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(Rural Highways)

by<br>K. R. Agent<br>D. R. Herd<br>R. L. Rizenbergs

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The "energy crisis" became a reality to motorists during the latter months of 1973. Several major events that were factors during this period are listed in chronological order:

1. Mid-October 1973 - Arab oil embargo began
2. November 7, 1973 - President's energy message
3. December 1, 1973 - gasoline allocation
4. December 1973-Sunday gas station closing
5. February 1974 - truckers' strike
6. March 1, 1974 - $55 \cdot \mathrm{mph}$ speed limit
7. Mid-March 1974 - Arab oil embargo ended

The gasoline shortage became critical after the oil embargo began. The President urged the nation to voluntarily limit travel and to lower driving speeds. Mandatory gasoline allocation to service stations was initiated. With December came "gasless Sundays". Most service stations were closed from 9 p.m. Saturday until Monday morning. The truckers' strike further intensified gasoline shortages. Kentucky speed limits were reduced to 55 mph on March 1. Gasoline again became plentif ul upon lifting of the oil embargo, but at a much higher price.

Traffic volumes, speeds, and accidents for the rural highway system (approximately 23,000 miles of roads) in Kentucky were studied. Monthly volumes and accidents, during the period characterized as the "energy crisis" and its after ef fects, were compared to the data of the corresponding months in the preceding year. The method best illustrated changes occurring during otherwise comparable periods of time.

Traffic volumes first showed a decrease in December 1973 and has continued through September 1974 -- reaching approximately a six-percent reduction in March 1974. In October and November 1974, traffic volumes increased compared to the previous year. The effect of the energy crisis on traffic volumes appears to have diminished to a large extent. Total travel on rural highways in 12 months (December 1973 through November 1974) decreased by 2.3 percent and must be
viewed as highly significant in light of the five-percent increase durillg 1973. Interstates had the largest decreases in traffic (six percent).

Imposition of the $55-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit placed a definite restraint on traffic speed. Even bef ore then, appeals for conservation started a trend toward reduced speeds. In June 1973, the median speed on interstate highways (previously posted 70 mph ) was 69.1 mph for automobiles and 62.0 mph for trucks. Some speed reductions occurred as early as November 1973. In March 1974, after the speed limit was changed, automobile speeds reduced by 14.2 mph and truck speeds reduced 8.5 mph compared with June 1973. By April 1975, automobiles were being operated 11.0 mph and trucks 7.0 mph slower than in June 1973. In April 1975, however, it was discovered that truck drivers were relaying messages by radio to other drivers that speeds were being monitored. Therefore, an additional set of speed measurements were obtained from a completely concealed site. The data indicated that trucks were actually traveling 4.0 mph faster. Speeds on four-lane divided (no access control) highways dropped when the $55-\mathrm{mph}$ limit went into effect but now have risen to the 1972 levels. On two-lane highways, previously posted 60 mph for daytime and 50 mph for nighttime, speeds have increased since the reduction which occurred immediately after the imposition of the $55-\mathrm{mph}$ speed limit.

The decreases in traffic speed have been accompanied by greater unif ormity in driving speeds. A larger percentage of vehicles were found to be operating within the $10-\mathrm{mph}$ pace, particularly on interstate highways, as compared to the before time.

As shown in Figure Sl, the decrease in traffic vol:ame corresponds to a reduced accident rate. Volumes decreased in 1974, reaching a low in February and March and rising in April and May; the accident rate reached a low in April. The greatest decrease in accident rate occurred in March 1974 while the volume was fairly steady. Also, volumes increased in October and November 1974 over the previous year, but the accident rate remained lower. The large accident rate decrease, theref ore, corresponded with lowering the speed limit to 55 mph on March 1, 1974. Total travel during the 12 months (December 1973 through November 1974) decreased by 2.3 percent while the accident rate decreased by 13.5 percent.


All major highway types experienced a decrease in accident rates for almost every month in 1974. January 1974 was an exception for the multilane facilities because of the unusually severe weather (snow and ice conditions). Interstate and four-lane divided (no access control) highways had the largest drop in accident rates. A summary of accident experience for various highways is presented in Table Sl. Fatality and injury rates decreased more than the accident rate (total rural highway system). The most dramatic impact, of course, must be the 277 lives saved between December 1973 and November 1974 (number of fatalities in this period less the number in the same period a year earlier).

The relationship between traffic speed and accident rate for interstate highways is shown in Figure S2 and for two-lane highways in Figure S3. Very limited data
points were available in preparing the plots. The plots do, however, illustrate a great decrease in accident rates as traffic speed decreases. The difference between wet-surface and dry-surface accident rates is significant but is more so on interstate highways than on two-lane highways. Improved wet-pavement skid resistance (traction) at the lower speeds obviously contributed to a reduction in accident rates. Decreased speed, therefore, has a greater effect upon accident rates during wet-surface than during dry-surface conditions.

Although traffic volume and other contributing factors may account for some of the decrease in accident rates since the beginning of the energy crisis, lower traffic speed certainly stands out as the single, most important reason why accident, fatality, and injury rates decreased.


Figure S-1. Comparison of Monthly Accident Rates and Volumes to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).


Figure S-2. Relationship between Median Traffic Speed (Adjusted between Automobiles and Trucks) and Accident Rate (Interstate Highways).
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## MEDIAN (ADJUSTED) TRAFFIC SPEED

Figure S-3. Relationship between Median Traffic Speed (Adajusted between Automobiles and Trucks) and Accident Rate (Two-Lane Highways).
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## INTRODUCTION

The "energy crisis" became a reality to motorists during the latter months of 1973. Theretofore, the public ignored warnings of fossil fuel shortages. Events, however, demonstrated the seriousness of the problem. Gasoline availability became critical. Voluntary (later mandatory) adherence to lower speed limits reduced traffic speed. Traffic volumes decreased. The public's rush to purchase smaller cars exhausied inventories. Driving habits and lifestyles changed. Speculation concerning effects upon accident experience abounded in the press and in the professional community. Clearly significant and perhaps lasting changes in highway transporation were being shaped.

The gasoline shortage became critical soon after the Arab oil embargo began. The Arab oil-producing nations began withholding oil from the United States in mid-October 1973. The President delivered an important energy message to the nation November 7, 1973. He discussed the criticalness of the situation and requested voluntary energy conservation measures such as reducing travel and lowering travel speeds. Gasoline allocation to service stations was initiated. With December 1973 came "gasless Sundays". Most service stations were closed from 9 p.m. Saturday until Monday morning. The truckers' strike in February 1974 intensified the awareness of the gasoline shortage. On March 1, 1974, Kentucky's speed limits were reduced to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ). The oil embargo ended in mid-March. Gasoline again became plentiful but at a much higher price.

This report presents data and analysis of traffic volumes, speeds, and accidents on rural highways in Kentucky as affected by the energy crisis.

## PROCEDURE

Accident and traffic volume data were collected for each month between December 1971 and November 1974. The accident data were obtained from computer tapes containing all state police reported accidents for rural areas. Therefore, only rural accidents (including cities with less than 2500 population) were considered. Jefferson, Fayette, Campbell, Kenton, and Boone Counties were excluded inasmuch as local police investigate most accidents within those counties.

The report deals with the total rural system as well as the various highway types comprising the total system. The highway system was divided into the following highway types:
(l) two-lane,
(2) three-lane,
(3) four-lane, undivided,
(4) four-lane, divided (no access control),
(5) interstate, and
(6) parkway (toll road).

Volume data for each month were obtained from the automatic traffic recording (ATR) stations located throughout the state. Volumes were converted into vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel for each type of highway. The total vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel for 1972 (l) was used as the base or reference. Data from the ATR stations were summarized by month. The percentage of the total traffic counted in 1972 was calculated for each month. The total vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel on a particular highway type from 1972 was then multiplied by the adjustment factor for each month to obtain the monthly volumes. These volumes were also adjusted for new highway openings. There were 29 ATR stations on two-lane highways but none on three-lane highways. The factors obtained for the two-lane highways were used for three-lane highways. There was only one usable ATR station for rural, four-lane highways. The factors obtained from this station were used for both four-lane divided and undivided highways. Five ATR stations were located on rural interstate highways. The monthly factors for parkways were obtained from monthly counts of total traffic on the toll road system made available by the Kentucky Toll Road Authority. Annual growth factors from 1971 to 1972, from 1972 to 1973, and from 1973 to 1974 were then calculated for each month and used to find the monthly traffic volumes in 1971, 1973, and 1974. Volumes from the ATR stations were used in the analysis of traffic volumes. Inasmuch as sections of new highways were added during the study period, vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel used for rate calculations reflect changing lengths of roads. The total vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel for a given type of roadway, therefore, may not be directly comparable from one year to the next.

From the accident and volume data, monthly accident rates (accidents per 100 million vehicle miles) (accidents per 160 million vehicle kilometers) were calculated for each highway type.

Severity of the accidents was studied. The number of fatalities and injuries for each month were obtained. The monthly severity index (2) was calculated.

Traffic speed data were obtained at two interstate
(I 65 in Hardin County and I 75 in Scott County) locations, one four-lane highway location, and two two-lane highway sites before and after initiation of the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit. The average, median, and 85th percentile speeds and speed distributions were determined as well as the $10-\mathrm{mph}(4.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ pace and the percentage of vehicles in the $10-\mathrm{mph}(4.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ pace. The pace is the increment of speed including the greatest number of vehicles.

Safety belt usage was also determined. The percentage of vehicle occupants involved in accidents who were using safety belts was obtained as well as the number of occupants riding in vehicles not equipped with safety belts.

## RESULTS

The findings presented here pertain to the total rural highway system (approximately 23,000 miles ( 37,000 kilometers) of roads) and its major components in Kentucky. Detailed accident and volume data may be found in the APPENDIX. Monthly data of 1 year were compared to the data of the corresponding month in the preceding year. This method best illustrated changes occurring during otherwise comparable periods of time. Three-lane and four-lane undivided highways, however, will not be discussed here because of their limited mileage.

## Traffic Volume

An evident effect of the energy crisis has been the reduction in traffic volume. Monthly volumes for the total rural system are compared in Figure 1. December 1973 was the first month in which volume dropped below the corresponding month of the previous year. In the past, volumes increased by about five percent annually as exhibited by the months preceding December 1973. The decrease in traffic volume beyond December 1973 continued through September 1974 .reaching a maximum in March 1974. In October and November 1974, traffic volumes increased compared to the previous year. The effect of the energy crisis on traffic volumes appeared to have lessened. For a 12-month period (Deceniber 1973 through November 1974), the total vehicle miles (kilometers) driven decreased by 2.3 percent compared to the same period a year earlier. The decrease was surely significant in light of a five percent increase experienced theretofore.

Major events surrounding the energy crisis are also shown in Figure 1. The traffic volumes began dropping shortly after the start of the oil embargo in Octoper 1973 and continued to drop until the end of the oil embargo in March 1974. Reduction in traffic volumes gradually lessened. By October and November 1974, volumes exceeded those of the same months of the previous year.

Figure 1. Comparison of Monthly Volumes to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).


Trends in volume changes for the various highway types were similar (Figure 2). In all cases, December 1973 was the first month which showed a large decrease compared with the preceeding year. The maximum reductions occurred in February and March 1974. Interstate highways and parkways showed the largest reduction in volume. This would be expected because minimizing long distance travel by the public would be considered foremost. The increase in parkway volume in 1973 was partially due to the opening of a new parkway in December 1972. The volume on the parkway, however, was minimal compared to the whole highway system. Two-lane and four-lane divided (no access control) highways had a smaller decrease in volume due to the local traffic on these types of highways. The decrease in volumes for the 12 -month period (December 1973 through November 1974) compared to the same period a year earlier are given in Table 1. There was a large reduction in interstate and parkway volumes compared with two-lane and four-lane divided (no access control) highways. Data in this table includes some new sections of highways opened during the study period.

## Speed

Imposition of the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit placed a definite constraint on traffic speed. Even before then, conservation efforts by the highway user resulted in reduced travel speeds. Table 2 sumrnarizes the average, median, and 85th percentile automobile and truck speeds on interstate highways. In June 1973, the median speed was $69.1 \mathrm{mph}(30.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for cars and $62.0 \mathrm{mph}(27.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for trucks. Some speed reduction occurred by November and again in February for all vehicles. In March 1974, after the speed limit was changed, median speeds reduced by $14.2 \mathrm{mph}(6.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for cars and $8.5 \mathrm{mph}(3.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for trucks compared with June 1973. There were slight increases in car and truck speeds since the initiation of the lower speed limit. A comparison of April 1975 speeds with March 1974 speeds shows that the median speed has increased by $3.2 \mathrm{mph}(1.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for cars and $1.5 \mathrm{mph}(0.7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for trucks. However, the 85 th percentile speed has remained around $60 \mathrm{mph}(26.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ for both cars and trucks.

Figure 2. Comparison of Monthly Volumes to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Various Highway Types).



TABLE 2. AVERAGE, MEDIAN, AND 85th PERCENTILE SPEEDS FOR INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS (COMBINED HARDIN AND SCOTT COUNTY LOCATIONS)


An important aspect of traffic speed is uniformity An index to uniformity is the $10-\mathrm{mph}(4.5-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ pace which indicates the $10-\mathrm{mph}(4.5-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed range in which the greatest percentage of vehicles operate. Data in Table 3 show that the percentage of vehicles on interstate routes in the pace increased as traffic speed diminished. This increased percentage means that the average variance in speeds between vehicles has decreased. This may contribute to a reduction in accidents (3).

Speed data were collected with a radar meter installed in a marked state car. Care was exercised to collect data at locations where the oncoming traffic would not be aware of the speed measurement. But the radar gun could be sighted once vehicles were alongside the car. A problem in obtaining representative truck speeds was discovered. The first few trucks registered higher speeds than subsequently approaching trucks. Comrnunication between truck drivers was suspected. A CB radio was taken to a test location during April 1975 data collection to monitor conversations between truck drivers. It was learned that the radar gun had been spotted and messages that speeds were being checked relayed to other drivers by radio. It was felt that this was the major reason for the lower speeds of trucks compared to automobiles.

To determine by how much speed data were being affected, a set of data was collected with the radar unit outside of the car. The radar gun was sufficiently hidden
to prevent detection. This was confirmed by monitoring conversations between drivers. A summary of the data is presented in Table 4 along with the earlier April 1975 data. Automobile speeds increased by approximately 1 $\mathrm{mph}(0.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ when the radar gun was concealed. Truck speeds, however, were about $4 \mathrm{mph}(1.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ higher. This increase brought trucks speeds almost equal to automobile speeds. The speed range in the pace was the same for automobiles and trucks.

Average driving speeds and $10-\mathrm{mph}(4.5-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ paces for four-lane divided (no access control) and two-lane highways are summarized in Tables 5 and 6, respectively, which include data for before and after the speed limit reduction. On four-lane divided (no access control) highways, there was a decrease in both automobile and truck speeds. By April 1975, however, speeds have increased to the same level as before the speed limit reduction. Although speeds have increased, the percentage of vehicles in the $10 \mathrm{mph}(4.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ pace have continued to increase. At the two-lane site, automobile speeds were still below the 1972 level in April 1975. Truck speeds had not changed. Since the speed data for two-lane highways represent a single location, it would not be entirely representative of all two-lane locations. An additional site was selected in a very isolated location. Summary of speed data collected there from January through April 1975 is also given in Table 6. Speeds at this site, especially truck speeds, were higher than at the other two-lane location.

TABLE 3. 10 MPH ( $4.5-\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S}$ ) PACE FOR INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS (COMBINED HARDIN AND SCOTT COUNTY LOCATIONS)

| MONTH | AUTOMOBILES |  |  | TRUCKS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (percent) | RANGE |  | (percent) | RANGE |  |
|  |  | (mph) | ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  | (mph) | ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| Jun 1973 | 50 | $64-73$ | 28.6-32.6 | 68 | $59-68$ | 26.4-30.4 |
| Nov 1973 | 64 | 61.70 | 27.3-31.3 | 70 | $57-66$ | 25.5-29.5 |
| Feb 1974 | 64 | $57-66$ | 25.5-29.5 | 66 | $55 \cdot 64$ | 24.6 - 28.6 |
| Mar 1974 | 79 | 51,60 | 22.8-26.8 | 76 | 49-58 | 21.9-25.9 |
| May 1974 | 74 | 55-64 | 24.6 - 28.6 | 79 | 53,62 | $23.7-27.7$ |
| Jul 1974 | 82 | 53.62 | $23.7 \times 27.7$ | 79 | 53-62 | $23.7 \times 27.7$ |
| Sep 1974 | 75 | $53 \quad 62$ | 23.7-27.7 | 74 | $49-58$ | $21.9-25.9$ |
| Nov 1974 | 72 | $51-60$ | 22.8 , 26.8 | 82 | $51-60$ | 22.8 - 26.8 |
| Dec 1974 | 73 | 51,60 | 22.8. 26.8 | 79 | $51-60$ | 22.8-26.8 |
| Jan 1975 | 83 | $51-60$ | 22.8-26.8 | 95 | $49-58$ | 21.9-25.9 |
| Feb 1975 | 73 | 49-58 | $21.9-25.9$ | 84 | 47.56 | 21.0-25.0 |
| Mar 1975 | 71 | $53-62$ | 23.7-27.7 | 75 | 49-58 | 21.9-25.9 |
| Apr 1975 | 69 | 55-64 | $24.6-28.6$ | 72 | 51,60 | 22.8-26.8 |

table 7. Summary of accident data for various pavement surface conditions
(
1973. Dec 1972 through Noo 1973
1974. Dec 1973 , throueg Nov 1974
bDoes not indude accidents where surface condition was not suted
$C_{\text {Andident }}$ pot 100 milion vencle miles ( 161 million vehicle kilometers)

Speed distribution curves for automobiles and trucks are presented in Figure 3 through Figure 8. Before the concern for gas conservation materialized (June 1973), 40 percent of the automobiles on the interstate roads traveled above the $70-\mathrm{mph}(31.3-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ posted speed. Approximately two years later (April 1975 (revised data)), 85 percent exceeded the posted speed of $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. These percentages drop to 16 percent (June 1973) and 45 percent (April 1975) when a $5-\mathrm{mph}(2.2-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ tolerance above posted speed was considered. On two-lane roads, the previous $60-\mathrm{mph}$ $(26.9-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ posted speed (daytime) was exceeded by 19 percent of the automobiles; the percentage remained about the same after the speed limit was changed to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. At the new site, 33 percent of the automobiles exceeded $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. On four-lane divided (no access control) highways, the earlier $60-\mathrm{mph}$ $(26.9-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ limit was exceeded by 28 percent of the automobiles. In April 1975, 68 percent of the automobiles exceeded the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ limit.

Before the reduction of posted speed from 70 mph $(31.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on interstate roads, six percent of the trucks exceeded the speed limit and one percent exceeded $75 \mathrm{mph}(33.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ (June 1973). After the reduction, 85 percent exceeded the speed limit and 38 percent surpassed $60 \mathrm{mph}(26.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) (April 1975 (revised data)) $\cdot-$ these percentages after the speed reduction are similar to those for automobiles. On two-lane highways, the truck speed limit was raised from $50 \mathrm{mph}(22.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. The increased speed limit has reduced the 32 percent of trucks traveling above $50 \mathrm{mph}(22.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ (before) to near zero at $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) (original site). At the additional site, 21 percent of the trucks exceeded 55 mph ( 24.6 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ).

## Accidents

The effect of the energy crisis on the number of accidents on the entire rural system is shown in Figure 9. Similar to traffic volumes, December 1973 was the first month which exhibited decreased accidents compared to the year before. Except for January 1974, the number of accidents in the first months of 1974 was considerably less than for the corresponding months in 1973. During the months preceding December 1973, accidents had increased by an average of more than ten percent over the year before. The largest decrease in accidents occurred in March and April 1974. There were also decreases in volume during these months, and it should be noted that these low accident months followed the lowering of the speed limit on March 1, 1974. The number of accidents in the later months of 1974 remained below 1973 levels, but the reductions in numbers of accidents lessened.

All four major highway types experienced a decrease in accidents for almost every month in 1974 (Figure 10). March and April 1974 showed the largest decreases. Interstate and four-lane divided (no access control) highways had the most dramatic drop in accidents. The number of accidents on parkways has fluctuated widely, but the largest decrease occurred in March 1974. The decrease in accidents continued through November 1974. On two-lane highways, the monthly percentage in the number of accidents first dropped below the previous year in December 1973. This decrease continued through November 1974 .reaching a minimum of 76 percent in April. The decrease lessened in the later months of 1974. On four-lane divided (no access control) highways, the number of accidents remained below the previous year since August 1973, except for January and June of 1974.

Monthly accident rates on the total rural system first showed a significant decrease from the year before in March 1974, although there were indications of the accident rate lowering prior to then (Figure 11). In November and December 1973, the accident rate dipped slightly below the same periods in 1972. In January 1974, there was an increase, but the rate again decreased in February. After the speed limit reduction on March 1, 1974, the accident rate reduced sharply compared to the year before. The reduced accident rate has continued through November 1974 -- reaching a minimum during April. The accident rate for the period between December 1973 and November 1974 was 186 accidents per 100 million vehicles miles ( 160 million vehicle kilometers) but was 215 during the same period a year earlier. Between 1970 and 1972, the rate was 204 (1).

The monthly variation in accident rates for the various highway types is given in Figure 12. Except for two-lane highways, there was a large variation in the monthly accident rates. March 1974 showed the largest decrease in accident rates for all highway types. The reduction in accident rates was greater for interstate than for two-lane highways. This might be related to the fact that speeds decreased more on interstate than on two-lane highways.

Figure 3. Automobile Speed Distribution Curves (Interstate Highways).



Figure 4. Truck Speed Distribution Curves (Interstate Highways).

Figure 5. Automobile Speed Distribution Curves (Four-Lane (No Access Control) Highways).



Figure 6. Truck Speed Distribution Curves (Four-Lane (No Access Control) Highways).

Figure 7. Automobile Speed istribution Curves (Two-Lane Highways).



Figure 8. Truck Speed Distribution Curves (Two-Lane Highways).

Figure 9. Comparison of Number of Monthly Accidents to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).



Figure 10. Comparison of Number of Monthly Accidents to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Various Highway Types).

Figure 11. Comparsion of Monthly Accident Rates to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).



Figure 12. Comparison of Monthly Accident Rates to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Various Highway Types).

Pavement surface conditions (dry, wet. snow, or ice) should be considered whenever accident occurrences are compared. Weather conditions for the months of December 1973 through November 1974 were, therefore. compared to the corresponding month in the preceding year. Large differences were found for several months. An approximate doubling of the hours of snow and ice in January 1974 compared to January 1973 may partially account for the increased accident rates, especially on interstate highways. In April and July 1974, the hours of inclement weather decreased by about 50 percent compared to the same months a year carlier; this may have contributed to reduced accident rates for those months. There was also a 35 -percent reduction in inclement weather in October 1974 and may explain the large accident rate decrease that month. In August and September 1974, hours of inclement weather more than doubled and corresponded to an increase in accidents. However, when the 12 month periods were compared, there was a difference of only four percent in incremental weather ( 1974 was slightly higher). Weather, therefore, should not have affected the total accident experience significantly.

## Fatalities

The monthly variation in fatalities has fluctuated considerably as shown in Figure 13. The number of fatalities has remained below the preceding year from December 1973 through November 1974. The total number of fatalities from December 1973 (when the energy crisis seemed to have an impact) through November 1974 were compared to the same time periods two years earlier (Figure 14). The number of fatalities dropped from 832 (1973) to 555 (1974), a reduction of 32 percent. At the same time, vehicle miles (kilometers) driven dropped by only 2.3 percent.

Figure 15 presents the number of fatalities for several highway types. The average change in fatalities, using the average of the two previous years, was a 32-percent decrease for two-lane highways, a 76-percent decrease for parkways, a 14 -percent increase for four-lane divided highways, and a 40-percent decrease for interstates.

A very wide fluctuation in fatality rate was also observed for the total rural system during the study period (Figure 16). As with fatalities, the fatality rate has remained below the rate of the preceding year (December 1973 through November 1974) except for two months. The lowest fatality rate occurred in December 1973. The fatality rate for the period December 1973 through November 1974 was 4.5 fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles ( 160 million vehicle kilometers); the rate was 6.6 fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles ( 160 million vehicle kilometers) for
the same period a year earlier. The drop in fatality rate, therefore, was considerable ( 32 percent).

The fatality rate decreased on all major highway types except on four-lane divided (no access control) highways where the rate increased by 23 percent. The decreases in fatality rate were 81 percent on parkways, 34 percent on interstates, and 31 percent on two-lane highways. The largest decreases, therefore, were on those highways types where the previous speed limit was 70 $\mathrm{mph}(31.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.

## Injuries

The change in injuries for the total rural system is shown in Figure 17. There was a pronounced change in the number of injuries since December 1973. In the months preceding December 1973, the number of injuries increased on an average of more than ten percent from the previous year. In April 1974, the injuries reached a minimum of only 66 percent compared to April 1973. The reduction in injuries lessened in the later months of 1974.

The reduction in injuries for the various highway types is shown in Figure 18. All highway types had a reduced number of injuries in 1974; the greatest decreases occurred in March, April, and May. Interstates and parkways had the largest decrease .- a 40-percent reduction for the 12 -month period. The number of injuries on two-lane highways first dropped below the previous year in December 1973 and has remained below the previous year through November 1974. For four-lane divided (no access control) highways, the number of injuries has fluctuated widely.

The change in the injury rate for the total rural system (Figure 19) since the beginning of the energy crisis was very similar to the change in the number of injuries. With the exception of January 1974, every month since November 1973 has been below the corresponding month in the preceding year. The large drop in the injury rate occurred in March 1974 and has continued through November 1974, although the reductions lessened.

The variation in injury rates by highway type is given in Figure 20. For interstate, parkway, and four-lane divided (no access control) highways, injury rates have fluctuated above and below the rates for the previous year since the first months of 1973, but the injury rate did decrease in 1974. The injury rate on two-lane highways first dropped below the previous year in December 1973 and reduced to 70 percent in April and May 1974.


Figure 13. Comparison of Monthly Fatalities to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).
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Figure 15. Comparison of Fatalities Before and During the Energy Crisis (Various Highway Types).
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Figure 16. Comparison of Monthly Fatality Rates to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).

Figure 17. Comparison of Number of Injuries to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).



Figure 18. Comparison of Number of Injuries to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Various Highway Types).

Figure 19. Comparison of Monthly Injury Rates to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).


Figure 20. Comparison of Monthly Injury Rates to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Various Highway Types).

## Severity Index

The severity index (SI) attempts to place a value on the average severity of accidents. The severity index increases as the damage and injuries increase. The weighting factors used in the formula (2) were calculated by considering the cost of each type of accident or injury and the number of accidents or injuries. Fatal accidents and A-injury accidents were grouped together; although fatalities are much more costly, they are also rarer. Accidents classified as B-injury or C-injury were also grouped together.

No definite trends could be discerned in the monthly severity index for the total rural system when compared to the corresponding month in the preceding year (Figure 21). However, from December 1973 through November 1974, the severity index had decreased to 2.65 compared to 2.77 a year earlier.

Accident severity has decreased slightly each year since 1970 (1). This decrease may be attributable to safer vehicles, safety belt usage, safety improvements to the highway system, etc. The severity index for two-lane highways and parkways has decreased since the beginning of the energy crisis in December 1973; for interstate and four-lane divided (no access control) highways, the index has remained essentially unchanged.

## Safety Belt Usage

Accident severity has decreased slightly over the past few years. One reason may be the safety features incorporated into newer vehicles. A past study (1) showed that persons not wearing safety belts had approximately twice the probability of being injured and four times the probability of being killed compared to persons who do wear safety belts. Surprisingly, there has not been any significant changes in the percentage of motorists involved in accidents who were wearing safety belts. This percentage has changed from an average of 6.0 percent for 1970 through 1972 to 6.7 percent in 1973 and 6.0 percent in 1974. It is interesting to note that this percentage is much lower than the 20 to 25 percent of all occupants of cars on the road today who are wearing safety belts (4). This may suggest that wearing a safety belt may decrease the probability of being involved in an accident; it could also mean that drivers who use seat belts are more cautious and attentive. Another possible reason for reduced accident severity is that the percentage of older cars not equipped with safety belts, or other safety features, is constantly being reduced. The percentage of vehicle occupants in a car not equipped with safety belts has dropped from an average of 44.2 percent for 1970 through 1972 to 35.0 percent in 1973 and to 30.6 percent in 1974.

## Surface Conditions

Accident rates have been recognized as being higher
on wet pavements than on dry pavements. Furthermore, research has shown that accident rates tend to increase as wet skid resistance diminishes (5). Table 7 shows accident rates for dry, wet, and snow or ice surface conditions for two periods of time (1973 and 1974). Accident rates were calculated from adjusted vehicle miles (kilometers) of travel under each surface condition using precipitation data for the Lexington area (Table 8). The assumption was made that Lexington weather data applied statewide and that traffic volumes did not differ between dry, wet, and ice or snow surface conditions. The latter assumption in particular is not entirely true. Some reduction in travel probably occurs in wet weather, and travel would certainly diminish during snow or ice conditions. The accident rates in contrast to those cited in Table 7, therefore, would be lower for dry surfaces, somewhat higher for wet surfaces, and substantially higher for ice or snow surfaces.

Under dry conditions, the greatest accident rate decrease occurred on interstates ( 27.8 percent) and parkways ( 20.4 percent). As shown earlier, the speed decreases were much larger on these highway types. It is important to note the very substantial decrease in wet-weather accident rates on interstates ( 55.4 percent) and parkways ( 41.7 percent). The reductions were far in excess of the corresponding decreases during dry conditions. Obviously, improved skid resistance at the lower travel speeds provided an added margin of safety and, therefore, contributed to a reduction in accidents. A similar decrease was found for four-lane divided (no access control) highways -- 27.2 percent when wet and 18.7 percent when dry.

The wet-weather accident decrease ( 10.4 percent) on two-lane highways was somewhat similar to dry-surface conditions ( 11.8 percent). It must be pointed out, however, that even a modest error in the precipitation data used in one of the periods could substantially influence the results.

During snow- or ice-surface conditions, decreases in accident rates are evident on all highways as a result of lower posted speeds. The decreases were below those shown for dry and wet conditions for interstates and parkways and above those for two-lane and four-lane divided (no access control) highways. No data were available to compare travel speeds under these conditions. It may be reasonable to assume, however, that traffic normally responds to severely hazardous driving conditions and reduces speeds accordingly. Changes in posted speeds, therefore, may not affect driving speeds to the same extent as during favorable weather. Again, assumed applicability of weather data may introduce errors.


Figure 21. Comparison of Monthly Severity Index to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF APRIL 1975 SPEED DATA FOR INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS


TABLE 5. DRIVING SPEEDS AND 10-MPH (4.5-M/S) PACE FOR FOUR-LANE DIVIDED (NO ACCESS CONTROL) HIGHWAYS


TABLE 6. DRIVING SPEEDS AND 10-MPH ( $4.5-\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{S}$ ) PACE FOR TWO-LANE HIGHWAYS


TABLE 8. PRECIPITATION DATA

| PERIOD |  | SURFACE CONDITION ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DRY | WET ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ICE OR SNOW |
| Dec | 1972 through Nov 1973 | 83.2 | 14.7 | 2.1 |
| Dec | 1973 through Nov 1974 | 82.5 | 13.3 | 4.2 |

${ }^{a}$ Percent of time in the Lexington area
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Trace or more of rainfall

## DISCUSSION

It was shown that fatalities, accidents, and injuries, as well as fatality rates, accident rates, and injury rates decreased since the beginning of the energy crisis. The question remains whether these decreases resulted from changes in traffic volumes, speeds, etc. or as a result of any combination of contributing factors. As shown in Figure 22, the decrease in volume, which began in December 1973, corresponds to a reduced accident rate; but volume reductions lessened in April and May while the accident rate reached its lowest percentage in April. The dramatic decrease in accident rate occurred in March 1974 while the reduction in volume remained the same. Also, traffic volumes in October and November 1974 increased above those of the previous year while the accident rate remained lower. The large accident rate decrease, therefore, corresponded with the lowering of the speed limit to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on March $1,1974$. Total travel during the 12 -month period decreased by 2.3 percent while the accident rate decreased by 13.5 percent compared to the same period a year earlier.

The relationship between traffic speed and accident rate for interstate highways is shown in Figure 23 and for two-lane highways in Figure 24. Very limited (but precious) data points were available in preparing the plots. The data points, of course, are subject to errors due to uncertainties as to traffic speeds and volumes associated with various weather conditions. The plots do, however, bring to attention a disproportionate increase in accident rates as speed increases. The differences between wet-surface and dry-surface accident rates are especially significant and more so for interstate highways (previously posted speed $-70 \mathrm{mph}(31.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ )) than for two-lane highways (previously daytime posted speed -- $60 \mathrm{mph}(26.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ ). Improved wet-pavement skid resistance at the lower speeds obviously contributed to a reduction in accident rates. Reduced speed, therefore, has a greater effect upon accident rates during wet-surface than during dry-surface conditions.

A summary of accident experience for various highways is presented in Table 9. Fatality and injury rates decreased more than accident rates. The most dramatic impact, of course, must be the 277 lives saved between December 1973 and November 1974 when compared to the same period a year earlier. Whereas traffic volume and other contributing factors may account for some of the decrease in accident rates since the beginning of the energy crisis, lower travel speeds certainly stand out as the single most important reason why accident, fatality, and injury rates have decreased.

## CONCLUSION

Decreases in accident rates associated with reducing the speed limit to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ (from previous $70 \mathrm{mph}(31.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on interstates and parkways and 60 $\mathrm{mph}(26.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on two-lane roads) have been dramatic. To safeguard the public from undue hazards associated with higher-speed driving, continuation of maximum speed limit at $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on all rural highways seems advisable.


Figure 22. Comparison of Monthly Accident Rates and Volumes to Corresponding Month in Preceding Year (Total Rural Highway System).


Figure 23. Relationship between Median Traffic Speed (Adjusted between Automobiles and Trucks) and Accident Rate (Interstate Highways).


Figure 24 Relationship between Median Traffic Speed (Aajusted between Automobiles and Trucks) and Accident Rate (Two-Lane Highways).

## TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT DATA FOR VARIOUS HIGHWAY TYPES

|  |  | ACCIDENTS |  |  | FATALITIES |  |  | INIURIES |  |  | SEVERITY <br> INDEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYPE OF HIGHWA | PERIOD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NUMBER | RATE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RATE DECREASE (percent) | NGMBER | RATE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RATE DECREASE (percent) | NUMBER | RATE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RATE DECREASE (percent) |  |
| Two-Lane |  | 23,276 |  |  | 715 | 8.0 |  | 15,132 | 169 |  | 2.78 |
|  | $1974$ | 20,209 | $222$ | 12.0 | 486 | 5.5 | 31.2 | 12,256 | 138 | 18.3 | 2.66 |
| Four-Lane Divided | 1973 | 1.054 | 160 |  | 17 |  |  | 650 | 98 |  | 2.50 |
| (No Access Control) | 1974 | 815 | 126 | 21.2 | 21 | 3.2 | $23.1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 506 | 78 | 20.4 | 2.51 |
| Interstate | 1973 | 2,078 | 92 |  | 65 | 2.9 |  | 1,456 | 64 |  | 2.64 |
|  | 1974 | 1;395 | 65 | 29.3 | 41 | 1.9 | 34.5 | 865 | 41 | 35.9 | 2.65 |
| Parkway | 1973 | 369 | 64 |  | 21 | 3.6 |  | 268 | 46 |  | 3.21 |
|  | 1974 | 288 | 52 | 18.8 | 4 | 0.7 | 80.6 | 167 | 30 | 34.8 | 2.70 |
| Total System ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1973 | 27,183 | 215 |  | 832 | 6.6 |  | 17,768 | 140 |  | 2.77 |
|  | 1974 | 23,043 | . 186 | 13.5 | 555 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 14,016 | 113 | 19.3 | 2.65 |

${ }^{\text {a }} 1973$. Dec 1972 through Nov 1973
1974. Dec 1973 through Nov 1974
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Accidents per 100 million vehicle miles ( 161 million vehicle kilometers)
${ }^{\text {c Also includes three lane and four-lane undivided (no access control) highways }}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {Increase }}$
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TARLF Al. [OATA FOR TWO LANF HIGHWAYS (2]R36 MILFS)

| MnNTH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VOL.INME } \\ & \text { (MVM) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ACCIDENTS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \triangle C C . I D E N T \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (ACCC PER } \\ 10 O M V A I) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TUTAL } \\ \text { FATALITIES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FATALITY } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (FATPER } \\ \text { LDOMVM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TחTAL } \\ \text { INJIIRIFS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1N, HIRY } \\ & \text { RATF } \\ & \text { (IN, PFFR } \\ & \text { 1OONMM) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEVERITY } \\ \text { INDFX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DFC. 1471 | 658.00 | 1707 | 259.4 | 64 | 9.7 | $100 \%$ | 152.7 | 2.71 |
| , JAN. 1972 | 576.00 | 1447 | 251.2 | 59 | 10.2 | 751 | 131.4 | 2.57 |
| FER. 1972 | 583.00 | 1336 | 22.9 .2 | 36 | 6.2 | 745 | 127.8 | 2.63 |
| MAR. 1972 | 673.00 | 1407 | 209.1 | 62 | $\rightarrow$ - 2 | 917 | 136.3 | - 84 |
| APR. 1972 | 722.00 | 1649 | 228.4 | 58 | 8.0 | 1167 | 161.6 | $2.9 ?$ |
| MAY 191\% | 780.00 | 1872 | 240.0 | 64 | 8.2 | 1764 | 16?.1 | 2.8? |
| JUNE 1972 | 814.00 | 1841 | 226.2 | 59 | 7.2 | 1187 | 145.8 | ?.76 |
| JHL. 1972 | H56.00 | 1948 | 227.6 | 57 | 6.7 | 1314 | 153.5 | 2.97. |
| AUGG. 1972 | 831.00 | 1794 | 215.9 | 61 | 7.3 | 1247 | 150.1 | 2.88 |
| $\text { SEP. } 1972$ | 755.00 | 1912 | 253.2 | 78 | 10.3 | 1302 | 172.5 | 2.9 ? |
| $\text { ПСТ. } 1972$ | 726.00 | 1940 | 267.2 | 64 | ห. 8 | 1253 | 172.6 | 2.74 |
| NOV. 1972 | 676.00 | 1816 | 268.6 | 50 | 7.4 | $11 \% 4$ | 166.9 | 2.69 |
| DEC. 1972 | 653.00 | 1887 | 289.0 | 47 | 7.2 | 1172 | 179.5 | 2.66 |
| JAN. 1973 | 604.00 | 1587 | 262.7 | 54 | 8.9 | 864 | 143.0 | 2.03 |
| FER. 1973 | 634.00 | 1393 | 219.7 | 36 | 5.7 | 83.3 | 131.4 | 2.62 |
| MAR. 1973 | 70.00 | 1764 | 250.2 | 45 | 6.4 | 1.12 ? | 159.i | 2.76 |
| APK. 1973 | 749.00 | 1835 | 245.0 | 44 | 5.9 | 1225 | 163.6 | 2.73 |
| MAY 1973 | 301.00 | 2176 | 271.7 | 79 | 4.9 | 1588 | 19H. 3 | 2.91 |
| ,JUNE 1973 | 853.00 | 2170 | 254.4 | 81 | 9.5 | 1409 | 165.2 | 2.8? |
| , HLL 1973 | 887.00 | 2235 | 252.0 | 74 | H. 3 | 1468 | 1カ5.5 | 2.85 |
| AlIG. 1973 | 870.00 | 2136 | 245.5 | 52 | 6.0 | 1438 | 165.3 | 2.82 |
| SEP. 1973 | 782.00 | 2041 | 261.0 | 80 | 10.2 | 1431 | 183.0 | 2.95 |
| กCT. 1973 | 750.00 | 2135 | 284.7 | 64 | R. 5 | 1404 | 187. ? | 2.76 |
| NOV. 1973 | 691.00 | $191 \%$ | 277.4 | 59 | 8.5 | 1178 | $170 \cdot 5$ | 2.68 |
| DEC. 1973 | 627.00 | 1732 | 276.2 | 24 | 3.8 | 954 | 152.2 | 2.45 |
| JAN. 1974 | 574.00 | 1484 | 258.5 | 32 | 5.6 | 797 | 138.9 | 2.41 |
| FER. 1974 | 597.00 | 1237 | 207.2 | 30 | 5.0 | 693 | 116.1 | 2.52 |
| MAR. 1974 | 071.00 | 1379 | 205.5 | 38 | 5.7 | 825 | 123.0 | 2.67 |
| APR. 1974 | 751.00 | 1400 | 186.4 | 48 | 6.4 | 864 | 115.0 | 2.83 |
| MAY 1974 | 795.00 | 1780 | 223.9 | 38 | 4.8 | 1101 | 138.5 | 2.67 |
| , JUNE 1974 | 830.00 | 1787 | 2.15 .3 | 47 | 5.7 | 1175 | 141.6 | 2.75 |
| , 114. 1974 | 878.00 | 1773 | 201.9 | 47 | 5.4 | 1187 | 135.2 | 2. 81 |
| AlJG. 1974 | 861.00 | 1991 | 231.2 | 47 | 5.5 | 1266 | 147.0 | 2.69 |
| $\text { SEP. } 1914$ | $7 \% 400$ | 1913 | $247.2$ | $42$ | 5.4 | $1128$ | $145.7$ | $2.63$ |
| $\text { OCT. } 1974$ | 780.00 | 1860 | $238.5$ | $53$ | 6.8 | 1158 | $148.5$ | 2.80 |
| NOV. 1974 | 726.00 | 1873 | 258.0 | 40 | 5.5 | 1108 | 152.6 | 2.59 |

TARLE A2. WATA FGR INTERSTATF HIGHWAYS (472 MILES)

| MONTH | VIOLIIME <br> (MVM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ACCIDFNTS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \triangle C C I D E N T \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (ACC PFR } \\ \text { IOOMVM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { FATALITIFS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FATALITY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (FATPFR } \\ 100 M V M) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL } \\ & \text { INJJIIRSS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { INGARY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (INJPFR } \\ \text { IOOMV/RI) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEVERITY } \\ \text { INDEX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DFC. 1971 | 159.00 | 119 | 74.8 | 7 | 4.4 | 77 | 45.3 | 2.65 |
| JAN. 1972 | 131.00 | 137 | 104.0 | 4 | 3.1 | 83 | h 3.4 | $\therefore .78$ |
| FFR. 1972 | 135.00 | 139 | 103.0 | 4 | 3.0 | 106 | 78.5 | ?. 83 |
| MAR. 1972 | 167.00 | 149 | ห9. 2 | 2 | 1.2 | 89 | 53.3 | ?.45 |
| AUR. 1972 | 180.00 | 140 | 77.8 | 4 | $2 . ?$ | 98 | 54.4 | 2.61 |
| MAY 197? | 173.00 | 157 | 40.8 | 5 | 2.9 | 101 | 58.4 | 2.93 |
| .IIINF 1972 | 203.00 | 169 | 83.3 | 4 | 2.0 | 119 | 58.6 | 2.h2 |
| JHI. $197 ?$ | 231.00 | 230 | 99.6 | 10 | 4.3 | 208 | 90.0 | 2.97 |
| All. 1972 | 225.00) | 180 | 80.0 | 9 | 4.0 | 13 ? | 58.7 | ?.67 |
| SFP. 1972 | 184.0n | 163 | 88.6 | 9 | 4.9 | 135 | 73.4 | 2.94 |
| OCT. 1972 | 178.00) | 144 | 80.9 | 6 | 3.4 | 93 | 52.7 | 2.75 |
| Nov. 1972 | 167.00 | $17 ?$ | 103.0 | 7 | $4 . ?$ | 128 | 7h.n | 2.74 |
| IFFC. 1972 | 167.00 | 175 | 104.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 103 | 61.7 | 2. 34 |
| , IAN. 1973 | 142.00 | 128 | 90.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 62 | 43.7 | 2.25 |
| FER. 1973 | 150.00 | 118 | 78.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 89 | 59.3 | 2.60 |
| MAR. 1973 | 177.00 | 198 | 111.9 | 3.1 | 6. 2 | 133 | 75.1 | ?.78 |
| APR. 1973 | 200.00 | 214 | 107.0 | 3 | 1.5 | 146 | 73.0 | 2. 50 |
| MAY 1973 | 18t.00 | 170 | 41.4 | 6 | 3.2 | 138 | 74.2 | ?. 6.5 |
| JIINF 1973 | 210.00 | 192 | 91.4 | 5 | i. 4 | 154 | $75 . ?$ | ?. R h |
| JU1. 1973 | 234.00 | 250 | 106.8 | 19 | 8. 1 | 196 | 93.8 | 2. 87 |
| AUGG. 1973 | 241.00 | 182 | 75.5 | 6 | 2.5 | 130 | 5.3 .4 | 2.57 |
| SFP. 197.3 | 188.00 | 130 | 69.1 | 4 | 2.1 | 95 | 50.5 | 2.69 |
| IICT. 1973 | 194.00 | 165 | 85.1 | 4 | 2.1 | 127 | 65.5 | 2. 85 |
| NirIV. 1973 | 178.00 | 156 | 87.6 | 5 | 2.8 | 79 | 44.4 | 2.61 |
| DFC. 1973 | 151.00 | 17\% | 1.17 .9 | 4 | 2.6 | 10 h | 70.7 | ?. 57 |
| JAN. 1974 | 133.00 | 215 | 161.7 | 6 | $\therefore .6$ | 119 | 89.5 | 2.36 |
| FFR. 1974 | 135.00 | 114 | 84.4 | 3 | 2.7 | 47 | 64.4 | 3.34 |
| M $\triangle R \cdot 1974$ | 156.00 | 90 | 57.7 | 1 | 0.6 | 51 | 32. 7 | 2.96 |
| AHR. 1974 | 185.00 | 87 | 47.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 49 | 2h. 5 | 2.37 |
| MAY 1974 | 186.0n | 105 | 56.5 | 6 | 3.2 | 65 | 34.9 | 2.94 |
| JIJNF 1974 | 204.00 | 108 | 42.9 | 3 | 1.5 | 69 | 33.8 | 2.36 |
| , J11. 1974 | 220.00 | 90 | 40.9 | 3 | 1.4 | 50 | 22.7 | ?.tht |
| AllG. 1974 | 229.00 | 136 | 59.4 | 9 | 3.4 | 81 | 35.4 | 2.72 |
| SFP. 1974 | 175.00 | 94 | 53.7 | $?$ | 1.1 | 54 | 30.9 | 2.61 |
| NCT. 1974 | 184.00 | ¢ 1 | 44.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 57 | 31.0 | ?.5h |
| แ\%\%\% 1974 | 173.00 | 47 | 56.1 | $?$ | 1.2 | 77 | 44.5 | 7. AK |

TABLE A3. DATA FOR FOUR LANE, DIVIDED (NO ACCESS CONTROL) HIGHWAYS(195 MILES)

| MIINITH | VOL.UME <br> (MVM) | TOTAL AGCIDENITS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACCIDENT } \\ \text { KATE } \\ \text { (ACC PER } \\ 10 O M V M \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { FATALITIES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FATALITY } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (FATPFR } \\ 10 O M V M) \end{gathered}$ | TITAL <br> INJURIFS | $\begin{gathered} \text { IAIJIIRY } \\ \text { RATE } \\ \text { (INIIPER } \\ 100 M V M \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | SEVERITY <br> INDEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEC. 1971 | 46.20 | 83 | 179.7 | U | 0.0 | 55 | 119.0 | 2.76 |
| JAN. 1972 | 39.70 | 70 | 176.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 77 | 68.0 | 1.76 |
| FER. 1972 | 40.90 | 59 | 144.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 51.3 | 2.25 |
| MAR. 1972 | 46.90 | 71 | 151.4 | 1 | 2.1 | 05 | 117.3 | 2.68 |
| APR. 1972 | 49.90 | 91 | 182.4 | 2 | 4.0 | 66 | 132.3 | 2.73 |
| MAY 1972 | 52.90 | 92 | 173.9 | 1 | 1.9 | 52. | 98.3 | 2.67 |
| JUNE 1972 | 55.30 | 72 | 130.2 | 5 | 9.0 | 62 | 112.1 | 2.96 |
| JuL. 1972 | 56.50 | 96 | 169.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 64 | 113.3 | 2.53 |
| AIJG. 1972 | 58.30 | 100 | 171.5 | 3 | 5.1 | 66 | 113.2 | 2.83 |
| SEP. 1972 | 52.90 | 86 | 162.6 | 3 | 5.7 | 47 | 88.8 | 2.71 |
| ПCT. 1972 | 50.50 | 110 | 217.8 | 2 | 4.0 | 64 | 126.7 | 2.40 |
| NOV. 1972 | 48.70 | 86 | 176.6 | 3 | 6.2 | 48 | 98.6 | 2.48 |
| OEC. 1972 | 4.8 .70 | 12.0 | 246.4 | 1 | 2.1 | 61 | 125.3 | 2.02 |
| JAN. 1973 | 45.50 | 72 | 158.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 35 | 76.9 | 2.42 |
| FER. 1973 | 46.80 | 70 | 149.6 | 1 | 2.1 | 31. | 66.2 | 2.54 |
| MAR. 1973 | 51.70 | 84 | 162.5 | 1 | 1.4 | 42 | 81.2 | 2.29 |
| APR. 1973 | 55.00 | 84 | 152.7 | ] | 1.8 | 51 | 92.7 | 2.48 |
| MAY 1973 | 57.80 | 89 | 154.0 | 2 | 3.5 | 69 | 119.4 | 2.82 |
| JIJNF 1973 | 60.40 | 73 | 120.9 | 2 | 3.3 | 64 | 106.0 | 3.08 |
| NHL. 1973 | 62.80 | 104 | 165.6 | 3 | 4.8 | 60 | 45.5 | 2.37 |
| Allg. 1973 | 62.80 | 97 | 154.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 76 | 121.0 | 2.34 |
| SFP. 1973 | 57.70 | 81 | 140.4 | 3 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 105.7 | 2.90 |
| OCT. 1973 | 57.70 | 103 | 178.5 | 1 | 1.7 | 59 | 102.3 | 2.74 |
| N(NV. 1973 | 53.00 | 77 | 145.3 | 0 | 1.0 | 41 | 77.4 | 2.29 |
| ГIFS. 1973 | 48.70 | 82. | 168.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 47 | 96.5 | 2.18 |
| JAN. 1974 | 46.60 | 76 | 163.1 | $1)$ | 11.0 | $\bigcirc 5$ | 53.6 | 1.76 |
| FFR. 1974 | 47.00 | 58 | 123.4 | 4 | 8.5 | 40 | 85.1 | 2.69 |
| MARE. 1974 | 50.70 | 62 | 122.3 | 3 | 5.9 | 41 | 80.9 | 3.14 |
| APR. 1974 | 55.90 | 57 | 1.07.0) | 2 | 3.6 | 33 | 59.0 | 2.55 |
| MAY 1974 | 58.10 | 65 | 111.9 | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 40 | 68.8 | 1.92 |
| , HSNF 1974 | 59.90 | 80 | 133.6 | $?$ | 3.3 | 63 | 105.2 | 2.78 |
| .H11. 1974 | 62.20 | 62 | 49.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 45.0 | 2.11 |
| N11F. 1974 | 60.30 | 82 | 136.0 | 1 | 1.7 | 57 | 94.5 | 2.85 |
| SFP. 1974 | 54.80 | 59 | 107.7 | $?$ | 3.6 | $4 ?$ | 76.6 | 2.64 |
| NCT. 1974 | 54.80 | 71 | 129.6 | 4 | 7.3 | 45 | 82.1 | 2.82 |
| Mル・1974 | 50.40 | 61 | 121.0 | ? | 4.0 | 45 | 89.3 | 2.71 |

TARLE A4. MATA FOR PARKWAYS (565 MII.FS)

| MONTH | VOLWME (MVM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ACCIDFNTS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \triangle C C I D E N T \\ \text { RATE } \\ (A C C \text { PER } \\ 10 O M V M) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { FATALITIFS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FATALITY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (FAT PFR } \\ \text { BOOMVM) } \end{gathered}$ | TITAAL. <br> INJURIES | $\begin{gathered} \text { IN.JIRY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (IN.J PFR } \\ 10 \cap M V M \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | SEVERITY INDEX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1)FC. 1971 | 36.10 | 25 | 69.3 | 2 | 5.5 | 14 | 38.8 | 2.t.8 |
| , AN. 1 47 ? | 29.80 | 20 | 67.1 | 1 | 3.4 | 14 | 47.11 | 3.25 |
| FFA. 197? | 28.90 | 30 | 69.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 41.5 | 3.32 |
| MAR. 1972 | 37.70 | 28 | 75.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 80.6 | 3.11 |
| APR. 1972 | 39.60 | 38 | 96.0 | n | 0.0 | 20 | 50.5 | 2.33 |
| MAY 1972 | 40.50 | 28 | 69.1 | 1 | 2.5 | 70 | 49.4 | 4.14 |
| NINF 1972 | 45.10 | 37 | 82.0 | 3 | 6.7 | 32 | 71.0 | 3.35 |
| , 1\%. 197? | 51.60 | 28 | 54.3 | 1 | 1.9 | 20 | 50.4 | 3.1) |
| Alk. 1972 | 50.20 | 28 | 55.8 | $?$ | 4.0 | 27 | 53.8 | 3.96 |
| SFP. 197? | 40.50 | 26 | 64.2 | 1. | 2.5 | 11 | 27.2 | 3.06 |
| OCT. 1972 | 39.10 | 20 | 51.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 25.6 | 2.35 |
| NกV. 1972 | 38.60 | 25 | 64.8 | 1. | 2.6 | 12 | 31.1 | 2.90 |
| NFC. 1972 | 41.00 | 41 | 100.0 | 1. | 2.4 | 16 | 39.0 | 1.84 |
| JAN. 1973 | 35.70 | 34 | 95.? | 7 | 5.6 | ? 7 | 75.6 | 3.71 |
| FFA. 1973 | 35.40 | 21 | 59.3 | 0 | 11.0 | 10 | 28.2 | 2.69 |
| MAR. 1973 | 45.80 | 29 | 63.3 | 1 | 2.? | 26 | 56.8 | 3.71 |
| AUR. 1973 | 50.10 | 24 | 47.9 | $?$ | 4.0 | 24 | 47.9 | 4.21 |
| MAY 1973 | 49.40 | 33 | 66.A | 1 | 2.0 | 17 | 34.4 | 2.27 |
| , IIINF 1973 | 54.40 | 79 | 53.3 | 3 | 5.5 | 2.8 | 51.5 | 4.47 |
| JUL. 1973 | 59.70 | 42 | 70.4 | 5 | 8.4 | 32 | 53.6 | 3.48 |
| AllG. 1973 | 61.60 | 31 | 50.3 | 1 | 1.6 | 24 | 39.0 | 3.37 |
| SFP. 1973 | 48.50 | 25 | 51.5 | n | 0.0 | 14 | 28.9 | 3.10 |
| OCT. 1973 | 49.60 | 28 | 56.5 | 3 | 6.0 | 2.7 | 54.4 | 3.41. |
| NOV. 1973 | 47.80 | 37 | 66.9 | 2 | 4.7 | 23 | 48.1 | 3.17 |
| NFC. 1973 | 42.30. | 40 | 94.6 | 0 | 1.0 | 13 | 30.7 | 2.01 |
| JAN. 1974 | 37.30 | 46 | 123.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 45.6 | 1.78 |
| FFR. 1974 | 34.50 | 73 | $6 \mathrm{6r.7}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 8 | 23.2 | 2. 80 |
| MAR - 1974 | 41.30 | 12 | 29.1 | 1. | 2.4 | 12 | 29.1 | 4.38 |
| APR. 1974 | 46.30 | 17 | $36 . \%$ | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 23.8 | 2.48 |
| MAY 1974 | 51.80 | 33 | 63.7 | 0 | 0.61 | 29 | 56.0 | 3.4:3 |
| MNF 1974 | 54.40 | 20 | 36.13 | 1 | 1.8 | R | 14.7 | 2.73 |
| J川. 1974 | 56.00 | $\checkmark 3$ | 4.11. | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 39.3 | 3.35 |
| AIIG. 1974 | 59.00 | 22 | 37.3 | $?$ | 3.4 | 15 | 25.4 | 3.57 |
| SFP. 1974 | 44.20 | 12 | 77.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 74.9 | 3.04 |
| OCT. 1974 | 45.00 | 21 | 46.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 31.1 | 3.50 |
| NกV. 1974 | 42.90 | 19 | 44.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 16.3 | 1.53 |


| mbitith |  |  |  |  |  |  | ```IMJIIRY SFYFRITY RATI: INDFX (IM.S DFR f(o)=\\(a)``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vol．invo $F$ <br> （mivni） |  <br> ACCIMFNTS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACCJOFNT } \\ \text { K } \triangle T F \\ (A C C, P F R \\ \text { JCOCIVMA) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THTAL } \\ & \text { FATALITTES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FATALTTY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (FATPFR } \\ \text { (OOMVM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THTAL } \\ & \text { JM, NIRIFS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| NFC． 1971 | 3.81 | $\stackrel{3}{ }$ | ？ 10.0 | 1. | 76．？ | 8 | 21．1．0 | 7．60 |
| ．Jan． 1972 | 3.33 | 5 | 150．2 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4 n .1 | ？．00 |
| FFR．197？ | 3.37 | 8 | 237.4 | ！ | ก．0） | 9 | 267． 1 | 2.38 |
| HAR．197？ | 3．184 | 4 | 23.1 .4 | 1. | 75.7 | 3 | 77.1 | 3.17 |
| APR． 1972 | 4.17 | 10 | 739.8 | 1 | 24.11 | 14 | 335.7 | 4.30 |
| misy 1972 | 4.50 | 3 | 66.7 | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 4 | 88.9 | F． 6.7 |
| ，HNEF 197 ？ | 4.70 | 9 | 191.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 63．8 | ？．49 |
| ，111．l 197 ？ | 4.94 | 14 | 293.4 | n | 0.0 | 8 | 161．9 | 2.14 |
| Alli，147？ | 4.820 | 6 | 125.0 | $1)$ | ก．ก | 3 | A？ 5 | 3.843 |
| SFP．1Y7？ | 4.36 | 7 | 160． 6 | 1 | 22.9 | 8 | 1．83．5 | 5.71 |
| HCT． 1472 | 4.19 | 17 | 286．4 | $?$ | 47.7 | 11. | 26．${ }^{\text {P } 5}$ | 7．83 |
| ッル．147？ | 3.91 | 17 | 434.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 434.9 | 3．12 |
| ！上FC． 1972 | 3.77 | 14 | 371.4 | $\bigcirc$ | 0.0 | 6 | 159.2 | 2.57 |
| 18in． 1.973 | 3.51 | $1]$ | 313.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 756.4 | 2.91 |
| FF．R． 1973 | 3.67 | h | 16.3 .5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 54.5 | 2.83 |
| आAR． 1973 | 4.04 | y | 220.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 196．1 | $4.0 \cap$ |
| AHR． 1973 | 4.34 | 10 | 730.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 97.2 | 2．35 |
| WAY ly7． | 4.64 | 7 | 1.50 .9 | 2 | 43.1 | 10 | 215.5 | 3． 64 |
| ．11INF 1973 | 4.94 | G | 121.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 81.0 | 3.75 |
| ．H1L． 1973 | 5.14 | 10 | 194.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 1．94． 6 | ？． 35 |
| Alls． 1973 | 5.04 | G | 11．9．0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 19.8 | 1．4？ |
| SFP． 1973 | 4.53 | 7 | 154.5 | 2 | 44.2 | 10 | 270.8 | 3.64 |
| HCT． 1973 | 4.36 | 4 | 91.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 160．6 | 5.00 |
| N（IV． 1973 | 4.03 | 9 | 19R．5 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 198.5 | 3.31 |
| 1）FC． 1973 | 3．66 | 6 | 163．9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 54.6 | 1． 4.3 |
| ，ANN． 1974 | 3.36 | 8 | 2383.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 416.7 | 3.75 |
| FFR． 1974 | 3.4 .9 | 7 | 200．6 | n | 0.0 | 3 | RG．O | 1.71. |
| MAR． 1974 | 3.93 | 4 | T10． 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 101．8 | 7.88 |
| APK． 1974 | 4.38 | 7 | 159.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 91.3 | 7.93 |
| MAY 1974 | 4.64 | 4 | 86．？ | $1)$ | 0.0 | 6 | 129.2 | 3.50 |
| HINE 1974 | 4.84 | 5 | 103.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 82.6 | 2.50 |
| ，1111． 1974 | 5.09 | 11 | 216．1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 137．5 | 1． 91 |
| がG． 1974 | 4.94 | 4 | 160． 3 | n | 0.0 | 9 | 180.4 | 1.94 |
| SFF． 1974 | 4.48 | 7 | 156.3 | ก | 0.0 | 3 | 67.0 | 1.71 |
| INCT． 1974 | 4.53 | 5 | 110.4 | 1 | 22.1 | 4 | 88.3 | 4.40 |
| Nriv． 1974 | 4.23 | 4 | 44.6 | n | O．0 | 1. | 23．6 | 1.62 |



| Mח़ハTH | vOLIJME （MVM） | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { ACCIDFATS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACC.IDENT } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (ACC PER } \\ 10 \text { OMY/M) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTA! } \\ \text { FATAIITIFS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HATAIITY } \\ \text { RATF } \\ \text { (FATPFK } \\ \text { IONAMMW) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THTAI. } \\ & \text { IAB.M! IFS } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} S=V F R \text { ITY } \\ \text { jWr.EX } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17FC． 1971 | 8.09 | 26 | 321.4 | 2 | 74.7 | 10 | 13\％．ヶ | 2． 79 |
| JAN． 1972 | 6.96 | 10 | 229.9 | 0 | $1) .0$ | 6 | ¢\％．＂ | 1.47 |
| FFB． 1972 | 7.17 | 26 | 367．6 | 1. | 13.9 | 1.4 | 1．95．2 | 2.73 |
| PAAR． 1972 | 8.23 | 2.3 | 279.5 | 0 | （）．0） | 17 | ？nf．t | 2.34 |
| APR． 1972 | 8.75 | 16 | 182.9 | 1 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 175.7 | 3.91 |
| MAY 1972 | 9.28 | 23 | 247.8 | $?$ | 21．6 | 1.5 | 1 K 1．$k$ | 2.13 |
| JHNF 1972 | 9.70 | 23 | 237．1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 10.2 | 1.10 |
| JIIL． 1972 | 9.91 | 23 | 232.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 70 | 201．8 | 3.04 |
| AUG． 1972 | 10.20 | 28 | 274.5 | 1 | 9.8 | 19 | 186.3 | 2．36 |
| SEP． 1972 | 9.28 | 31 | 334.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 2？ 2.2 | 2.63 |
| OICT． 1972 | 8.86 | 25 | 282.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 135.4 | 2.37 |
| NrTV－ 1972 | 8.54 | 31 | 363.0 | 1 | 11.7 | 18 | 210.8 | 3.015 |
| NFEC． 1972 | 8.54 | 26 | 304.4 | 1 | 11.7 | 21 | 245.9 | 3.07 |
| ，AN • 1973 | 7.87 | 2.3 | 292.2 | 2 | 25.4 | 15 | 190.6 | 2.98 |
| FFR． 1973 | 8.11 | 20 | 246.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 74.0 | 1.80 |
| MAR． 1973 | 8.97 | 2.7 | 301.0 | 3 | 33.4 | 15 | 167．？ | 2．94 |
| APR． 1973 | 9.54 | 23 | 241.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 136.3 | 2．80 |
| MAY 1973 | 10.00 | 25 | 250.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 220.0 | 2．87 |
| ，JUnF 1973 | 10.50 | 22 | 209.5 | 1 | 9.5 | 19 | 1.81 .0 | 3.70 |
| 小川． 1973 | 10.70 | 20 | 186.9 | 0 | 1.0 | 20 | 186．9 | 2．98 |
| AIIG． 1973 | 10.60 | 23 | 217.0 | 2 | 1．8．9 | 9 | 84.9 | 2.78 |
| SFP． 1973 | 9.75 | 33 | 33\％．5 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 143.6 | 1.97 |
| OCT． 1973 | 9.75 | 36 | 369.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 153.8 | 1.96 |
| Nov． 1973 | 9.23 | 31 | 335.9 | 1 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 151.7 | 2.19 |
| nFC． 1973 | 8.37 | 19 | 227.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 215.1 | 2.95 |
| ，IAN． 1974 | 7.79 | 15 | 192.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 115.5 | 2.40 |
| FFH． 1974 | 7.86 | 11 | 139.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 114.5 | 2.45 |
| MAR． 1974 | 8.43 | A | 94.9 | 1 | 11.9 | 2 | 23.7 | 4.19 |
| AHR． 1974 | 9.35 | 17 | 181.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 117.6 | 1． 59 |
| MAY 1974 | 9.72 | 26 | 267.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 113. ？ | 2．56 |
| JJNF 1974 | 9.96 | 30 | 301.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 22 | 220.9 | 2.45 |
| 川ル． 1974 | 10.60 | 17 | $\ln 0.4$ | 0 | 16.0 | 13 | 122．6 | 2.59 |
| Alk， 1974 | 10.20 | 25 | 245.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 147.1 | 2.14 |
| SFP． 1974 | 9.26 | 26 | 280.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 162.0 | 2.10 |
| C．T． 1974 | $9 . ? 6$ | 29 | 31.3 .2 | 1 | 10.8 | 18 | 194.4 | 2.28 |
| NHVE 1974 | 8.77 | 26 | 296.5 | 0 | 11.0 | 18 | 105．2 | 2.52 |

