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## INTRODUCTION

Several sorting factors have been employed previously in deriving traffic accident statistics. Roadway geometrics (1) and factors related to the energy crisis (2) have been investigated. However, statistics related to day and night and dawn and dusk had not been derived. Average and critical accident rates have been calculated for various types of highways, but basic rates for daylight and darkness have not been determined. Accident records have now been searched and sorted to obtain those statistical indices. Others, elsewhere, have reported significant differences in accidents during daylight and darkness (3, 4).

Accident experience since the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit was imposed was analyzed to determine the effect of increased speed on many highways during the hours of darkness. The speed limit in Kentucky was set at $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ on March 1, 1974. This speed limit applied to all vehicles for both daylight and darkness driving conditions and all types of roads. Before that, the speed limit on interstate and four-lane, divided, toll systems has been $70 \mathrm{mph}(31.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ during both daylight and darkness. The speed limit on most other rural roads was $60 \mathrm{mph}(26.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ in daytime and $50 \mathrm{mph}(22.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ at night. A dramatic decrease in the number of traffic accidents, injuries, fatalities, and rates followed the so-called energy crisis of late 1973 (2). The biggest reductions coincided with speed reductions and the speed-limit change in March 1974. A major conclusion from those statistics was that vehicle speed was highly relatable to accident involvement. However, that study did not deal with the effects of an increase in posted speed on many roads during the hours of darkness.

## PROCEDURE

Accident and volume data were obtained for both rural and urban roads. Accidents reported by State Police constituted the data sample from rural areas. Urban accident data were obtained from the city of Louisville. Data for 1973 and 1975 were used for the rural system, and data for 1973 and 1974 were used for the urban roads (1975 urban accident data was not available). The data from both years were used when comparing conditions before and after the $55-\mathrm{mph}$ $(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit. However, only 1975 data for the rural system and 1974 data for the urban system were used in most comparisons because those data better reflected current roadway environment.

The rural highway system was sorted into the following:

1. two-lane (including three-lane) roads,
2. expressways (interstate and parkway (toll road)), and
3. four-lane (undivided and divided, no access control) roads.
Accidents in the urban area were not classified by type of road.

To accurately determine periods of daylight and darkness, the hours of sunrise and sunset were obtained from the Weather Bureau (5). After accounting for the two different time zones within the state and daylight savings time, the hours of daylight and darkness were defined for each month ( 6,7 ). Dawn was defined as the hour before sunrise (rounded to the nearest hour); dusk was defined as the hour after sunset. Accidents were obtained on an hourly basis and then summarized by those times (APPENDIX A).

Total vehicle-miles (vehicle-kilometers) of travel on the rural system had been obtained earlier (2). Using several, representative, 24 -hour counts, the hourly volume distribution was determined (APPENDIX B). Accident rates for each period were calculated. Rates during darkness were calculated for each type of rural road. Total vehicle miles traveled in the urban area was not known, and only the percentages of accidents and traffic volumes in the respective periods could be compared.

## RESULTS

## RURAL ACCIDENTS

Accident Rates - Twenty-two percent of the rural accidents occurred during darkness. Table 1 shows also that 31.9 percent of accidents on expressways occurred during darkness. This higher percentage was probably due to the higher traffic volumes on these routes during the hours of darkness. The highest rate was on two-lane highways during darkness ( 412 accidents per 100 MVM ( 160 MVkm )). These statistics are given in Table 2. The rate during dusk for two-lane roads was also high (317 accidents per $100 \mathrm{MVM}(160 \mathrm{MVkm})$ ). The rates during darkness were the highest on each highway type. The overall rate during darkness on the rural system was 1.6 times greater than the rate during daylight. As expected, expressways had the lowest rates. The rate during dusk was surprisingly high compared to the rate at dawn.

Critical Accident Rates during Darkness -- Using the average rates for periods of darkness as previously determined, critical rates can be calculated for any given section length, annual average daily traffic, and probability level (8). Resulting critical rate curves may be used to determine if an accident problem exists during darkness and whether safety improvements may be warranted. Critical rates were calculated for each type of rural road using

$$
A_{c}=A_{a}+K \sqrt{A_{a} / M}+1 / 2 M
$$

where $\quad A_{c}=$ critical accident rate,

$$
A_{a}=\text { average accident rate }
$$

$K=$ constant related to level of statistical significance selected; for P $=0.95, \mathrm{~K}=1.645$; for $\mathrm{P}=0.995$, $K=2.576$, and
$\mathrm{M}=$ annual 100 million vehicle miles ( 160 million vehicle kilometers).
A probability level of 0.95 was selected. Calculations were based on data for one year. The resulting, critical rate curves are presented in Figures 1 through 3. Critical rates were determined for section lengths ranging from 1 mile ( 1.6 km ) to 20 miles ( 32.2 km ). A different set of graphs could be developed for two or more years of accident data. Increasing the number of years of data would result in lower critical rates.

To determine if the nighttime accident rate of a section is critical, the section length, annual average daily traffic (AADT), and the accident rate during the period of darkness must be known. The critical rate is determined using the AADT and section length. If the rate is above the critical rate, the location should be investigated.

Wet or Dry. Conditions -- The distribution of accidents by wet or dry conditions is given in Table 3. Accidents are presented as a percentage of the total during each of the periods. There were no significant differences in the percentages of accidents on wet pavements. Slightly under 19 percent of the accidents were on wet pavements during darkness; this compared to 19.3 percent during daylight. The ratio of wet- to dry-pavement accidents showed that the only significant difference occurred at dawn when the ratio was significantly higher. The hours of precipitation had to be considered before valid comparisons could be made. Rainfall data were obtained from the Weather Bureau. Using this information, the ratio of wet to dry time was calculated for each part of the 24 -hour period. No significant differences were found (Table 3).

Severity - Table 4 compares severity of accidents during darkness and daylight hours. Accidents were more severe during darkness on all roads. Fatal accidents were 2.3 times more likely to occur during darkness than during daylight. Injury-type accidents during darkness increased only slightly.

Effect of Speed .- No significant differences were observed between average day and night speeds (Table 5). However, speed measurements were not taken during early morning hours. Table 5 shows average speeds before and after the speed limit on interstate routes were reduced. Average speeds, there, decreased from 66.9 $\mathrm{mph}(29.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ to $58.9 \mathrm{mph}(26.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. Average speeds on two-lane roads was $53.2 \mathrm{mph}(23.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ after the 1974 reduction in speed limit.

Table 6 shows how accidents during times of darkness were affected by the change in speed limit. As expected, the percent of accidents during darkness increased slightly on two-lane roads in 1975. Contrary to what was expected, the percent of accidents on expressways increased significantly.

The percentages of wet-pavement accidents before and after the speed limit change are compared in Table 7. There were significant changes in the percent of wet-pavement accidents. For all highways, there was a decrease in the percentage of wet-pavement accidents during daylight but an increase during darkness. This increase resulted solely from the increase on two-lane roads and corresponded with an increase in speed limit during darkness. This finding was significant and represents true increase in percent accidents because the before and after periods experienced equal time of rainfall.

Accident rates before and after the speed change are given in Table 8. There were similar changes in the accident rate for both daylight and darkness. The ratio of daylight rates to darkness rates before and after the speed limit change (Table 9) also showed no change for the total system. The larger ratio after the speed limit change for four-lane highways reflects the larger decrease during darkness than daylight. On expressways, the rate during daylight decreased more than the rate during darkness.

Accident Summary by Hour of Day - An accident summary by hour of day for the entire rural system is presented in Table 10. It shows an increase in accident rate and severity during darkness (particularly early morning hours). The highest hourly accident rate was between 1 and 2 a.m. The hours between 9 p.m. and 4 a.m. generally had the highest accident rates. These same hours also had the highest percentage of injury and fatal accidents.

## URBAN ACCIDENTS

Accidents and Traffic Volume -- Since the total vehicle-miles (vehicle-kilometers) traveled in the urban area were not known, accidents rates for urban roads could not be calculated. A number of 24-hour traffic volume counts were obtained, and the percentage of accidents and volume during the various light conditions were compared (Table 11). For both daytime and night, the percentage of accidents and volume was very similar. There were distinct differences in accidents during dawn and dusk. The percentage of accidents at dawn were abnormally low compared to other times during the day.

Wet or Dry Conditions - Data in Table 12 show that accidents during wet-pavement conditions comprise a greater proportion of accidents during darkness than during daylight. The slightly higher ratio of wet- to dry-time pavement conditions during darkness does not account for the difference in the rates of wet- and dry-pavement accidents. As was seen for rural accidents, a higher ratio of wet- to dry-pavement accidents occurred during dawn.

In an effort to alleviate the problem of rainy, nighttime accidents, a recent safety program in urban areas involved the installation of raised, pavement markers. Their effect on wet, nighttime accidents has not yet been determined.

Severity: The severity of accidents was found to increase during the hours of darkness (Table 13). The percentage of injury accidents showed an increase, but the largest increase involved fatal accidents. The percentage of fatal accidents during nighttime was almost four times that during daytime. Accidents at dawn and dusk were more severe than daytime accidents but less severe than accidents during nighttime.

Effect of Energy Crisis -- The effects of the energy crisis on accidents (primarily the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit) are summarized in Table 14. The reduction in the speed limit, of course, involved primarily roads in rural areas and should have a smaller effect in urban areas because the speed limits there were already under $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. Considering all accidents, there were no significant changes in the percentage of injury and fatal accidents. The data showed that the energy crisis had a greater overall effect on accidents during nighttime than during daytime.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

On rural roads the accident rate at night was higher than during day. The ratio of the night and day accident rates was greatest for rural expressways (1.98) and least for four-lane roads (1.47). Generally, the accident rates
during dusk were higher than during dawn, which may be due to higher traffic volumes associated with the hours of dusk. Twenty-two percent of the accidents occurred during darkness. Rural expressways had the highest percentage of accidents during darkness (31.9 percent).

Critical rates of accidents during darkness for various types of rural roads were calculated. Graphs presenting the critical rate as a function of volume and section length were prepared for each type of rural road. The critical rate curves may be used to determine if an accident problem exists during darkness.

In the urban area, wet-pavement accidents were more of a problem during darkness than during daylight, but there was no significant difference between the percentage during darkness and daylight on rural roads. At dawn, both urban and rural roads had a higher incidence of wet-pavement accidents than dry. pavement accidents. Both urban and rural accidents were more severe at night. The rate of fatal accidents was much higher at night, and there was a slightly higher incidence of injury accidents.

No significant differences were observed between average speeds during daylight and darkness.

On rural roads, the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit resulted in similar changes in the accident rates for both daylight and darkness. However, there were significant changes in the percentage of wet-pavement accidents after the speed limit change. Throughout the rural system, there was a decrease in the percentage of wet-pavement accidents during daylight but an increase during darkness. This nighttime increase resulted from the increase in the percentage of accidents on the two-lane roads. On these roads, the nighttime speed limit was raised from $50 \mathrm{mph}(22.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ to $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Considering all accidents in the urban areas, there were no significant changes in accident occurrence after the $55-\mathrm{mph}(24.6-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ speed limit change; however, there was a greater overall increase of accidents during darkness than during daylight hours.
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TABLE 1. PERCENT ACCIDENTS FOR VARIOUS LIGHT CONDITIONS (RURAL ROADS) $^{\text {a }}$

| ROADS |  |  |  | PERCENT OF ACCIDENTS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAWN | DUSK |  |  |  |
| Two-Lane | 71.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Four-Lane | 72.8 | 18.2 | 1.9 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Expressway | 60.2 | 31.9 | 3.6 | 5.4 |  |  |  |
| All | 70.8 | 21.9 | 3.9 | 4.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2.1 | 5.2 |  |  |  |

[^0]TABLE 2. ACCIDENT RATES FOR VARIOUS LIGHT CONDITIONS (RURAL ROADS) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| ROADS | ACCIDENT RATE (ACCIDENTS/100 MVM) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAWN | DUSK | COMBINED |
| Two-Lane | 238 | 412 | 175 | 317 | 263 |
| Four-Lane | 102 | 150 | 140 | 135 | 111 |
| Expressway | 55 | 109 | 95 | 68 | 67 |
| All | 192 | 309 | 156 | 256 | 211 |

[^1]| TABLE 3. | ACCIDENTS DURING VARIOUS LIGHT AND CONDITIONS (RURAL ROADS) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | PAVEMENT-SURFACE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIGHT } \\ & \text { CONDITIONS } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT 0 WET | OF ACCIDENTS ON PAVEMENTS | PERCENT OF SNOW | ACCIDENTS OR ICE |  | RATIO OF WET- TO DRYPAVEMENT ACCIDENTS | RATIO OF WET- TO DRYTIME CONDITIONS |
| Daylight |  | 19.3 |  | 3.3 |  | . 25 | . 19 |
| Dawn |  | 25.7 |  | 5.0 |  | . 43 | . 22 |
| Dusk |  | 19.8 |  | 3.8 |  | . 26 | . 20 |
| Darkness |  | 18.7 |  | 5.1 |  | . 24 | 21 |

TABLE 4. PERCENT OF INJURY AND FATAL ACCIDENTS DURING DAYLIGRTT AND DARKNESS (RURAL ROADS) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| ROADS | PERCENT INJURY ACCIDENTS |  | PERCENT FATAL ACCIDENTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS |
| Two-Lane | 31.7 | 37.7 | 1.6 | 3.7 |
| Four-Lane | 31.7 | 33.8 | 1.1 | 3.9 |
| Expressway | 34.9 | 36.6 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| All \% | 31.9 | 37.5 | 1.6 | 3.7 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1975$ State Police accidents

TABLE 5. AVERAGE SPEEDS ON RURAL ROADS DURING DAYLIGHT AND DARKNESS



TABLE 7. PERCENT OF WET-PAVEMENT ACCIDENTS BEFORE AND AFTER 55 mph (24.6 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) SPEED-LIMIT CHANGE (RURAL ROADS)

| ROADS | PERCENT WET-PAVEMENT ACCIDENTS |  |  |  | PERCENT CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BEFORE (1973) |  | AFTER (1975) |  |  |  |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS |
| Two-Lane | 23.0 | 16.4 | 19.6 | 19.0 | -14.8 | +15.9 |
| Four-Lane | 26.0 | 21.6 | 21.9 | 20.3 | -15.8 | -6.0 |
| Expressway | 29.0 | 17.6 | 14.7 | 15.1 | -49.3 | -14.2 |
| All | 23.7 | 16.7 | 19.3 | 18.7 | -18.6 | +12.0 |

TABLE 8. ACCIDENT RATES DURING DAYLIGHT AND DARKNESS BEFORE AND AFTER $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ SPEED-LIMIT CHANGE (RURAL ROADS)

| ROADS | ACCIDENT RATE (ACCIDENTS/100 MVM) |  |  |  | PERCENT CHANGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BEFORE (1973) |  | AFTER (1975) |  |  |  |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS |
| Two-Lane | 250 | 433 | 238 | 412 | -4.7 | -5.0 |
| Four-Lane | 129 | 259 | 102 | 150 | -21.2 | -42.0 |
| Expressway | 86 | 144 | 55 | 109 | -35.7 | -24.8 |
| All | 207 | 333 | 192 | 309 | -7.4 | -7.2 |

TABLE 9. RATIO OF DAYLIGHT TO DARKNESS ACCIDENT RATES BEFORE AND AFTER $55 \mathrm{mph}(24.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) SPEED-LIMIT CHANGE (RURAL ROADS)

|  |  | RATIO OF <br> ROADS |  | DAYLIGHT TO DARKNESS ACCIDENT RATE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| TABLE 10. | ACCIDENT SUMMARY BY HOUR OF DAY (ALL RURAL ROADS) |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |



${ }^{2}$ Louisville 1974 Accident Data

TABLE 13. SEVERITY OF ACCIDENTS DURING VARIOUS LIGHT CONDITIONS (URBAN ROADS)

| LIGHT CONDITIONS | PERCENT INJURY ACCIDENTS | PERCENT FATAL ACCIDENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daylight | 9.7 | 0.14 |
| Dawn | 9.4 | 0.67 |
| Dusk | 11.6 | 0.22 |
| Darkness | 14.4 | 0.53 |


|  | before energy CRISES (1973) |  |  | AFTER ENERGY CRISES (1974) |  |  | PERCENT Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | total | DAYLIGHT | DARKNESS | total | Dayulght | darkness | ALL |
| Number of Accidents | 14,525 | 4.575 | 20,512 | 14,582 | 4,514 | 20,314 | +0.4 | 1.3 | -1.0 |
| Percent on Wet-Pavement | 19.6 | 31.1 | 22.0 | 19.5 | 26.7 | 21.5 | -0.5 | -14.1 | -2.3 |
| Percent Injury and Fatal Accidents | 9.8 | 15.6 | 11.3 | 9.8 | 14.9 | 11.1 | 0 | 4.5 | -1.8 |







APPENDIX A

## SUMMARY OF 1975 ACCIDENT DATA

 BY MONTH AND HOURSUMMARY OF 1975 TRAFFIC ACCIDENT DATA BY MONTH AND HOUR

|  | HOUR | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 38＊ | 45 | 47 | 46 | 69 | 50 | 52 | 61 | 46 | 61 | 52 | 43 |
|  | 2 | 39 | 44 | 31 | 32 | 35 | 43 | 30 | 41 | 29 | 42 | 61 | 58 |
|  | 3 | 42 | 31 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 29 | 15 | 21 | 25 | 33 | 41 |
|  | 4 | 26 | 37 | 29 | 12 | 22 | 17 | 32 | 17 | 15 | 21 | 28 | 26 |
|  | 5 | 9 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 26 | 23 | 16 | 25 | 21 |
|  | 6 | 11 | 19 | 31 | － 18 | 23 | 38 | 30 | 29 | 27. | 30 | 30 | 30 |
|  | 7 | 26 | 33 | 86 | 57 | 76 | 65 | 44 | 69 | 67 | \％ 66 | 47 | 46 |
| DAWN | 8 | 88 | 55 | 94 | 65 | 94 | 59 | 72 | 65 | 105 | 107 | 82 | 27 87 |
|  | 9 | 90 | 79 | 107 | 78 | 104 | 97 | 73 | 79 | 100 | 106 | 114 | 121 |
|  | 10 | 83 | 74 | 89 | 100 | 102 | 102 | 106 | 114 | 85 | 122 | 96 | 109 |
| 舄 | 11 | 96 | 86 | 118 | 83 | 121 | 121 | 105 | 112 | 109 | 115 | 96 | 114 |
|  | 12 | 112 | 86 | 136 | 108 | 140 | 143 | 133 | 124 | 149 | 127 | 135 | 151 |
|  | 13 | 108 | 113 | 117 | 100 | 162 | 175 | 153 | 155 | 106 | 132 | 138 | 168 |
|  | 14 | 117 | 107 | 125 | 115 | 173 | 165 | 156 | 158 | 140 | 148 | 124 | 153 |
|  | 15 | 143 | 128 | 168 | 160 | 216 | 209 | 181 | 197 | 169 | 204 | 148 | 181 |
|  | 16 | 168 | 117 | 167 | 176 | 205 | 223 | 202 | 234 | 217 | 242 | 157 | 185 |
|  | 17 | 150 | 149 | 163 | 185 | 256 | 212 | 215 | 223 | 202 | 211 | 216 | 220 |
|  | 18 | 149 | 144 | 132 | 135 | 178 | 193 | 202 | 181 | 156 | 182 | 164 | 174 |
| DUSK | 19 | 145 | 100 | 115 | 102 | 166 | 147 | 169 | 150 | 119 | 138 | 203 | 171 |
| $$ | 20 | 109 | 130 | ） 98 | 4．77 | 134 | 103 | 138 | 110 | 106 | 1129 | 137 | 132 |
|  | 21 | 59 | 71 | 90 | 83 | 128 | 94 | 100 | 98 | 90 | 102 | 91 | 102 |
|  | 22 | 61 | 69 | 74 | 78 | 99 | 73 | 102 | 86 | 78 | 89 | 96 | 87 |
|  | 23 | 57 | 61 | 75 | 60 | 71 | 78 | 76 | 73 | 81 | 82 | 70 | 73 |
|  | 24 | 63 | 42 | 26 | 23 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 38 | 23 | 22 | 81 | 80 |

＊Number of accidents

## APPENDIX B

## SUMMARY OF 1975 TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

BY MONTH AND HOUR

SUMMARY OF 1975 TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA BY MONTH AND HOUR

*Million vehicle miles


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1975$ State Police accidents

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1975$ State Police accidents

