Research Report KTC-95-24

Personal Computer (PC) Program for Analysis of Embankments with Tensile Elements

by

MIKHAIL E. SLEPAK Research Engineer

and

TOMMY C. HOPKINS Head of Geotechnology

Kentucky Transportation Center College of Engineering University of Kentucky

in cooperation with Transportation Cabinet Commonwealth of Kentucky

and

Federal Highway Administration U.S. Department of Transportation

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the University of Kentucky, the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, nor the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

January 1995



Commonwealth of Kentucky Transportation Cabinet Frankfort, Kentucky 40622

Fred N. Mudge Secretary of Transportation Paul E. Patton Governor

March 19, 1996

Mr. Paul E. Toussaint Division Administrator Federal Highway Administration 330 West Broadway, Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0536

SUBJECT: Implementation Statement: Research Study KTC-95-24, "Personal Computer (PC) Program for Analysis of Embankments with Tensile Elements"

Dear Mr. Toussaint:

The purpose of this research study was to develop a *comprehensive* PC-based slope stability computer program. This program, which is called **UKSLOPE**, can be used to design and analyze *reinforced* and *unreinforced* earth structures. The **UKSLOPE** stability computer program contains two, original theoretical slope stability, mathematical models. Complete derivations of the new, or modified, model equations and full discussions of the models, including assumptions, are contained in a research report written by Slepak and Hopkins (KTC-93-29, "Computer Program for Analysis of Embankments with Tensile Elements") and in an earlier research report by Hopkins in 1986 (UKTRP-86-2, "A Generalized Slope Stability Computer Program: User's Guide for HOPK-I"). The original models were programmed for the "main frame" computer. However, engineering personnel of the Cabinet requested that a PC-version be developed.

The Design portion of **UKSLOPE** generally follows guidelines developed by the Tensar® Corporation. However, some modifications and improvements to the original methods have been made. The "Stability Analysis" portion of **UKSLOPE** was developed using many algorithms contained in the older mainframe version. However, some new algorithms were developed for the new version. Main features of the computer program are as follows:

• **UKSLOPE** is a very user-friendly, menu-driven, computer program. Its Graphical User Interface offers a convenient way to enter data and to analyze the results.

.

- The program can be used for both design and analysis of earth structures.
- Both reinforced and unreinforced earth structures can be analyzed by the program.
- A variety of limiting equilibrium methods can be used for stability analysis. These approaches, which can analyze both circular and noncircular failure surfaces, include methods that are newly developed and statically consistent, and the traditional method developed by Bishop (1954).
- Four options are offered to simulate pore pressures in an unstable soil mass. These options cover most practical cases.

Many example problems were considered in the research study. These examples were analyzed by both **UKSLOPE** and other computer programs. The results of the analyses show that **UKSLOPE** yields reasonable answers and can be used in practical applications.

Evaluation copies, or "Beta copies," of the UKSLOPE computer program have been transmitted to geotechnical engineers of the Kentucky Transportaion Cabinet, Georgia DOT, Alabama DOT, as well as the main engineering office of FHWA, Washington, D.C. Users in those agencies have volunteered to evaluate the stability program and provide comments to the authors. We anticipate that the evaluation period will last several months. Geotechnical engineers of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet are using the computer program on a trial basis.

Sincerely nell

J. M. Yowell, P.E. State Highway Engineer

Technical Report Documentation Page

Reproduction of completed page authorized

1. Report No. <i>KTC-95-24</i>	2. Government Access	sion No.	3. Recipient's Catalo	g No.		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date January 1995				
Personnal Computer (PC) Pro Embankments With Tensile E	lysis of	6. Performing Organization Code				
7. Author(s) Mikhail E. Slepak and Tomm		8. Performing Organ KTC-95-24	ization Report No.			
		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)				
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		11. Contract or Gran	tract or Grant No. YHPR-94-154			
Kentucky Transportation Center University of Kentucky	ngineering	KIII 11-04-1				
Lexington, KY 40506-0043		13. Type of Report a 	of Report and Period Covered Report			
Kentucky Transportation Cabine State Office Building Frankfort, KY 40622		14. Sponsoring Agency Code				
15. Supplementary Notes Prepared in co Transportation. Study Title: Persona Elements	coperation with the I Computer (PC) Pr	Federal Highway A ogram for Analysis	Administration, US of Embankments	Department of With Tensile		
16. Abstract UKSLOPE is a comprehensive, PC-based, slope earth structures. The computer program consist length, and number of tensile reinforcement element Corporation. However, some modifications and UKSLOPE is based partly on the original mainfr	e stability computer progra s of two parts: limit equili ts. The design of reinforce d improvements to the ori ame version. The follow	am that can be used to d brium models and a com oment elements general ginal methods have bee ing are the main features	esign and analyze reinfor puterized method for det y follows guidelines deve n made. The slope stabil of the program:	ced and unreinforced ermining the spacing, loped by the Tensar® lity analysis portion of		
UKSLOPE is an extremely way to input data and to an	UKSLOPE is an extremely user-friendly, menu-driven, computer program. Its Graphical User Interface offers a convenient way to input data and to analyze the results.					
The program can be used f	The program can be used for both design and analysis of earth structures.					
 Both reinforced and unreinf 	Both reinforced and unreinforced earth structures can be analyzed by the program.					
A variety of limiting equilibrium methods can be used for stability analysis. These models include newly developed statically consistent methods, which can be used to analyze both circular and noncircular failure surfaces, and also the traditional Bishop's method.						
Four options are offered to simulate pore pressures in an unstable soil mass. These options cover most practical cases.						
Many example problems were considered in the results of the analyses show that UKSLOPE	earch study. These exan E yields reasonable answ	nples were analyzed by b ers and can be used in p	oth UKSLOPE and other ractical applications.	r computer programs.		
17. Key Words Stability analysis, a reinforcement, geofabrics, limit equil	18. Distribution Statement Unlimited, with approval of the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet.					
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (C	ecurity Classif. (Of his page)		22. Price		
Unclassified None			64			

. .

METRIC (SI*) CONVERSION FACTORS

APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS TO SI UNITS Symbol When You Know Multiply By To Find Symbol Symbol When You Know Multiply By To Find Symbol LENGTH LENGTH i retern to reterie and and an tanking to the terms of such 5 L.L.L.L 0.039 Inches in millimetres mm mп 2.54 millimetres in. Inches ft 3.28 føet m metres 0.3048 metres m ft feet 1.09 yard s yd metres m 0.914 metres m уd yards kliometres 0.621 milles ml km ã 1.61 kilometres km miles mi -AREA AREA 0.0016 square Inches in^a millimetres squared mmª 5 ft² m* metres squared 10.764 square feet square inches 645.2 millmetres squared ា៣ាំ in' undos struviansi reaciossi tasterai cesterai ana itasi tankumi tankun kundo aditu di tasta a data ta 0.39 square miles ml^a kmi kilometres squared 0.0929 ft" square feet metres squared m, hectores (10 000 m^a) 2.53 Sel 28 ac ha ٨q1 square yards 0.836 metres squared m* 쀨 2.59 kilometres squared -km³ mi square miles ויויון ha MASS (weight) 0.395 hectares 2 ac acres 3 0.0353 Ø ព្ហះនញាន **OUNCes** ΟZ **MASS** (weight) 2.205 pounds ۱b 2 kQ kilograma Т Mg megagrams (1 000 kg) 1.103 short tons 28.35 orams οz ounces g Ιb 0.454 kg kilograms pounds 2 VOLUME Mg Ť short tons (2000 lb) 0.907 megagrams 0.034 fluid ounces mL millilitres fl oz 0.264 ٤ litres gailons gal VOLUME **m*** metres cubed 35.315 cubic feet fta Ę m^a metres cubed 1.308 cubic yarda yd' 29.57 millitres fluid ounces mL 11 0; gallons 3.785 litres L gal ndan hasimahan hujarahan hujarahan husi m **TEMPERATURE** (exact) ft* cubic feet 0.0328 m' metres cubed yd' cubic yards 0.0765 metres cubed ញាំ °C Celsius 9/5 (then Fahrenheit ٩F NOTE: Volumes greater than 1000 L shall be shown in m³. temperature add 32) temperature ٩F ٩F 32 98.6 212 46 40 80 120 160 2001 **TEMPERATURE** (exact) 140 37 100 °C 40 - 20 20 80 û 60 ٩F Fahrenheit °C 5/9 (after Celsius These factors conform to the requirement of FHWA Order 5190.1A. temperature subtracting 32) temperature

* SI is the symbol for the International System of Measurements

. م... بر است

~..

and the second second second second second

,

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this research study was to develop a comprehensive, PC-based, slope stability computer program. This program, called **UKSLOPE**, can be used to design and analyze reinforced and unreinforced earth structures.

The computer program contains two parts. The first portion, or the "design module," can be used to design reinforcement elements of earth slopes. In this portion of the computer program, the number, lengths, and vertical spacings of geotextiles, geogrids, or tensile elements can be determined. This portion of **UKSLOPE** generally follows guidelines developed by the Tensar® Corporation. However, some modifications and improvements to the original methods have been made.

The second portion, or the "stability analysis module," of UKSLOPE contains several limiting equilibrium methods. Many portions and algorithms that were used in the original, main-frame version (Hopkins, 1986 and Slepak and Hopkins, 1993) have been included in UKSLOPE. Main features of UKSLOPE are as follows:

- **UKSLOPE** is a very user-friendly, menu-driven, computer program. Its Graphical User Interface offers a convenient way to enter data and to analyze the results.
- The computer program can be used for both design and analysis of earth structures.
- Both reinforced and unreinforced earth structures can be analyzed by the computer program.
- A variety of limiting equilibrium methods are included for stability analysis. These methods include newly developed, statically consistent methods (Slepak and Hopkins, 1993 and 1995; Hopkins, 1986) which analyze both circular and noncircular failure surfaces, and the traditional method developed by Bishop (1954).
- Four options are offered to simulate pore pressures in an unstable soil mass. These options cover most practical cases.

Many example problems were considered in the research study. These examples were analyzed by both **UKSLOPE** and other computer programs. The results of the analyses show that **UKSLOPE** yields reasonable answers and could be used in practical applications.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. UKSLOPE COMPUTER PROGRAM. MAIN FEATURES

- 1.1. Summary of examples
- 1.2. Homogeneous slope
- 1.3. Partially submerged multilayered slope
- 1.4. Zoned earth dam on incompressible foundation
- 1.5. Sloping core dam
- 1.6. Multilayered slope
- 1.7. Embankment on soft ground
- 1.8. Embankment on a clay foundation
- 1.9. Side-hill highway embankment slope
- 1.10. Long-term stability of a cut in soft clay
- 1.11. Highway (sliding wedge) embankment failure
- 1.12. Hollow fill slope
- 1.13. Earth dam with steady-state seepage
- 1.14. Mill creek dam, downstrem slope
- 1.15. Mill creek dam, upstream slope
- 1.16. Embankment on a soft clay foundation
- 1.17. Load test of a large-scale geotextile-reinforced retaining wall
- 1.18. Wrigth and Duncan's (1991) example
- 1.19. Hadj-Hamoe et al (1990) example
- 1.20. 45-degrees reinforced slope

REFERENCES

APPENDIX 1. UKSLOPE USER'S MANUAL

APPENDIX 2. INPUT DATA FILES FOR THE EXAMPLES

1. UKSLOPE COMPUTER PROGRAM. MAIN FEATURES.

UKSLOPE is a comprehensive PC-based slope stability computer program that can be used to design and analyze reinforced and unreinforced earth structures. The computer program contains two stability modules. In the first portion of the computer program, the "Design module" can be used to design reinforcement elements of earth slopes. This computer module is used to determine the number, lengths, and vertical spacings of geotextiles, geogrids, or tensile elements. This portion of **UKSLOPE** generally follows guidelines developed by the Tensar® Corporation (Tensar Technical Note, 1986a; Tensar Technical Note, 1986b). However, some modifications and improvements to the original methods have been made.

The second portion, or the "Stability Analysis module," of **UKSLOPE** contains several limiting equilibrium methods. Many routines and algorithms that were used in the original, main-frame version (Hopkins, 1986 and Slepak and Hopkins, 1993 and 1995) have been included in **UKSLOPE**. Detail description of the theoretical fundamentals of the program can be found in Slepak and Hopkins (1993). However, main features of the program are briefly outlined below. These are as follows:

- **UKSLOPE** is a very user-friendly, menu-driven, computer program. Its "Graphical User Interface" offers a convenient way to enter data and to analyze the results.
- The program can be used for both design and analysis of earth structures.
- Both reinforced and unreinforced earth structures can be analyzed by the program.
- A variety of limiting equilibrium methods are used for stability analysis. These approaches include newly developed, statically consistent methods (Slepak and Hopkins, 1993 and 1995; Hopkins, 1986) that can be used to analyze both circular and noncircular shear surfaces, and the traditional method developed by Bishop (1954).
- Four options are offered to simulate pore pressures in an unstable soil mass. These options cover most practical cases.

The *user's manual*, which contains general information, installation instructions, and operating instructions, is presented in **APPENDIX 1** and the computer program.

1.1 SUMMARY OF EXAMPLES.

In the following sections, different examples are analyzed. These examples were obtained from many different sources and were selected to illustrate the many conditions that **UKSLOPE** can handle. However, all the examples were analyzed earlier by Hopkins (1986) and Slepak and Hopkins (1993). Some examples show only critical shear surfaces located earlier in Hopkins (1986). The other examples are used to perform circular search analysis. In the latter case, contour lines of safety factors (a feature of **UKSLOPE**) are also shown on the cross-sections. In all cases, factors of safety of critical shear surfaces are compared to factors of safety obtained from the slope stability computer program, called **REAME**, that was developed by Huang (1994). Factors of safety for all the examples are summarized in Table 1.1. Factors of safety computed by the two different programs are very nearly identical. For convenience, data entry files for all the examples are given in **Appendix 2**.

1.2. HOMOGENEOUS SLOPE (Example 1 in Hopkins, 1986).

The cross-section of this example is shown in Figure 1.1.In this example, the shear surface is circular and the pore pressures are assumed equal to zero.



Figure 1.1. Cross-section in example 1

Example Number E	UKS Bishop 2.185 1 554	LOPE Hopkins	Mod.	REA Bishop	Spongor	Coord	Circles inates of C	enters
Example Number E	2.185	Hopkins	Mod.	REA Bishop	Sporgor	Coord	inates of C	enters
Number E	2.185	Hopkins	Mod.	Bishop	Snamoor			
	2.185	0.400			shencet	X	Y	Radius
Example 1	1 554	2.183	2.185	2.185	2.184	160.00	194.00	175.000
Example 2	1.001	1.573	1.565	1.558	1.576	536.00	600.00	500.000
Example 3	-	1.623	1.630	-	1.656	Noncircu	lar failure s	urface
Example 5	-	1.356	1.361	-	1.411	Noncircu	lar failure s	urface
Example 6	1.328	1.323	1.327	1.328	1.307	75.00	50.00	50.000
Example 7	1.307	1.317	1.319	1.307	1.310	180.00	240.00	164.118
Example 7b	1.210	1.215	1.217	1.210	1.205	170.00	225.00	149.931
Example 8	1.220	1.254	1.264	1.219	1.190	349.00	204.00	153.999
Example 9	1.785	1.803	1.805	1.786	1.789	110.00	1050.00	120.000
Example 10	1.373	1.376	1.378	1.372	1.379	134.00	175.00	112.313
Example 12	æ	0.976	0.984	-	1.053	Noncircu	lar failure s	urface
Example 13	1.589	1.593	1.593	1.589	1.593	1400.00	2050.00	1753.000
Example 14	1.363	1.365	1.368	1.362	1.363	137.00	530.00	30.000
Example 15	1.788	1.808	1.815	1.788	1.808	810.00	705.00	152.393
Example 15								
(wedge)	-	1.919	1.942	-	2.094	Noncircu	lar failure s	urface
Example 16	1.032	1.044	1.042	1.030	1.044	400.00	700.00	142.928
Example 17	1.549	1.558	1.905	1.529	-	117.80	70.00	35.000
Example 17								
(w/crack)	0.914	0.827	0.914	0.898	0.897	117.80	70.00	35.000
Billiard and Wu	0 987	_	0.086	0.986	0.986	207 00	106.00	917 767
	0.301	-	0.900	0.800	0,900	291.00	100.00	211.101
Wright and Duncan	1.357	-	1.363	1.353	1.346	210.00	1400.00	23.990
Hadj-Hamoe (noncircul.)	-	-	1.322	<u>.</u>	-	Noncircu	ılar failure s	surface
Hadj-Hamoe (circular) 45- degree	1.271	-	1.318	1.309	1.309	235.00	40.00	, 70.000
slope	1.421	-	1.417	1.423	1.417	3.80	76.00	75.990

Table 1.1. Summary of factors of safety for the example problems.

1.3. PARTIALLY SUBMERGED MULTILAYERED SLOPE (Example 2 in Hopkins, 1986)



Figure 1.2. Cross-section in example 2

the method of handling a multilayered slope that is partially submerged, as shown in Figure 1.2. The example is from Whitman and Bailev (1967). The shear surface is circular. The ground-water level in the example is assumed to be approximated by a piezometric level. To satisfy equilibrium requirements, the hydrostatic thrust of the water resting against the slope must be used

This example illustrates

in the problem. The thrust, however, is computed by the program and need not be entered.

1.4. ZONED EARTH DAM ON INCOMPRESSIBLE FOUNDATION (Example 3 in Hopkins, 1986)

This example illustrates the method of handling a zoned earth dam located on an incompressible foundation, as shown in Figure 1.3. The example is after Janbu (1969). The earth dam consists of a rock fill, filter, and clay core. The assumed shear surface is non-circular and passes through the core, filter, and rock fill. A



A Figure 1.3. Cross-section in example 3

tension crack having a theoretical depth of 3.6 meters is assumed to exist in the upper portion of the potential failure mass; the crack is assumed to be filled with water. Consequently, the water in the crack exerts a hydrostatic force against the potential failure mass. This force, however, is computed by the program and need not be entered.

The objective of the analysis is to estimate the short-term or end-of-construction stability of the dam using an effective stress analysis. In this particular problem, the pore pressure is a dependent variable controlled by the magnitude of the stresses tending to instability. In problems of this type, it is oftentimes convenient to use a pore-pressure ratio, rather than the actual pore pressure. Since the rock fill will drain instantaneously, pore pressures in this material during construction are zero and ru will be equal to zero. However, pore pressures will develop in the clay core and filter during construction because those materials have low permeabilities.

1.5. SLOPING CORE DAM (Example 5 in Hopkins, 1986)

In a sloping core dam. the failure surface may be non-circular. as illustrated in Figure 1.4. In this example, the dam consists of an outer shell composed of cohesionless high-strength material and a sloping core composed of cohesive clay. Pore pressures are assumed equal to zero.

The shear surface in this problem is assumed to be tangent along the back slope of the core and to emerge in the



Figure 1.4. Cross-section in example 5

lower portion of the shell material as illustrated.



1.6. MULTILAYERED SLOPE (Example 6 in Hopkins, 1986)

This example considers а multilayered slope selected from Peck, Hansen, a n d Thornburn's (1974) book. Only one circular shear surface was used. A cross section is shown in Figure 1.5.

1.7. EMBANKMENT ON SOFT GROUND (Example 7 in Hopkins, 1986)

This example illustrates the use of

different pore pressure options. The example was selected from the ICES LEASE-I User's Manual (1969) and is a typical problem in the design of embankments on soft clay. A cross section is given in Figure 1.6. An effective stress analysis (Example 7) and a total stress analysis (Example 7b) were performed. Critical failure surfaces are shown in Figures 1.6 and 1.7 respectively.



Figure 1.6. Cross-section in example 7. Effective stress analysis



Figure 1.7. Cross-section in example 7. Total stress analysis.

1.8. EMBANKMENT ON A CLAY FOUNDATION (Example 8 in Hopkins, 1986)

A cross section of this example is shown in Figure 1.8. The example was analyzed by Wright (1974).



Figure 1.8. Cross-section in example 8



1.9. SIDE-HILL HIGHWAY EMBANKMENT SLOPE (Example 9 in Hopkins, 1986)

Figure 1.9. Cross-section in example 9

The cross-section for this example is shown in Figure 1.9.

1.10. LONG-TERM STABILITY OF A CUT IN SOFT CLAY (Example 10 in Hopkins, 1986)

This example was selected from the **STABL** User's Guide (1975). The cross-section and the results of circular search analysis are shown in Figure 1.10.



Figure 1.10. Cross-section in example 10

1.11. HIGHWAY (SLIDING WEDGE) EMBANKMENT FAILURE (Example 12 in Hopkins, 1986)

The highway slope failure in Figure 1.11 is a typical example of many highway failures

encountered in mountainous terrain. The failure mass is frequently a sliding wedge. Slope inclinometers were installed to locate the shear zone of the slide and to track movements of the sliding mass. As shown by inclinometer data, the major portion of the failure zone was located in the shallow foundation soils. Considerable movement of the sliding mass occurred during the monitoring period. Consequently, a plane



Figure 1.11. Cross-section in example 12

of weakness existed in the embankment and foundation. The water table or phreatic surface was determined from ground-water levels in the slope inclinometer casing.

1.12. HOLLOW FILL SLOPE (Example 13 in Hopkins, 1986)

The hollow fill shown in Figure 1.12 was selected to test the pseudo-statical earthquake routine. This problem involves a circular failure surface and a homogeneous coal disposal fill.



Figure 1.12. Cross-section in example 13

1.13. EARTH DAM WITH STEADY-STATE SEEPAGE (Example 14 in Hopkins, 1986)

A cross section of this example is shown in Figure 1.13. The example appears in Lambe and Whitman's 1969 book.

1.14 MILL CREEK DAM, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE (Example 15 in Hopkins, 1986)

A cross section of the downstream slope of Mill Creek dam is shown in Figure 1.14. Originally, the dam was intended to have a core constructed of



Figure 1.13. Cross-section in example 14

clay and shells (located upstream and downstream of the core) constructed of durable



filters were constructed between the clay core and rock shell contacts. However, nondurable shales. which had weathered over the period of time dam had been in service. were used to construct the rock shells. Essentially. the dam behaved as a 'homogeneous' structure, although not by design. **Piezometers** were

rock. Unfortunately, no transitional

Figure 1.14. Cross-section in example 15.

installed to locate the phreatic surface. The downstream slope was analyzed using

Slepak and Hopkins

both circular and noncircular wedge type shear surfaces. The results are shown in Figures 1.14 and 1.15 respectively.

1.15. MILL CREEK DAM, UPSTREAM SLOPE (Example 16 in Hopkins, 1986)

The upstream slope of the Mill Creek Dam described in the previous section was analyzed to study the affect of rapid



Figure 1.15. Cross-section in example 15; wedge type failure surface



stability. Lowering of the pool might occur in the event of an emergency situation or when repairs of the dam are required. The phreatic surface the rapid i n drawdown analyses was assumed to follow along the face of the upstream slope. Permeability tests on the shell materials (essentially weathered clay shales) Yielded values of 1.3 x 10-8 centimeters per Therefore, second. little drainage would occur during a short

drawdown

Figure 1.16. Cross-section in example 16

draw downperiod. Results of rapid-drawdown analyses are shown in Figure 1.16.

o n

1.16. EMBANKMENT ON A SOFT CLAY FOUNDATION (Example 17 in Hopkins, 1986)



Figure 1.17. Cross-section in example 17

Method (by REAME) did not converge.

This example was also analyzed assuming a tension crack in the embankment (Figure 1.18). Two observations can be made from the First, the analysis. factors of safety (see Table 1.1) for the embankment with tension crack are much lower than the ones previously determined. Secondly, the factors of safety for different methods are all near Therefore, the 0.9. analysis with a tension



Figure 1.18. Cross-section in example 17 (with tension crack)

This example is a hypothetical embankment on a soft clay foundation, as shown in Figure 1.17. Unlike the previous examples, large differences exist among the factors of safety computed by different methods (see Table 1.1). For example, Bishop's method yielded a factor of safety of 1.549 whereas the Modified Perturbation Method vielded a value of 1.905. The Modified Spencer

crack is more reliable for this example, and it shows that the embankment could fail.

1.17 LOAD TEST OF A LARGE-SCALE GEOTEXTILE-REINFORCED RETAINING WALL. Billiard and Wu (1991) example.

Billiard and Wu (1991) performed a controlled load test to investigate the performance of a geotextile-reinforced retaining wall until a failure state was reached. The test wall



Figure 1.19. Cross-section in Billiard and Wu example

geometry is illustrated in Figure 1.19. This test wall was erected in the laboratory using a typical sequential construction technique. The test wall was loaded by applying incremental vertical surcharge loads on the top surface until excessive deformation of the facing had occurred. To provide insight into the behavior of the retaining wall under load, the wall was instrumented to measure the strain of the geotextile and deflections of the top surface and vertical face. The wall was constructed using a low weight spun bonded nonwoven polypropylene geotextile with a wide width tensile strength of 420 lbs/ft at 60% elongation. The soil was a gravelly sand (cohesionless) having a ϕ angle of 39°. Placement unit weight of the sand was estimated to be approximately 95 pcf. The test wall at failure (surcharge load q=



2,660 psf) was analyzed by different methods. The results are shown in Figure 1.20 and Table 1.1. The factors of safety are near 1.0 which is an indication of failure.

Figure 1.20. Cross-section and critical circle at failure in Billiard and Wu example

1.18 WRIGHT AND DUNCAN'S (1991) EXAMPLE.

This example consists of a 10-ft. high cohesionless fill resting on a 10-ft. layer of saturated ($\phi=0$) clay, as shown in Figure 1.21. Much stronger soils are assumed to exist below the clay. The fill has an angle of internal friction (ϕ) of 35 degrees and a total unit weight of 105 pcf. The clay has a uniform undrained shear strength of 200 psf. One layer of reinforcement is placed at the base of the fill on the surface of the clay. The reinforcement carries a constant force of 3,000 lbs/ft. This example is the



Figure 1.21. Cross-section in Wright and Duncan's example

Wright and Duncan's (1991) example 2. Circular search analyses were performed with this example using different methods.

1.19 HADJ-HAMOE et al (1990) EXAMPLE.

This example deals with the stability analysis of a hurricane protection levee constructed in Louisiana. The test section is 350 ft long, 10 ft high, 10 ft wide at the crown, and 136 ft wide at the base, including the two stabilizing berms. The levee is constructed with a central core of hauled semicompacted clay fill placed on a working pad of hauled sand fill. The stabilizing berms are constructed of hauled uncompacted clay fill placed from the sand pad. The reinforcement consists of two layers of high-density polyethylene Tensar SR 2 geogrids. This example was analyzed using both circular and noncircular (wedge type) shear surfaces. The results are shown in Figures1.22 and 1.23.



Figure 1.22. Cros-section in Hadj-Hamoe example; circular search analysis



Figure 1.23. Cross-section in Hadj-Hamoe example; noncircular failure surface

1.2. A 45-DEGREE REINFORCED SLOPE.

This 45-degree reinforced slope shown in Figure 1.24 was designed using the "Design portion" of **UKSLOPE** assuming the factor of safety of 1.3. Then it was analyzed using different limiting equilibrium methods. The results are shown in Figure 1.24.



Figure 1.24. A 45-degree reinforced slope

REFERENCES

Bailey, W.A.; and Christian, J.T.(1969); A Problem-Oriented Language for Slope Stability Analysis -- User's Manual, Soil Mechanics Publication No., Department of Civil Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, April 1969.

Billiard, J.W.; and Wu, J.T.H. (1991); *Load Test of a Large-Scale Geotextile-Reinforced Retaining Wall, Geosynthetics '91 Conference*, Proceedings, Vol. 2, p. 537-548.

Hadj-Hamoe, T.; Bakeer, R.M; and Gwyn, W.W. (1990); *Field Performance of a Geogrid-Reinforced Embankment*, Transportation Research Record 1277, p. 80-89.

Hopkins, T.C. (1986); A Generalized Slope Stability Computer Program; User's Guide for HOPK-I, Research Report, University of Kentucky Transportation Center.

Huang, Y. H.; (March 1994); *User's Manual on REAME and REAME3D, Two-and Three-Dimensional Analysis on Stability of Slopes*, Civil Engineering Software Center, College of Engineering, University of Kentucky.

Janbu, N. (1969); An Advanced Method of Slope Stability Analysis-- Recent Advances in Soil Mechanics: Stability of Earth Slopes, a 5-day short course presented by Engineering/Physical SciencesExtension, University Extension, University of California, Los Angeles, California, March 1969.

Lambe, T.W.; and Whitman, R.V. (1969); *Soil Mechanics*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Peck, R.B.; Hanson, W.E.; and Thornburn, T.H. (1974); *Foundation Engineering*, 2nd Edition, John Wiley, New York.

Slepak, M.E.; and Hopkins, T.C. (1993); *Computer Program for Stability Analysis* of *Embankments with Tensile Elements*. Research Report KTC-93-29, University of Kentucky Transportation Center.

Slepak, M.E. and Hopkins, T.C., (1995): Geosynthetics '95, *Modified Perturbation Method in Stability Anallysis of Reinforced Earth Structures*, Conference Proceedings, Nashville, Tennessee USA.

Siegel, R.A. (1975); STABL User Manual, Report JHRP-75-9, Purdue University, June 1975.

Tensar Technical Note (1986a), Guidelines for the Design of Tensar Geogrid Reinforced Retaining Walls.

Tensar Technical Note (1986b), Slope Reinforcement with Tensar Geogrids. Design and Construction Guideline.

Whitman, R.V.; and Bailey, W.A. (1967), *Use of Computers for Slope Stability Analysis*, Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, ASCE, Vol 93, No SM4.

Wright, S.G. (1974), A Study of Slope Stability and the Undrained Shear Strength of Clay Shales, Proceedings, Conference on Analysis and Design in Geotechnical Engineering, Vol II, Austin, Texas, ASCE, June 9-12, 1974.

Wright, S.G.; and Duncan, J.MN. (1991); *Limit Equilibrium Stability Analyses for Reinforced Slopes*, Transportation Research Record 1330, p.40-46.

APPENDIX 1. UKSLOPE USER'S MANUAL.

Welcome to **UKSLOP**E, Version 1.4, a powerful computer program for design and stability analysis of reinforced and unreinforced earth structures.

A.1.1. GENERAL INFORMATION.

This software is designed to run under MS DOS on an IBM PC, 386 processor or higher. It is a VERY user-friendly menu-driven program that has a lot of features for graphical input and output. We hope you will enjoy using this software. However, if you experience problems using this software or you are not sure how to use certain features, please do not hesitate to contact the developers, Tommy C. Hopkins, P.E., and Mikhail E. Slepak, Ph.D., P.E. We will be happy to answer all your questions.

Our address:	Kentucky Transportation Center 176 Civil Engineering/Transportation Center University of Kentucky			
Phone:	(606)257-4513			
FAX:	(606)257-1815			
E-mail:	MSLEPAK@UKLANS.UKY.EDU			

A.1.2. INSTALLATION.

To install the software:

- 1. Create a new subdirectory for UKSLOPE files and make it current.
- 2. Insert the distribution disk in A: drive and type a:\install.

A.1.3. RUNNING THE PROGRAM.

To run the program:

1. From DOS. Make the subdirectory containing **UKSLOPE** files current and type: reinforc.

2. From MS Windows. From Program Manager select file, run, and then type the complete path of the executable file (...\reinforc.exe).

A.1.4. PREPARING INPUT DATA.

You will see the first screen displaying general information about the program. Press any key and Main Menu will be displayed.

A.1.4. 1. MAIN MENU.

The Main Menu consists of three items: "STABILITY ANALYSIS", "TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN", and "HELP". To navigate between the items use arrow keys; to make a selection press "Enter" or type the first character. The text line displayed to the right of the highlighted item gives a brief explanation of the item.

Select "STABILITY ANALYSIS" to compute a factor of safety for reinforced or unreinforced earth structure. Stability analysis can be performed for the most general case involving complex geometry, external loads, pore water pressures, etc. However, if you are analyzing a case with relatively simple geometry you may reduce the number of input data significantly. See Stability Analysis section for more details.

Select "TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN" for preliminary determination of reinforcement layout. Only relatively simple reinforced slopes and retaining walls can be considered using this option. You will find the complete set of limitations under the Design Menu section. If your case can not be treated as "simple", you may design your earth structure by iteratively using "STABILITY ANALYSIS." You could also use "STABILITY ANALYSIS" to check your preliminary slope design. After you have completed "TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN", the program will automatically pass all the design features to "STABILITY ANALYSIS". Hence, you could compute the actual factor of safety for the preliminary designed reinforced slope without having to input any extra data. See the Stability Analysis section for more details.

A.1.4.1.1. STABILITY ANALYSIS.

"STABILITY ANALYSIS" option is used to compute a factor of safety for reinforced or unreinforced earth structure. You could analyze both right and left-oriented slopes. In both cases the coordinate system is selected in such a way that X-coordinate is increasing from left to right, Y-coordinate is increasing from bottom to top. Right-oriented slopes are assumed to slide from left to right; left-oriented slopes are
assumed to slide from left to right.

Stability analysis can be performed for the most general case involving complex geometry, external loads, pore water pressures, etc. However, if you are analyzing a case with relatively simple geometry you may reduce the number of input data significantly. After you have selected "STABILITY ANALYSIS" from Main Menu a pop up window will ask you if you would like to initialize variables for Stability Analysis using simplified slope geometry. Type 'y' for "yes" or press any other key for "no". Selecting "yes" will lead you to Simplified Slope Geometry Data Entry Screen. Selecting "no" will prompt you for an input data file name. Type in a file name or press F1 to select it from a list of Stability Analysis files in the current directory. You could display up to 1,000 file names. All Stability Analysis files have extensions ".sta". You do not have to type in that extension, the program will automatically append this extension to the file name you entered. You could leave the file name blank. In this case no files will be read. Press ENTER to display Stability Analysis Menu.

A.1.4.1.1.1. SIMPLIFIED SLOPE GEOMETRY DATA ENTRY SCREEN.

Notice that if during the current session you ran slope "TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN", all the data in this screen will be automatically initialized. Hence, you could compute the actual factor of safety for the preliminary designed reinforced slope without having to input any extra data. Slope orientation is always initialized to "RIGHT" by default. You could change any of the data by simply typing it in. At any time you could press ESC to go back to Main Menu. Press F2 after you fill in all data boxes. If you input invalid data, you will be prompted about an error. Correct the error and press F2 again. That will lead you to Stability Analysis Menu.

A.1.4.1.1.2. STABILITY ANALYSIS MENU.

When you work with Stability Analysis your final destination will always be Stability Analysis Menu. If you chose Simplified Slope Geometry then Stability Analysis variables are initialized using data from Simplified Slope Geometry Data Entry Screen. If you did not choose Simplified Slope Geometry, then Stability Analysis variables are initialized with the file data or with blanks if a file name was not specified.

Stability Analysis Menu consists of the following items: "PROBLEM CONTROL", "GROUND LINE", "C PHI GAMA", "BOUNDARY LINES", "WATER", "LINE OF THRUST", "VERTICAL LOADS", "END FORCES", "SEISMIC ANALYSIS", "FAILURE SURFACE(S)", "TENSION CRACK", "REINFORCEMENT", "=EXECUTE", "X-Y

VIEW", and "OUTPUT FILE VIEW".

To navigate between the items use arrow keys; to make a selection press "Enter" or type the first character. The text line displayed to the right of the highlighted item gives a brief explanation of the item. Press ESC at any time to return to Main Menu.

A vertical bar located to the left of the menu window shows the current status of an item. Originally the bar is blue. When you select an item a portion of the bar changes its color to green, thus letting you know which data you have or have not edited. Selecting an item in Stability Analysis Menu will open a Data Entry Screen. From this screen you could preview the current cross-section (F4), get help (F1), and execute slope stability program (F2). If you pressed F2, you will be prompted for an output file name. Enter a file name or press ESC for none. If you have entered valid data, the program will execute. Otherwise, it will prompt you about an error. Correct the error and run the program again or press ESC to exit.

A.1.4.1.1.2.1. PROBLEM CONTROL.

Selecting PROBLEM CONTROL will display Problem Control Data Entry Screen.

Problem identification. Type in any text identifying the problem.

- Reinforcement. Select "yes" if the problem involves reinforcement or "no" otherwise.
- Method. Select any of the following limiting equilibrium methods.
 - Bishop's method can be used for circular analysis in both reinforced and unreinforced cases. Although this method is not statically consistent, it was proven to yield reasonable answers in cases involving circular failure surfaces.
 - Hopkins' method, proposed by one of the developers of this software, (Tommy C. Hopkins) can be used for circular and noncircular analysis in unreinforced cases only. This method is essentially a modification of the Janbu's method. However, to overcome convergence problems usually arising while using Janbu's method, this method makes use of a special numerical technic to compute derivatives of interslice forces at each iteration. Strictly speaking, this method is not statically consistent. However, it yields reasonable factors of safety in a variety of practical problems.
 - Modified Perturbation method proposed by one of the developers of this software (Mikhail E. Slepak) is a statically consistent

method. It can be used in reinforced and unreinforced analysis involving both circular and noncircular failure surfaces. It is free of convergence problems and yields reasonable factors of safety in a variety of practical problems.

Thrust line can be computed or specified by input.

Failure surface can be circular or noncircular.

- Pullout resistances can be calculated assuming either free or fixed reinforcement end. Reinforcement end is considered fixed if it is attached to facing elements, and free otherwise.
- Unit weight of water. Units of all input-output data in the stability analysis program are those implied by the numerical value used for the unit weight of water. For example, 0.0624 kip/(cubic ft) implies English system; 9.8 kN/(cubic m) implies metric system. Default value is 0.0624.

Number of slices - any even integer between 2 and 598, default value is 76.

A.1.4.1.1.2.2. GROUND LINE.

Selecting GROUND LINE will open Ground Line Data Entry Screen. Enter X- and Y- coordinates of the Ground Line. The program will automatically assign a number of points on the Ground Line based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored. If the Y-coordinate of the first point is greater or equal than the Y-coordinate of the last point, then the program will treat the slope as right-oriented. Otherwise, it will treat the slope as left-oriented.

A.1.4.1.1.2.3. C PHI GAMA.

Selecting "C PHI GAMA" will open Soil Layers Properties Data Entry Screen. Each row in this screen represents a soil layer. Enter Cohesion, Friction angle, unit weight, and pore pressure factor for each layer. The program will automatically assign a number of layers based on the number of rows with nonblank cohesions. A row with blank cohesion and all the consecutive rows will be ignored.

Depending on the value of PORE PRESSURE FACTOR (RU) in the fourth column of

the data entry screen, different options can be invoked:

1. RU < 1.

Pore pressures in a given soil layer are defined using the pore-pressure ratio (RU).

2. RU = 1.5.

Pore pressures in a given layer are defined by a piezometric line.

 $3. \mathrm{RU} = 2.5.$

Pore pressures are defined by an infinitely sloping groundwater level. In this case RU = 2.5 is selected for layer 1; for all other layers RU = 0 should be specified.

4. RU = 3.5.

Pore pressures are defined by assuming the ground water level within a slope is a piezometric line. In this case RU = 3.5 is selected for layer 1; for all other layers RU = 0 should be specified.

Options 1 and 2 can be intermixed.

To specify water layer use c=0, phi=0, gamma=0, Ru>2.

A.1.4.1.1.2.4. BOUNDARY LINES.

Selecting BOUNDARY LINES will open Boundary Lines Submenu. This submenu has as many items as the number of layers specified in Soil Layers Properties Data Entry Screen. Selecting an item will open a Boundary Line Data Entry Screen for the specified layer. Enter X- and Y- coordinates of the Boundary Layer Line. The program will automatically assign a number of points on the Boundary Layer Line based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored. Notice that X-coordinates of the first and the last points on a Boundary Layer Line should coincide with X-coordinates of the first and the last points on the Ground Line.

A.1.4.1.1.2.5. WATER.

Selecting WATER will open Water Table Data Entry Screen or Piezometric Lines Submenu (depending on the Pore pressure factors specified in Soil Layers Properties Data Entry Screen). This submenu has as many items as the number of layers with Pore Pressure factors specified as 1.5. Selecting an item will open a Piezometric Line Data Entry Screen for the specified layer. Enter X- and Y-coordinates of the Water

Table or Piezometric Line. The program will automatically assign a number of points on the line based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored. Notice that X-coordinates of the first and the last points on the Water Table or Piezometric Line should coincide with X-coordinates of the first and the last points on the Ground Line.

A.1.4.1.1.2.6. LINE OF THRUST.

If Thrust Line parameter in Problem Control Screen was specified "compute," then selecting LINE OF THRUST will open Thrust Line Data Entry Screen. Enter thrust line ratio between 0 and 1.

If Thrust Line parameter in Problem Control Screen was specified "by input," then selecting LINE OF THRUST will open Thrust Line Coordinates Data Entry Screen. Enter X- and Y- coordinates of the Thrust Line. The program will automatically assign a number of points on Thrust Line based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored. Notice that X-coordinates of the first and the last points on Thrust Line should coincide with X-coordinates of the first and the last points on the Ground Line.

A.1.4.1.1.2.7. VERTICAL LOADS.

Selecting VERTICAL LOADS will open Vertical Loads Data Entry Screen. Enter X-coordinates and magnitudes of external vertical distributed loads diagram. Concentrated forces are not considered in this computer program. The program will automatically assign a number of points on the diagram based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored.

A.1.4.1.1.2.8. END FORCES.

Selecting END FORCES will open End Boundary Forces Data Entry Screen. Enter magnitudes of horizontal and vertical forces acting on the left and right boundaries.

A.1.4.1.1.2.9. SEISMIC ANALYSIS.

Selecting SEISMIC ANALYSIS will open Earthquake Forces Data Entry Screen. Enter Seismic coefficient and seismic ratio.

A.1.4.1.1.2.10. FAILURE SURFACE(S).

If circular failure surface was specified in Problem Control Data Entry Screen, then selecting FAILURE SURFACE(S) will open Circular Search Analysis Data Entry Screen. Enter coordinates of search grid, increments, coordinates of starting point, radius for a given circle, and minimum height of slices.

Depending on the value of RADIUS FOR A GIVEN CIRCLE (RGC), page 2 of the data entry screen, two options can be invoked:

1. RGC = 0.

Circular search analysis is performed. If you specified nonzero MINIMUM HEIGHT OF SLICES (MHS), then all circles with maximum height of slices less than MHS will be ignored.

2. RGC > 0.

Only one circle is analyzed. Its radius = RGC, its center is at upper left corner of search grid. In this case, lower right corner coordinates of greed search should coincide with upper left corner coordinates; increments, coordinates of starting point, and minimum height of slices are ignored.

If noncircular failure surface was specified in Problem Control Data Entry Screen, then selecting FAILURE SURFACE(S) will open Failure Surface Coordinates Data Entry Screen. Enter X- and Y- coordinates of the Failure Surface. The program will automatically assign a number of points on the Failure Surface based on the number of rows with nonblank X-coordinates. A row with blank X-coordinate and all the consecutive rows will be ignored.

A.1.4.1.1.2.11. TENSION CRACK.

Selecting TENSION CRACK will open Tension Crack Data Entry Screen. Enter Tension Crack Depth and Portion of Tension Crack Depth Filled With Water.

A.1.4.1.1.2.12. REINFORCEMENT.

Selecting REINFORCEMENT will open Reinforcement Data Entry Screen. Each row in this screen represents a reinforcement layer. Enter Lengths, X-coordinates of end points, Elevations, Interaction Coefficients, and Reinforcement Allowable Tensile Strength. The program will automatically assign a number of reinforcement layers based on the number of rows with nonblank Lengths. A row with blank Length and all

the consecutive rows will be ignored.

A.1.4.1.1.2.13. "=EXECUTE".

Selecting "=EXECUTE" will execute Slope Stability program. You will be prompted for an output file name. Enter a file name or press ESC for none. If you have entered valid data the program will execute. Otherwise, it will prompt you about an error. Correct the error and run the program again or press ESC to exit.

A.1.4.1.1.2.14. 'X-Y VIEW''.

Selecting "X-Y VIEW" will allow you to display and print out the cross-section, contour lines, and the critical failure surface.

A.1.4.1.1.2.15. OUTPUT FILE VIEW.

Selecting OUTPUT FILE VIEW will display output file on the screen.

A.1.4.1.2. TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN MENU.

Selecting TENSILE ELEMENTS DESIGN from Main Menu will open Design Menu. This menu consists of the following items: "SLOPE DESIGN", "WALL DESIGN", "UNITS", "HELP", "SLOPE FILE VIEW", and "WALL FILE VIEW". To navigate between the items use arrow keys; to make a selection press "Enter" or type the first character. The text line displayed to the right of the highlighted item gives a brief explanation of the item.

With some modifications, reinforced slope and retaining wall design methods follow guidelines developed by Tensar Corporation. These methods are limited to slopes and walls with simple geometry consisting of cohesionless soils only. If your case can not be treated as "simple," you may design your earth structure by iteratively using "STABILITY ANALYSIS."

A.1.4.1.2.1. SLOPE DESIGN.

Select this option to design reinforced slope. The program will prompt you for an input

data file name. Type in a file name or press F1 to select it from a list of Slope files in the current directory. You could display up to 1,000 file names. All Slope files have extensions ".slo". You do not have to type in that extension, the program will automatically append this extension to the file name you entered. You could leave the file name blank. In this case no files will be read. Press ENTER to display Slope Data Entry Screen. For more information you may want to press F1 and/or F4. Press F2 to execute the Slope Design Program. If you have entered valid data, the program will execute. Otherwise, it will prompt you about an error. Correct the error and run the program again or press ESC to exit. After the program has executed you will be prompted for an output file name. Enter a file name or press ESC for none. The slope design method used in this program presumes the following requirements are satisfied:

- 1. The soil is reinforced with horizontal layers of geosynthetics.
- 2. The c=0 (cohesionless soils) only analysis is appropriate.
- 3. The soil has uniform strength properties throughout the entire slope.
- 4. The slope face is planar and the top of the slope is horizontal.
- 5. Positive drainage is provided to assure that pore water pressure in the slope is zero.
- 6. No seismic forces are acting.
- 7. The slope foundation is competent.
- 8. Surcharge loads, if any, act uniformly on the top of the slope.

If any of these requirements are not satisfied you may design the slope by iteratively using "STABILITY ANALYSIS."

You could also use "STABILITY ANALYSIS" to check your preliminary slope design. After you have completed Slope Design the program will automatically pass all the design features to "STABILITY ANALYSIS". All you have to do is select STABILITY ANALYSIS, SIMPLIFIED GEOMETRY. Variable initialization will be automatically done for you by the program. Hence, you could compute the actual factor of safety for the preliminary designed reinforced slope without having to input any extra data.

A.1.4.1.2.2. WALL DESIGN.

Select this option to design reinforced retaining walls. The program will prompt you for an input data file name. Type in a file name or press F1 to select it from a list of Slope files in the current directory. You could display up to 1,000 file names. All Wall files have extensions ".wal". You do not have to type in that extension, the program will automatically append this extension to the file name you entered. You could leave the file name blank. In this case no files will be read. Press ENTER to display Wall Data Entry Screen. For more information you may want to press F1 and/or F4. Press F2 to execute the Wall Design Program. If you have entered valid data, the program will execute. Otherwise, it will prompt you about an error. Correct the error and run the program again or press ESC to exit. After the program has executed, you will be prompted for an output file name. Enter a file name or press ESC for none. The wall design method used in this program presumes the following requirements are satisfied:

- 1. The soil is reinforced with horizontal layers of geosynthetics.
- 2. Both the reinforced and retained fills are constructed with cohesionless soils and a c=0 only analysis is appropriate.
- 3. A maximum wall friction angle of 34 degrees is used for design.
- 4. Uniform soil properties exist within each distinct zone (wall fill, retained back fill, and foundation).
- 5. The wall face is at a vertical to 10 degrees batter angle.
- 6. Positive drainage is provided to assure that pore water pressure within and on the reinforced wall is zero.
- 7. No seismic forces are acting upon the structure.
- 8. Surcharge loads, if any, act uniformly on the top of the wall.

If any of these requirements are not satisfied, you may design the wall by iteratively using "STABILITY ANALYSIS."

A.1.4.1.2.3. "UNITS."

Use this option to select ENGLISH or METRIC system of units.

A.1.4.1.2.4. "SLOPE FILE VIEW."

Selecting this option will display output file for slope design.

A.1.4.1.2.5. "WALL FILE VIEW."

Selecting this option will display output file for wall design.

APPENDIX 2. INPUT DATA FILES FOR THE EXAMPLES.

A.2.1. Example 1

051695EXAMP: NO	LE 1: HOMOG	ENEOUS SI	JOPE		
MODIFIED PE	RTURBATION				
COMPUTE					
CIRCULAR					
FULL					
N/A					
.0624	76 20	0			
.000	90.000				
20.000	90.000				
40.000	90.000				
220.000	30.000				
240.000	30.000				
99999999999					
.000	30.000	.130	.000		
99999999999					
.000	10.000				
240.000	10.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
160.000	194.000	160.000	194.000	5.000	5.000
5.000					
220.000 .000	30.000 .000	175.000	.000		

A.2.2. EXAMPLE 2.

112095WHITMAN AND BAILEY EXAMPLE NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE CIRCULAR FULL N/A .0624 76 15 10 .000 300.000 200.000 300.000 400.000 200.000 500.000 150.000 2000.000 150.000

99999999999					
.000	.000	.062	3.500		
1.500	20.000	.126	.000		
1.000	33.000	.130	.000		
99999999999					
.000	300.000				
200.000	300.000				
400.000	200.000				
500.000	150.000				
600.000	100.000				
2000.000	100.000				
2222222222	200 000				
400.000	200.000				
500.000	150 000				
500.000	100 000				
2000.000	100.000				
9999999999	100.000				
000	50,000				
2000.000	50,000				
99999999999					
.000	250.000				
300.000	200.000				
500.000	150.000				
2000.000	150.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
536.000	600.000	536.000	600.000	5.000	5.000
5.000			000		
136.000	300.000	500.000	.000		
.000	.000				

A.2.3. EXAMPLE 3

051695EXAMPLE 3: (AFTER JANBU) NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE NONCIRCULAR FULL N/A 1.0000 76 20 0 50.500 17.000 19.500 50.500 20.500 50.500 21.000 50.500

90.000	10.000		
110.000	10.000		
000	45 000	2 100	000
.000	30 600	2.100	150
2 000	30.020	2.100	350
2.000	10.920	2.100	.330
.000	40.400	2.100	.000
17 000			
10 500	50.500		
T3.200	50.500		
20.500	50.500		
58.200	10.000		
110.000	10.000		
10 000			
10 500	50.500		
19.500	50.500		
50.400	10.000		
110.000	10.000		
99999999999			
17.000	50.500		
20.000	10.000		
110.000	10.000		
99999999999			
17.000	5.000		
110.000	5.000		
99999999999			
.333			
99999999999			
.000	.000	.000	.000
.000	.000		
19.500	46.900		
28.000	35.200		
41.000	22.400		
52.500	16.400		
66.000	12.600		
78.600	10.000		
90.000	10.000		
99999999999			
3.600	1.000		

A.2.4. EXAMPLE 5

112095SLOPING CORE DAM - AFTER WRIGHT - EX. 5 NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE NONCIRCULAR FULL

- ,00m

39

N/A			
.0624	76 15	10	
.000	180.000		
40.000	180.000		
388.750	25.000		
500.000	25.000		
99999999999			
.000	38.000	.133	.000
1.000	11.000	.133	.000
1.000	11.000	.133	.000
99999999999			
.000	174.200		
24.820	174.200		
40.000	174.200		
273.750	25.000		
500.000	25.000		
99999999999			
.000	174.200		
24.820	174.200		
223.750	25.000		
500.000	25.000		
99999999999			
.000	25.000		
500.000	25.000		
99999999999			
.333			
99999999999			
.000	.000	.000	.000
.000	.000		
40.000	180.000		
158.130	54.100		
191.500	51.500		
201.000	45.000		
222.500	37.500		
234.500	34.500		
249.000	32.000		
266.000	28.500		
306.500	25.100		
388.750	25.000		
99999999999			
.000	.000		

A.2.5. EXAMPLE 6

112095EXAMPLE 6; MULTILAYERED SLOPE: PECK NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE

7

CIRCULAR					
FULL					
N/A					
.0624	76 15	10			
_000	30,000				
50.000	30,000				
88.500	15.000				
100 000	10 000				
150.000	10 000				
99999999999	10.000				
000	35 000	120	000		
200	18 000	115	000		
400	000	115	000		
0000000000	.000		.000		
000	15 000				
62 500	15.000				
100 000	10 000				
150.000	10.000				
100.000	10.000				
150 000	5.000				
120.000	5.000				
2222222222	000				
150 000	.000				
150.000	.000				
222222222222					
.333					
33333333333	000	000	000		
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000			000	000
/5.000	50.000	/5.000	50.000	.000	.000
.000	0.00				
.000	.000	50.000	.000		
.000	.000				

A.2.6. EXAMPLE 7

051595ICES NO	LEASE	EXAMPLE	(EFFECTIVE	STRESS	ANALYSIS)	
BISHOP'S						
COMPUTE						
CIRCULAR						
FULL						
N/A						
.0624	76	20	0			
.000	120.	.000				
110.000	120.	.000				
134.000	108.	.000				
145.000	108.	.000				

36

146.000 186.000 258.000 310.000	106.000 106.000 97.500 97.500		
99999999999 .000 .000	30.000	.110 .127	1.500
.000 .000 .000	30.000 30.000 30.000	.101 .101 .101	.620 .800
.000 .250 .000	30.000 .000 30.000	.101 .101 .101	.850 .700 .700
.000 9999999999 .000	30.000	.101	.000
186.000 258.000 310.000	106.000 97.500 97.500		
.000 145.000 186.000	96.000 96.000 93.000		
258.000 310.000 9999999999	97.500 97.500		
.000 120.000 120.000 145.000	91.000 91.000 96.000		
186.000 258.000 310.000	93.000 97.500 97.500		
99999999999 .000 120.000	91.000 91.000		
186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	92.000 97.500 97.500		
99999999999 .000 120.000	75.000 75.000		
120.000 186.000 258.000	91.000 88.000 92.000		
258.000 310.000 9999999999	97.500 97.500 75.000		
	= • •		

· O ·

120.000	75.000			
186.000	75.000			
180.000	88.000			
250.000	92.000			
256.000	97.500			
210.000	97.500			
	75 000			
120 000	75.000			
186.000	75.000			
258,000	75.000			
258,000	97.500			
310.000	97.500			
99999999999				
.000	70.000			
120.000	70.000			
120.000	75.000			
186.000	75.000			
258.000	75.000			
258.000	97.500			
310.000	97.500			
99999999999				
.000	70.000			
120.000	70.000			
186.000	70.000			
258.000	70.000			
258.000	97.500			
310.000	97.500			
999999999999	70 000			
.000	70.000			
310.000	70.000			
222222222	104 000			
190 000	104.000			
258 000	104.000 96 000			
310,000	96.000			
99999999999	201000			
.000	104.000		1	
190.000	104.000			
258,000	96.000			
310.000	96.000			
99999999999				
.333				
99999999999				
.000	.000	.000	.000	
.000	.000			
110.000	290.000	210.000	190.000	5.000
4.000			_	
186.000	88.000	.000	5.000	
.000	.000			

38

5.000

-

A.2.7. EXAMPLE 7b

051595ICES NO	LEASE	EXAMPLE	(TOTAL	STRESS	ANALYSIS)
BISHOP'S					
COMPUTE					
CIRCULAR					
FULL					
N/A 0624	76	20 (۱		
.0024	120	000	,		
110 000	120	.000			
134.000	108.	000			
145.000	108.	.000			
146.000	106.	.000			
186.000	106.	.000			
258.000	97.	.500			
310.000	97.	.500			
99999999999			110	4 54	
.000	30.	.000	.110	1.50	10
.000	30.	.000	.12/	1.50	
.500		000	101	.00	
.300		.000	.101	.0(00
.280		.000	.101	.0(00
.200		.000	.101	.0(00
.650		.000	.101	.00	00
.450		.000	.101	.00	00
.250	•	.000	.101	.00	00
99999999999					
.000	106.	.000			
186.000	106.	.000			
258.000	97.	500			
310.000	97.	. 500			
000	96	000			
145.000	96	.000			
186.000	93.	.000			
258.000	97.	500			
310.000	97.	.500			
99999999999					
.000	91.	.000			
120.000	91.	.000			
120.000	96.	.000			
145.000	96.	.000			
T80.000	93.	500			
258.000 310 000	וע	500			
270.000	51.	500			
.280 .200 .650 .450 .250 9999999999 .000 186.000 258.000 310.000 999999999 .000 145.000 186.000 258.000 310.000 999999999 .000 120.000 120.000 1258.000 310.000 9999999999999999999999999999999999	106. 106. 97. 97. 96. 96. 93. 97. 91. 91. 91. 91. 91. 93. 97. 97.	.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .500 .500	.101 .101 .101 .101		

.

.000 120.000 186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	91.000 91.000 88.000 92.000 97.500 97.500
99999999999 .000 120.000 120.000 186.000 258.000	75.000 75.000 91.000 88.000 92.000 87.500
310.000 9999999999	97.500
120.000 186.000 186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	75.000 75.000 88.000 92.000 97.500 97.500
9999999999 .000 120.000 186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000 97.500 97.500
9999999999 .000 120.000 120.000 186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	70.000 70.000 75.000 75.000 75.000 97.500 97.500
9999999999 .000 120.000 186.000 258.000 258.000 310.000	70.000 70.000 70.000 70.000 97.500 97.500
99999999999 .000 310.000	70.000 70.000
.000 190.000 258.000	104.000 104.000 96.000

.

2

.

•

310.000	96.000				
99999999999					
.000	104.000				
190.000	104.000				
258.000	96.000				
310.000	96.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
110.000	290.000	210.000	190.000	5.000	5.000
4.000					
186.000	88.000	.000	5.000		
.000	.000				

A.2.8. EXAMPLE 8

51595WRIGHT	EX.SL.1 P	-197; EXAME	PLE 8.		
NO					
MODIFIED PER	TURBATION				
COMPUTE					
CIRCULAR					
FULL					,
N/A					
.0624	76 20	0			
.000	100.000				
200.000	200.000				
250.000	200.000				
450.000	100.000				
500.000	100.000				
99999999999					
.000	40.000	.140	.000		
2.500	.000	.125	.000		
99999999999					
.000	100.000				
500.000	100.000				
99999999999					
.000	50.000				
500.000	50.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
349.000	204.000	349.000	204.000	5.000	5.000
5.000					
.000	.000	153.999	.000		
.000	.000				

41

......

A.2.9. EXAMPLE 9

112095SIDE-HILL HIGHWAY EMBANKMENT SLOPE (AFTER HARDIN) NO BISHOP'S COMPUTE CIRCULAR FULL N/A .0624 76 15 10 .000 985.000 11.000 983.000 996.000 30.000 71.000 996.000 136.500 949.000 147.000 948.000 150.000 947.000 157.000 942.000 187.500 942.000 195.500 947.000 99999999999 3.500 .000 .000 .062 .200 34.000 .130 .000 .125 .000 .000 38.000 .100 31.000 .122 .000 99999999999 .000 985.000 11.000 983.000 30.000 996.000 71.000 996.000 136.500 949.000 147.000 948.000 947.000 150.000 942.000 157.000 163.000 938.500 174.500 935.500 183.500 938.500 187.500 942.000 195.500 947.000 99999999999 .000 985.000 11.000 983.000 20.000 978.000 29.500 977.000 40.000 975.000 50.000 973.500 972.500 60.000 75.000 967.500 91.000 965.000

101.000	961.000				
111.000	957.000				
126.000	952.000				
147 000	949.000				
147.000	940.000				
157 000	947.000				
163 000	938 500				
174 500	935 500				
183,500	938.500				
187.500	942.000				
195.500	947.000				
99999999999					
.000	974.000				
75.000	967.500				
91.000	965.000				
101.000	961.000				
111.000	957.000				
126.000	952.000				
136.500	949.000				
147.000	948.000				
150.000	947.000				
157.000	942.000				
174 500	936.500				
183 500	938 500				,
187.500	942.000				
195.500	947.000				
999999999999					
.000	880.000				
195.500	880.000				
99999999999					
.000	966.500				
67.500	960.000				
103.000	955.000				
124.000	951.000				
146.000	945.500				
157.000	942.000				
105 500	942.000				
000000000	942.000				
222					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
110.000	1050.000	110.000	1050.000	5.000	5.000
5.000					
.000	.000	120.000	.000		
.000	.000				

the second se

A.2.10. EXAMPLE 10

112095LONG TERM STABILITY-CUT (FROM STABL USERS GUIDE) NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE NONCIRCULAR FULL N/A 10 .0624 76 15 .000 110.000 67.000 103.000 104.000 88.000 142.000 73.000 167.000 63.000 67.000 183.000 205.000 68.000 99999999999 .000 .500 .116 3.500 .500 14.000 .116 .000 .500 14.000 .124 .000 99999999999 .000 99.000 104.000 88.000 142.000 73.000 167.000 63.000 67.000 183.000 205.000 68.000 99999999999 .000 93.000 65.000 87.000 85.000 83.000 101.000 82.000 122.000 78.000 142.000 73.000 167.000 63.000 183.000 67.000 205.000 68.000 99999999999 76.000 .000 44.000 58.000 72.000 56.000 92.000 64.000 111.000 65.000 127.000 56.000 154.000 26.000 176.000 24.000 205.000 15.000 99999999999 .000 93.000

$\begin{array}{r} 65.000\\ 83.000\\ 101.000\\ 127.000\\ 142.000\\ 167.000\\ 183.000\\ 205.000\\ 999999999\\ 333\end{array}$	87.000 85.000 82.000 78.000 73.000 63.000 67.000 68.000		
99999999999			
.000	.000	.000	.000
.000	.000		
65.000	103.000		
69.230	96.960		
75.890	89.240		
82.740	82.260		
90.620	76.100		
99.120	70.840		
108.150	66.550		
117.600	63.270		
127.250	61.040		
137.280	59.890		
147.280	59.840		
157.230	60.880		
167.000	63.000		
99999999999			
.000	.000		

A.2.11. EXAMPLE 12

112095FRANKFO	RT	PROBL	EM:	EXAMPLE	12.
MODIFIED PERT	URE	ATION			
COMPUTE					
NONCIRCULAR					
FULL					
N/A					
.0624	76	. 15	10		
.000	638	.000			
75.000	636	.500			
93.000	636	.000			
100.000	634	.000			
110.000	630	.000			
136.000	621	000			
155.000	606	.000			
180.000	592	.500			
190.000	587	.500			
200.000	581	000			

- C

202.000 210.000 224.000 258.000	580.000 573.500 560.000 560.000	
999999999999999	23.800	.125
99999999999	591 000	
94.000	590.000	
240.000	540.000	
258.000	540.000	
.000	621.000	
74.500	612.500	
94.000	610.000	
110.000	603.000	
136.000	591.000	
200.000	571.000	
202.000	561.000	
210.500	557.500	
214.000	551,000	
258.000	544.000	
99999999999		
99999999999		
.000	.000	.000
.000	.000	
59.500	612.500	
94.000	593.000	
100.000	589.500	
136 000	585.500	
155.500	570.500	
180.000	562.000	
200.000	558.500	
212.500	550.500	
214.000	550.000	
225.500 229.000	557.500	
999999999999	2001000	
.000	.000	

A.2.12. EXAMPLE 13

3.500

.000

071795HOLLOW COAL WASTE DISPOSAL FILL

.225

NO			
HOPKINS '			
COMPUTE			
CIRCULAR			
FULL			
N/A			
.0624	76 20	0	
.000	653.000		
62.000	642.000		
576.000	540.000 590 000		
536 000	589.000		
676 000	539 000		
696 000	539 000		
836.000	489.000		
856,000	489,000		
996.000	439.000		
1016.000	439.000		
1154.000	389.000		
1221.000	386.000		
1576.000	245.000		
1800.000	245.000		
99999999999			
.409	30.300	.105	.000
.000	32.000	.106	.000
99999999999	652 000		
62 000	633.000		
236 000	588 000		
446.000	491.000		
646.000	394.000		
676.000	389.000		
1154.000	389.000		
1221.000	386.000		
1576.000	245.000		
1800.000	245.000		
99999999999			
.000	653.000		
62.000	642.000		
236.000	588.000		
446.000	491.000		
646.000 676.000	394.000		
963 000	326 000		
1172 000	310 000		
1296.000	293.000		
1396.000	271.000		
1576.000	227.000		
1800.000	227.000		
99999999999			
.333			

2

47

.

99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.100	.500				
1400.000	2050.000	1400.000	2050.000	40.000	40.000
20.000					
1000.000	400.000	1753.000	.000		
.000	.000				

A.2.13. EXAMPLE 14

112095EX. 1A;LAMBE/WHIT., P.359 F.S.=1.31(BISHOP-75SLICES NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE CIRCULAR FULL N/A 76 15 10 .0624 520.000 .000 112.000 520.000 142.500 500.000 200.000 500.000 99999999999 32.000 .125 3.500 .090 99999999999 .000 495.000 200.000 495.000 99999999999 .000 .000 107.500 515.000 514.000 112.500 512.700 511.750 115.000 510.750 117.500 120.000 510.000 122.000 509.000 123.750 508.000 125.750 507.000 127.000 505.750 128,500 504.500 130.000 503.250 131.250 501.250 132.750 500.500 133.000 500.000 200.000 500.000 99999999999 .333 99999999999 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000

.

137.000 000	530.000	137.000	530.000	.000	.000
.000	.000	30.000	.000		

A.2.14. EXAMPLE 15

112095MILL	CREEK	DAM	CIRCULAR	ANALYSIS
BISHOP'S				
COMPUTE				
CIRCULAR				
FULL				
N/A				
.0624	76	15	10	
.000	610.	.500		
648.000	610.	.500		
658.500	615.	.500		
668.000	62U.	.500		
700 000	021. 610	5000		
700.000	616	000		
800.000	578.	000		
950.000	559	.000		
1100.000	559.	.000		
99999999999				
.000		000	.062	2 3.500
.573	28.	.000	.135	5.000
.271	30.	600	.135	5.000
.305	25.	.900	.132	2.000
.000	33.	800	.133	L .000
999999999999		000		
.000	558.	000		
544.000	558.	500		
640.000 659 500	610	500		
668 000	620	500		
683 000	621	000		
700.000	619.	500		
722.000	616.	000		
800.000	578.	000		
950.000	559.	000		
1100.000	559.	000		
99999999999				
.000	558.	000		
544.000	558.	000		
648.000	610.	500		
658.500	615.	500		

5

÷.,

668.000 683.000 700.000 722.000 800.000 835.000 950.000 1100.000	620.500 621.000 619.500 616.000 578.000 560.000 559.000 559.000
.000 544.000 612.000 690.000 710.000 751.000 790.000 835.000 950.000 1100.000	558.000 558.000 558.000 610.000 558.000 560.000 560.000 560.000 559.000
$\begin{array}{r} 999999999999999999999999999999999999$	558.000 558.000 558.000 558.000 555.000 559.000 547.000 547.000 544.000 544.000 544.000 5459.000 559.000 559.000 559.000 559.000 559.000 559.000 559.000 559.000
$\begin{array}{r} .000\\ 548.000\\ 690.000\\ 694.000\\ 694.000\\ 706.000\\ 710.000\\ 950.000\\ 1100.000\\ 999999999\\ 900\\ \end{array}$	548.000 548.000 547.000 547.000 544.000 544.000 547.000 547.000 547.000 547.000
	~~~~~~

27

79 -

648.000 652.000 656.000 664.000 700.000 807.000 843.000 871.000 950.000 1100.000	610.500 606.000 592.000 593.000 589.000 577.000 572.000 569.000 559.000 559.000				
99999999999	555.000				
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
810.000	705.000	810.000	705.000	.000	.000
.000					
.000	.000	152.393	.000		
.000	.000				

#### A.2.15. EXAMPLE 15 (WEDGE)

112095MILL CREEK DAM-WEDGE ANALYSIS NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE NONCIRCULAR FULL N/A .0624 76 15 10 .000 610.500 648.000 610.500 658.500 615.500 668.000 620.500 621.000 683.000 700.000 619.500 616.000 722.000 800.000 578.000 559.000 950.000 559.000 1100.000 99999999999 .000 .000 .062 3.500 .000 28.000 .135 .573 .271 30.600 .135 .000 .132 .305 25.900 .000 33.800 .131 .000 .000

99999999999	
.000	558.000
544.000	558.000
658.500	615.500
668.000	620.500
683.000	621.000
700.000	619.500
800.000	578,000
950.000	559.000
1100.000	559.000
99999999999	558 000
544.000	558.000
648.000	610.500
658.500	615.500
668.000	620.500
700.000	619.500
722.000	616.000
800.000	578.000
835.000	560.000
1100.000	559.000
99999999999	
.000	558.000
544.000	558.000
690.000	610.000
710.000	610.000
751.000	558.000
790.000 835.000	560.000
950.000	559.000
1100.000	559.000
9999999999	
544.000	558.000
612.000	558.000
615.000	558.000
623.000	555.000
651.000	559.000
687.000	559.000
690.000	547.000
694.000	547.000
706.000	544.000
710.000	547.000
712.000	559.000

· - - 13,

751.000 790.000 835.000	558.000 560.000 560.000		
950.000 1100.000 9999999999	559.000		
$.000 \\ 548.000$	548.000 548.000		
690.000 694.000	547.000 547.000		
694.000 706.000	544.000 544.000		
710.000 950.000	547.000 547.000		
1100.000 9999999999	547.000		
.000 648.000	610.500 610.500		
652.000 656.000	606.000 602.000		
664.000	598.000 593.000		
700.000	589.000		
843.000	572.000		
950.000	559.000		
99999999999	559.000		
99999999999			
.000	.000	.000	.000
700.000 751.000	619.500 558.000		
860.000 874.000	558.000 568.000		
999999999999 000.	.000		

1 2 1 222

# A.2.16. EXAMPLE 16

112095MILL CREEK DAM- UPSTREAM SLOPE NO MODIFIED PERTURBATION COMPUTE CIRCULAR FULL

-00-

N/A			
.0624 .000 150.000 228.000 250.000 267.000 282.000 302.000 382.230 980.000 980.000	$\begin{array}{cccc} 76 & 15 \\ 559.000 \\ 578.000 \\ 616.000 \\ 619.500 \\ 621.000 \\ 620.500 \\ 610.500 \\ 570.000 \\ 570.000 \\ .000 \end{array}$	10	
.000 .573 .271 .305 .000	.000 28.000 30.600 25.900 33.800	.062 .135 .135 .132 .131	3.500 .000 .000 .000 .000
$\begin{array}{r} .000\\ 150.000\\ 228.000\\ 250.000\\ 267.000\\ 282.000\\ 302.000\\ 382.230\\ 406.000\\ 980.000\\ \end{array}$	559.000 578.000 616.000 619.500 621.000 620.500 610.500 570.000 558.000		
.000 115.000 150.000 228.000 250.000 267.000 302.000 302.000 382.230 406.000 980.000	559.000 560.000 578.000 616.000 619.500 621.000 620.500 610.500 570.000 558.000		
.000 115.000 240.000 260.000 338.000 406.000 980.000 9999999999	559.000 560.000 558.000 610.000 558.000 558.000 558.000 558.000		

.000	559.000				
115.000	560.000				
119.000	558.000				
238.000	558.000				
240.000	547.000				
244.000	547.000				
244.000	544.000				
256.000	544.000				
256.000	547.000				
260.000	547.000				
263.000	559.000				
299.000	559.000				
310.000	554.000				
327.000	555.000				
335.000	558.000				
338.000	558.000				
406.000	558.000				
980.000	558.000				
99999999999					
.000	547.000				
240.000	547.000				
244.000	547.000				
244.000	544.000				
256.000	544.000				
256.000	547.000				
260.000	547.000				
406.000	548.000				
980.000	548.000				
99999999999					
.000	559.000				
150.000	578.000				
251.000	589.000				
272.000	594.000				
286.000	598.000				
292.000	601.000				
298.000	606.000				
302.000	610.500				
382.230	570.000				
980.000	570.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
360.000	780.000	420.000	700.000	20.000	20.000
5.000					
332.000	580.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				

- 10 C

55

. . . . . . .
32

# A.2.17. EXAMPLE 17

112095CHIRAP NO BISHOP'S COMPUTE	UNTA/DUNCA	AN: FIG. 34	
CIRCULAR			
FULL N/A			
.0624 .000 80.000 100.000 135.640 500.000 9999999999	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 76 & 15 \\ 68.100 \\ 68.100 \\ 68.100 \\ 50.000 \\ 50.000 \\ 50.000 \end{array}$	10	
2.000 .250 .300 .350 .400 .450 .500 .550 .600	$\begin{array}{r} 15.000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \\ .000 \end{array}$	.126 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095 .095	.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .000 .00
.000	50.000		
500.000 9999999999	50.000		
.000 500.000	$45.000 \\ 45.000$		
.000 500.000	$40.000 \\ 40.000$		
.000	35.000 35.000		
.000 500.000	30.000 30.000		
99999999999 .000 500.000	25.000 25.000		
.000	20.000 20.000		
.000 500.000	15.000 15.000		

~

10.000				
10.000				
.000	.000	.000		
.000				
70.000	117.800	70.000	5.000	5.000
.000	35.000	.000		
.000				
	10.000 10.000 .000 .000 70.000 .000 .000	10.000 10.000 .000 .000 .000 70.000 117.800 .000 35.000 .000	10.000 10.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 70.000 117.800 70.000 .000 35.000 .000	10.000 10.000 .000 .000 .000 .000 70.000 117.800 70.000 5.000 .000 35.000 .000

-----

A.2.18. EXAMPLE 17 (WITH TENSION CRACK)

112095CHIRA NO	PUNTA/DUNCAN	N: FIG. 34,	TENSION	CRACK
BISHOP'S				
COMPUTE				
CIRCULAR				
FULL				
N/A		1.0		
.0624	76 15	10		
.000	68.100			
80.000	68.100			
125 640	58,100 E0 000			
135.040	50.000			
000.000	50.000			
2 000 5	15 000	126	000	
2.000	.000	.095	.000	
300	.000	.095	.000	
.350	.000	.095	.000	
.400	.000	.095	.000	
.450	.000	.095	.000	
.500	.000	.095	.000	
.550	.000	.095	.000	
.600	.000	.095	.000	
99999999999				
.000	50.000			
500.000	50.000			
99999999999				
.000	45.000			
500.000	45.000	·		
777777777777	40.000			
.000	40.000			
500.000	40.000			

99999999999					
.000	35.000				
500.000	35.000				
99999999999					
.000	30.000				
500.000	30.000				
99999999999					
.000	25.000				
500.000	25.000				
99999999999					
.000	20.000				
500.000	20,000				
99999999999					
.000	15.000				
500,000	15.000				
99999999999					
.000	10.000				
500,000	10.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
117,800	70,000	117.800	70.000	5.000	5.000
4.000					
.000	.000	35,000	.000		
18,100	.000				

20

### A.2.19. BILLIARD AND WU EXAMPLE

WU EXAMPLE (GEOS.'91, P.537-548) YES BISHOP'S COMPUTE CIRCULAR FIXED REINFORCEMENT END .0624 76 80.000 5.200

80.000	5.200		
106.800	5.200		
106.800	100		
110.000	100		
99999999999			
.000	39.000	.095	.000
99999999999			
80.000	100		
110.000	100		

.

**58** 

- Sec.

99999999999					
100.000	2.660				
106.800	2.660				
.000	.000	.000	.000		
107.000	206.000	307.000	6.000	10.000	10.000
5.000					
106.800	050	.000	.200		
.000	.000				
6.800	106.800	5.190	.900	.900	.420
4.800	106.800	4.000	.900	.900	.420
1.500	106.800	3.830	.900	.900	.420
4.300	106.800	2.900	.900	.900	.420
1.500	106.800	2.730	.900	.900	.420
3.800	106.800	1.800	.900	.900	.420
1.500	106.800	1.630	.900	.900	.420
3.400	106.800	.850	.900	.900	.420
1.500	106.800	.700	.900	.900	.420
3.000	106.800	.000	.900	.900	.420
99999999999					

### A.2.20. WRIGHT AND DUNCAN EXAMPLE

112095WRIGHT	AND DUNCA	N, TRR 133	0, EXAMPLE	2,	SECOND	ITER
BISHOPIS						
COMPLITE						
CTRCULAR						
FULL						
FREE REINFOR	CEMENT END					
.0624	76 15	10				
150.000	10.000					
200.000	10.000					
220.000	.000					
250.000	.000					
99999999999						
.000	35.000	.105	.000			
.200	.000	.100	.000			
99999999999						
150.000	.000					
250.000	.000					
999999999999						
150.000	-10.000					
250.000	-10.000					
99999999999						

59

.....

.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
200.000	30.000	220.000	10.000	1.000	1.000
5.000					
200.000	10.000	.000	5.000		
.000	.000				
70.000	220.000	.000	.900	.900	3.000
99999999999					

1947

# A.2.21. HADJ-HAMOE EXAMPLE (CIRCULAR)

07 495HADJ-	HAMOE EXAMPI	<b>JE, TRR, 1277</b>	7,1990,80-89	,CIRCULAR
YES				
BISHOP'S				
COMPUTE				
CIRCULAR				
FULL				
FREE REINFC	RCEMENT END	F		
.0624	/6 15	5		
100.000	.000			
132.000	2,000			
167 000	2.000			
195 000	10 000			
205 000	10,000			
233 000	3,000			
259.000	3.000			
268.000	.000			
350.000	.000			
99999999999				
.200	.000	.100	.000	
.400	.000	.105	.000	
.000	30.000	.120	.000	
.150	.000	.074	.000	
.150	.000	.095	.000	
.200	.000	.098	.000	
.275	.000	.098	.000	
.400	.000	.098	.000	
99999999999	000			
100.000	.000			
155.000	.000			
105.000	10 000			
195.000	10.000			
200.000	10.000			

227

233.000	3.000				
245.000	.000				
350.000	.000				
999999999999					
100.000	.000				
168.000	.000				
181.600	3.000				
214.400	3.000				
234.000	.000				
350.000	.000				
99999999999					
100.000	.000				
350.000	.000				
99999999999					
100.000	-15.000				
350.000	-15.000				
99999999999					
100.000	-20.000				
350.000	-20.000				
99999999999					
100.000	-30.000				
350.000	-30.000				
99999999999					
100.000	-40.000				
350.000	-40.000				
99999999999					
100.000	-55.000				
350.000	-55.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
195.000	210.000	350.000	10.000	10.000	10.000
5.000					
195.000	10.000	.000	1.000		
.000	.000				
32.800	214.400	3.000	1.000	1.000	2.350
49.200	224.200	1.500	1.000	1.000	2.350
99999999999					

### A.2.22. HADJ-HAMOE EXAMPLE (NONCIRCULAR)

07 495HADJ-HAMOE EXAMPLE, TRR, 1277, 1990, 80-89, NONCIRCULAR YES MODIFIED PERTURBATION 61

- CS-C

COMPUTE NONCIRCULAR			
FULL			
FREE REINFOR	CEMENT END		
.0624	76 15	5	
100.000	.000		
132.000	.000		
138.000	2.000		
167.000	3.000		
195.000	10.000		
205.000	3 000		
253.000	3.000		
268 000	000		
350.000	.000		
999999999999			
.200	.000	.100	.000
.400	.000	.105	.000
.000	30.000	.120	.000
.150	.000	.074	.000
.150	.000	.095	.000
.200	.000	.098	.000
.275	.000	.098	.000
.400	.000	.098	.000
100 000	000		
155 000	.000		
167 000	3.000		
195.000	10.000		
205.000	10.000		
233.000	3.000		
245.000	.000		
350.000	.000		
99999999999			
100.000	.000		
168.000	.000		
181.600	3.000		
214.400	3.000		
350 000	.000		
9999999999	.000		
100,000	.000		
350.000	.000		
99999999999			
100.000	-15.000		
350.000	-15.000		
99999999999			
100.000	-20.000		
350.000	-20.000		
99999999999			
100.000	-30.000		

350.000	-30.000				
99999999999	40.000				
100.000	-40.000				
350.000	-40.000				
999999999999					
100.000	-55.000				
350.000	-55.000				
99999999999					
.333					
99999999999					
.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
187.000	7.990				
212.000	-20.000				
260.000	-20.000				
280.000	.000				
99999999999					
.000	.000				
32.800	214.400	3.000	1.000	1.000	2.350
49.200	224.200	1.500	1.000	1.000	2.350
99999999999					

# A.2.23. 45-DEGREES REINFORCED SLOPE

12 89545.0 YES BISHOP'S COMPUTE	degrees slo	ope					
CIRCULAR							
FULL							
FIXED REINFORCEMENT END							
62.4000	76 15	10					
-152.000	38.000						
-38.000	38.000						
.000	.000						
76.000	.000						
99999999999							
.000	32.000	125.000	.000				
99999999999							
-152.000	.000						
76.000	.000						
99999999999							
.333							
-152.000	240.000						
-38.000	240.000						
99999999999							

4960 (C. 1977) - 1977) - 1

.000	.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
-19.000	114.000	57.000	38.000	7.600	7.600
9.500					
-19.000	19.000	.000	.000		
.000	.000				
29.039	667	.667	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-2.000	2.000	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-3.333	3.333	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-4.667	4.667	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-6.000	6.000	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-7.333	7.333	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-8.667	8.667	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-10.000	10.000	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-11.333	11.333	.900	.900	1000.000
29.039	-12.667	12.667	.900	.900	1000.000
26.348	-14.000	14.000	.900	.900	1000.000
26.348	-16.667	16.667	.900	.900	1000.000
26.348	-19.333	19.333	.900	.900	1000.000
26.348	-22.000	22.000	.900	.900	1000.000
26.348	-24.667	24.667	.900	.900	1000.000
23.656	-28.667	28.667	.900	.900	1000.000
23.656	-34.000	34.000	.900	.900	1000.000
99999999999					

64

,

------