***</del>	<del></del>		able 2 (C	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	O <sub>res</sub>	Total
	Patched		$\mathbf{Def}$	ects R <b>e</b> ma	ining		Defects
Station_	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
131	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
+50	0	3	4	0	0	7	7
132	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	0	3	2	0	0	5	. 5
133	0	6	0	0	0	6	6
+50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
134	0	5	2	0	0	7	7
+50	0	2	2	0	0	4	4
135	0	5	3	0	0	8	8
+50	0	6	1	0	0	7	7
136	0	5	4	0	0	9	9
+50	0	6	2	0	0	8	8
137	0	3	1	0	0	4	4
<b>∔</b> 50	0	2	0	0	1	3	3
138	0	8	0	1	0	9	9
+50	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
139	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
÷50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
140	0	1	0	0	2	3	3
+50	0	2	0	0	1	3	3
141	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+50	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
142	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
143	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	0	596	146	1 1	41	794	794

<sup>\*</sup> Short Slabs.

### Table 3 SUMMARY STRIP PERFORMANCE Crossovers

#### Woodforc County, Versailles By-Pass US 60

July 5, 1961

The state of the s	Patched									
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab			
<b>75 ≠ 50</b>	∙0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
79 ≠ 00	0	o	O	0	0	0	0			
94 / 00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>≠</b> 50	o	1	0	0	0	1	1			
99 / 00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<b>≠</b> 50	o	0	0	0	0	0	o			
122 / 50	0	3	O	0	0	3	. 3			
123	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
134 ≠ 50	o	0	0	0	0	0	0			
135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Totals	0	4	0	0	0	4	4			

Table 4
SUMMARY STRIP PERFORMANCE
Woodford County, Versailles By-Pass
Lexington Road Intersection
July 5, 1961

:	Patched		Defects Remaining				
Slab No.	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	Defects per Slab
*1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
3	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
4	0	4	0	0	1	5	5
5	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
6	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
7	Ò	2	0	0	0	2	2
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
10	O	0	2	0	0	2	2
11	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
14	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
15	0	1	3	0	1	5	5
16	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	o	0	0	1	0	1	1
19	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
otals	0	15	9	2	3	29	29

<sup>\*</sup>For cross-reference between Slab No. and its location, see Sketch in Field Book.

Table 5 Summary of Pavement Defects

Date: July 6, 1961 Project: Versailles By-Pass, US 60

Defects		No. Slab	s		Decu	mulative	No. Slabs			
per	East-	West-		%	East-	West-		%		
Slab	Bound	Bound	Total	Total	Bound	Bound	Total	Total		
•	_	0	7.4	4 0 5	. / 1	1.40	220	100.00		
0	5	9	14	4.25	161	168	329	100.00		
1	16	16	32	9.73	156	159	315	95.75		
2	16	16	32	9.73	140	143	283	86.02		
3	27	24	51	15.50	124	127	251	76.29		
4	23	8	31	9.42	97	103	200	60.79		
5	14	15	29	8.82	74	95	169	51,37		
6	14	10	24	7.30	60	80	140	42.55		
7	14	15	29	8.81	46	70	116	35.25		
8	9	9	18	5.47	32	55	87	26.44		
9	8	1 1	19	5.77	23	46	69	20.97		
10	2	4	6	1.82	15	35	50	15.20		
11	5	4	9	2.74	13	31	44	13,38		
12	4	3	7	2.13	8	27	35	10.64		
13	1	4	5	1.52	4	24	28	8.51		
14	0	4	4	1.22	3	20	23	6.99		
15	1	6	7	2.13	3	16	19	5.77		
16	0	1	1	0.30	2	10	12	3.64		
17	2	1	3	0.91	2	9	11	3.34		
18		1	1	0.30		8	8	2.43		
19		1	1	0.31		7	7	2.13		
21		1	1	0.30		6	6	1.82		
22		2	2	0.61		5	5	1,52		
24		1	1	0.31		3	3	0.91		
25		1	1	0.30		2	2	0,60		
43		1	1	0.30		1	1	0.30		
Total	161	168	329	100.00				4		

Addendum to Table 5 Summary of Pavement Defects

Date: July 6, 1961
Project: Crossovers on Versailles By-Pass

Defects				1,		
per	No. Sl		Decumulative No. Slabs			
Slab	Crossovers	% Total	Crossovers	% Total		
0	9	81.82	11	100.00		
1	1	9.09	2	18.18		
3	1	9.09	1	9.09		
Total	1.1	100.00				

Table 6 Breakdown of Total Defects

Project: Versailles By-Pass, US 60

	Easth	ound	Westbo	und
	Number	%	Number	%
Patches	1	0.1	35	3.2
Soil Failures	597	74.5	877	7 <b>9</b> .2
Trash	42	5.2	36	3.2
Cracks	13	1.6	3	0.3
Chert Popouts	149	18.6	157	14.1
Total	802	100.0	1108	100.0

Addendum to Table 6 Breakdown of Total Defects

Date: July 6, 1961

Project: Crossovers on Versailles By-Pass

	Number	%	
Patches	0	0	
Soil Failures	7	100	
Trash	0	0	
Cracks	0	0	
Chert Popouts	0	0	
Total	7		

TABLE 7
SUMMARY STRIP PERFORMANCE
North Versailles S 155(2) US 62
July 6, 1961

			607	.y 0, 1002			
***************************************	Patched			ects Remai			Total Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
0 / 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 ·
75 × 75	o	1	0	1	1	3	3
1 / 25	ő	3	ő	ō	0	3	3
1 / 75	ő	2	ĭ	ő	Ö	3	3
2 / 25	Ö	9	1	o	ō	10	10
2 / 75	ő	2	0	ŏ	ő	2	2
3 / 25	ō	ī	ŏ	ő	ŏ	l	1
- , <u></u> 5	Ö	1	o	ō	Ö	1	1.
4 / 25	Ö	1	i	o	1	3	3
≠ 75	ō	2	ō	Ō	0	2	2
5 <b>≠</b> 25	0	ō	0	o	Ĺ	1	1
<b>≠</b> 75	0	1	0	2	1	4	4
6 / 25	Ō	ō	Ō	ō	Ō	Ō	0
<i>≠</i> 75	0	Ō	0	Ö	0	0	O
7 <del>/</del> 25	Ö	1	Ō	0	o	1.	1
<b>≠</b> 75	0	1	1	2	1	5	5
8 / 25	0	2	0	0	2	4	4
<i>+</i> 75	Ō	1	Ō	1.	ō	2	2
9 / 25	0	ō	Ö	o	2	2	2
<b>≠</b> 75	0	3	0	1.	O	4	4
10 / 25	0	1	1.	1	o	3	3
<b>≠</b> 75	Ö	3	3	2	o	8	8
11 / 25	Ō	2	2	ō	o	4	4
<i>∤</i> 75	0	4	1	0	0	5	5
12 / 25	0	$ar{2}$	2	1	1	6	6
<b>≠</b> 75	0	O	0	1	2	3	3
13 ≠ 25	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
<b>≠</b> 75	0	O	0	0	0	0	0
14 / 00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>/ 25</b>	0	2	2	0	0	3	3
14 / 75	0	1	O	0	0	1	1.
15 <i>\neq</i> 25	0	4	1	1	O	6	6
<b>≠</b> 75	0	3	0	1	0	4	4
16 / 25	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
<b>≠</b> 75	0	0	0	0	l	1.	1
<b>17</b> ≠ 25	O	1.	0	o	2	3	3
<b>≠</b> 75	0	o	0	2	o	2	2
18 ≠ 00	0	0	1	o	0	1	.1.
<b>≠</b> 75	0	o	0	2	0	2	2
19 🗲 25	0	4:	0	0	0	4	4
<b>≠ 7</b> 5	0	3	o	0	o	3	3
20 / 25	0	5	0	1	o	6	6
<i>+</i> 75	0	1.	1	0	3	5	5
21 / 00	0	4	0	o	0	4	4
<b>≠</b> 50	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
22 / 00	0	2	o	2	0	4	4
<b>≠</b> 50	0	4	0	2	0	6	6
23 / 00	O	1	O	0	0	1	1.
<b>≠</b> 50	0	8	o	o	0	8	8
24 ≠ 00	0	0	o	0	O	0	0
<b>≠</b> 25	0	o	0	0	О	0	0
¥ 75	0	2	0	o	О	2	2

TABLE 7 (Continued)
Summary Strip Performance

	Patched		Def	ects Remai	ning		Total Defects
<u>Station</u>	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
25 <b>≠</b> 25	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
<b>≠ 7</b> 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26 ≠ 25	0	1	0	0	1	2	2
<b>≠</b> 50	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.
<b>≠ 7</b> 5	0	0	1	0	1.	2	2
2 <b>7</b> ≠ 75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28 ≠ 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>≠ 7</b> 5	0	. 3	1	0	0	4	4
				_	_	_	
Total	0	103	20	<b>24</b>	21	168	168

Table 8
Summary of Pavement Defects

Project: S 155 (2) - North Versailles, US 62

Defects	No	. Slabs	Decumulativ		
per Slab	Total	% Total	Total	% Total	
•			4.5		
0	10	16,66	60	100.00	
1	9	15.00	50	83,34	
2	1.0	16.67	41	68.34	
3	11	18.33	31	51.67	
4	9	15,00	20	33.34	
5	4	6.67	11	18.34	
6	4	6.67	7	11.67	
8	2	3,33	3	5.00	
10	1	1.67	1	1.67	
Total	60	100.00			

Table 9
Breakdown of Total Defects

Date: July 6, 1961

Project: S 155(2) - North Versailles, US 62

	Number	%	
Patches	0	0.0	
Soil Failures	103	61.3	
Trash	21	12,5	
Cracks	24	14.3	
Chert Failures	20	11.9	
Total	168	100.0	

Table 10 Summary Strip Performance

Project: South Versailles, S 129 (1) Ky. 33

							Total
<b></b>	Patched			cts Remai			Defects
Station	Defects	Soi1	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
0.75	0	0	1	0	0	7	1
0+75		0	1	0	0	1	1
1+25	0	1	1	2	0	4	4
+75	0	2	0	1	0	3	3
2+25	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+75	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
3+25	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+75	0	6	0	2	0	8	8
4+25	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
+75	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
5+25	O <sub>.</sub>	1	0	3	0	4	4
+75	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
6+25	0	1	0	1	0	2	2
+75	0	0	0	2	1	3	3
7+25	2	1	0	3	0	4	6
+75	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
8+25	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+75	0	1	0	1	Ō	2	2
9+25	1	0	0	1	Ő	1	2
+75	2	3	0	0	0	3	5
10+25	1	2	0	0	0	2	3
+75	0	4	0	2	0	6	6
11+25	0				0	5	5
		4	0	1		3	3.
+75	0	1	1	1	0		
12+25	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.
+75	0	2	0	1	0	3	3
13+25	0	2	0	0	2	4	4
+75	0	3	1	0	0	4	4
1 <b>4+2</b> 5	0	3	0	1	0	4	4
+75	0	6	0	0	3	9	9
15+25	0	11	0	0	3	14	14
+75	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
16+25	3	7	0	0	0	7	10
+75	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
17+25	0	0	0	1	0	- 1	1
+75	0	4	0	O	0	4	4
18+25	0	4	0	0	Ō	4	4
+75	Ö	1	0	2	Ő	3	3
19+25	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+75	0	16	0	0	0	16	16
20+25	0	5	0	0	0	5	5
	U	9	U	U	v	9	9

Table 10(Contd)
Summary Strip Performance

•	Patched		Dofog	ts Remain	ina		Total Defects
Station	Defects	Soil	Chert	Cracks	Other	Total	per Slab
Station	Defects	3011	Chert	Cracks	Other	IOLAL	per stab
21+25	0	2	0	0	1	: 3	3
+75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22+25	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
+75	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
23+25	0	1	. 0	1	0	2	2
+75	0	1	0	1	1	3	3
24+25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+75	0	3	0	1	0	4	4
25+25	0	4	0	0	0	4	4
+75	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
26+25	0	3	0	0	0	3	3
+75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27+25	0	2	1	0	0	3	3
+75	0	3	0	0	1	4	0
28+25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
+50	0	5	0	0	1	6	0
29+00	0	1	0	1	0	- 2	2
+50	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
30+00	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
+75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31+25	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+75	0	10	0	1	0	1 I	11
32+25	0	10	0	0	0	10	10
+75	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
33+25	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
+75	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
34+25	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>©</b> 0
+75	0	1	. 0	0	0	1	1
35+00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals	9	174	8	36	17	235	244

Table 11
Summary of Pavement Defects

Project: S129 (1) South Versailles, Ky.33

Defects		No. Sla	bs		Decum	nulative N	o. Slab	s
per	North &	Inter-		%	North &	Inter-		%
Slab	South	Section	Total	Total	South	Section	Total	<u>Total</u>
0	. 7	9	16	19.51	70	12	82	100,00
1	10	1	11	13.41	63	3	66	80.49
2	13	1	14	17.07	53	2	55	67.08
3	16		16	19.51	40	1	41	50.01
4	10	1	11	13.41	24	1	25	30,50
5	3		3	3,66	14		14	17.09
6	3		3	3.66	11		11	13,43
8	1		1	1.22	8		8	9.77
9	2		2	2.45	7		7	8,55
1 <b>0</b>	2		2	2.44	5		5	6.10
11	1		1	1.22	3		3	3.66
14	1		1	1.22	2		2	2.44
16	1		1	1.22	1		1	1.22
Total	70	12	82	100.00				

Table 12

Breakdown of Total Defects

Project: S 129(1) - South Versailles, Ky. 33

Number	%	
9	3.6	
181	72.1	
17	6.8	
36	14.3	
8	3,2	
251	100.0	
	9 181 17 36 8	9 3.6 181 72.1 17 6.8 36 14.3 8 3.2



## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS FRANKFORT

HENRY WARD

June 23, 1961

ADDRESS REPLY TO DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORY 132 GRAHAM AVENUE LEXINGTON 29, KENTUCKY

P.3.2

Memo. To:

W. B. Drake

Director of Research

Subject:

Investigation of Concrete Pavements

Paducah By-Pass - F 163 (10)

Watterson Expressway Extension I 264 1 12 20 SP 56 628

Additional data, as requested by Mr. A. O. Neiser on May 26, 1961, covering the subject concrete pavements are tabulated herein.

Surveys were made June 1 and June 2, 1961, for the Paducah By-Pass and the Watterson Expressway Extension for comparison with other pavement surveys. Representative sections of the Paducah By-Pass and the Watterson Expressway Extension were inspected.

Tables 1 and 3 show the number of slabs containing given numbers of defects for the Paducah By-Pass and the Watterson Expressway Extension. Tables 2 and 4 show the types and number of defects of each type for each of the pavements.

These data indicate that neither of the subject projects have an excessive number of pavement defects. However, on the Paducah By-Pass one slab was found with 14 defects and on the Watterson Expressway Extension one was found with 26 defects. These appear to be exceptions and are not representative of the total projects which are generally good.

Milton Evans, Jr.

Research Engineer Associate

ME:K

Table 1
Summary of Pavement Defects

Date: June 1, 1961

Project: F 163 (10) - Paducah By-Pass

Sections: 1290 to 1305, 1438 to 1443, and 1455 to

1464 + 50 westbound; 1438 + 50 to 1443

and 1455 to 1464 + 50 eastbound

Defects		No, Sl	abs		Deci	ımulative	No. Sla	bs <u>·</u>
$\mathtt{per}$	West-	East-		%	West-	East-		%
Slab	Bound	Bound	Total	Total	Bound	Bound	Total	Total
0	25	16	41	44.57	62	30	92	100,00
1	9	10	19	20.65	37	14	51	55.43
2	9	4	13	14.13	28	4	32	34,78
3	7		7	7.61	19		19	20.65
4	6		. 6	6,52	12		12	13,04
5	1		1	1,09	6		6	6,52
6	4		4	4,35	5		5	5., 43
14	1 *		1	1.08	1		1	1.08
Total	62	30	92	100.00				

<sup>\*</sup> Slab at Sta. 1302 + 50 has an excessive number of defects. This is an exception to the average condition of the pavement.

Table 2
Breakdown of Total Defects
Project: F 163 (10) - Paducah By-Pass

Sections: 1290 to 1305, 1438 to 1443 and 1455 to

1464 + 50 westbound; 1434 + 50 to 1443

and 1455 to 1464 + 50 eastbound

	Westbo	und	Eastbound		
Annual Control of the	Number	%	Number	%	
Patches	o	0	0	0	
Soil Failure	106	92.1	12	66.6	
Trash	4	3.5	1	5.6	
Cracks	1	0.9	1	5.6	
Chert Failure	4	3,5	4	22.2	
Total	115	100.0	18	100.0	