

University of Kentucky UKnowledge

Agronomy Notes

Plant and Soil Sciences

4-1988

# Forage Production on Reclaimed Surface Mined Land in Eastern Kentucky

William O. Thom University of Kentucky, william.thom@uky.edu

H. B. Rice University of Kentucky

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss\_notes Part of the <u>Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Thom, William O. and Rice, H. B., "Forage Production on Reclaimed Surface Mined Land in Eastern Kentucky" (1988). Agronomy Notes. 65. https://uknowledge.uky.edu/pss\_notes/65

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy Notes by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Lexington, Kentucky 40546

COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE



Forage Production on Reclaimed Surface Mined Land in Eastern Kentucky

W. O. Thom and H. B. Rice

### Introduction

Forage crop production for hay or pasture can be important for the utilization of the many acres of reclaimed surface mined land in eastern Kentucky. Even though grasses and legumes are seeded during reclamation to provide ground cover and reduce soil loss, these areas are not usually managed for forage crop production. On the other hand, these vast land areas have the capability to provide significant amounts of desirable grasses or grass-legume mixtures for cattle when well-managed.

Several studies have been conducted on surface-mined land in eastern Kentucky to assess the level of production using grasses or grass-legume mixtures, determine needed amounts of fertilizers, and to study the introduction of legumes into established grass stands. Several grasses have been used in reclamation but tall fescue tends to dominate after 4-5 years. Legumes are seeded during reclamation because they support nitrogen fixation, some of which is used by the grasses, but only a few legumes persist because of high soil acidity, low soil phosphorous or a short life cycle.

Soil Conditions

Most of the "mine soils" available for growing vegetative cover are high in coarse fragments and are of predominately sandstone, siltstone and shale materials in various phases of weathering to smaller fragments. These "mine soils" have lower organic matter and moisture holding capacity, and are usually more compacted.

#### Studies Conducted

#### Martin County-Kenhy Fescue

The purpose of this study was to (1) determine N and  $P_2O_5$  rates needed for optimum production on "mine soil," and (2) determine how long optimum yields can be maintained without added potash for tall fescue. Soil test results from the area before seeding were as follows: pH-5.5, P-11 lbs/acre, and K-307 lbs/acre. Kenhy tall fescue was seeded on freshly prepared "mine soil" on September 7, 1982 following the application of 36 lbs N/acre and 92 lbs  $P_2O_5$ /acre for establishment. Annual treatments of N and  $P_2O_5$  were applied March 2, 1983, March 26, 1984, March 19, 1985 and March 17, 1986. In 1984 and 1985, an additional 80 lbs N/acre was

The College of Agriculture is an Equal Opportunity Organization with respect to education and employment and is authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age and handicap Inquiries regarding compliance with Title VI and Title VI of the Crivil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Educational Amendments, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and other related matters should be directed to Equal Opportunity Office, College of Agriculture, University of Kentucky, Room S-105, Agricultural Science Building-North, Lexington, Kentucky 40546.

applied on September 4 of both years to stimulate additional fall growth (stockpiling).

Table 1 lists the dry matter yields from harvesting the Kenhy tall fescue during early and mid-season, and from the early fall N application that was used to increase fall growth for potential stockpiling. Most of the yield increase can be attributed to the N application. The yield response to  $P_2O_5$  rate was somewhat variable. Yield difference between years was also variable due to the influence of rainfall on these areas of low moisture holding capacity. The annual application of 120 lbs K<sub>2</sub>O/acre did not consistently increase yields above the N +  $P_2O_5$  rates, indicating that the soil was capable of providing adequate K.

Table	1
-------	---

1. Dry matter yield from Kenhy tall fescue following spring fertilizer treatments, Martin Co., 1983-1986.

Earl	y and mid-sea				
1983	1985	1986 <sup>2</sup>	1984	1985	
	<u> </u>			·	
1240	910	235	75	165	
2540	3420	745	1020	730	
2800	3925	1705	1435	1020	
2805	4355	1465	1940	1335	
2500	3465	1580	1285	1100	
3370	4530	965	870	1435	
3790	5920	1350	1590	1635	
2380	4060	2000	1300	895	
2410	4620	1275	¥ 1785	1125	
2290	3135	825	495	480	
2710	4015	1845	930	810	
3360	6415	2505	1575	1420	
4130	4910	1630	1785	965	
3680	6095	2425	1950	1660	
363	882		156	207	
	Earl 1983 1240 2540 2800 2805 2500 3370 3790 2380 2410 2290 2710 3360 4130 3680 363	Early and mid-sea19831985198319851240910254034202800392528054355250034653370453037905920238040602410462022903135271040153360641541304910363882	Early and mid-season         2           1983         1985         1986           1240         910         235           2540         3420         745           2800         3925         1705           2805         4355         1465           2500         3465         1580           3370         4530         965           3790         5920         1350           2800         4060         2000           2410         4620         1275           2290         3135         825           2710         4015         1845           3360         6415         2505           4130         4910         1630           3680         6095         2425	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Early and mid-season & Stock \\ \hline 1983 & 1985 & 1986 & 1986 & 1984 & \\ \hline 1983 & 1985 & 1986 & 1986 & \\ \hline 1984 & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 1240 & 910 & 235 & 75 & \\ 2540 & 3420 & 745 & 1020 & \\ 2800 & 3925 & 1705 & 1435 & \\ 2805 & 4355 & 1465 & 1940 & \\ 2500 & 3465 & 1580 & 1285 & \\ 3370 & 4530 & 965 & 870 & \\ 3790 & 5920 & 1350 & 1590 & \\ 2380 & 4060 & 2000 & 1300 & \\ 2410 & 4620 & 1275 & 1785 & \\ 2290 & 3135 & 825 & 495 & \\ 2710 & 4015 & 1845 & 930 & \\ 3360 & 6415 & 2505 & 1575 & \\ 4130 & 4910 & 1630 & 1785 & \\ 3680 & 6095 & 2425 & 1950 & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	

<sup>1</sup> An additional 80 lbs N/acre applied on September 4 each year before harvest on November 16 and 12, respectively, for the two years except to the check plots.

 $^2$  Only one early season harvest.

When the total dry matter yields (excluding stockpile data) for 1983, 1985 and 1986 were combined and placed on a relative basis (Figure 1) it was apparent that yields were highest with the annual application of 80 lbs  $P_2O_5/acre$ , and 80 or 120 lbs N/acre. This data further confirms that the greatest yield increases were obtained from annual N applications, and that some  $P_2O_5$  was needed to produce optimum yields at this location.

The plant analysis results in Table 2 indicate that N and P percentages were increased with increasing rates of applied N and  $P_2O_5$ , respectively. K percentage did not appear to increase with the annual application of 120 lbs  $K_2O/acre$ .

Several of the nutrient concentrations for N, P, and K were below levels considered adequate for good plant growth (N-2.2; P-.25; and K-2.0).

Figure 1. Relative dry matter yields of Kenhy fescue as affected by either (a) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> rate or (b) N rate from total forage produced in 1983, 1985 and 1986, Martin Co.



Table 2.Plant nutrient concentration and soil test values following annual<br/>fertilizer application to Kenhy tall fescue, Martin Co.

			<u>Plant</u>	Nut	<u>rient</u>	Conce	ntrat	ion				<u>Soil</u>	<u>Tes</u> t	
		1	983					1985			_19	85	19	<u>86 _</u>
N+P <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>5</sub> +K <sub>2</sub> 0 N P	К	Ca	Mg	N	P	К	Ca	Mg	<b>P</b> .	ĸ	Р	к		
lbs/acre						8						-1bs/	acre-	
0+ 0+ 0	1.3	.15	2.1	. 2	.18	1.4	.12	2.3	. 3	.22	25	282	38	298
40+ 0+ 0	1.4	,20	2.3	. 2	.19	1.4	.11	2.0	. 3	.21	16	196	26	254
40+ 40+ 0	1.6	.18	2.4	. 2	. 20	1.5	.16	2.5	.3	.24	22	180	44	299
40+ 80+ 0	1.8	.24	2.3	.3	, 24	1.5	.18	2.3	.3	.23	54	208	88	244
40+120+ 0	1.8	.22	2.4	.2	. 24	1.6	.21	2.4	.3	,24	74	178	125	284
80+ 0+ 0	1.6	.17	2.1	.2	. 21	1.4	.11	2.2	.3	.20	14	198	18	312
80+ 40+ 0	2.1	.22	2.5	.3	.26	1.5	.15	2.3	. 3	.23	18	186	48	240
80+ 80+ 0	2.4	. 22	2.4	. 3	.28	1.6	. 20	2.3	. 3	.24	41	186	82	187
80+120+ 0	1.9	.20	2.3	. 2	,24	1.7	. 22	2.5	.3	.25	77	163	166	228
120+ 0+ 0	2.1	.17	2.4	. 2	.24	1.9	. 11	2.7	. 3	.24	16	230	19	248
120+ 40+ 0	2.2	.24	2.4	.2	.26	1.8	.17	2.6	. 3	.25	23	191	44	209
120+ 80+ 0	2.2	.23	2.7	. 2	.25	1.8	. 20	2.7	, 3	.24	44	194	97	31.3
120+120+ 0	1.5	. 20	2.0	.2	.19	1.7	. 20	2.4	, 3	.23	68	186	113	212
120+120+120	2.2	.26	2.8	. 3	.26	1.5	.21	2.6	.3	.21	53	190	134	313

Soil test P values increased with increasing  $P_2O_5$  rates and soil test K values were not consistently decreased by removal nor increased by the K application. After four years of removing forage, the soil test K on many plots remained within a normal variation of the initial soil test K. This indicates that K release from the "mine soil" was adequate for maintaining soil test K while optimum K percentage was present in the growing forage.

# Martin County - Renovation with Legumes

Newly prepared "mine soil" with initial soil tests of 8 lbs P/acre and 188 lbs K/acre was seeded to 'Johnstone' tall fescue on September 7, 1982 following the application of 120 lbs  $P_2O_5/acre$ . On March 2, 1984 legumes were seeded on the surface into plots of the fescue as outlined in Table 3. The plots were allowed to grow and produce seed in 1983 and 1984 followed by mowing in the late fall of each year. Forage dry matter yields were obtained from a single harvest on June 3 of both 1985 and 1986 (Table 3).

The 1985 yield data suggest that well-established legumes (in their second year) do contribute to additional forage yield either directly from legume growth or by supplying N to the grass or both. Differences between legume treatments in 1985 was more closely related to successful establishment of legumes in the tall fescue. The 1986 yields indicate that birdsfoot trefoil had become wellestablished and was providing both forage and N to increase the yields. The other legume treatments, except ladino clover, had some legume growth from the seed allowed to develop during the 1984 and 1985 seasons.

	Seeding	<u> </u>		
Legume Treatment	Rate	1985	1986	
· ·	lbs/acre	lbs dry	matter/acre	
Kenstar Red Clover	12	2610	1026	
Redland II Red Clover	12	3395	1247	
Birdsfoot Trefoil	12	2720	2512	
Ladino Clover	3	2560	965	
Kenstar + B. Trefoil	8 + 6	3915	1400	
Redland II + B. Trefoil	8 + 6	2140	1199	
Ladino + B. Tefoil	1 + 6	1980	1118	
No Legume	-	1700	903	
LSD (.05)		476	162	

Table 3.Legume overseeding treatments, seeding rates and forage yields oflegume-tall fescue mixtures on reclaimed mined land, Martin Co.

## Perry County - Annual Ryegrass

An area of existing grass, primarily annual ryegrass, was fertilized on April 17, 1980, with 120 lbs  $P_2O_5/acre$  and 80 lbs  $K_2O/acre$  according to soil test. N rates of 0, 50, 100, and 150 lbs N/acre were surface broadcast. One area did not have any fertilizer applied prior to seeding and was designated as a no fertilizer check.

	M	lay 16, 1	L981	June 11, 1981	Total		
N+P205+K20	Yield	N	Р		Yield	Yield	
lbs/acre	lbs/acre		8		lbs/acre		
0+ 0+ 0	459	1.1	.15	54.0	197	656	
0+120+80	751	1.0	.19	54.4	292	1043	
50+120+80	1371	1.8	.19	52.8	630	2001	
100+120+80	1405	2.6	.24	51.8	960	2365	
150+120+80	2195	2.9	.20	53.2	1130	3325	

Table 4.Dry matter yields of annual ryegrass following fertilizer treatments<br/>on reclaimed mine land, Perry Co.

 $^{1}$ DMD = Dry matter disappearance as determined by acid pepsin digestion.

Yields in Table 4 indicate that most of the yield was obtained in the first harvest and the greatest yield increase was from applied N. There was a yield increase from the  $P_2O_5 + K_2O$  alone plus sharp increases with increasing N rates although differences between 50 and 100 lbs of applied N per acre were not very large. Both N and P concentration for the O and 50 lbs N/acre rates were low for good plant growth and may be low for adequate animal nutrition. DMD tends to decrease with N rates but was a good level for this method of measurement with ryegrass. These results suggested that N fertilized annual ryegrass can produce significant early season forage for grazing or hay on reclaimed mine land.

# Discussion

The results of these three studies indicate that reclaimed mine lands of eastern Kentucky have the potential to produce forage crops for use as grazing or These forages can range from all grass, mixtures of hay in cattle production. grass and short term legumes, and grasses with perennial legumes. Forages grown in these areas will respond to fertilization and other management practices often used in forage production on non-mined land. The largest variables influencing production are moisture supply and fertilizer use. Both grasses and legumes appear to require frequent phosphorus applications and yields of grasses are increased by either N fertilizer or the introduction of legumes as these "mine soils" are low in organic matter which is a significant source of residual N. Regular  $K_00$  applications may not be required on several reclaimed areas as these "mine soils" have the ability to release significant quantities of plant available К.

Similar results could be obtained from other reclaimed areas in eastern Kentucky if grading, seedbed preparation, fertilization and other forage management practices are adequately considered. Some management factors will change with the forage species grown, moisture supply during the growing season and end use of the forage produced.

ian O. Thom

William O. Thom Extension Specialist, Soils