Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.			
UKTRP-86-28					
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date			
	Terretto Country	November 1986			
Impact Evaluation of the I Traffic Alcohol Program (6. Performing Organization Code			
TIALLIC ALCOHOL LIOGLAM (1982 - 1988)	8. Performing Organization Report No.			
7. Author(s)					
J.G. Pigman and K.R. Agent	-	UKTRP-86-28			
9. Performing Organization Name and Addre		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)			
Kentucky Transportation Re					
College of Engineering		11. Contract or Grant No.			
University of Kentucky					
Lexington, Kentucky 4050	06-0043	13. Type of Report and Period Covered			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address					
Division of Police		Final			
Lexington-Fayette Urban Co	ounty Government				
134 East Main Street Lexington, Kentucky 4050	7	14. Sponsoring Agency Code			
	J/				
15. Supplementary Notes					
Study Title: Evaluation (of Lexington-Fayette Count	v Traffic Alcohol Program			
16. Abstract		ىرىمىيەت <u>بىرىمىيە مەرمىيە مەرمى</u>			
This report is a fination	al evaluation of four year	s of increased police enforcement			
to reduce alcohol-related	accidents in Lexington-Fa	yette County, Kentucky. Three			
types of data were collect	ted as a means of evaluati	ng the Traffic Alcohol Program;			
	adjudication data, and con				
Results from before-a	and-after comparisons and	time-series analysis show alcohol-			
related accidents decrease	ed significantly during th	e study period. When comparing			
two years before with fou:	r years during the increas	ed enforcement, the reduction in			
alcohol-related accidents	during hours of increased	enforcement was 37.3 percent			
using standard before and	after analysis and 36.4 p	ercent using time-series analysis.			
For all hours of the day,	alcohol-related accidents	decreased by 30.3 percent.			
During the same time perio	od, alcohol-related fatal	or injury accidents decreased			
29.1 percent.					
Arrests have averaged	13,220 per year for the fo	ur years of increased enforcement			
as compared to 929 the year	ar before. The DUI convic	tion rate has remained at			
approximately 90 percent	throughout the program.				
	the locately the processor (on	for a point is it as a to and court			
Based on costs assoc.	tated with the program (en	forcement, jail costs, and court for DUI, and fines for other			
costs) and benefits (redu	st ratio was 3.81. If red	wood pagident costs were			
offenses); the penerit-co	st facto was s.or. II leu	its, then the benefit-cost ratio			
would be 1.20.	L Income was used as bener	its, then the penetit cost facto			
17. Key Words	18. Distribution	Statement			
Alcohol Hig	hway Safety				
Accidents					
Arrests	1				
Adjudication					
Cost-Effectiveness					
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)	21. No. of Pages 22. Price			
ļ					

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

Research Report UKTRP-86-28

IMPACT EVALUATION OF THE LEXINGTON - FAYETTE COUNTY TRAFFIC ALCOHOL PROGRAM (1982 - 1986)

by

Jerry G. Pigman Transportation Research Engineer

and

Kenneth R. Agent Transportation Research Engineer

Kentucky Transportation Research Program College of Engineering University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky

Federally funded through Highway Safety Standards Branch Kentucky State Police Commonwealth of Kentucky

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the University of Kentucky nor of the Kentucky State Police. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

November 1986

Table of Contents

Contract Contract des

		1	age
	Ι.	Introduction • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
	II.	Lexington-Fayette County Traffic Alcohol Program	1
	III.	Data Collection Procedures	2
		Accident Data	2
		Arrest and Adjudication Data	2
		Cost Effectiveness	2
	IV.	Analysis of Results	3
		Accidents	3
		Arrest and Adjudication 1	.2
		Cost Effectiveness	4
- ¹	V	Summary of Findings	7
•	VI. H	References	9

Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Without the assistance and cooperation of other agencies, it would have been very difficult to have obtained the various types of data included in the report. The assistance and guidance from the following individuals were valuable to the completion of the report:

Joe Ann O'Hara - Highway Safety Branch Kentucky State Police Larry Ball - Lexington - Fayette Urban County Division of Police Joan Rutledge - Fayette County District Court

Also providing valuable assistance in the performance of time-series analysis of accident data for the study area was Paul Levy with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

For their contributions in data collection, analysis, and preparation of the final report, an expression of appreciation is extended to Carla Crossfield, Mark Isenhour, Ed Medina, and Jeff Crowdus.

INTRODUCTION

Driving under the influence of alcohol continues to be one of the nation's most serious health and safety problems. Approximately 50 percent of all drivers killed each year have blood alcohol concentrations in excess of the legal limit of 0.10 percent (1). In single-vehicle fatal crashes, where fault is certain, nearly 65 percent of those drivers who died were Over the past 10 years, the number of highway deaths legally drunk. involving alcohol has averaged approximately 25,000 per year. Economic losses due to the alcohol-impaired driver also are staggering. An estimate of the total economic cost of the drinking driver is between 21 and 24 billion dollars per year (2). In Kentucky, the number of alcohol-related accidents has averaged approximately 10,000 per year during a recent fiveyear period (1980-1984)(3). Alcohol-related fatal crashes have averaged 185 during this period (3). This relatively low number of reported alcoholrelated fatal accidents is likely because alcohol involvement is based on an officer's observations at the scene. Subsequent blood tests have shown that alcohol is a factor in approximately 50 percent of all fatal accidents. When considering the cost of fatalities and injuries, the estimated annual cost of alcohol-related crashes in Kentucky is \$78 million (3). The problem has reached the point where it has been estimated that one of every two Americans will be involved in an alcohol-related accident in their lifetime.

Analysis of contributing factors (human, vehicular, and roadway) revealed that alcohol was listed as a contributing factor in 8.0 percent of all accidents and 26.2 percent of fatal accidents (3). For all Kentucky accident records, alcohol was second to unsafe speed as a contributing factor in fatal accidents and was the fourth most common contributing factor in all accidents.

To identify locations having alcohol-related accident problems that would be candidates for traffic alcohol programs, counties and cities having the highest percentages of accidents involving alcohol, for their population categories, have been identified (3). Locations having high percentages of alcohol-related accidents and low conviction rates were selected as logical choices for increased enforcement. Lexington-Fayette County was selected as a candidate for a Traffic Alcohol Program (TAP) in a previous study (4).

In Lexington-Fayette County, a Traffic Alcohol Program has been ongoing for approximately four and one-half years and enforcement is the responsibility of the local police. An evaluation of the first-year impact of Lexington-Fayette County Traffic Alcohol Program has been made and summarized as an interim report (5). Results through the first two years of operation were included in a second report (6) and results for the first three years were included in a third report (7). This report summarizes the results of the Lexington-Fayette County Traffic Alcohol Program for the four-year period of May 1982 through April 1986.

LEXINGTON-FAYETTE COUNTY TRAFFIC ALCOHOL PROGRAM

In an attempt to impact the number of fatalities, injuries, and property-damage accidents related to alcohol, a comprehensive program of countermeasures has been implemented in Lexington-Fayette County. The

1

program involves a coordinated effort between the Division of Police, the judicial system, rehabilitation program administrators, educational institutions, and the local news media. Generally, the program includes the following components: 1) officer DUI training course, 2) deployment of officers for DUI enforcement, 3) public information campaign, and 4) development and administration of an effective alcohol education program.

Some expected accomplishments and anticipated long-range results of Lexington's Traffic Alcohol Program were:

- 1. Reduce alcohol-related fatality/injury accidents by 25 percent.
- 2. Decrease the average blood alcohol level of those arrested for DUI from 0.20 to between 0.10 to 0.14.
- Reduce the number of "Reckless Driving Had Been Drinking" arrests (this notation is used to identify reckless driving arrests in which alcohol was involved).
- 4. Increase community awareness of the problems created by drinking drivers.
- 5. Increase voluntary compliance to the DUI and Implied Consent Laws.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Three primary types of data were collected as a means of evaluating the Traffic Alcohol Program. Included were accident data, arrest and adjudication data, and cost-effectiveness data.

ACCIDENT DATA

Data were collected for alcohol-related accidents and total accidents two years before and four years during the Traffic Alcohol Program in Lexington-Fayette County. This included the period of May 1, 1980, through April 30, 1986. Copies of accident reports having alcohol listed as a contributing factor were obtained from or reviewed at the Division of Police office. Monthly tabulations of total accidents also were obtained from the Lexington-Fayette County Division of Police.

ARREST AND ADJUDICATION DATA

Arrest and adjudication data were the second major data element included in the analysis. Data reflecting a complete summary of the arrest and adjudication history of each DUI case were available from the Administrative Office of the Courts.

For the analysis of Lexington-Fayette County's Traffic Alcohol Program, data had previously been summarized for one year before and three years during TAP (6). Additional data were collected to assess the program's impact during the fourth year. Again, a 25-percent sample of the DUI arrests was obtained for inclusion in the analysis.

COST-EFFECTIVENESS DATA

To determine cost effectiveness of the Traffic Alcohol Program, it was necessary to summarize costs and benefits associated with the program. Enforcement costs were obtained from the Lexington-Fayette County Division of Police. Included in the enforcement costs were personnel, equipment, mileage, supplies, and training. Other costs were those associated with use of the jail and court. Court costs were obtained from the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Benefits and income associated with the Traffic Alcohol Program included reduced accident costs, DUI fines, and fines resulting from other arrests made by TAP officers. Accident costs were applied to numbers of various types of alcohol-related accidents to determine benefits resulting from reductions in accidents. Income figures from the TAP project, in the form of fines resulting from DUI and other offenses, were obtained from the sample of arrest cases reviewed at the Administrative Office of the Courts.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

ACCIDENTS

Accidents were analyzed by means of traditional before-and-after comparisons. To determine significance of accident reductions, the chisquare test was applied and changes were tested for significance at the 95-percent confidence level (8, 9). It should be noted that, when the term significant is applied to a change in accidents, the change has been determined to be significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

As noted previously, accident trends and statistics were one of three primary areas of analysis. Alcohol-related crashes in Lexington-Fayette County were analyzed for the six-year period from May 1, 1980, through April 30, 1986. This included a two-year period before the Traffic Alcohol Program and a four-year period during TAP. Table 1 is a summary of alcoholrelated accidents by month for the two years before and four years during TAP. Overall, there was a significant decrease of 30.3 percent between the two-year period before and the four-year period during TAP. The number of alcohol-related accidents has decreased each year during the four-year period since the start of TAP. For a similar time period (1980-81 as compared to 1982-85), there was a 16.2-percent decrease in the statewide total of alcohol-related accidents. Total accidents statewide for this same period increased by 4.9 percent.

To determine whether the significant decrease in accidents was a result of TAP or a general decrease in accidents, total accidents for the same period were tabulated. This summary is presented in Table 2, and the overall decrease was 9.5 percent. This decrease was also significant at the 95-percent confidence level. The question of whether all the decrease in total accidents was attributable to alcohol-related accidents also was Alcohol-related accidents represented 8.0 percent of all addressed. accidents during the six-year study period. When alcohol-related accidents excluded from each year's total, the decrease is 7.2 percent are (significant at 95-percent confidence level) when comparing the two years before with the four-years during TAP. Therefore, a general decrease in total accidents did occur beyond the influence of alcohol-related accidents. The result was a 7.2-percent decrease in all accidents, excluding those related to alcohol, and a 30.3-percent decrease in alcohol-related

	MAY 1	AVERAGE 980 - 1982		982 - 1983		1983 - L 1984		.984 - 1985		1985 - L 1986
MONTH	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
May	91	8.8	96	11.7	85	11.6	60	8.7	62	9.5
June	91	8.8	48	5.9	63	8.6	48	7.0	59	9.1
July	85	8.2	73	8.9	44	6.0	54	7.9	44	6.7
August	97	9.4	65	8.0	56	7.7	62	9.0	65	10.0
September	84	8.1	73	8.9	57	7.8	79	11.5	56	8.6
October	89	8.6	85	10.4	68	9.3	57	8.3	57	8.7
November	84	8.1	58	7.1	59	8.1	64	9.3	53	8.1
December	92	8.9	76	9.3	64	8.7	56	8.2	50	7.7
January	76	7.3	61	7.5	53	7.2	40	5.8	40	6.1
February	79	7.6	65	8.0	56	7.7	42	6.1	62	9.5
March	67	6.5	66	8.1	56	7.6	60	8.7	59	9.1
April	101	9.7	51	6.2	71	9.7	65	9.5	45	6.9
Total	1,036		817		732		687		652	

- 20-

TABLE 1. REPORTED ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS BY MONTH

TABLE 2. TOTAL REPORTED ACCIDENTS BY MONTH

	MAY	R AVERAGE 1980 - L 1982		1982 - L 1983		L983 — . 1984		984 — . 1985		.985 - 1986
MONTH	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER.	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
May	915	8.6	858	8.7	779	8.6	762	8.0	849	8.5
June	812	7.6	754	7.7	667 🕔	7.3	735	7.7	776	7.8
July	819	7.7	809	8.2	668	7.3	709	7.4	822	8.2
August	890	8.4	826	8.4	696	7.6	767	8.0	887	8.9
September	931	8.8	796	8.1	762	8.4	800	8.4	804	8.1
October	995	9.4	925	9.4	812	8.9	854	8.9	903	9.1
November	873	8.2	883	9.0	716	7.9	904	9.4	995	10.0
December	964	9.1	927	9.4	940	10.3	951	9.9	994	10.0
January	977	9.2	730	7.4	999	11.0	926	9.7	651	6.5
February	817	7.7	756	7.7	650	7.1	711	7.4	683	6.8
March	782	7.4	742	7.6	671	7.4	692	7.2	775	7.8
April	852	8.0	813	8.3	750	8.2	767	8.0	832	8.3
Total	10,627		9,819		9,110		9,578		9,971	

accidents. It should be noted that, even though the reductions in both alcohol-related and "other" accidents were significant, the magnitude of the reductions in alcohol-related accidents is over four times greater than for "other" accidents. It also was determined that the 30.3-percent decrease was significantly greater than the 7.2-percent decrease.

The significant decrease in alcohol-related accidents of 30.3 percent was for all hours of the day. Further analysis was required to determine if variations in accidents for the hours of TAP enforcement were different from all hours of the day. For the first two years of the program, TAP hours of enforcement were from 10:30 p.m. until 3:30 a.m. except Sunday night and Monday morning. During the third year of the program, regular TAP enforcement was from 10:30 p.m. until 3:30 a.m., Wednesday through Saturday Monday morning. nights, with enforcement being alternated each week for Monday and Tuesday nights. During the fourth year of the program, TAP enforcement periods were 11:00 p.m. until 3:00 a.m. on Friday and Saturday nights, with one other night per week (the other night was rotated between Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday). Without attemping to delete the effect of the slight variation of enforcement during the third and fourth years, the decrease in alcohol-related accidents during the original hours of TAP enforcment was 37.3 percent (significant at 95-percent confidence level). This is slightly more than the decrease in alcohol-related accidents for all hours (30.3 percent); however, the impact of TAP extended to hours other than those of special enforcement because of increased public awareness and an increased level of enforcement during non-TAP hours. A summary of alcohol-related accidents during TAP hours, by month, is presented in Table 3.

Additional time distributions of alcohol-related accidents are presented in Tables 4 and 5. The summary of alcohol-related accidents by day of week in Table 4 shows that distribution was very similar for the twoyear period prior to TAP and the four years during TAP. Saturdays continued to have the highest number of alcohol-related accidents, followed by The distribution of alcohol-related accidents by time of day is Fridays. presented in Table 5. When comparing three-hour periods, it was noted that the only period in which there was not a decrease in the number of accidents from before to during TAP occurred between 6:00 a.m. and 8:59 a.m. The largest number of alcohol-related crashes occurred between midnight and 2:59 a.m. and between 9:00 p.m. and midnight. The period having the largest decrease in number of accidents was between midnight and 2:59 a.m.

Alcohol-related accidents for the six-year study period were classified by the most severe injury in Table 6. Data from this summary show the percentage of alcohol-related fatal or injury accidents was almost identical (36 to 37 percent) when comparing the two-year before period with the fouryear period during TAP. The data also indicate a 29.1-percent decrease in alcohol-related fatal or injury accidents when comparing two years before with four years of TAP. There was a large increase in the number of fatal accidents in the May 1985 to April 1986 period; however, also during this same period, the numbers of incapacitating and non-incapacitating injury accidents decreased. This resulted in a decrease in the number of "Equivalent Property-Damage-Only" (EPDO) accidents for the May 1985 to April 1986 period.

	TWO-YEAR AVERAGE MAY 1980 - APRIL 1982		MAY	MAY 1982 - APRIL 1983		MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984		MAY 1984 - April 1985		MAY 1985 - April 1986	
MONTH	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
May	38	8.1	32	9.8	35	11.9	21	7.5	25	9.1	
June	43	9.2	26	8.0	22	7.5	29	10.4	28	10.2	
July	40	8.6	34	10.4	20	6.8	27	9.7	18	6.6	
August	47	10.1	29	8.9	24	8.2	28	10.0	30	10.9	
September	40	8.6	27	8.3	22	7.5	23	8.3	27	9.9	
October	49	10.5	33	10.1	33	11.2	24	8.6	23	8.4	
November	32	6.8	22	6.8	26	8.8	18	6.5	22	8.0	
December	40	8.6	33	10.1	22	7.5	17	6.1	15	5.5	
January	36	7.7	25	7.7	15	5.1	16	5.7	11	4.0	
February	31	6.6	25	7.7	22	7.5	17	6.1	24	8.8	
March	31	6.6	19	5.8	24	8.1	28	10.0	32	11.7	
April	40	8.6	21	6.4	29	9.9	31	11.1	19	6.9	
Total	467		326		294		279		274		

.

.

.

TABLE 3. ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS DURING TAP HOURS BY MONTH

- 322

TABLE 4. ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK

*********	TWO-YEAR		FOUR-YEAR	FOUR-YEAR AVERAGE MAY 1982 - APRIL 1986			
DAY	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT			
Sunday	161	15.5	100	13.9			
Monday	85	8.2	66	9.1			
Tuesday	117	11.3	79	10.9			
Wednesday	118	11.4	70	9.7			
Thursday	126	12.2	95	13.2			
Friday	178	17.2	131	18.1			
Saturday	251	24.2	181	25.1			
Total	1,036	****	722				

	TWO-YEAR MAY 1980 -		FOUR-YEAR AVERAGE MAY 1982 - APRIL 1986		
TIME OF DAY	NUMBER*	PERCENT	NUMBER*	PERCENT	
Midnight - 2:59 am	300	29.1	192	27.2	
3:00 am - 5:59 am	65	6.3	41	5.8	
6:00 am - 8:59 am	17	1.7	17	2.4	
9:00 am - 11:59 am	26	2.5	13	1.9	
Noon - 2:59 pm	51	4.9	40	5.7	
3:00 pm - 5:59 pm	111	10.8	82	11.6	
6:00 pm - 8:59 pm	185	17.9	133	18.8	
9:00 pm - 11:59 pm	277	26.8	188	26.6	
Total	1,032		706		

TABLE 5. ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS BY TIME OF DAY

*Does not include accidents in which time of day was not reported.

	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS							
MOST SEVERE INJURY	TWO-YEAR AVERAGE MAY 1980 - APRIL 1982	MAY 1982 - APRIL 1983	MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984	MAY 1984 - APRIL 1985	MAY 1985 - APRIL 1986			
Fatality	8	5	2	2	9			
Incapacitating								
Injury	96	75	72	75	61			
Non-Incapacitating								
Injury	208	153	143	143	116			
Possible Injury	62	57	52	43	52			
No Injury	658	527	463	424	414			
EPDO Accidents*	2,591	2,022	1,848	1,806	1,667			
Severity Index**	2.50	2.47	2.52	2.63	2.56			

TABLE 6. ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS CLASSIFIED BY MOST SEVERE INJURY

* "Equivalent Property-Damage-Only" Accidents. EPDO is equal to
9.5 times the number of fatal or incapacitating injury accidents plus
3.5 times the number of non-incapacitating or possible injury accidents plus the number of "no injury" accidents.

** Severity Index (SI) is calculated by dividing the number of EPDO accidents by the total number of accidents. As average accident severity increases, the SI increases. Additional data showing total injuries resulting from alcohol-related accidents during the six-year study period are presented in Table 7. When total fatalities and injuries for the two-year before period were compared to the four years during TAP, the result was a 35.8 percent decrease. This decrease was apparently a direct result of the decrease in accidents. Accident severity remained unchanged over the six-year period. The Severity Index (SI), as shown in Table 6, was 2.51 before TAP compared to 2.54 during TAP.

The enforcement actions resulting from the alcohol-related accidents are summarized in Table 8. The number and percentage of alcohol-related accidents that have resulted in citations for driving under the influence have increased each year while the number and percentage of public intoxication and "reckless driving-had been drinking" citations have decreased. Also, as shown in Table 9, there has been an increase in the number of BAC tests for drivers involved in alcohol-related accidents. While the percent of drivers tested having a BAC of 0.10 or above has increased, the average BAC has remained fairly constant.

About midway through the four-year TAP project, it became apparent that there was a lack of coordination among the police agency, the coroner's office, and the judicial officials that resulted in a failure to bring charges against alcohol-impaired drivers for offenses such as reckless homicide or manslaughter. Reasons for this lack of coordination and failure to charge and convict for the more serious offenses included incomplete BAC records from the coroner's office and a general reluctance by police officers and prosecutors to press the more serious charges. Because of the realization that improvements could be made, a recent goal of the comprehensive Traffic Alcohol Program was to achieve consistent prosecution of criminally negligent alcohol-impaired drivers. To achieve this goal, a was undertaken; 1) creation of an "accident three-step approach reconstruction team", 2) obtain BAC's in all fatal accidents through coordination between the Division of Police and the coroner, and 3) development of a working partnership between the Division of Police and the Fayette County Commonwealth Attorney's Office. Results of these recent efforts have been significant in terms of accident investigations that have resulted in prosecution. Over a two-year period beginning in November 1984, there have been six convictions for reckless homicide, three convictions for second degree manslaughter, one conviction for assault, one conviction for criminal facilitation to commit murder, and one conviction for murder. There are four other cases pending with charges varying from assault to murder.

As noted previously, the analysis of accident data was dependent upon information provided on the accident report prepared by the investigating officer. The data presented in Tables 6, 7, and 9 reflect summaries of the severity of accidents and the associated BAC's of drivers involved in accidents. Because of incomplete reporting and a failure to bring charges for the more serious alcohol-related offenses, the result was a lower reported number of alcohol-related fatalities in the beginning years of TAP. It was apparent that insufficient BAC data were available to confirm the magnitude of the alcohol problem in fatal accidents. In order to investigate this problem further, an additional analysis was made that

included separately compiled records from the cornor's reports. These data were obtained from the Lexington-Fayette Urban County Division of Police, who now routinely report BAC data for all fatal accidents. A summary of data from that analysis is presented in Table 10 for the years 1980 through nine months of 1986. Included are numbers of fatal accidents, numbers of fatalities, and BAC levels for fatally injured drivers or pedestrians, or other drivers in fatal accidents. BAC's for fatally injured passengers are not included. It may be noted there are differences between the number of alcohol-related fatalities in Table 7 and the number of fatalities with BAC's greater than 0.10 in Table 10. The reporting times were different in the two cases; calendar years were used in Table 10 while a "May-through-April" period was used in Tables 6 and 7. However, the differences are not completely explained by this variance in reporting times. Information available from the Division of Police indicated that differences may be attributed to supplemental information obtained from the coroner's report not being added to the initial accident report that is eventually entered into the computer accident file. It is clear from the data in Table 10 that a more complete reporting effort is now being made for fatal accidents as compared to the period 1980 through 1982. For the period of 1980 through 1982, only 22 percent of fatal accidents had a known BAC. This percentage increased dramatically to 80 percent for the 1984 through 1986 period.

A comparison of the age and sex of alcohol-involved drivers with all drivers involved in accidents is shown in Table 11. There was a higher percentage of alcohol-involved drivers compared to all drivers in the age categories from 20 to 34 years. In the other age categories, especially especially 65 years and over, the percentage of alcohol-involved drivers was below the corresponding percentage for all drivers involved in accidents. There was also a higher percentage of males involved in alcohol-related accidents compared to all accidents.

In addition to the traditional before-and-after analysis of accident data, trends over a period of time were investigated by means of time-series analysis. The relationship between number of accidents and time, in months, The purpose of the time-series analysis was to determine was analyzed. whether alcohol enforcement programs had a significant impact on alcoholrelated accidents. A time-series is defined as a sequence of data elements recorded over equally spaced time periods. Typical before-and-after studies of the effect of a new safety program may be invalidated by failure to detect and eliminate within-series relationships (autocorrelation) in the accident data. Examination of data over a period by time-series analysis often reveals within-series relationships existing between the data points. Frequently, this is the result of annual cycles or seasonality in accident data. Autocorrelation also may result from long-term trends such as population growth or decline or changes in vehicle-miles traveled.

Classical regression analysis is not applicable when the data are time dependent or correlated. A time-series regression approach can determine the dependence of each data point in a series with its own history and then determine the relationship between the independent variable input timeseries and the dependent variable output time-series. Using the time series method of intervention analysis, models of the following form were developed to determine if a change took place coincident with implementation of the TAP program. A typical transfer function may be of the form

≈≈₽¤¤¤≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈≈	NUMBER OF INJURIES OR FATALITIES						
MOST SEVERE INJURY	TWO-YEAR AVERAGE MAY 1980 - APRIL 1982	MAY 1982 - APRIL 1983	MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984	MAY 1984 - APRIL 1985	MAY 1985 - APRIL 1986		
Fatalities	8	6	2	2	11		
Incapaciting	134	97	0.0	75	07		
Injuries Non-Incapaciting	134	97	92	75	86		
Injuries	320	236	210	157	178		
Possible Injuries	110	89	89	63	75		
Total	572	428	393	297	350		

TABLE 7. NUMBER OF INJURIES AND FATALITIES RESULTING FROM ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS

the end party and a second second

TABLE 8. ENFORCEMENT ACTION IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS

ㅋㅋㅋ도 근데 프 드 바 드 밖 ㅠ ㅠ ㅋㅋ ㅋ 프 스 바 바 바			ENFORCEM	ENT ACTION		
	UND	IVING ER THE LUENCE		BLIC	RECKLESS DRIVING HAD BEEN DRINKING	
TIME PERIOD	NUMBER	PERCENT*	NUMBER	PERCENT*	NUMBER	PERCENT*
May 1980 - April 1981	84	8.2	303	29.1	21	2.0
May 1981 - April 1982	92	8.8	281	34.4	15	1.8
May 1982 - April 1983	108	13.2	192	23.5	8	1.0
May 1983 - April 1984	131	17.9	159	21.7	6	0.8
May 1984 - April 1985	270	39.3	48	7.0	3	0.4
May 1985 - April 1986	304	46.6	33	5.1	1	0.2

* Percent of all alcohol-related accidents.

,

TIME PERIOD	NUMBER OF BAC TESTS	PERCENT WITH BAC OF 0.10 OR ABOVE	AVERAGE BAC
 May 1980 - April 1981	57	84.2	.17
May 1981 - April 1982	72	94.4	.19
May 1982 - April 1983	70	88.6	.19
May 1983 - April 1984	121	91.0	.19
May 1984 - April 1985	173	96.6	.18
May 1985 - April 1986	168	94.6	• 1 9

TABLE 9. BAC OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS

Alter Market Market

~

TABLE 10. SUMMARY OF FATAL ACCIDENT DATA WITH SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FROM THE CORONER'S REPORT

			BAC*							
	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF	المتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية والمتعادية وا	ه سره ویوه بروی همه مدی سره بروی بروی بروی ا	e dise dise ditu ata dise das das me					
YEAR	FATALITIES	FATAL ACCIDENTS	.00049	.05099	>.10	UNKNOWN				
1000	ی، ها که ها که خو /. ()	ىنىيى ھىي ھىي جىي ھىي ھىي ھىي ھي جي		د خلص محدر محدر عدر المرا الحري الحري العدر بعد العرب المرا ال 1						
1980	48	43	1	L	L	40				
1981	21	20	3	2	2	13				
1982	37	32	3	1	7	21				
1983	22	21	1	2	6	12				
1984	27	22	6	3	7	6				
1985	35	31	8	1	19	3				
1986**	31	28	7	3	11	7				
ي وي هي وي وي وي وي وي وي وي وي		الله عنه الله عنه الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال		و النان الحد شد: عنه هذه عنه الحد عنه الحد عنه الحد						

* BAC's are for fatally injured drivers or pedestrians, or other drivers in fatal accidents. (BAC's for fatally injured passengers are not included.) ** 9 months of the year

The time-series analysis was first performed for (with the dependent variable being alcohol-related accident data during TAP hours) the period of May 1980 through April 1986, a total of 72 months. The result was the following equation:

$$Y_{t} = -14.0X_{t} + A_{t}$$

This equation reflects a decrease in alcohol-related accidents of 14.0 accidents per month over the four-year period of TAP enforcement. This equation characterizes the TAP enforcement as being operated at the same level for approximately four years beginning in May 1982. With the equation shown, the result would be a reduction of 672 alcohol-related accidents over the four-year period, or a reduction of 36.4 percent during TAP hours.

A similar analysis was performed for alcohol-related accidents during non-TAP hours over the six-year period. The result was the following equation:

$$Y_{t} = -11.9X_{t} + A_{t}$$

This equation reflects a decrease of 571 accidents or a 25.0-percent reduction of alcohol-related accidents during non-TAP hours. With data available for both TAP hours and non-TAP hours, it may be shown that the reduction in alcohol-related accidents during all hours of the day would be 30.1 percent.

Overall, results from the time-series analysis were very similar to results obtained from the before-and-after analysis. This tends to validate the before-and-after analysis as being relatively free of within-series relationships that may prevent conclusive results from being obtained. For example, results from the analysis of alcohol-related accidents during TAP hours revealed reductions of 36.4 percent from the time-series analysis and 37.3 percent from the before-and-after analysis.

ARREST AND ADJUDICATION

Results from the analysis of arrest and adjudication data were based on a 25-percent sample for the five-year period between May 1, 1981, and April 30, 1986. A sample of 25 percent is sufficient to insure that the confidence level or reliability is 95 percent and that the error range of the observed values is between two and three percent. Total DUI arrests by month are presented in Table 12. The impact of TAP on the number of DUI arrests occurred immediately after the program began on May 1, 1982, and has continued. A large increase in the total number of DUI arrests is noted when comparing the year before (929 arrests) with the three years during TAP

	_	PERCENT	
VARIABLE	CATEGORY	ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVER*	ALL DRIVERS**
Age	16-19	12.4	14.5
	20-24	25.9	18.6
	25-34	31.9	26.6
	35-44	13.6	16.0
	4554	8.6	9.5
	55-64	5.6	7.6
	65 and Over	2.1	7.2
Sex	Male	82.8	64.4
	Female	17.2	35.6

TABLE 11. COMPARISON OF AGE AND SEX OF ALCOHOL-RELATED DRIVERS WITH ALL DRIVERS

* Alcohol-involved drivers in Lexington from May 1980 through April 1986.

** All drivers involved in accidents in Kentucky in 1984 and 1985.

	+	1981 - L 1982		1982 - L 1983		1983 - L 1984		1984 - L 1985		1985 - L 1986
MONTH	NUMBER	PERCENT								
 Мау	71	7.6	406	9.2	336	8.3	259	10.0	187	10.2
June	51	5.5	346	7.8	281	6.9	250	9.7	179	9.8
July	45	4.8	352	7.9	338	8.4	212	8.2	153	8.4
August	62	6.7	331	7.5	367	9.1	223	8.6	156	8.6
September	66	7.1	393	8.9	461	11.4	267	10.3	191	10.5
October	56	6.0	519	11.7	377	9.3	256	9.9	181	9.9
November	67	7.2	317	7.2	330	8.2	212	8.2	179	9.8
December	60	6.5	318	7.2	320	7.9	200	7.7	129	7.1
January	87	9.4	320	7.2	265	6.6	132	5.1	105	5.8
February	116	12.5	320	7.2	309	7.6	158	6.1	112	6.1
March	119	12.8	376	8.5	325	8.0	239	9.3	126	6.9
April	129	13.9	429	9.7	337	8.3	176	6.8	126	6.9
Total	929		4,427		4,046		2,584		1,824	

TABLE 12. DUI ARRESTS BY MONTH

(4,427 arrests in the first year, 4,046 arrests in the second, 2,584 in the third, and 1,824 in the fourth). From the data presented in Table 12, it may be noted that there was a substantial reduction in arrests during the third year compared to the first and second years. This decreasing trend continued during the fourth year. This reduction in DUI arrests could be related to the reduction in hours of TAP enforcement during the third and fourth years but would also indicate that there has been a reduction in the number of alcohol-impaired drivers on the highway. The number of arrests during the fourth year was still double the number recorded for the year before TAP. The monthly distribution shows the highest number of DUI arrests was in April before TAP. The highest number of arrests has been in either October or September during the four years of TAP. During the past two years, May had the second highest number.

Additional time distributions are shown for day of week and time of day in Tables 13 and 14, respectively. Most arrests were made on Saturdays before and during TAP. Other days having high percentages were Fridays and Sundays. The lowest percentage of arrests occurred on Monday. Even though there were no liquor sales on Sunday, the high percentages of arrests on that day were during the first few hours after midnight. This was generally confirmed by data presented in Table 14. The period between midnight and 2:59 a.m. had by far the highest percentage of DUI arrests for the five years of analysis. As shown in Table 5, this period also had the highest percentage of alcohol-related accidents. The percentage of arrests during this period increased substantially after TAP.

The summary of sampled data in Table 15 shows the number of DUI arrests during TAP hours increased from 141 before TAP to 939 during the first year of TAP. This number then decreased slightly to 811 during the second year and decreased substantially to 446 during the third year of TAP. The decreasing trend also continued in the fourth year of TAP with 330 arrests during TAP hours. The percent of all DUI arrests during TAP hours and the percent of arrests during TAP hours made by non-TAP officers (officers not participating in the DUI enforcement program) are shown in Table 16. In the first and second year of TAP, about 80 percent of the arrests were made during TAP hours but this percentage decreased to 67 percent in the third In the fourth year, the percentage of arrests during TAP hours year. increased slightly to 70 percent. The percentage of arrests during TAP hours by non-TAP officers has increased each year (from 29 percent during the first year of TAP to 60 percent during the fourth year). This increase would be related to the reduction in the number of hours of TAP enforcement during the third and fourth years of the program compared to the original hours of enforcement that was used in the analysis as TAP hours. Another factor would be the increased awareness of all police officers to the alcohol-impaired driver that would result in more arrests by non-TAP officers. Experience gained by police officers during TAP enforcement would also be a factor during their duty time as non-TAP officers. It should be noted that the shift to increased percentages of arrests by non-TAP officers is very favorable and is consistent with the goal of a local agency enforcement program with federal funding.

One of the first items of concern to the police officer and, later, the judicial system is whether the DUI offender has a valid driver's license.

		MADDIO DI DAI		
별 프 프 로 또 쓴 쓴 걸 걸 걸 걸 ;;				
		APRIL 1982		APRIL 1986
DAY OF WEEK	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Sunday	34	14.4	533	16.5
•	• ·		•	
Monday	20	8.5	136	4.2
Tuesday	36	15.3	315	9.7
Wednesday	29	12.3	375	11.6
Thursday	30	12.7	456	14.1
Friday	40	16.9	544	16.8
Saturday	47	19.9	875	27.1
Total	236		3,234	
	ود ود بردون ور ور ور ور ور ور ور		هي جو هي جو جي جي جي جي جو جو جي جي جي جي	

TABLE 13. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY DAY OF WEEK

TABLE 14. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY TIME OF DAY

	H¥ 149 9	8 19 19 19 19 19 19			- APRIL 1982	MAY 1982 -	- APRIL 1986
TIME OF	DA	ΑY		NUMBER*	PERCENT	NUMBER*	PERCENT
Midnight		2:59	am	 98	43.2	1,948	61.6
3:00 am	kuta	5:59	am	25	11.0	190	6.0
6:00 am		8:59	am	6	2.6	14	0.6
9:00 am	_	11:59	am	1	0.4	54	1.7
Noon	-01-	2:59	pm	16	7.1	70	2.2
3:00 pm	-	5:59	pm	8	3.5	72	2.3
6:00 pm	-	8:59	- pm	19	8.4	112	3.5
9:00 pm		11:59	pm	54	23.8	699	22.1
Total	1941 AND 10	10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	100 mail 1100 m	227	N -1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 1119 - 111	3,164	12 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 122

*Does not include arrests in which hour was not reported.

B 코 백 북 월 글 물 프 프 프 프 프 프 프 프	*********	*********			***======	***=====***			◼₩₩═ॾॼ⊴⋿₩	*====
	MAY	1981 -	MAY	1982 -		1983 -	MAY	1984 -	MAY	1985 -
	APRI	L 1982 ·	APRI	L 1983	APRI	L 1984	APRI	L 1985	APRI	L 1986
MONTH	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Мау	12	8.5	85	9.1	72	8.9	39	8.7	36	10.9
June	7	4.9	80	8.5	56	6.9	44	9.9	34	10.3
July	7	5.0	79	8.4	74	9.1	40	9.0	26	7.9
August	7	5.0	75	8.0	83	10.2	42	9.4	29	8.8
September	8	5.7	82	8.7	89	11.0	35	7.9	29	8.8
October	6	4.2	10 9	11.6	68	8.4	47	10.5	36	10.9
November	. 9	6.4	66	7.0	71	8.8	35	7.8	35	10.6
December	9	6.4	67	7.2	61	7.5	39	8.7	13	3.9
January	12	8.5	61	6.5	49	6.0	23	5.2	20	6.0
February	19	13.5	65	6.9	62	7.7	29	6.5	25	7.6
March	24	17.0	80	8.5	65	8.0	41	9.2	23	7.0
April	21	14.9	90	9.6	61	7.5	32	7.2	24	7.3
Total	141		939		811		446		330	

TABLE 15. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS DURING TAP HOURS BY MONTH

1999 - Anna Anna 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 199

TABLE 16. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS DURING TAP HOURS

	;≠≈====;≈==∞≈≈≈≈≈≈≈=≈=≈==	
TIME PERIOD	PERCENT OF ALL DUI ARRESTS DURING TAP HOURS	PERCENT OF ARRESTS DURING TAP HOURS BY NON-TAP OFFICERS
May 1981 - April 19	182 59.0	DNA
May 1982 - April 19	83 80.3	28.9
May 1983 - April 19	84 78.2	35.7
May 1984 - April 19	85 66.6	46.5
May 1985 - April 19	86 70.4	60.0

a

In approximately 80 percent of the arrests during the year before TAP and approximately 80 to 90 percent during the four years of TAP, the person arrested for DUI had a valid license. In 10.4 percent of the DUI arrests before TAP, the person arrested either had no license or the license was suspended or revoked. This compares with 8.6 percent of the DUI offenders in the first year of TAP, 7.6 percent in the second year, 3.9 percent in the third year, and 4.3 percent in the fourth year. A summary of DUI arrests by license status for all years of analysis is presented in Table 17.

With the overflow of DUI arrests that had to be processed through the judicial system as a result of TAP, considerable concern was expressed about the potential delays between arrest and adjudication. Data presented in Table 18 show the number of days between arrest and adjudication during the first two years of TAP was very similar to before TAP. However, this time period increased the third year of TAP. During the fourth year of TAP, there was a shift in the adjudication processing time with lower percentages in the 21- to 40-day range and higher percentages in the ranges of 41 to 50 days and over 50 days. The adjudication processing period over 50 days was dominant during the last two years of TAP. For the first three years of analysis, about 80 percent of the cases were brought before the court within 40 days after arrest; this percentage decreased to 56 percent in the third year and became 41 percent during the fourth year of TAP. This increase could be related to Kentucky's revised DUI law that became effective in July 1984. Increased penalties associated with the revised DUI law have resulted in delayed processing due to additional appearances in court.

The outcome of the adjudication process is a critical element to any alcohol enforcement program. This process serves as the primary means for the judicial system to have an opportunity to rehabilitate and/or deter the offender. Table 19 is a summary of the types of adjudication resulting from DUI arrests. Education is offered in the form of the Alcohol Driver Education (ADE) School. Penalties are generally in the form of fines and jail sentences. Over 90 percent of the arrests resulted in fines for the The distribution of fines is given in Table 20. There was a offender. large increase in the percentage of fines over \$300 during the third year of TAP and another increase during the fourth year. This percentage has increased from 2.5 percent before TAP to 90.9 percent the fourth year of This apparently is related to revisions in the DUI law that became TAP. effective in July 1984. The minimum fine for first offense was increased from \$100 to \$200 and a service fee of \$150 was added to each offender's for increased enforcement, upgrading jails, license processing, fine education and treatment. Cases dismissed or amended were approximately 15 percent before TAP, 11 percent during the first year of TAP, 10 percent during the second year, and 8 percent during the third and fourth years (Table 19). It should be noted that a general policy during the four years of TAP has been to amend charges for those arrested with BAC's less that 0.10 to a reduced charge such as reckless driving or other related traffic offense.

It may be beneficial to assess the magnitude of the arrest and adjudication statistics for the TAP study area by comparing with statewide and national data (10). The DUI arrest rate per licensed driver (percent) in Fayette County was 0.7 during the year before TAP and 3.3, 3.1, 1.9, and

	*********				*****	********		*********	***======	
	MAY 1 APRIL			1982 - L 1983		1983 - L 1984		1984 - L 1985		1985 - L 1986
LICENSE	AFRIL	1902	AF K I		AF K 1	L 1704	AF K 1		AFK1	L 1900
STATUS	NUMBER*	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
In Force	160	79.2	767	79.6	652	82.2	594	91.8	406	87.9
Expired	4	2.0	13	1.4	8	1.0	3	0.5	2	0.4
On Probation	0	0.0	6	0.6	5	0.6	1	0.2	1	0.2
Suspended/Revoked	16	7.9	64	6.6	59	7.5	25	3.9	19	4.1
Learner	0	0.0	7	0.7	4	0.5	3	0.5	5	1.1
Not KY Driver	17	8.4	88	9.1	64	8.1	21	3.3	28	6.1
No License	5	2.5	19	2.0	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.2

- 98

TABLE 17. SAMPLE OF DUI DRIVERS BY LICENSE STATUS

*Does not include arrests in which license status was not reported.

TABLE 18.	NUMBER O	7 DAYS	BETWEEN	DUI	ARREST	AND	ADJUDICATION

,

	د و وی و و و و و و و							*******		
		1981 - L 1982		1982 - L 1983		1983 - L 1984		1984 - L 1985		1985 - L 1986
DAYS	NUMBER*	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Zero	5	2.1	30	2.7	24	2.4	4	0.6	3	0.6
1 - 10	24	10.2	89	8.0	82	8.2	40	6.1	22	4.8
11 - 20	40	16.9	172	15.5	91	9.1	63	9.7	45	9.7
21 - 30	79	33.5	411	37.0	317	31.6	158	24.2	65	14.1
31 - 40	39	16.5	237	21.4	293	29.2	98	15.0	52	11.3
41 - 50	13	5.5	68	6.1	63	6.3	39	6.0	48	10.4
Over 50	36	15.3	103	9.3	133	13.3	250	38.3	227	49.1

*Does not include arrests in which days between arrest and adjudication were not known.

₩₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽		1981 - L 1982		1982 - L 1983		1983 - L 1984		1984 - L 1985		1985 - L 1986
ADJUDICATION	NUMBER	PERCENT*	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Dismissed	 6	2.5	19	1.7	18	1.8	35	5.4	22	4.8
Amended	29	12.3	103	9.2	85	8.4	19	2.9	14	3.0
Fine	221	93.6	1,060	95.2	946	93.8	596	91.4	422	91.3
ADE School**	159	67.4	692	62.1	505	50.0	***	***	***	***
Jai1	32	13.6	159	14.3	216	21.4	126	19.3	73	15.8
Warrant	2	0.8	23	2.1	41	4.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Probated	0	0.0	1	0.1	17	1.7	2	0.3	0	0.0
Total Sampled Arrests	236		1,114		1,009		652		462	

TABLE 19. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY TYPE OF ADJUDICATION

* Percentages were determined by dividing adjudication type by the total sampled arrests for each year.
** Alcohol Driver Education School - Referral

*** Information not available.

	APRI	1981 -		1982 - L 1983		1983 - L 1984		1984 - L 1985		1985 - L 1986
FINE	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Less than \$100	18	7.6	60	5.4	63	6.2	49	7.5	34	7.4
\$100 - \$150	68	28.8	196	17.6	10 9	10.8	24	3.7	7	1.5
\$151 - \$200	65	27.6	376	33.8	332	32.9	55	8.4	0	0.0
\$201 - \$300	79	33.5	448	40.2	456	45.2	71	10.9	1	0.2
Over \$300	6	2.5	34	3.0	49	4.9	453	69.5	420	90.9

TABLE 20. DISTRIBUTION OF FINES FOR DUI OFFENSE (SAMPLE DATA SET)

1.3 during the four years of TAP. In comparison, the rate in Kentucky was 1.8 in both 1979 and 1980 and the national rate averaged 1.0 for the period of 1978 through 1980. One of the most revealing statistics associated with the handling of drunk-driving cases in Fayette County is the conviction rate. Sampled data presented in Table 19 show the DUI conviction rate (as charged) is in the range of 90 percent. Charges were dismissed in only 2.9 percent of the DUI cases. It appears the conviction rate is significantly higher than either the state or national averages. For all of Kentucky, the conviction rate was 52 percent in 1980. The national average for 1978 through 1980 was 56 percent (10).

One of the objectives of the Traffic Alcohol Program was to reduce the blood-alcohol level (BAC) of those arrested for DUI. Data in Table 21 show that BAC levels decreased during the first year of TAP and then increased slightly during the last three years. The percentage arrested having a BAC level of 0.16 or more (excluding those drivers who refused a test) was 27.9 percent before TAP, 17.8 percent during the first year of TAP, 26.4 percent during the second year, 24.5 during the third year, and 22.5 during the fourth year. Those arrested having BAC levels between 0.10 and 0.15 increased from 26.4 before TAP to 37.7, 36.4, 32.6, and 35.3 percent during the four years of TAP, respectively. The average BAC level dropped from 0.17 the year before TAP to 0.15 the first year of TAP and increased to 0.16 during the second, third, and fourth years of TAP.

Another important consideration when attempting to deal with the drunkdriving problem is the driving record of those arrested for DUI, specifically the number or percent of drivers arrested for DUI who have a previous DUI conviction on their driving record. Table 22 is a listing of the percentage of drivers arrested for DUI who had previous DUI convictions on their driving record during a five-year period. The percentage of drivers arrested who had a previous DUI arrest was 18 percent before TAP and the first year of TAP; however, it increased to 23 percent during the second year of TAP and 28 percent the third year of TAP. During the fourth year of TAP, there was a decrease to 23 percent for those having a previous DUI conviction. With the new DUI law requiring jail sentences for repeat offenders, a check was made to determine if the adjudication records reflected this outcome in all cases. Results from the sampled data for May 1985 through April 1986 indicate that 80 percent of those arrested having a previous DUI conviction did receive a jail sentence.

Information related to the arrested DUI drivers' age, race, and sex is presented in Tables 23 and 24. About two-thirds of the drivers were between the ages of 21 and 39. A difference between the year before and the four years during TAP was the higher percentage in the 21-to-24 and 30-to-39 age categories. During the past three years, the age group having the highest percentage of drivers arrested for DUI was 30 to 39 years old. The cumulative percent shows that approximately one-half of the drivers were under 30 years of age. The summary of age and sex of the DUI driver shows that about 80 percent were white males. White females made up the next largest group, and this percentage increased from 7.3 percent before TAP to 19.7 percent the third year of TAP, and then decreased to 12.5 percent during the fourth year of TAP.

	MAY 190	81 - APR	IL 1982	MAY 198	32 - APR	IL 1983			
BAC (PERCENT)									
Zero	4	18	20	13	1 2	1 3			
0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15	2	0.9	3.0	22	2.0	3.5			
0.05 - 0.09	5	2.3	5.5	80	7.3	11.4			
0.10 - 0.15	58	26.4	34.3	413	37.7	52.2			
0.16 - 0.19						82.2			
0.20 - 0.24	43	19.6	93.5	149	13.6	96.9			
0.25 - 0.29 0.30 - 0.34	9	4.1	98.0	28	2.6	99.7			
0.30 - 0.34	3	1.4	99.5	2	0.2	99.9			
0.35 - 0.39	1	0.4	100.0	1	0.1	100.0			
0.40 And Up Refused	0	0.0	100.0	0	0.0	100.0			
		0 (DNIA	83	7.6	DNA			
Refused							MAY 198	5 - APR	IL 1980
BAC	MAY 198	33 - APR	IL 1984	MAY 198	34 - APR	IL 1985			
BAC (PERCENT)	MAY 198	33 - APR * PERCEN	IL 1984 T CUM**	MAY 198 NUMBER*	34 - APR PERCEN	T CUM**	NUMBER*	PERCEN	T CUM*
BAC (PERCENT)	MAY 198	33 - APR * PERCEN	IL 1984 T CUM**	MAY 198 NUMBER*	34 - APR PERCEN	T CUM**	NUMBER*	PERCEN	T CUM**
BAC (PERCENT)	MAY 198	33 - APR * PERCEN	IL 1984 T CUM**	MAY 198 NUMBER*	34 - APR PERCEN	T CUM**	NUMBER*	PERCEN	T CUM*
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09	MAY 198 	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3	IL 1985 T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3	NUMBER* 6 5 9	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0	T CUM** 1.5 2.8 5.1
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346	 33 - APR PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6	IL 1985 T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3	T CUM** 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19	MAY 198 NUMBER; 7 6 30 346 261	 33 - APR PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8	IL 1985 T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0	T CUM** 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19 0.20 - 0.24	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346 261 186	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 19.6	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6 94.7	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168 95	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8 15.7	T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5 93.0	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125 63	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0 14.1	T CUM*: 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5 93.6
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19 0.20 - 0.24 0.25 - 0.29	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346 261 186 40	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 19.6 4.2	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6 94.7 99.2	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168 95 29	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8 15.7 4.8	T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5 93.0 98.3	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125 63 21	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0 14.1 4.7	T CUM*: 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5 93.6 99.0
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19 0.20 - 0.24 0.25 - 0.29 0.30 - 0.34	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346 261 186 40 5	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 19.6 4.2 0.5	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6 94.7 99.2 99.8	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168 95 29 8	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8 15.7 4.8 1.3	T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5 93.0 98.3 99.8	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125 63 21 2	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0 14.1 4.7 0.5	T CUM** 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5 93.6 99.0 99.5
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19 0.20 - 0.24 0.25 - 0.29 0.30 - 0.34 0.35 - 0.39	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346 261 186 40 5 2	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 19.6 4.2 0.5 0.2	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6 94.7 99.2 99.8 100.0	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168 95 29 8 1	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8 15.7 4.8 1.3 0.2	T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5 93.0 98.3 99.8 100.0	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125 63 21 2 2 0	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0 14.1 4.7 0.5 0.0	T CUM** 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5 93.6 99.0 99.5 99.5
BAC (PERCENT) Zero 0.01 - 0.04 0.05 - 0.09 0.10 - 0.15 0.16 - 0.19 0.20 - 0.24 0.25 - 0.29 0.30 - 0.34	MAY 198 NUMBER 7 6 30 346 261 186 40 5 2 2 0	33 - APR * PERCEN 0.7 0.6 3.2 36.4 27.5 19.6 4.2 0.5 0.2	IL 1984 T CUM** 0.8 1.5 4.5 44.1 73.6 94.7 99.2 99.8 100.0 100.0	MAY 198 NUMBER 8 11 26 197 168 95 29 8 1 0	34 - APR PERCEN 1.3 1.8 4.3 32.6 27.8 15.7 4.8 1.3 0.2 0.0	T CUM** 1.5 3.5 8.3 44.6 75.5 93.0 98.3 99.8 100.0	NUMBER* 6 5 9 158 125 63 21 2 2 0	PERCEN 1.3 1.1 2.0 35.3 28.0 14.1 4.7 0.5 0.0 0.5	T CUM** 1.5 2.8 5.1 45.5 77.5 93.6 99.0 99.5 99.5 100.0

TABLE 21. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY BLOOD ALCOHOL CONTENT 표 그는 물을 통 금 해 해 해 해 하는 것은 것은 것을 하는 것을 하는 것 같은 것을 하는 것을 수 있다.

* Does not include arrests in which BAC was not reported.
 ** Cumulative percent that does not include arrests for which BAC was refused or not reported.

TABLE	22.	SUMMARY	OF	PRIOR	DUI	CONVICTIONS

ਙਖ਼ਙਫ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਫ਼ਖ਼ਖ਼ਫ਼							
	PERCENT WITH PREVIOUS						
TIME PERIOD	DUI CONVICTION						
میں اب نوا ی سے سے کے کہ اور سے سے کہ اور نو نو سے میں جب اور							
May 1981 - April 1982	18.4						
May 1982 - April 1983	18.3						
May 1983 - April 1984	23.3						
May 1984 - April 1985	27.8						
May 1985 - April 1986	22.6						

TABLE 23. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY DRIVER'S AGE

	MAY 1981	- APRIL	1982	MAY 1982 - APRI	L 1983
AGE (YEARS)	NUMBER*	PERCENT	CUM**	NUMBER* PERCEN	T CUM**
16 - 20	30	12.7	12.7	156 14.0	14.1
21 - 24	46	19.5	32.2	283 25.5	39.6
25 - 29	51	21.6	53.8	192 17.3	56.9
30 - 39	47	19.9	73.7	259 23.3	80.2
40 - 49	31	13.1	86.8	123 11.1	91.3
50 - 59	24	10.2	97.0	74 6.7	98.0
Over 60	7	3.0	100.0	23 2.1	100.0

MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984		MAY 1984 - APRIL 1985			MAY 1985 - APRIL 1986			
NUMBER*	PERCENT	CUM**	NUMBER*	PERCENT		NUMBER*	PERCENT	CUM**
123	12.2	12.2	45	6.9	6.9	40	8.7	8.7
234	23.3	35.5	157	24.1	31.0	98	21.4	30.1
206	20.5	56.0	118	18.2	49.2	98	21.4	51.5
253	25.2	81.2	184	28.3	77.5	113	24.6	76.1
112	11.1	92.3	85	13.1	90.6	68	14.8	90.9
51	5.1	97.4	44	6.8	97.4	26	5.6	96.5
26	2.6	100.0	17	2.6	100.0	16	3.5	100.0
	NUMBER* 123 234 206 253 112 51	NUMBER* PERCENT 123 12.2 234 23.3 206 20.5 253 25.2 112 11.1 51 5.1	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** 123 12.2 12.2 234 23.3 35.5 206 20.5 56.0 253 25.2 81.2 112 11.1 92.3 51 5.1 97.4	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* 123 12.2 12.2 45 234 23.3 35.5 157 206 20.5 56.0 118 253 25.2 81.2 184 112 11.1 92.3 85 51 5.1 97.4 44	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* PERCENT 123 12.2 12.2 45 6.9 234 23.3 35.5 157 24.1 206 20.5 56.0 118 18.2 253 25.2 81.2 184 28.3 112 11.1 92.3 85 13.1 51 5.1 97.4 44 6.8	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** 123 12.2 12.2 45 6.9 6.9 234 23.3 35.5 157 24.1 31.0 206 20.5 56.0 118 18.2 49.2 253 25.2 81.2 184 28.3 77.5 112 11.1 92.3 85 13.1 90.6 51 5.1 97.4 44 6.8 97.4	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* 123 12.2 12.2 45 6.9 6.9 40 234 23.3 35.5 157 24.1 31.0 98 206 20.5 56.0 118 18.2 49.2 98 253 25.2 81.2 184 28.3 77.5 113 112 11.1 92.3 85 13.1 90.6 68 51 5.1 97.4 44 6.8 97.4 26	NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* PERCENT CUM** NUMBER* PERCENT 123 12.2 12.2 45 6.9 6.9 40 8.7 234 23.3 35.5 157 24.1 31.0 98 21.4 206 20.5 56.0 118 18.2 49.2 98 21.4 253 25.2 81.2 184 28.3 77.5 113 24.6 112 11.1 92.3 85 13.1 90.6 68 14.8 51 5.1 97.4 44 6.8 97.4 26 5.6

 $(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}, \gamma_{$

*Does not include arrests in which the driver's age was not reported. **Cumulative percent.

.

MAY 1981 - APRIL 1982						MAY 1982	- APRIL	1983
	WHI	WHITE NON-WHITE			 W		NON-WHITE	
SEX	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Male	203	87.1	12	5.2	902	81.6	49	4.4
Female	17	7.3	1	0.4	151	13.6	4	0.4

TABLE 24. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY DRIVER'S RACE AND SEX

	MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984				MAY 1984 - APRIL 1985					
	WHI	[TE	NON-WHITE		WH	ITE	NON-WHITE			
SEX	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
Male	800	80.6	64	6.4	470	73.6	41	6.4		
Female	127	12.8	2	0.2	126	19.7	2	0.3		

MAY 1985 - APRIL 1986

	WHI	LTE	NON-	VHITE	
SEX	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
Male	370	82.0	24	5.3	
Female	56	12.5	1	0.2	

.

The percentage of DUI drivers that were male (86 percent) was much higher than the percentage of male drivers in the general driving population (56 percent) (11) but was close to the percentage of males involved in alcohol-related accidents (83 percent). The age distribution of DUI drivers showed a higher percentage of drivers under 25 years of age (approximately 35 percent) compared to the general driving population (24 percent) and a much lower percentage of drivers 50 years or older (approximately 9 percent) than the general driving population (28 percent) (11). The percentages of drivers between 25 and 49 years of age were similar.

The summary of DUI arrests by location of residence is presented in Table 25. The data show there was an increase in the percentage of drivers arrested for DUI whose residence was outside Fayette County the first and second years of TAP, but this percentage during the third year of TAP was very similar to that before TAP. The percentage of drivers with residences outside Fayette County increased again in the fourth year of TAP to a level near that during the first and second years of TAP.

COST EFFECTIVENESS

A summary of costs and benefits associated with the Traffic Alcohol Program in Lexington-Fayette County is presented in Table 26. Primary cost components included in the analysis were 1) police enforcement, administrative, and support costs; 2) jail costs; 3) DUI court costs; and 4) court costs for other violations and arrests made by TAP officers. Jail costs were based on an average of \$25.00 per day per prisoner. Estimates of numbers of days served were made from the 25-percent sample of arrest and adjudication data obtained from the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Benefits and income were derived from the following sources: reduction in accident costs, court costs, service fees, and fines from DUI convictions and other violations. A commonly used measure of the benefits of a highway safety program is an estimate of accident costs that will not be incurred as a result of reduced accidents. Using accident data as previously discussed and accident costs reported by the National Safety Council (12), savings resulting from reduced accidents costs were determined. Income in the form of DUI fines was determined from the sample of arrest and adjudication data. Additional income was derived from fines resulting from other types of arrests and citations issued by TAP officers. It was noted that TAP's impact on crimes, other than DUI offenses, was major and resulted in a decrease in other offenses during the hours of TAP enforcement.

Results of the cost-effectiveness analysis as presented in Table 26 show that the Traffic Alcohol Program had a benefit-cost ratio of 1.20 when only direct income from fines and court costs was used. This reflects income of \$3,923,286 and costs of \$3,270,976. The benefit-cost ratio increased to 3.81 when the reduction in accident costs was included. Reduced accident costs totaled \$8,535,860; therefore when this was combined with direct income from fines and court costs, the total benefits and income were \$12,457,146.

An alternative approach to determining the costs associated with accidents has been developed by the Granville Corporation under contract

	MAY 1981 - April 1982		MAY 1982 - APRIL 1983		MAY 1983 - APRIL 1984		MAY 1984 - APRIL 1985		MAY 1985 - APRIL 1986	
LOCATION	NUMBER*	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
Fayette County	178	78.8	774	72.0	684	68.4	508	77.9	325	70.5
Outside Fayette County	48	21.2	301	28.0	316	31.6	144	22.1	136	29.5
Within Kentucky	40	17.7	232	21.6	242	24.2	112	17.2	102	22.1
Outside of Kentucky	8	3.5	69	6.4	74	7.4	32	4.0	34	7.4

į

TABLE 25. SAMPLE DUI ARRESTS BY LOCATION OF RESIDENCE

Ì

*Does not include arrests in which location of residence was not reported.

TABLE 26. SUMMARY OF COSTS AND BENEFITS

100 000 00 A			
Α.	C05	515	
	1.	Police Enforcement, Administrative and Support Costs	\$1,553,701
	2.	Jail Costs	1,072,650
	3.	DUI Court Costs	389,743
	4.	Court Costs - Other Violations and Arrests	254,882
	5.	Total	3,270,976
в.	BEN	EFITS AND INCOME	
	1.	Reduced Accident Costs	8,535,860
	2.	DUI Fines (Including Court Costs and Service Fees)	3,338,776
	3.	Other Traffic Violations and Public	
		Intoxication Arrests (Including Court Costs)	584,510
	4.	Total	12,457,146
с.	BEN	EFIT - COST RATIO*	3.81
D.	BEN	EFIT - COST RATIO**	11.67
E.	DIR	ECT INCOME/COSTS	1.20
فند فلكة خليد	1 and 12 and 13 and 13		الله الله الله الله الله الله عنه منه الله الله جية الله جين الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل

- * Benefits from Reduced Accident Costs based on National Safety Council costs (Reference 12).
- ** Benefits from Reduced Accident Costs based on cost data presented in report entitled "Alternative Approaches to Accident Cost Concepts," FHWA Contract DTFH61-82-C-00042 (Reference 13).

with the Federal Highway Administration (13), and it may be useful to compare results of the new approach with results obtained when using National Safety Council accident costs (12). As shown in Table 26, the benefit-cost ratio calculated using National Safety Council accident costs was 3.81. When using the alternative accident cost concept, the benefitcost ratio increased to 11.67. The primary difference between the two accident cost concepts is a much higher cost for severe injuries and fatalities when using the approach reported by the Granville Corporation.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

An impact evaluation of the Traffic Alcohol Program in Lexington-Fayette County was performed. The following types of data were collected and analyzed: accident, arrest and adjudication, and cost effectiveness.

Table 27 is a summary of major findings of the evaluation. The findings are shown in terms of various criteria of success for the types of data collected. The study area experienced significant reductions (at the 95-percent confidence level) in alcohol-related accidents when comparing two years before with four years during TAP. Results indicate a 37.3-percent reduction during TAP hours of enforcement and a 30.3 percent reduction during all hours of the day.

Results from the time-series analysis of alcohol-related accident data showed a decrease in accidents of 36.4 percent during TAP hours of enforcement. The number of alcohol-related accidents has continued to decrease each of the four years of TAP.

DUI arrest and adjudication is another important measure of a Traffic Alcohol Program's success. Also presented in Table 27 is a comparison of several measures of effectiveness based on arrest and adjudication data. The increase in average number of DUI arrests during the four years of TAP as compared to the year before TAP was approximately 250 percent. However, the number of arrests decreased substantially the third and fourth years compared to the first two years. The finding that, while DUI arrests have decreased in the third and fourth years of TAP, the number of alcoholrelated accidents have also continued to decrease is of particular importance. This finding indicates that TAP has reduced the number of alcohol-impaired drivers on the highway such that the number of alcoholrelated accidents and arrests have both decreased. The DUI conviction rate was about 90 percent.

Another useful measure of an alcohol enforcement program's impact is the BAC levels of those arrested for DUI. It was anticipated that BAC's should decrease with increased enforcement. The BAC's before and during TAP presented in Table 27 show, however, only a slight decrease during TAP.

A basic measure of any program's success in terms of its probability of continuance is the cost effectiveness. A benefit-cost ratio of 1.20 was calculated using only direct income as benefits. When accident savings were included, the benefit-cost ratio increased to 3.81 and 11.67 using two sources for the costs of accidents. It should be noted that Federal funding of TAP was discontinued as of October 31, 1986, and since then there has

TABLE 27. SUMMARY OF VARIOUS CRITERIA OF SUCCESS	
CRITERIA	
Percent reduction in alcohol-related accidents (all hours)	30.3
Percent reduction in alcohol-related accidents during TAP hours	37.3
Percent reduction in alcohol-related accidents during TAP hours (Time-Series Analysis)	36.4
Percent reduction in alcohol-related fatal or injury accidents	29.1
Percent increase in DUI arrests	247
DUI conviction rate (percent)	90
Average BAC before TAP (DUI arrests)	.172
Average BAC during TAP (DUI arrests)	.159
Benefit-cost ratio of program*	3.81
Benefit-cost ratio of program**	11.67

* Benefits based on National Safety Council costs (Reference 12).

** Benefits based on cost data presented in report titled "Alternative Approaches to Accident Cost Concepts," FHWA Contract DTFH61-82-C-00042 (Reference 13). been a commitment by the local government to continue a program of increased enforcement. A six-man TAP force was approved and now operates Wednesday through Saturday from 10:30 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. This special enforcement, along with the increased activity of regular-duty officers during TAP hours has resulted in a continued high level of DUI enforcement.

REFERENCES

- Joscelyn, K. B.; Jones, R. K.; and Ruschmann, P. A.; "Alcohol and Highway Safety," Highway Safety Research Institute, University of Michigan, 1981.
- Onder, James I., "Drunk Driving Public Information and Education Program," Preliminary Draft, Contract DTNH 22-81-C-05093, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Pigman, J. G. and Agent, K. R.; "Problem Identification for Highway Safety Plan (FY 1986)," Research Report UKTRP-85-18, Transportation Research Program, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, September 1985.
- Agent, K. R.; Crabtree, J. D.; and Pigman, J. G.; "Problem Identification for Highway Safety Plan (FY 1983)," Research Report UKTRP-82-5, Transportation Research Program, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, May 1982.
- Pigman, J. G. and Agent, K. R.; "Alcohol Impact Evaluation (Interim Report)," Research Report UKTRP-83-22, Transportation Research Program, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, September 1983.
- Pigman, J. G. and Agent, K. R.; "Impact Evaluation of Traffic Alcohol Programs: Selected Locations in Kentucky," Research Report UKTRP-84-25, Transportation Research Program, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, September 1984.
- Pigman, J. G. and Agent, K. R.; "Impact Evaluation of the Traffic Alcohol Program in Lexington, Kentucky," Research Report UKTRP-85-26, Transportation Research Program, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky, October 1985.
- 8. Sparks, J. W.; "Development of an Effective Highway Safety Program," Traffic Engineering, January 1977.
- 9. Natrella, M. G.; <u>Experimental Statistics</u>, United States Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Handbook 91, August 1963.
- "A National and State Alcohol Assessment -- 1978 through 1981", U.S. Department of Transportation, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1983.

- Agent, K. R.; "Characteristics of Kentucky Drivers," Research Report 489, Bureau of Highways, Kentucky Department of Transportation, January 1978.
- 12. "Estimating the Cost of Accidents, 1984", National Safety Council Bulletin, August 1984.
- 13. Miller, T. R.; Reinert, K. A.; and Whiting, B. E.; "Alternative Approaches to Accident Cost Concepts: State of the Art", The Granville Corporation, FHWA Contract DTFH61-82-C-00042, January 1984.