Coupled Computation of Fluid and **Material Response for Non-charring** Ablative Materials in Hypersonic Flow Jonathan E. Wiebenga and Iain D. Boyd University of Michigan 5th Ablation Workshop Lexington, Kentucky 2/29/2011

Funded through the Air Force Research Laboratory







Outline



- Project overview
- 2D/Axisymmetric material response code
- Fluid and material response coupling
- IRV-2 results
- Future Work





- Focus on material response modeling of non-charring ablative materials
- Provide high-fidelity estimates of the thermal environment in new light-weight anisotropic TPS materials under realistic hypersonic flow conditions
 - full 3D capability
 - model radiative heat transport within ablative materials
 - couple material response with external flow solver
- Predict thermal stresses Introduced due to thermal gradients
- Provide maximum operating temperatures for a given material selection





- Material Response: control volume finite element (CVFEM) code for modeling ablation phenomena:
 - Solves energy equation for 2D/Axisymmetric geometries
 - Handles non-charring anisotropic ablative materials
 - Implicit time integration (Backward Euler -> 1st order in time)
 - Newton's Method with preconditioned GMRES for linear system
 - Can be loosely coupled to a CFD code through an aerodynamic heating boundary condition

$$\int_{cs} \dot{\mathbf{q}}'' \cdot d\mathbf{A} - \int_{cs} \rho h \mathbf{v}_{cs} \cdot d\mathbf{A} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{cv} \rho e dV = 0$$

Conduction grid convection Energy Content





- Dual mesh formed from initial triangular mesh
- Linear shape functions used to interpolate nodal data
- Global sensitivity matrix assembled element by element





Boundary Conditions



- Supported boundary conditions
 - Specified temperature
 - Specified heat flux
 - Radiation

$$\dot{q}_{rad}^{\prime\prime} = \epsilon \sigma (T_{bnd}^4 - T_{res}^4)$$

Aerodynamic heating

$$\dot{q}_{ah}^{\prime\prime} = \rho_e u_e C_h (h_w - h_r)$$

Thermochemical ablation model

$$\dot{q}_{abl}^{\prime\prime} = \rho_s \dot{s} h_w$$
$$\dot{m}_{abl}^{\prime\prime} = \rho_s \dot{s} = \rho_e u_e C_m B_c^\prime$$

- B'_c is determined from user generated thermochemistry table
- The surface recession rate determines a nodal velocity along the boundary, which can be used to calculate new nodal position





- Treat the mesh as a linear elastic solid and solve equilibrium equations with zero body force (Lynch et. al. 1980) (Hogan et. al. 1996) (Dec 2010)
 - Equilibrium equations can be written in terms of displacements by using constituitive equations and strain definitions
 - Poisson's ratio is only necessary material property and it can be arbitrarily chosen (taken as 0.0 for this work)
 - Boundary displacements from thermochemical ablation model
 - GMRES used to solve system of equations



Boundary conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{s}\Delta tn_x \\ \dot{s}\Delta tn_y \\ \dot{s}\Delta tn_z \end{bmatrix}$$

2/29/2012





- To advance the solution a time step the method of Hogan, Blackwell, and Cochran is used (Hogan et. al. 1996)
 - Move the mesh based on \dot{s}^i
 - Update temperatures
 - Calculate \dot{s}^{i+1} based on new temperatures
 - Compute average change of temperature between *i* and *i*+1 for ablating nodes to determine temperature and recession rate convergence

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |T_j^{i+1} - T_j^i|$$



Coupling with CFD

- Current coupling implementation
 - The material response code and CFD provide boundary conditions for each other
 - Boundary values are interpolated between codes
 - After material response has converged, the flow field mesh is adjusted and the CFD code is run with updated boundary values













- LeMANS: finite volume Navier-Stokes solver for hypersonic flows developed at the University of Michigan (Martin et. al. 2012)
 - Handles 2D, 3D, and axisymmetric configurations
 - Can compute weakly ionized flow with thermal (trans/rot/vib) and chemical nonequilibrium
 - Time integration using a point or line implicit method
 - Can move mesh for 2D/axisymmetric geometries if modeling ablation
 - Has previously been coupled to the 1D material response code, MOPAR (Martin et. al. 2009)



Coupling Continued



- Shepard's Method for code-to-code interpolation (Franke 1982)
 - Inverse distance weighting method that works in both 2D and 3D
 - A minimum number of points within a radius, *R*, are used for weighting





IRV-2



- Well documented re-entry simulation (Hassan et. al. 2001)
- Non-charring carbon-carbon ablator
- Will allow comparison to 1D MOPAR results (Martin et. al. 2009)







IRV-2 Mesh







IRV-2 Conditions



- Two simulations performed
 - No recession (i.e. $B'_c = 0$), radiative boundary
 - Recession included, radiative boundary
- Procedure
 - Run trajectory point 0 with no material response
 - Run trajectory point 1 with the flow field initialized to the point 0 solution, and the solid initialized to 300 K
 - Call material response every 100 flow iterations
 - Monitor convergence of flow field residual
- 5 species air chemistry model

Trajectory point	Time [s]	Altitude [m]	Velocity [m/s]	Temperature [K]	Density [kg/m³]
0	0.00	66700	6780	228	1.25 x 10 ⁻⁴
1	4.25	56000	6790	258	5.05 x 10 ⁻⁴



IRV-2 Results







IRV-2 Results Cont.









- Internal temperatures are much higher than published 1D data
- Grid deformation scheme appears robust and maintains a smooth surface profile
- Wall temperatures calculated by LeMANS and the material response code show good convergence





Summary



- A multidimensional material response code is being developed at the University of Michigan
- The material response code has been loosely coupled to the hypersonic CFD code, LeMANS
- Preliminary results for an axisymmetric coupled simulation of the IRV-2 vehicle have been shown





- Continue code verification and validation
 - IRV-2
 - PANT
- Extend material response and CFD coupling to 3D
- Include thermal stress calculations in the material response code

Questions?



References



- 1. Lynch, D. R. and O'Neill, K., "Elastic Grid Deformation for Moving Boundary Problems in Two Space Dimensions," *Finite Elements in Water Resources*, Vol. 2, 1980, pp.7.111 – 7.120.
- Hogan, R. E., Blackwell, B. F., and Cochran, R. J., "Application of Moving Grid Control Volume Finite Element Method to Ablation Problems," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, Vol. 10, No. 2, April-June, 1996.
- 3. Dec, John A., "Three Dimensional Finite Element Ablative Thermal Response Analysis Applied to Heatshield Penetration Design," *Ph.D. Thesis,* Georgia Institute of Technology, 2010.
- 4. Franke, Richard, "Scattered Data Interpolation: Tests of Some Methods", *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 38, No. 157, January, 1982.
- Hassan, B., Kuntz, D. W., Salguero, D. E., Potter, D. L., "A coupled Fluid/Thermal/Flight Dynamics Approach for Predicting Hypersonic Vehicle Performance", 35th Thermophysics Conference, June 11-14, 2001, Anaheim, California, AIAA Paper 2001-2903.
- 6. Martin, A., Boyd, I. D., "Strongly Coupled Computation of Material Response and Nonequilibrium Flow for Hypersonic Ablation", *41st AIAA Thermophysics Conference*, June 22-25, 2009, San Antonio, Texas, AIAA Paper 2009-3597.
- 7. Martin, A., Scalabrin, L.C., and Boyd, I.D., "High Performance Modeling of Atmospheric Re-entry Vehicles," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series,* Vol. 341, 2012, Article 012002.