## *5<sup>th</sup> Ablation Workshop* Lexington, Kentucky – 29 February 2012

# Aerothermal Characterization of Silicon Carbide-Based TPS in High Enthalpy Airflow

# F. Panerai O. Chazot



von Karman Institute for Fluid Dynamics, Belgium

## Atmospheric Reentry and Gas-Surface Interaction

[NASA TM-101055, 1989] ENTHALPY, MJ/kg





Intermediate eXperimental Vehicle (IXV) Gas-surface interaction is

characterized by highly exothermic chemistry which impose the use of a Thermal Protection System.

For reusable TPS we need to account for:

1. recombination reactions

(catalysis)

- 2. oxidation
- 3. radiative heat transfer

### **Gas Surface Interaction Phenomena**



#### Oxidation

PASSIVE: formation of protective silica layer  $SiC_{(s)}+3/2O_{2(g)} \rightarrow SiO_{2(s)}+CO_{(g)}$ ACTIVE: formation of gaseous silicon products  $SiC_{(s)}+O_{2(g)} \rightarrow SiO_{(g)}+CO_{2(g)}$ 





# **Background and Objectives**



ESA project for a LEO lifting reentry demonstrator Main mission objectives are:

- advancement on TPS technologies
- study aerothermodynamic phenomena during the reentry

#### **Our Goal**

Contribute, through ground testing, to the Aerothermal Database of the IXV mission proving assessment of the oxidative, catalytic and radiative behavior of the CMC Thermal Protection System



## Outline

- The VKI Plasmatron facility
- Methodology and Instrumentation
- Test overview and operating conditions
- Results:
  - In-situ emissivity measurements
  - Room temperature reflectivity measurements
  - Oxidation assessment
  - Catalycity determination
  - Gas phase radiative signature
- Summary and outlook

### The VKI Plasmatron Facility



## The VKI Plasmatron Facility, contd.

How it works: electromagnetic induction



Local Heat Transfer Simulation (LHTS):

$$H_e^f = H_e^t \quad p_e^f = p_e^t \quad \beta_e^f = \beta_e^t$$

under thermochemical equilibrium

Kolesnikov, Fluid Dynamics 28 (1) (1993) 131-137 Barbante and Chazot, JTHT 20 (3) (2006) 493–499







### Instrumentation



## **Plasmatron Experiments Overview**





25 SPS C/SiC and 6 MTA C/SiC samples tested at different temperatures and pressures

#### Procedures:

- Sample exposure to plasma stream at target steady state conditions
- Sample ejection and flow calibration (heat flux and dynamic pressure measurements)





# **Test Conditions**

#### Target conditions:

- Static pressure: 1300 5000 Pa
- Wall temperature: 1200 2000 K
- Test time: 300 sec at steady state

#### Flow Measurements:

- Cold wall heat flux: 160 1600 kW/m<sup>2</sup>
- Dynamics pressure: 25 300 Pa

## Rebuilding (BL edge conditions):

- Enthalpy: 5 35 MJ/kg
- Temperature: 3000 6000 K



In-situ Emissivity Measurements



Good radiative behavior ( $\epsilon > 0.7$ )

[Alfano et al., JECS, 29 (2009) 2045-2051]

- Emissivity increases up to T<sub>w</sub>=1600 K and decreases at higher T
- Good comparison with literature data

## Room Temperature Reflectivity Measurements



Relative strengths of the  $SiO_2$  and SiC spectral features can be used as markers for passive/active oxidation of ceramics

[Marschall and Fletcher, JECS 30 (2010) 2323-2336]

## Variation of the 9 µm SiO<sub>2</sub> Feature with P and T

# SPS C/SiC



Passive ox. (formation of glassy silica): high P, low T Active ox. (volatilization of silica): low P, high T The 9 µm feature correlates the predicted oxidation behavior of a SiC surface:

- SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness increases with pressure and temperature up to 1800 K
- At 1800 K and low pressure SiO<sub>2</sub> starts to volatilize
- At 2000 K only few SiO<sub>2</sub> at high pressure

## Variation of the 9 µm SiO<sub>2</sub> Feature with P and T



Passive ox. (formation of glassy silica): high P, low T Active ox. (volatilization of silica): low P, high T The 9 µm feature correlates the predicted oxidation behavior of a SiC surface:

- SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness increases with pressure and temperature up to 1800 K
- At 1800 K and low pressure SiO<sub>2</sub> starts to volatilize
- At 2000 K only few SiO<sub>2</sub> at high pressure

## Variation of the 9 µm SiO<sub>2</sub> Feature with T and P, contd.

MTA C/SiC



SiO<sub>2</sub> features grow with decreasing temperature and increasing pressure

## Passive/Active Oxidation Assessment



## **Catalycity Coefficients**



- Catalycity coefficients between 10<sup>-3</sup> and 10<sup>-1</sup>
- ~50% reduction with respect to the fully catalytic condition
- γ increases with in increasing surface temperature and decreasing pressure
  [Balat and Bêche., ASS. 256 (2010) 4906–4914]

## Gas Phase Radiative Signature by OES



- Si emission appears during C/SiC testing
- Si at 252 and 288 nm observed by several authors
- Si as indicator of PAT (SiO<sub>2</sub> volatilization)

[Hirsch et al., HTHP. 31 (1999) 455–465] [Altmann et.al., HTHP. 32 (2000) 573–579] [Jentschke et al., RSI 70 (1999) 336–339] [Herdrich et al., JSR, 42 (2005) 817–824.]



Si ( $\lambda$ =252 nm) Emission History



Si emission correlates the passive/active oxidation behavior found by reflectivity measurements:

SiO<sub>2</sub> volatilization decreases with pressure and increases with temperature

## Summary and Outlook

- **1.** Charactarization of the the catalytic, radiative and oxidation behavior of the IXV TPS materials
- 2. Silica features found on the reflectivity spectra of plasma exposed specimens
- **3.** Silica features intensity varies with P and T according to the predicted passive/active oxidation behavior for SiC
- 4. Si emission in front of the test specimens well correlates the predicted  $SiO_2$  volatilization due to oxidation

- Extrapolation to flight...
- Uncertainty quantification...
- Very high heat fluxes...
- GSI models validation benchmark

Thanks for your attention...

... questions?

# panerai@vki.ac.be



Thanks to:











## **Emissivity Measurement Techniques**





Room temperature measurements\*

 $r(\lambda)$  is measured by:

MIR spectrometer (2.1 µm – 40 µm)

UV/VIS/NIR spectrometer (0.25 µm – 2.5 µm)

\*performed at ESA ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

 $\int_{\varepsilon}^{40\mu m} (1 - r(\lambda)) E(\lambda, T) d\lambda$  $\varepsilon(T) = \frac{0.25\mu m}{10}$ 

$$\int_{0.25\mu m}^{40\mu m} E(\lambda,T) d\lambda$$



### **Catalycity Determination Procedure**





#### Catalycity Determination Procedure, contd.



### We Determine an Effective, Apparent Catalycity

Effective catalycity:

$$\gamma_{eff} = \gamma \beta$$

where:

$$\beta = \frac{q_{rec}}{D}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{M_r}{M_{\downarrow}}$$

Energy accommodation coefficient

Recombination efficiency

#### Apparent catalycity:

$$\gamma_{app} = \frac{S_{wet}}{S_{geom}} \gamma_{intrinsic}$$
 where:  $\frac{S_{wet}}{S_{geom}}$  Roughness  $\gamma_{intrinsic}$  True catalycity

## **Boundary Layer Rebuilding**

#### Edge mass fractions



#### Stagnation line species at 3000 Pa





## Extrapolation to Flight

LHTS is valid if:

## Extrapolation to Flight, contd.



von Karman Institute - F. Panerai: panerai@vki.ac.be

## 1.8 MW/m<sup>2</sup> Heat Flux Test



von Karman Institute – F. Panerai: panerai@vki.ac.be

#### 1.8 MW/m<sup>2</sup> Heat Flux Test - Gas Phase Radiative Signature



