

Ablation Modeling of a Solid Rocket Nozzle

Mark E. Ewing, Galen H. Richards, Micheal P. Iverson, and Daron A. Isaac ATK Aerospace Systems, Promontory, Utah

5th Ablation Workshop February 28 – March 1, 2012, Lexington, Kentucky



Overview



- **Castor 30[®] motor description**
- Ablation model
- CFD (Fluent[®]) modeling for boundary conditions
- Hero ablation modeling
- FEM Builder model coupling
- **Modeling results**
- **FEM Builder coupling capabilities**
- **On-going work**

Motor Description



CASTOR 30



VECTORABLE NOZZLE IN-LINE UPPER STAGE BOOSTER

The CASTOR 30 is a low cost, robust, state-of-the-art upper stage motor. This development motor is 138 in. long and nominally designed as an upper stage that can function as a second or third stage depending on the vehicle configuration. The design of the CASTOR 30 uses all flight proven technology and materials.

MOTOR DIMENSIONS

Motor diameter,	, in	92
Motor length, in		38

MOTOR PERFORMANCE (73°F VACUUM)

Burn time, sec	143
Average chamber pressure, psia	762
Total impulse, lbf-sec	8.34M
Web time average thrust, lbf	58,200

NOZZLE

Housing material	Aluminum
Exit diameter, in	
Expansion ratio, average	50

WEIGHTS, LBM

Total loaded	
Propellant	
Case	
Nozzle/Igniter/TVA	748
Other	1,051

TEMPERATURE LIMITS

0	peration	 +30	°-100)°F
	•			

PROPELLANT DESIGNATION

...... Modified TP-H8299, HTPB polymer, 20% aluminum PRODUCTION STATUS......In-design

Ablation Model (In-Depth)



Pyrolysis

$$\frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} = -(\rho_v - \rho_c) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$$

rate of solid density change

 $\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i}^{x_i \alpha_i}}{\sum_{i} x_i}$ overall versus component extent-of-reaction



$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = A_i e^{\left(-\frac{E_{a,i}}{RT}\right)} (1 - \alpha_i)^{m_i}$

Arrhenius model for component extent-of-reaction

Mass/Momentum Equation



generation

advection (permeation)

storage

Neglected storage (quasi-steady)

$$(\rho_v - \rho_c)\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{\rho}_g}{\mu_g} \mathbf{\Gamma} \nabla P\right) = 0$$

generation

advection (permeation)

1-D simplification (with neglected storage)

$$\dot{m}_{g}''(x_{p}) = -\frac{1}{A} \int_{x_{p}}^{x_{b}} A \frac{\partial \rho_{s}}{\partial t} dx$$

Energy Equation

 $(Q_s - h_s + h_g)(\rho_v - \rho_c)\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + \hat{\rho}_g \phi \frac{\partial h_g}{\partial t} + \rho_s \frac{\partial h_s}{\partial t} + \hat{\rho}_g \mathbf{v}_D \cdot \nabla h_g - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{K} \nabla T = 0$ pyrolysis energy storage advection conduction



Hero (Heat Transfer and Erosion Analysis Program)

Ablation Model (Surface Model)





Control-surface for energy balance



Unity Lewis number

$$q_{cond}'' = \rho_e u_e C_H [H_r - (1 + \mathbf{B}')h_w + B_c'h_c + B_g'h_g] + \alpha_w q_{rad,inc}'' - \varepsilon \sigma T_w^4$$

Equal diffusion coefficients

$$q_{cond}'' = \rho_e u_e C_H (H_r - h_w)_{f.e.g} + \rho_e u_e C_M \left[\left(\sum_i K_{ie} - \sum_i K_{iw} \right) h_i^{T_w} + B_c' h_c + B_g' h_g - B' h_w \right] + \alpha_w q_{rad,inc}'' - \varepsilon \sigma T_w^4$$

Unequal diffusion coefficients

$$q_{cond}'' = \rho_e u_e C_H (H_r - h_w)_{f.e.g} + \rho_e u_e C_M \left[\left(\sum_i Z_{ie}^* - \sum_i Z_{iw}^* \right) h_i^{T_w} + B_c' h_c + B_g' h_g - B' h_w \right] + \alpha_w q_{rad,inc}'' - \varepsilon \sigma T_w^4$$

Surface ablation rate

$$\dot{s}_{chem} = \frac{\dot{m}_c''}{\rho_c} = \frac{B_c' \rho_e u_e C_M}{\rho_c}$$

ThermochemistryHeat tr"B-prime" tablescoefficitfrom ACE codeCFD m

Heat transfer coefficients from CFD modeling

CFD Modeling (Approach)





- 2D axi-symmetric, steady state, 2-phase, non-reacting CFD model
- Simulated 0.6, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, 135 sec burn times
- Propellant mass flow rate adjusted to match measured chamber pressure
- Gas properties from NASA Lewis chamber properties at appropriate pressures, frozen chamber C_p and molecular weight, temperature dependent thermal conductivity and viscosity
- K-Omega, SST turbulence model, y⁺ values 30-100
- 34.6 wt% Al₂O₃ liquid at equilibrium
 - 24% large agglomerates, sizes from quench bomb data (40-300 microns)
 - 76% fines
- ATK droplet breakup model with size dependent critical Weber number

CFD Modeling (Mach #)





60 sec

135 sec

Hero Ablation Modeling (Overview)



- 81,092 elements (1st order)
- Heat transfer, pyrolysis, pore pressure, thermochemical surface ablation
- 0 155 sec
 - $-\Delta t = 0.01$ through 1.5 sec
 - $\Delta t = 0.05$ through 155 sec





Hero Ablation Modeling (Grid Details)





FEM Builder Model Coupling

- Initial boundary conditions calculated in Fluent and imported into Hero using FEM Builder (~ 0 sec)
- Hero run for 15 sec (boundary conditions modified within Hero based on transient pressure)
- Eroded surface (at 15 sec) imported into Fluent
- Boundary conditions recalculated
 - Eroded surface
 - Propellant burn-back
 - Imported into Hero
- Process repeated in 15 sec interaction intervals through 155 sec



Modeling Results (Temperature Contours at Intermediate Time)



Temperature Contours of Full Nozzle





Extent-of-Reaction (Char) Contours in Throat Region

Modeling Results (Animated)





FEM Builder Coupled Fluid-Thermal-Structural Interaction Analysis

Solutions type/Time domain

- CFD Quasi steady
- Heat transfer Transient
- Structural Quasi steady

CFD – Structural Interactions

- Pressure on structure
- Displacement of CFD boundary

CFD – Thermal Interactions

- Surface temperature for CFD model
- Heat flux from CFD model
- Ablation from thermal model (modifies CFD boundary)
- Particle impingement (Slag)

Thermal – Structural interactions

- Temperature is applied to the structural model
- Pore pressure (causes stress & permeability is strain dependent)
- Deformation of thermal model



Model Coupling Capabilities



Flow/Structural Coupling Example

14

On-going Work



- CFD 2-phase reacting flow
- Conjugate CFD/ablation modeling
- Grain burn-back modeling
- Additional GUI (FEM Builder) support for generation of coupling scripts
- Surface thermochemistry code development
- Slag impingement heating and erosion modeling
- Comprehensive validation against historical data
- Improved material property characterization methods

Acknowledgements

 This work was funded by the Air Force Research Laboratory, Solid Rocket Motor Branch at Edwards Air Force Base, under program direction of Lester Knox

Program availability

• For questions about availability contact:

Thomas Richardson, Program Manager <u>Thomas.Richardson@atk.com</u> (435) 863-3410

Charley Bown, Marketing Charley.Bown@atk.com

(435)863-5274