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## ABSTRACT OF DISSERTATION

$\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-2-Aminoethanethiolates

This theses presents the synthesis and characterization of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})-, \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{and} \operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{II})-$ aminoethanethiolates in aqueous media. 2-Aminoethanethiolate, a versatile sulfur and nitrogen $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N})$ based ligand was used due to its resemblance to the naturally occurring amino acid, cysteine. The work is presented in four major parts: first, background information on the versatile structural chemistry of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Hg -thiolates with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ containing ligands; second, synthesis and characterization of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ with 2aminoethanethiolates; third, synthesis and characterization and structural chemistry of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ with 2-aminoethanethiolates; and fourth, synthesis and characterization of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})-$ 2 -aminoethanethiolates in solution- and solid-state with emphasis on the mechanistic pathways for the formation of clusters.

The compounds reported here are synthesized by direct addition of the metal salts and the ligand in deionized water. For $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, insoluble products ( $\mathbf{7 7} \mathbf{- 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ -84) due to the formation of oligomers and polymers were obtained. In $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (85-89), the structural chemistry is variable due to the extensive array of coordination environments Pb can acquire. This can be related to the stoichiometry of the reaction as well as the reaction conditions. The structural trends in $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ - and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates are not observed in the $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. Rather the halide influences the formation of molecular as well as non-molecular structures. Systematic pathways for the formation of the compounds based on a variety of commonly observed structural 'building blocks' are presented. For $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ derivatives, a four-coordinate intermediate, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right](88$ - 96) and for I derivatives three-coordinate intermediates, $\left[\mathrm{HgI}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}(\mathrm{SR})\right](97$ 100) can be considered as building units. The compounds were characterized with IR/Raman, NMR, MS, Uv-Vis and X-ray crystallography.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction and Background

The organothiolate anion $\left(\mathrm{RS}^{-}\right)$is a fundamental ligand type that can be classified as a pseudohalide and compared to ligands such as $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$, due to the one-electron oxidation reaction.

$$
R^{-} \rightleftharpoons 1 / 2 R S S R+e^{-}
$$

The substituent 'R' can be controlled and adjusted for steric and electronic control of ligation ability. Metal-thiolates have been known since the beginning of coordination chemistry. However, in the last few decades interest in the study of these compounds has ensued as a result of the following factors. ${ }^{1-7}$

1. The toxic effect of soft heavy metals such as $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Hg}$ and Pb . The intermediate compounds and ultimate binding sites of these elements and thiol groups.
2. The presence of thiolate donors in the coordination sphere of metal ions in active sites of metalloproteins. ${ }^{8}$ For example, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in peptide deformylase, ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ in the active site of nitrile hydratase, ${ }^{10}[\mathrm{NiFe}]$-hydrogenase, ${ }^{11}$ and metallothioneins containing $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Cd}$, $\mathrm{Cu} .{ }^{12}$
3. In the application of certain metal-thiolates in medicine, such as $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-thiolates for the treatment of arthritis and triphenylphosphinegold(I) compounds as antitumor agents. ${ }^{8,13}$ Recently technetium- and rhenium-thiolates have gained importance in medical radiotherapeutic applications. ${ }^{14}$
4. Metal-thiolates are known to be involved in radioactive protective efficacy and protection against alkylating reagents. These metal complexes contribute to a greater
capacity to scavenge the superoxide radicals produced on exposure to ionizing radiation. ${ }^{15,16}$
5. Use of volatile molecular metal-thiolates as starting materials in chemical vapor deposition (CVD). This requires the use of low molecular weight thiolates, which sublime at low pressure and temperature. ${ }^{17-19}$

Apart from these applications, metal-thiolates are interesting from a structural point of view, since they are known to adopt geometries of variable nuclearities with great structural complexity. This is due to the ease of formation of metal-thiolate bridges. Diversity in both the structural and physicochemical properties is observed for these sulfur-bridged compounds. The structural motifs were thought to be governed by two factors, coordination mode and geometry. ${ }^{20}$ However, an understanding of their structural chemistry has been hampered by their low solubility due to the formation of insoluble oligomers and polymers. The tendency toward polymerization can be modulated by manipulation of the group attached to the sulfur atom. In general an increase in the size of the ' $R$ ' group is associated with lower degrees of polymerization. However, in the case of metal ions with an $n d^{10}(\mathrm{n}-1) \mathrm{s}^{2}$ configuration the structural chemistry is variable. These complicating factors have yet to be adressed in any study of the biological activity of these elements.

This chapter provides background information on the diverse structural chemistry of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})-, \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. In the compounds discussed henceforth the metal $(\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Hg$)$ is attached to sulfur and/or nitrogen atoms and in some cases oxygen and halides. The examples selected are those deemed to be of most relevance to the biological binding of the metals. The goal was to determine how these elements are
bound in living systems. The hypothesis was that simple two-coordinate compounds, merely fulfilling the divalent oxidation state of the element, were too simplistic to be used as model systems. It was anticipated that much more complicated bonding arrangement could be obtained when thiols were combined with these elements.

### 1.1 Cadmium(II)-thiolates

Cadmium (Cd) is a soft, blue-white, malleable, lustrous metal or a grayish-white powder and common in nature as greenokite (CdS). It is used for electroplating, galvanization, and production of pigments, batteries and in several industrial processes. ${ }^{21}$ It is one of the 20 most toxic elements, which when released into the environment in sufficient, but low, amounts present a risk to human health. ${ }^{22}$ It is more efficiently absorbed from the lungs than from the gastrointestinal tract and is transported in blood by red blood cells and high-molecular weight proteins and accumulates in the kidney and liver. ${ }^{23}$ Chronic Cd exposure leads to renal toxicity characterized by tubular proteinuria and dietary intake is implicated in osteomalacia and osteoporosis. ${ }^{24}$ At the cellular level, Cd toxicity includes nuclear condensation, dilation of endoplasmic reticulum, followed by mitochondrial swelling. ${ }^{25}$

The presence of Cd in metallothionein (MT), a cysteine-rich low molecular weight protein, has increased the interest in its thiolate coordination chemistry. Most studies have focused on the interaction of Cd with amino acids. ${ }^{26}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates are generally synthesized by combination of the metal salt and ligand in common organic solvents. An electrochemical synthetic methodology is often used for heterocyclic
thiones, ${ }^{27}$ as well as mixed compounds such as bipyridine (bipy), phenanthroline (phen) and pyridine (py). In the case of simple thiols, salt metathesis is generally used. ${ }^{28}$

### 1.1.1 Mononuclear Compounds

The coordination number observed in mononuclear $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing both S and N with halide and/or counter anion is either four or six (Figure 1.1).
$\left[\mathrm{Cd}(2 \text {-methyl, 8-quinolinethiol })_{2}\right]$ (1) is one of the few structurally characterized mononuclear tetracoordinate Cd compounds with an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligand. ${ }^{29}$ The geometry around Cd can be best described as distorted tetrahedral with two strong Cd-S and two weak CdN bonds with distortion observed in the S-Cd-S and S-Cd-N angles (Table A1).

The coordination around Cd in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{HAmhexim})_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$ (Amhexim $=2-$ pyridineformamide-3-hexamethyleneiminylthiosemicarbazone and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{3})$ and I (4) $)^{30}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{HAmpip}) \mathrm{X}_{2}\right] \cdot$ DMSO (HAmpip $=2$-pyridineformamide-3-piperidylthiosemicarbazone) $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{5}), \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{6})$ and $\mathrm{I}(7))$ consists of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and halide atoms. ${ }^{31}$ Compounds 2-4 and 5-7 are isostructural with distortion towards a trigonal bipyramidal geometry. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{imine}}$ is shorter than $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}$ and in accord with distances observed in metal complexes with heterocyclic thiosemicarbazones. ${ }^{32}$ The Cd-X distances are different with the largest difference observed in $\mathbf{4}$, most probably due to the steric influence of I. However, significant differences in Cd-X bonds in 7 are not observed despite being isostructural to 4 . These compounds exhibit extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving amine, water molecule and halogen from neighboring molecule. The angle between thiosemicarbazone and pyridine ring decreases with the size of halogen atom ( $\mathbf{4}$ to 2 and 7 to 5), presumably due to the greater steric
effect of I. The mean plane deviation of the thiosemicarbazone unit is significantly larger than that observed in $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{HAmpip}) \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}, \mathrm{Co}^{2+/ 3+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right.$ and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and I). ${ }^{33}$ The NH--S interactions observed in 7 are not observed in 4, which may be due to the stronger Cd-I bond and the least deviation of the thiosemicarbazone unit.

In contrast to 2-7, the Cd coordination in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{Amhexim})_{2}\right](\mathbf{8})$ consists of only S and $\mathrm{N} .^{30}$ The geometry around Cd in $\mathbf{8}$ is approximately octahedral with the two ligands in a meridional arrangement. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are variable but in the range observed in 2-7. The distortion in the octahedral geometry is indicated by the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ angle of $152.0^{\circ}$ compared to $169.6^{\circ}$ observed in the related compound, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\text { Amhexim })_{2}\right] .{ }^{33}$ The planarity of the ligand and the angles involved in chelation are mainly responsible for the distortion from perfect octahedral geometry.

In the distorted octahedral geometry around Cd in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{pymS})_{2}(\mathrm{phen})\right](9)($ pymS $=$ pyridine-2-thiol), ${ }^{34}$ the S atoms are present in cis positions, whereas similar structures with $\mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Os}, \mathrm{Ni}$ and Zn have trans S atoms. ${ }^{35,36}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are close to those found in compounds containing $\mathrm{CdS}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CdS}_{4}$ environments. ${ }^{37,38}$ These distances are however, much smaller than mononuclear Cd(II)-thiolates with additional N -donor ligands. ${ }^{39}$ The Cd-N distances are considerably longer than those found in tetrahedral as well as octahedral $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. ${ }^{39}$

In $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NS}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{-40}$ the Cd is surrounded by ligands in an octahedral fashion with exocyclic Cd-S and Cd-N bonds. The small chelate angle associated with the N-C-S unit leads to a smaller distortion from trigonal prismatic toward octahedral geometry $\left(25.8^{\circ}\right)$. The Cd-S distances are longer than those observed in 9.

In contrast to $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{- 1 0}$, the octahedral coordination around Cd in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{bmppa})\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{MeOH}$ (11) (bmppa $=\mathrm{N}$-bis-2-(methylthio)ethyl-N-(6-pivaloylamido-2-pyridylmethyl)amine ${ }^{41}$ is completed by $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$. The amide O and one O atom from $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$occupy adjacent coordination positions yielding a distorted trigonal prismatic geometry. The strain associated with the angle O3-Cd-O2 $\left(76.9^{\circ}\right)$ is minimized by the distortion of the planarity of the amide chelate.

The compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(6 \text {-mercaptopurine })_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](\mathbf{1 2})^{42}$ contains one isolated, distorted-octahedral $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(6 \text {-mercaptopurine })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ unit, which is similar to that of $\mathbf{1}$ with two additional Cl and two non-coordinating 6-mercaptopurine units. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances $(2.719 \AA)$ are longer than those observed in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5}(\operatorname{avg} 2.475 \AA)$. In addition to $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds, weak NH--S interactions between coordinating and non-coordinating molecules are also observed.

### 1.1.2 Dinuclear Compounds

In $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}(3 \text {-trimethylsilyl-pyridine-2-thiolate })_{4}\right]$ (13), the dimer possesses a crystallographic center of symmetry (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{43}$ The Cd atoms are pentacoordinate in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry, where the bridging ligand acts as a $\left[\mathrm{N}-(\mu-\mathrm{S})_{2}\right]$ five-electron donor. The two Cd and two S bridging atoms are coplanar, with two longer and two shorter Cd-S bonds. The distortion around Cd is due to the steric constraints, where the angles (S1-Cd-N1, $62.06^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N} 2,64.84^{\circ}$ ) are more acute than $90^{\circ}$. The Cd-S distances are variable and depend on their position at either bridging or terminal sites. In contrast, the Cd-N distances are slightly longer than those observed in octahedral $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with additional N donor ligands (Table A2).
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Figure 1.1. Structures of selected mononuclear $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates.


Figure 1.2. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}(3 \text {-trimethylsilyl-pyridine-2-thiolate })_{4}\right]$ (13). ${ }^{43}$


Figure 1.3. Isostructural 14 and 15 (which differ in the counteranion). The counter ions and solvent molecules are not shown for clarity.

### 1.1.3 Trinuclear Compounds

The trinuclear compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left\{\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{L})_{2}\right\}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (14) and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left\{\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{L})_{2}\right\}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(15)(\mathrm{L}=2-[(3-$ aminopropyl $)$ amino $]$ ethanethiol) are similar with the presence of two types of Cd atoms (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{44}$ The geometry around the central $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is distorted tetrahedral, whereas the terminal $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ acquires a distorted octahedral geometry. The $\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{c}}$ - S distances (2.533-2.538 $\AA$ ) and angles $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Cd}} \mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{S}$ $\left(99.2-116^{\circ}\right)$ are comparable to $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with a $\mathrm{CdS}_{4}$ unit (2.450-2.635 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{45,46}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{S}$ distances $(2.695-2.706 \AA)$ are longer than the $\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{S}(2.533-2.538 \AA)$ distances but fall within the range observed for octahedral Cd (II)-thiolates (2.461-2.717 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{47}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are within the limit observed for $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing an additional N donor ligand (2.35-2.55 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{47}$

### 1.1.4 Tetranuclear Compounds

In $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left\{\mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{CdCl}_{2}\right]_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1 6}),\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left\{\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\} \mathrm{CdBr}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (17) and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{4}\left\{\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]$ (18) a tetranuclear unit is observed with variable geometry around the Cd atoms (Figure 1.4, Table A3). ${ }^{48,49}$

Two independent types of Cd atoms are observed in 16-18 with one of the Cd bound by an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate. The Cl in $\mathbf{1 6}$ bridges both octahedral and tetrahedral Cd atom. In 17, a distorted tetrahedral geometry is observed around two independent Cd atoms, namely $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$. In 18, one of the Cd atom is octahedrally coordinated to two bridging $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Cl}$ and two terminal N atoms, whereas the second Cd is bonded to two S and an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate to yield a highly distorted $\mathrm{CdS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ coordination environment. However, weak interactions involving Cl in $\mathbf{1 8}$ give rise to a distorted trigonal-
bipyramidal geometry around Cd . It is also observed that the Cl derivative of $\mathbf{1 7}$ reacts with excess of thiolate ligand to form 18.

### 1.1.5 Hexanuclear Compounds

The hexanuclear $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{dmpymt})_{2}\right\}_{6}\right]($ dmpymt $=4,6$-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thione $)$ (19) consists of a non-regular hexagon of six Cd atoms (Figure 1.5). ${ }^{50}$ Each Cd is coordinated to two N and four bridging S atoms to acquire a distorted octahedral geometry. The ligand acts as a $\left[\mathrm{N}(\mu-\mathrm{S})_{2}\right]$ five-electron donor unit similar to that observed in 13.

The Cd-S distances in the ring are variable (2.638-2.761 $\AA$ ) but in accord with six-coordinate $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing $\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ unit (2.543-2.649 $\AA$ and 2.809-3.129 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{51}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ distances ( 2.360 and $2.380 \AA$ ) are similar to $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing an additional N donor ligand such as $\left[\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right](2.350 \AA) .{ }^{52}$ The cavity in $\mathbf{1 9}$ is large enough to accommodate small molecules such as acetonitrile, carbon monoxide and molecular iodine.

### 1.1.6 Polynuclear Compounds

The thiolate form of 6-mercaptopurine (MP) with $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ forms a compound that is polymeric $\quad\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{MP}^{-}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(\mathbf{2 0})$, whereas the thione $(\mathrm{HMP})$ forms $\mathbf{1 2}^{42}$ Mercaptobenzothiazole (bzSH) and pyridine-1-thiol (pySH) with cadmium acetate form polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{bzS})_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 1})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{pyS})_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ (22), respectively. ${ }^{53}$ The latter compounds due to their high volatility sublime at reduced pressure to yield pure CdS in CVD.

(18)

Figure 1.4. Diagram depicting structures in 16-18( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (16); $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1 7}$ and 18)$)$.


Figure 1.5. Structure of $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Cd}(4,6 \text {-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thione })_{2}\right\} 6\right](19) .{ }^{50}$

In contrast to the direct addition of Cd salts and thiol as done for 20-22, the electrochemical oxidation of Cd in a solution of 4-methyl-6-trifluoromethylpyrimidine-2thione $\left(\mathrm{MeCF}_{3}\right.$-pymSH) in acetonitrile yields polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{MeCF}_{3} \text {-pymS }\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{2 3}) .{ }^{54}$ The common feature observed in 20-23 is a six-coordinate Cd , which is attached to both S and N atoms along with a bridging thiolate to yield polymeric structures (Figure 1.6, Table A4). In $\mathbf{2 0}$, the N atoms in the $\mathrm{CdS}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ environment are in trans positions, whereas in 21-23 the N atoms are in cis positions.

The octahedral Cd in $[\mathrm{Cd}\{$ pen $\}] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (pen = penicillamine) $(\mathbf{2 4})$ is coordinated to S , N and O atoms. ${ }^{55}$ However, each pen molecule is attached to four different Cd atoms to form a polymeric chain (Figure 1.7). Some of the Cd atoms are involved in a fivemember chelate ring with S and N atoms, while other atoms are part of a six-member chelate ring with S and O atoms. The S atoms as well as one of the O atoms act as a bridge, while the other O atoms are attached to the central Cd .

The aberrant feature observed in polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{SMC})_{2}\right](\mathrm{SMC}=$ S-methyl-Lcysteinato) (25) is the absence of a direct Cd-S contact. ${ }^{56}$ The geometry around Cd is octahedral with N and O atoms (Figure 1.7). Two O atoms belonging to the neighboring molecule complete the coordination around Cd .

In polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2}\right]$ (26), a direct $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ contact is not observed as indicated by ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd} \mathrm{CP} / \mathrm{MAS}$ NMR. ${ }^{57}$ The octahedral Cd is attached to only S and O atoms to form a highly amorphous polymeric compound (Figure 1.7).


Figure 1.6. Repeating unit observed in $20-23$ ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=$ 6-mercaptopurine (20), mercaptobenzothiazle (21), pyridine-1-thiol (22) and 4-methyl-6-trifluoromethylpyrimidine-2-thione (23)).

(24)

(25)

(26)

Figure 1.7. Structure of $[\mathrm{Cd}\{\text { penicillamine }\}]_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot(\mathbf{2 4}),{ }^{55}\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{S} \text {-methyl-L-cysteinato })_{2}\right](\mathbf{2 5}){ }^{56}$ and proposed structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2}\right](26) .{ }^{57}$

### 1.2 Lead(II)-thiolates

Lead $(\mathrm{Pb})$, the most widely distributed of the toxic elements, enters the environment by escaping during smelting of its sulfide ore, Galena ( PbS ), as well as through use in batteries, pipes and conduit, solder and pewter, and especially the addition of tetraethyl lead to gasoline. Lead, like other soft metals, binds to and inactivates SH- containing substrates such as dihydrolipopyl transacetylase and consequently inhibits heme biosynthesis. The hypothesis put forward is that the lead toxicity arises because it targets calcium and zinc binding sites in proteins. Such enzymes contain a zinc-binding site with a mixture of histidine, cysteine, and carboxylate residues. The yeast and mammalian forms of ALAD (aminolevulinic acid dehydratase) contains a unique catalytic zinc-binding site with three cysteine (Cys) residues. Lead prefers the $\mathrm{Cys}_{3}$ site in the ALAD because this constitutes a tight binding site for lead. This is supported by recent model compounds studies. ${ }^{58}$ Due to the lone pair and empty p-orbitals, Pb has a potentially extensive array of coordination geometries; however due to compounds solubility problems, the structural data are often limited.

### 1.2.1 Mononuclear Compounds

Two-and three-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates include compounds such as, $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$ (27) and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right](28),{ }^{59}$ in which the geometry is similar except for the presence of a weakly bonded ammonium ion in $\mathbf{2 8}$ (Figure 1.8). The Pb is attached to S and N in 27 and S and two N atoms in $\mathbf{2 8}$ with the chelate angle around Pb close to $75^{\circ}$. In $\mathbf{2 8}$, the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ group is present at an axial position almost perpendicular to the plane containing the
$\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate. In 28, the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ bond is longer and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is shorter compared to the corresponding bonds in 27.

The geometry around four-coordinate Pb in $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{2 9})^{60}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{PyS}\right)_{2}\right](\mathbf{3 0})\left(3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{PyS}=3\right.$-(trifluoromethyl) pyridine-2-thione) ${ }^{61}$ is pseudotrigonal bipyramidal with distortion toward square pyramidal geometry in the latter. The source of the distortion is the small angle associated with the chelate and the lone pair present on Pb . The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ angles are in the range, $86-149^{\circ}$, which are significantly different from the ideal value of $90^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in 29 are much shorter than those observed in 27, 28 and $\mathbf{3 0}$. One of the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in $\mathbf{3 0}$ is significantly shorter than the other one but close to that observed in 29 as well as $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ thiolates with an additional pyridine ligand (Table A5). ${ }^{62}$

In $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\operatorname{Ishexim})_{2}\right]$ (Ishexim $=$ isatin-3-hexamethyleneiminylthiosemicarbazone) (31) ${ }^{63}$, the Pb is four-coordinate with S and N atoms. However, including the additional weak interactions with oxygen atoms the geometry is closer to distorted trigonal bipyramidal with the lone pair in the apical position (Figure 1.9).

In $\quad\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{DAPTsz-Me}\right)\right] \quad(\mathbf{3 2})^{64} \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ DAPTsz-Me $\quad=\quad \operatorname{bis}(4-\mathrm{N}-$ methylthiosemicarbazone)-2,6-diacetylpyridine) the geometry around the Pb atom is distorted pentagonal with a sixth position occupied by the lone-pair (Figure 1.11). However, for five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates the geometry around Pb can also be hemidirected trigonal-bipyramidal (Figure 1.10b) and hemidirected square-pyramidal (Figure 1.10c) in contrast to an "umbrella-like" distorted geometry observed in 32 (Figure 1.10a). ${ }^{65}$

(27)

(28)

(29)

(30)

Figure 1.8. Structural formula of 27-29 and molecular structure of $\mathbf{3 0}$.


Figure 1.9. Structure of $\left.[\mathrm{Pb} \text { (isatin-3-hexamethyleneiminylthiosemicarbazone })_{2}\right]$ (31). ${ }^{63}$

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 1.10. Geometries observed in five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-compounds.


Figure 1.11. Structure of $[\mathrm{Pb}($ bis(4-N-methylthiosemicarbazone)-2,6-diacetylpyridine) $]$ (32). ${ }^{64}$

The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ are similar but the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances vary with slightly longer distances observed in 32 (Table A6). These distances are notably longer than those found in monomeric $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing a thiosemicarbazone ligand. ${ }^{64}$ These distances are also outside the range (2.37-2.56 $\AA$ ) proposed for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with a stereochemically active lone pair and coordination number less than eight. ${ }^{66}$

The environment around Pb in $\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{HSTSC})_{2}\right](\mathrm{HSTSC}=$ salicylaldehyde thiosemicarbazone) (33), $\quad\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PyTSC})\right] \quad$ (PyTSC $=$ pyridine-2-carbaldehyde thiosemicarbazone) (34), and $\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{AcPyTSC})\right]$ (AcPyTSC $=2$-acetylpyridine thiosemicarbazone) (35), ${ }^{67}$ is variable despite having similar ligands. For instance Pb is coordinated to two S and two Cl atoms in $\mathbf{3 3}$ (Figure 1.12), one S , one N , one Cl , a weakly bonded N in 34 and one S , one Cl and two N atoms in $\mathbf{3 5}$ beside two phenyl groups attached to Pb in all the cases.

The geometry around Pb in these compounds is distorted pentagonal bipyramidal including weak interactions involving $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and Cl atoms. Despite the similarity of the HSTSC, HPyTSC and HAcPyTSC ligands the interaction with the $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PbCl}\right]^{+}$ion is different. In 33, the N atoms of the ligands are not interacting with the Pb atoms in contrast to the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bond observed in 34 and 35 . The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distance in $34(2.582 \AA)$ is much shorter than those observed in $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$ (avg $2.700 \AA$ ).

In the Pb -penicillamine adduct, $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right](36),{ }^{68}\right.$ the Pb is surrounded by $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and O atoms. Without including the weak interactions the geometry around the Pb can be considered as distorted tetrahedral with $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and O from ligand. But the presence of weak interactions and lone pair provides distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry around Pb (Figure 1.13).

(33)

(34)

(35)

Figure 1.12. Molecular structures of 33, 34 and 35.


Figure 1.13. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right](36) .{ }^{68}\right.$

In 35 and 36 , the Pb is shown to interact with the S atoms of different molecules with a distance much longer than usually observed. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in $\mathbf{3 4}$ and $\mathbf{3 5}$ are variable with a greater difference observed in the former due to the presence of weak Pb N interactions. These distances are longer compared to the corresponding distances observed in 36. In 34, the distortion from an ideal geometry is most probably due to the strong $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ and small chelate angles. The selected bond angles and distances are summarized in Table A7.

The Pb compounds with macromolecules containing $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{1}\right), \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{L}^{3}\right)$ units form $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right)(\mathrm{MeOH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{+}(37),{ }^{69}\left[\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}(\mathbf{3 8})$ and $\left(\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{L}^{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ $(39)^{70}$, respectively. The coordination number around Pb ranges from seven to nine including weak interaction with counter anion and solvent molecules (Figure 1.14, Table A8). These compounds have been synthesized by direct addition of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ salts and the corresponding ligands in water or in common organic solvents. In 37, the Pb is surrounded by three N and two S atoms in equatorial position and solvent molecules (water and methanol) in axial position. The geometry around Pb can be best described as nido-hexagonal bipyramidal. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are in agreement with the corresponding distances observed in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds with imine and pyridine ligands. ${ }^{71}$ The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are close to the estimated sum of the Shannon ionic radius of Pb (1.29 $\AA \AA$ ) and van der Waals radius of $\mathrm{S}(1.85 \AA)^{72}$ and also in accord with distances found in Pb (II)-thiolates with macrocyclic ligands such as $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}=18\right.$-membered mixed donor macromolecule). ${ }^{73}$

The intramolecular $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{N}$ interactions $(3.260 \AA$ ) are longer than those observed in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds with mixed donor macromolecules. ${ }^{73}$ Moreover, the tilt observed in
the pyridyl ring is not consistent with a $\mathrm{Pb}--\mathrm{N}$ interaction. The non-linear $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ angle $\left(142^{\circ}\right)$ is due to the localization of a stereochemically active lone pair of electrons.

In 38, the Pb atom is sandwiched between two ligands with covalent contact with N and S in addition to two weak $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ contacts. $\mathrm{The} \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are in the upper limit of the range observed in 37 as well as in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thioethers. ${ }^{74}$ The overall [ $4 \mathrm{~N}+4 \mathrm{~S}$ ] coordination and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts are typical of those observed for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds with imine and pyridine ligands. ${ }^{71,75,76}$ On passage from $L^{2}$ to $L^{3}, \mathbf{3 9}$ is obtained in which the coordination around Pb is completed by two N , two S and one O atom from the ligand as well as four O atoms from $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ as well as $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are comparable to those observed in $\mathbf{3 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 7}$ but the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {ligand }}$ is shorter than the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ bond associated with $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$. These distances are, however, much longer than those expected for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ compounds with O-donating counteranions. ${ }^{74}$

### 1.2.2 Dinuclear Compounds

Dinuclear $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands are rare but the weak interactions involving counter anions and solvent molecules can generate structures such as $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{2} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40)\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}=\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right.$ containing macrocyle) (Figure 1.15). ${ }^{70}$ This is, however, in contrast to $\left[\left\{\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{HPyTSC}\}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right]_{2}\right.$ (41), where the individual molecules are held together through terminal Cl atoms (Figure 1.16). ${ }^{67}$

In 40, two perchlorate ions and a water molecule bridge two $\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{4}\right]^{2+}$ units to form a dinuclear species and each Pb in both units further interact with O atoms from the perchlorate ion to acquire a nine-coordinate geometry.

( $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ )

( $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ )

(L ${ }^{3}$ )

(37)


Figure 1.14. Structure of macromolecules $L^{1}, L^{2}$ and $L^{3}$. Molecular geometry of $\mathbf{3 7}$ and structures of $\mathbf{3 8}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$.

The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are comparable to those observed in 38 and 39 and compounds containing $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {thioether }}$ bonds. ${ }^{74}$ However, the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{O}$ distances involving perchlorate ion and water molecule are rather long (2.852-3.105 $\AA$ ) compared to those found for O -donating counteranions coordinating to $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$. These distances are also longer than the estimated sum of the Shannon ionic radii of nine-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and oxygen $(2.85 \AA) .{ }^{77}$ The Pb in the dimer is shifted $0.920 \AA$ toward the O-donor manifold of the perchlorate ions and the water molecules from the mean plane defined by $\mathrm{N}(1)$, $\mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{S}(1)$ and $\mathrm{S}(2)$, which is similar to that observed in Pb compound with macrocycle ligand containing N atoms. ${ }^{78}$

The formation of a dimer in 41 gives rise to a doubly charged dinuclear cation $\left[\left\{\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{HPyTSC})\right\}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ with hepta-coordination around Pb . Hence, the geometry around Pb can be considered as distorted pentagonal bipyramidal with phenyl groups in the axial positions. The cationic centers are linked together through $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Cl}=2.911 \AA)$. The Pb is octahedral in the counter anion $\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right]^{-}$, which is most probably generated from $\left[\mathrm{PbPhCl}_{3}\right]^{-}$. The $\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonding keeps the counter anion together, while hydrogen bonding involving $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ and Cl atms connect the dimeric cation together to form a two-dimensional network.


Figure 1.15. Dimer of $\mathbf{4 0}$ with solvent molecules acting as bridging atoms. ${ }^{70}$


Figure 1.16. Structure of 41 showing $\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{HPyTSC})_{2}\right]^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{PbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right]^{-}$ units. ${ }^{67}$

### 1.2.3 Trinuclear Compounds

| The geometry | around | Pb | in | trinuclear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left\{\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right\}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ | $(42)$ | and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{SC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-3-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{6}\right](\mathbf{4 3 )}$ |  |  |

is variable as two independent Pb centers are observed. ${ }^{60,79} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Pb}$ is coordinated to S and Cl with weak $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ interactions in 42 (Figure 1.17), whereas strong $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts are observed in $\mathbf{4 3}$ (Figure 1.18). The molecules in $\mathbf{4 2}$ are held together through weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{NH}---\mathrm{Cl}$ bonding. The Pb 1 A with the stereochemically active lone pair exhibits a distorted pseudo-octahedral geometry. On the other hand, Pb 1 B , which is coordinated by S (covalent) and N (dative) acquires a distorted pseudo trigonal bipyramidal configuration. The distances involved in weak $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}---\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ contacts (3.036 $\AA)$ are shorter than those observed in $\left\{4-t \mathrm{Bu}-2,6-\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PbSPh}\right\}(3.295 \AA)$ indicating strong interactions. ${ }^{80}$

Compound $\mathbf{4 3}$ consists of a discrete trinuclear species with irregular geometries associated with Pb due to the stereochemically active lone pair. The Pb 1 exhibits trigonal pyramidal, whereas Pb 2 exhibits a square pyramidal, geometry. The $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{N}$ distance $(2.810 \AA)$ is longer than $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{N}(2.510 \AA)$ but within the sum of van der Waals radii of Pb and N atoms $\left(3.550 \AA\right.$ ).${ }^{72}$ Secondary interactions with S atoms produce a distorted eightcoordinate geometry around Pb 2 . The bond angles and distances for $40-43$ are summarized in Table A9.


Figure 1.17. Molecular structure of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right\}\left\{\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]\right.$ (42) with weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ contacts. ${ }^{60}$


Figure 1.18. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{SC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-3-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]$ (43). The $-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ groups are not shown for clarity. ${ }^{79}$

### 1.3 Mercury(II)-thiolates

Mercury is one of the most toxic elements in the periodic table. In the lab it is used for making instruments like thermometers, barometers, diffusion pumps, etc. The most important and primary source of low-level mercury exposure is dental amalgams and the consumption of fish. Other sources include production of chlorine, paper and pulp, fungicides/seed preservatives, paints, combustion of fossil fuels and mining. The organic mercury compounds are more dangerous and toxic than the inorganic salts. For example, methylmercury $\left(\mathrm{HgMe}^{+}\right)$is readily absorbed through the skin due to its lipophilic nature. The lipophilic methylmercury compounds easily penetrate nerves and bind to cysteine on acetycholine receptors, resulting in neurological dysfunction and in extreme cases, death. Inside the cell $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{MeHg}^{+}$form covalent bonds with sulfhydryl residues of the proteins and inhibit the polymerization of tubulin, depolymerization of microtubules, and in animals it results in brain lesions related to Alzheimer's Disease..$^{81,82}$ Natural methylation by microorganisms is a major contributor to the biological cycling of mercury in the environment. Mercuric ion, released from mercury ore such as HgS or from other sources, is methylated to methyl mercury species that can be absorbed into the organism or eventually converted to volatile dimethylmercury. Photodegradation in water or the atmosphere removes the alkyl groups and returns the Hg as the inorganic ion.

The interaction of Hg with thiolate sulfur is thermodynamically favored and stability is achieved by the formation of a number of structures of equal energy with varying geometry around the Hg atom. ${ }^{83}$ In homoleptic Hg (II)-thiolates, Hg adopts discrete molecular $\left(\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{SR})_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right](\mathrm{x}=1-5$ and $\mathrm{y}=2-8)$ as well as polymeric $\left(\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\right]_{\infty}\right.$
and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}(\mathrm{SR})_{3}\right]^{+}{ }_{\infty}\right)$ structures $\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ alkyl or aryl groups). ${ }^{84-87}$ On the other hand, the heteroleptic thiolates (containing both monodentate thiol and halide) are generally nonmolecular polymeric compounds containing one-dimensional $\left([\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{\infty}\right.$ and $[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{S}-$ Steroid $\left.) \mathrm{Br}]_{\infty}\right)$ or two-dimensional $\left([\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SMe}) \mathrm{X}]_{\infty}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}\right.$ or Br$)$ and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{\infty}\right)$ units. ${ }^{88-90}$ However, discrete molecular structures of higher nuclearity are also observed, including $\quad\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\right)\left[(\mu-\mathrm{SEt})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{HgBr})_{4}\right], \quad\left(\mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left[(\mu-\mathrm{I})\left(\mu-\mathrm{SPr}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left(\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$, $\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})_{6} \mathrm{X}_{4}\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SEt}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{SPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}\left\{\mathrm{~S}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right\}_{4} \mathrm{X}_{4}\right] \quad \text { and }}\right.\right.$ $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{7}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)_{12} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ or I$) .{ }^{91}$ In this chapter, the heteroleptic $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ containing ligands are discussed according to the nuclearity.

### 1.3.1 Mononuclear Compounds

The geometries in mononuclear $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates range from linear to square pyramidal with coordination number two to five (Figure 1.19, Table A10).

The two-coordinate molecular compounds are rare but include $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left\{\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right\}\left\{\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COOH}\right]^{+} \quad(44),{ }^{92} \quad\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right)_{2}\right]^{2+}\right.$ (45), ${ }^{93}\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(4-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](46),{ }^{94}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\text { bztzS })_{2}\right]$ (bztzS $=$ benzo-1,3-thiazoline-2thione) (47). ${ }^{95}$ The molecular framework is similar in these compounds with Hg linearly coordinated to two S atoms and in some cases there are weak interaction with counter anions or solvent molecules. The Hg-S distances are similar and in the range 2.329 $2.361 \AA$, which is comparable to those observed in homoleptic $\left[\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\right]$ compounds $(\operatorname{avg} 2.339 \AA) .{ }^{96}$ The S-Hg-S angles are linear (170.0-178.0 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) with the greatest distortion observed in 44 . This may be due to the presence of a Cl anion in close proximity to the Hg center, something not observed in 45-47. In contrast, the presence of additional weak

Hg---S (3.190 $\AA$ ) interactions in 45 increases the coordination number from two to five providing a square-pyramidal geometry.

Three-coordinate mercury thiolates are not very common, restricted to the compounds $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{3}\right]^{-}$and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right]^{-97}$ On the other hand, three-coordinate heteroleptic thiolates include $\left[\operatorname{HgI}_{2}(\right.$ bzimtH 2$\left.)\right](48){ }^{98}\left(\right.$ bzimtH${ }_{2}=$ benzo-1, 3-imidazole-2thione) and $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{imtH}_{2}\right)\right]$ (49) $\left(\mathrm{imtH}_{2}=1,3\right.$-imidazole-2-thione), where the Hg is coordinated to two I and one S atom. ${ }^{99}$ The formation of a three-coordinate Hg is most probably due to the steric effects of the I atom, as with Cl and Br higher coordination numbers are usually observed. The geometry around Hg in $\mathbf{4 8}$ and $\mathbf{4 9}$ is distorted trigonal with the I atoms involved in bridging with neighboring molecules.

The centrosymmetric dimers formed by Hg---I interactions are close to the sum of van der Waals radii of Hg and $\mathrm{I}(1.55+2.13 \AA)$ acquiring a $[3+1]$ coordination around Hg. ${ }^{100}$ The distortion in the trigonal geometry is evident by the large bond angles of $112.9^{\circ}$ and $134.6^{\circ}$ (S-Hg-I1) accompanied by short Hg-I bonds. The intermolecular hydrogen bonding seem to be dependent on the ligand. In 48 the larger bzimtH ${ }_{2}$ allows shorter Hg ---I contacts as a result of NH---I bonding, whereas in 49 only $\mathrm{NH}---\mathrm{S}$ distances are observed. The more extended $\pi$-delocalized ring in 48 compared to 49 might be responsible for a stronger Hg ---I contact as it enables a better $\pi$-stacking assembly of the ligand molecules.
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Figure 1.19. Molecular geometry of 45 and molecular structures of 44, 46-57.

In $\left[\mathrm{HgXX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{imtH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 0})$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{5 1})),{ }^{99}\left[\mathrm{HgXX}_{2}(\mathrm{tzdtH})_{2}\right](\operatorname{tzdtH}=$ thiazolidine-2-thione) $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{5 2})$ and $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{5 3})),{ }^{95}\left[\mathrm{HgX}_{2}(\mathrm{meimdSH})_{2}\right](\mathrm{meimdSH}=1-$ methyl-imidazoline-2(3H)-thione) $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} \text { (54), } \mathrm{Br} \quad \text { (55) and } \mathrm{I} \quad(\mathbf{5 6})]^{101}$ and $\left[\operatorname{HgI}_{2}\left(\text { bzimtH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](57),{ }^{98}$ the geometry around the four-coordinate Hg is distorted tetrahedral with the coordination sphere consisting of two thiolate $S$ and two halide atoms.

The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ distances in $\mathbf{5 0}$ are symmetrical but variable in 51, which is most probably due to inter- and intra-molecular interactions. However, with Br in 50, the presence of weak interactions does not affect the geometry around Hg . The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances $(\operatorname{avg} 2.453 \AA)$ are shorter than the sum of the covalent radii of $S$ and tetrahedral $\mathrm{Hg}(2.520 \AA)$ indicating stronger bonds. ${ }^{72}$ The Hg-X distances are longer than the sum of covalent radii of tetrahedral $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Br}$ and Cl atoms, indicating weaker bonding. The longer $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ and shorter $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are followed by smaller bond angles associated with X and broader angles associated with S atoms. The deformation of the angles and shorter Hg - S bonds can be related to the weaker $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds.

The Hg -S distances in 53 and $\mathbf{5 6}$ ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.609 \AA$ ) are longer than those observed in 52, 54 and $55(\operatorname{avg} 2.495 \AA)$, which is most probably due to the presence of the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ bond. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in $\mathbf{5 4} \mathbf{- 5 6}$ show a regular incremental shortening from Cl to I . The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ distances as well as $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ angles increase as the covalent radius of the halide increases despite the strong $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ contact. Another influence on the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and Hg X bonds is the involvement of the donor atoms in hydrogen bonding.

In 57, the Hg-S distances are slightly longer (avg $2.626 \AA$ ) and Hg -I are shorter (avg $2.710 \AA$ ) than usually observed for four-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. The tetrahedral
environment is distorted with angles in the range $88.0^{\circ}$ to $124^{\circ}$ and the largest angle is observed for the strong I atoms. The distinct feature observed in $\mathbf{5 7}$ compared to $\mathbf{5 6}$ is the presence of an N-H---S contact, which might be responsible for a longer $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ bond.

In five-coordinate Hg compounds, the Hg is bonded to $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and Cl as shown in figure 1.20. The electroneutrality of $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left\{\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{3}\right]_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$(58) cation is completed by additional $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ anions, which is comprised of two $\mathrm{HgCl}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing a common edge. ${ }^{102}$ The terminal (2.379 $\AA$ ) and bridging ( $2.622 \AA$ ) $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances in the anion are in agreement with those found in the literature (2.367-2.390 $\AA$ and 2.624-2.641 $\AA$ respectively). ${ }^{103}$ In the cation, the Hg is surrounded by S and N atoms with the geometry intermediate between trigonal bipyramidal and tetrahedral. The extent of distortion is similar to those observed for organomercury compounds containing $\operatorname{tris}\left(2\right.$-diphenylphosphinoethyl)amine $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \text { tren }\right)^{104}$ and tris(2-dimethylaminoethyl)amine $\left(n p_{3}\right)$ ligands. ${ }^{105}$ The Hg-S distances are variable and slightly longer $(2.612 \AA)$ than those observed for four-coordinate complexes. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distance ( $2.626 \AA$ ) is significantly longer than the corresponding distance observed in $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{6} \operatorname{tren}\right) \mathrm{HgPh}\right]^{+}(2.270 \AA)$ and shorter than that observed in $\left[\left(\mathrm{np}_{3}\right) \mathrm{HgMe}\right]^{+}(3.500 \AA) .{ }^{105}$

In contrast to 58, the Hg in $[\operatorname{Hg}(\operatorname{tptp}) \mathrm{Cl}] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(59)$ (tptp $=$ tetraphenyl-21thiaporphyrin) ${ }^{106}$ is surrounded by three N , one S and one Cl atom to acquire a distorted bipyramidal geometry. The bonding pattern is similar to those observed for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ with the same ligand. ${ }^{107} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Hg-S} \mathrm{distance} \mathrm{( } 2.801 \AA$ ) implies a covalent bond, which is intermediate between that observed in 58 and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{5}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \text { dithiocarbamate }\right)_{8}\right]^{+}$ $(2.922 \AA),{ }^{108}\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)_{4}\right](2.965 \AA),{ }^{109}\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left\{\left(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PS}_{2}\right\}_{2}\right](2.885 \AA) .{ }^{110}$
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Figure 1.20. Molecular structures of 58-62 with five-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$.

One of the nitrogen atoms is strongly bonded to Hg as indicated by the smaller $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of $2.104 \AA$ compared to the other $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances (avg $2.632 \AA$ ). These latter distances can be best described as secondary contacts to provide an effective [3+2] coordination sphere.

The coordination sphere around Hg in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{HAm} 4 \mathrm{DM}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \cdot$ DMSO (60) $\left(\right.$ HAm4DM $=$ 2-pyridineformamide $\quad \mathrm{N}(4)$-dimethylthiosemicarbazone), ${ }^{111}$ $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{HAmhexim}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \cdot$ DMSO (61), ${ }^{30}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Ampip}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \cdot$ DMSO (62) ${ }^{31}$ consists of one S , two N , and two halide atoms (Figure 1.20). The geometry around Hg in $\mathbf{6 0}$ is square pyramidal and a distorted tetragonal pyramidal geometry in 61 and 62. The Hg - S distance is smallest in $\mathbf{6 2}(2.506 \AA)$ compared to those observed in $\mathbf{6 0}(2.578 \AA)$ and $\mathbf{6 1}(2.522 \AA)$. The Hg-N distances in $\mathbf{6 2}$ are similar (avg $2.463 \AA$ ) but variable in $\mathbf{6 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 1}$ (avg 2.412 and $2.528 \AA$ ). The difference in terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances in $\mathbf{6 0}$ is much smaller than those in 62, but comparable to the terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distance reported in the literature (2.470-2.650 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{112,113}$ Due to the difference in Hg donor bond distances the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ angle in $\mathbf{6 0}\left(128^{\circ}\right)$ is different from that observed in $\mathbf{6 2}\left(135^{\circ}\right)$. The hydrogen bonding involving the DMSO molecule in all the cases is similar except for the presence of short NH--S contacts observed in $\mathbf{6 2}$. Also, in $\mathbf{6 0}$ one of the amine hydrogens interacts with the oxygen of a single DMSO molecule but the amine hydrogen in 61 interacts with two molecules of DMSO.

### 1.3.2 Dinuclear Compounds

The dinuclear $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates such as $\left[\mathrm{HgX}_{2}(\mathrm{tzdSH})\right]_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{6 3})$ and $\mathrm{I}(64))$ $(\operatorname{tzdSH}=1,3 \text {-thiazolidine-2-thione })^{114}$ and $\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}(\text { meimz2SH })\right]_{2}($ meimz2SH $=1-$
methylimidazoline-2(3H)-thione) (65) are similar but not isostructural (Figure 1.21, Table A11). ${ }^{115}$

The irregular tetrahedral geometry around Hg is composed of thione S , one terminal halide and one bridging halide atom. The Hg-S distances in 65 (2.407 $\AA$ ) are smaller compared to those of $\mathbf{6 3}(2.435 \AA)$ and $\mathbf{6 4}(2.510 \AA)$ but in agreement with the sum of covalent radii of tetrahedral Hg and S atoms $(2.520 \AA) .^{72}$ The trend in the Hg -S bond distances decreasing from Br to I is also observed in $\left\{\operatorname{HgX}_{2}\left[\mathrm{SCHN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\right\}(\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and I). ${ }^{116}$ The terminal Hg -X distances are much shorter than the bridging Hg-X distances with the least difference observed in 64. The largest angle around Hg is $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}$ $X_{\text {ter }}$, which is smaller in 64 compared to 63 and 65 indicating a more distorted tetrahedral geometry around Hg . In 64 the weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ interaction as well as large $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ angle involving terminal Br atom gives rise to a characteristic five coordinate trigonal bipyramidal geometry around Hg . Such $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ interactions are not observed in $\mathbf{6 3}$ despite having a similar structure. Weak hydrogen bonding is also observed in 63 and 65 involving N , halide and S atoms. In 64, the short Hg-I contacts give rise to longer $\mathrm{N}---\mathrm{I}$ distances ( $3.900 \AA$ ), which are too long to be considered as hydrogen bonding.

The dinuclear compounds $[\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{Am} 4 \mathrm{DM}) \mathrm{X}]_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(66)$ and $\mathrm{Br}(67))$ are isostructural with pentacoordinate Hg surrounded by X , two N , one S atom of thiosemicarbazone and one more S from a neighboring molecule (Figure 1.21, Table 1.11). ${ }^{111}$ The geometry around Hg in $\mathbf{6 6}$ and $\mathbf{6 7}$ is close to square pyramidal. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are variable in both compounds with the longer $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ present in the five-membered ring and the shorter ones in the four-membered ring. The angles involving the Am4DM ligand are very similar with a small difference in the mean plane
angles between pyridine ring and thiosemicarbazide. However, the donor atoms defining the geometry around Hg deviate considerably from coplanarity.

The compound $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]$ (68) consists of a complex cation and $\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ anion (Figure 1.22). ${ }^{117} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Hg}$ atom in the cation is coordinated to S atoms in a linear fashion and weakly coordinated to N and Br atoms (effective coordination $=[2+4]$ ). The secondary contacts are responsible for elongated $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances $(2.357 \AA$ ), which are longer than the sum of covalent radii of two-coordinate Hg and S atoms $(2.340 \AA) .^{72}$ The deviation in the S-Hg-S angle from linearity is related to the presence of secondary contacts as observed in four coordinate $\mathbf{5 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 1} .^{99}$ One of the two ligands in the cation is protonated and is responsible for $\mathrm{NH}--\mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonding to obtain an infinite chain.

### 1.3.3 Tetranuclear Compounds

The only known reported tetranuclear Hg-thiolate involving both S and N covalent bonding is $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}\left\{\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]$ (69), where two independent Hg atoms are observed. ${ }^{49} \mathrm{Hg} 1$ is coordinated to 2 S and 2 N atoms, whereas Hg 2 is attached to 2 S and 2 Cl atoms, both in a distorted tetrahedral geometry (Figure 1.23). The $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S}$ distances ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.414 \AA$ ) are symmetrical, however some difference is observed in the $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{N}$ distances (2.464 and $2.506 \AA$ ). The bridging Hg-S distances (2.487 and $2.504 \AA$ ) are also variable but in agreement with the bridging distances observed in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}(\mathrm{~S}-\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{Py})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right](\operatorname{avg} 2.469 \AA) .{ }^{118}$
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Figure 1.21. Molecular structures of 63-67.


Figure 1.22. Structure of $\left[\operatorname{Hg}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]$ (68). ${ }^{117}$
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Figure 1.24. Repeating units observed in 70-74, where $\mathrm{SR}=\mathrm{L}$-cysteine (70), Dpenicillamine (71), 3-dimethylamino-1-propanethiol (72), 1-methyl-1,3-imidazole-2thione (73) and 4,6-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thiolate (74).

The terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances ( 2.506 and $2.648 \AA$ ) are smaller than those observed in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{4}(\text { picoline })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right](2.755 \AA)$ indicating a stronger bond. The distortion around Hg 1 is evident with the chelate angles associated with $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}\left(81\right.$ and $\left.83^{\circ}\right)$, whereas the smallest angles around Hg 2 are $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}\left(105\right.$ and $\left.101^{\circ}\right)$.

### 1.3.4 Polynuclear Compounds

The $1: 1$ reaction of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ with simple thiols usually forms polymeric structures, where the structure consists of $(-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}-)_{\mathrm{n}}$ chains and occasionally through bridging halide or acetate. The general polymeric formula can be presented as $\left[\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{SR}) \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{X}=1-3$ and $\mathrm{L}=$ acetate, halide and/or pyridine base). For instance simple thiols such as L cysteine, D-penicillamine, 3-dimethylamino-1-propanethiol yield polymeric $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~L}-\right.$ Cys $\left.)_{2}\right](70),{ }^{92} 2\left[\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{Cl}\right)\left\{\mathrm{HgSC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COO}\right\}_{3}\right] \cdot\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{Cl}\right) \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{3}(71)^{119}$ and $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ (72), respectively (Table A12). ${ }^{88}$ However, larger ligands yield unusual structures (Figure 1.24) as observed in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\text { meimt })_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(73)$ (meimt $=1$-methyl-1,3-imidazole-2-thione) ${ }^{99}$ and $\quad[\mathrm{Hg}(4,6$-dimethylpyrimidine-2-thiolate $)]$ (74). ${ }^{120}$

Bridging $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ in 70 and $\mathbf{7 2}$ and weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts in 73 and 74 are responsible for the polymeric structure. The repeating unit of $\mathbf{7 2}$ is unusual as it contains a triply bridged Cl joining the individual units together. $\mathrm{The} \mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in 70 and 72 are similar but longer than those in $\mathbf{7 1}$. The short $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances in the latter might be due to the presence of the triply bridged Cl with overall coordination around Hg as $[2+1]$. The geometry around Hg in $70-72$ is distorted tetrahedral, where the distortion around Hg , well evident in the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ angle can be related to the number of
secondary contacts. In contrast to 70-72, the polymeric chain in $\mathbf{7 3}$ is connected through both S and N atoms giving rise to $[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N})]_{\mathrm{n}}$ repeating units. The Hg is coordinated to two S atoms with the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances intermediate between linear and tetrahedral Hg thiolates. This might be due to the presence of longer $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ contacts. These distances are, however, comparable to Hg-thiolates with additional N donor ligands such as $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\text { terpy })_{2}\right]^{2+}($ avg Hg-N $=2.270-2.530 \AA)\left(\right.$ terpy $=2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}-$ terpyridine $) .{ }^{121}$

In 74, the repeating unit consists of Hg attached to pyrimidinethiol in a linear fashion $\left(169^{\circ}\right)$ with equidistant S atoms from $\mathrm{Hg}(2.330 \AA)$. However, the presence of a weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond from pyrimidinethiol and one of the ring N atoms give rise to a distorted trigonal pyramidal geometry. The N atoms are present in equatorial positions and S atoms in apical positions. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are longer than those observed in $\mathbf{7 3}$ as well as the sum of covalent radii of the atoms involved $(2.310 \AA) .{ }^{72}$ These distances are somewhat shorter than the van der Waals radii of Hg and $\mathrm{N}(3.230 \AA) .{ }^{72}$ Of the two ligands, one behaves as a bidentate chelate with S and N atoms and the other as a tridentate chelate with S and two azomethine N atoms. Hence, the whole structure consists of alternate bidentate and tridentate ligands around Hg .

### 1.4 Conclusion

In $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands the coordination number around mononuclear compounds ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 2}$ ) is variable (4 to 6). The Cd is either distorted tetrahedral or distorted octahedral with a coordination environment consisting of S, N, halides and counter anions. The Cd-S distances increase with the increase of coordination number around Cd . This trend is not observed for the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ distances. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{X}$ distances are variable with the largest difference observed in the I derivatives (4 and 7). The distortion around Cd is mainly due to the presence of chelate angles as well as the planarity of the backbone. Bridging thiolates as well as halides in a few cases (16 and 18) are mainly responsible for the formation of polynuclear structures. It was observed that excess thiol reacts with $\mathbf{1 7}$ to form 18. This observation could not be made in the Hg derivatives.

In contrast to $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, the Pb geometry in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates is variable with coordination numbers ranging from two (27) to nine (39). This is due to the presence of a lone pair and empty p-orbitals on Pb . The Pb environment consists of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$, halides and weak interactions with the counter anions. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are variable depending on the coordination number. In some cases ( $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2 )} \mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances are almost similar. Bridging S and halides are responsible for the formation of polynuclear compounds, although weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{N}$ interactions are also observed in 42. It was reported that for simple $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands, the final product depends on the stoichiometry of the reactants as well as the reaction conditions. For instance, a similar reaction yielded mononuclear 29 as well as trinuclear 42. The compounds with weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ interactions are shown to dissociate partially in solution to $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and thiol ligand.

The diversity in the structural chemistry is more profound in the Hg (II)-thiolates. This is due to the ease of formation of bridging thiolate S and halide in Hg compared to either Cd or Pb . Mononuclear $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates are rare, however, steric effects due to the bulky backbone on the thiols are responsible for the formation of such compounds (4447). The geometry around Hg in mononuclear thiolates is distorted tetrahedral with coordination environments consisting of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and halide atoms. However, depending on the ligand environment five-coordinate Hg can also be observed as in 58-62. In 58, due to the presence of weak $\mathrm{Hg}--\mathrm{N}$ the effective coordination around Hg can be considered as $5[3+2]$.

Bridging halides as well as thiolates are responsible for the formation of polynuclear compounds (63-73), however additional $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ interactions in 74 instead of $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ is responsible for the polynuclear structure. Compound 69 is the only reported tetranuclear heteroleptic $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolate, where two independent Hg centers are observed. This might be due to the presence of a more simple $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligand. The Hg - S distances in heteroleptic thiolates increases with the increase in coordination number around Hg as well as with the increase in the size of halide. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ distances as well as $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{X}$ angles increase as the covalent radius of the halide increases. The distortion around fourcoordinate Hg increases with the increase in the size of halide (50-57).

Weak intermolecular interactions involving $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and counter anions are observed in $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})-, \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-$ and Hg (II)-thiolates containing $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands. These are mostly responsible for the formation of three-dimensional networks.
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## Chapter 2

## Cadmium(II)-2-Aminoethanethiolates

### 2.1 Overview

Cadmium has been used as a substitute for zinc in metalloproteins as well as in model compounds to study the spectroscopic features of zinc centers because zinc is spectroscopically silent. ${ }^{122}$ In addition, the presence of Cd in biological metallothioneins has increased the interest in its coordination chemistry. However, the insolubility of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $\left(\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ in common solvents has limited their study. However, $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with an additional donor atom including N and O are much more soluble and they also can be used as models for $\left[\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{cys})_{2}(\mathrm{His})_{2}\right]$ sites in zinc-finger proteins. ${ }^{123}$ Similar studies have been conducted with naturally occurring amino acids (cysteine, glycine, serine, histidine, ornithine, aspartate and glutamate) or biological model ligands such as 2-aminoethanethiol (AET). ${ }^{26}$

This chapter will discuss the reactions of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ salts with AET in various stoichiometries and the resulting compounds will be characterized with IR/Raman, solution NMR and X-ray crystallography.

### 2.2 Synthesis and Characterization

2-aminoethanethiol hydrochloride $(\mathrm{AET} \cdot \mathrm{HCl})$ and $\mathrm{CdX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, I, acetate, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ) were combined in various stoichiometric amounts in deionized (DI) water to obtain white precipitates of $\mathbf{7 5}-\mathbf{8 4}$ in quantitative yields (Scheme 2.1). The melting temperatures of $\mathbf{7 5 - 8 4}$ are in the range $150-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, however compounds $\mathbf{7 6}, \mathbf{7 9}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$ decompose without melting.


Scheme 2.1. Synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{7 5}$ - 84. In $\mathbf{8 3}, \mathrm{HCl}$ from AET HCl was removed using equivalent amount of NaOH prior to the addition of $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$.

### 2.2.1 Spectroscopy

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of this series of compounds, a significant shift is observed for the methylene protons and the C atom of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups attached to S in $\mathbf{7 8}$ - 84. Compared to the spectra of the free ligand these shifts indicate the presence of a direct Cd-S contact. Despite similar reaction conditions a Cd-S bond is not observed in 75, which is most probably due to the presence of excess Cl anions. Similarly, no significant shifts in the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons and C attached to N in $\mathbf{7 5 - 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$ indicate the presence of an ammonium group (Table 2.1).

In the IR spectrum the absence of a -SH peak around $2500-2550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{7 6}-\mathbf{8 4}$ confirms covalent Cd-S bonds. In 75-80 and 84, peaks at 3200-3300 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric stretching) indicate an ammonium group. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ scissoring and wagging modes for all the compounds is observed around $1500-1650$ and $660-900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. In the Raman spectrum, the Cd-S stretch for 76-84 is observed between 150-190 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. For $\mathbf{8 1}$ - 83, peaks observed around $340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds. In $75 \mathbf{- 8 4}$, except 78, $\mathbf{7 9}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$, the stretches at $\approx 220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. In $\mathbf{7 8}$ and 79 the peaks due to $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Br}$ and Cd-I are observed at much lower wavelength (180 and $140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively) due to the presence of the heavier halide atoms. These values are comparable to those reported in the literature for homo- and heteroleptic $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ thiolates. ${ }^{124-127}$ In the ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR spectra of 75 a broad peak for six- coordinate Cd is observed at 285 ppm compared to external $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}_{\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} .}{ }^{128}$ Suitable peaks in the ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ spectra for 76 - $\mathbf{8 1}$ could not be obtained employing similar experimental conditions. However, in 82-84, a broad peaks are observed between 450-520 ppm, which are comparable to $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate. ${ }^{129}$

Table. 2.1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR shifts (in ppm) for AET HCl in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ DMSO.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{\text {²}}$ | C-S | C-N |
| AET HCl | 2.99 | 2.69 | - | 22.2 | 42.8 |
| 75 | 2.95 | 2.69 | 7.71 | 21.3 | 41.8 |
| 76 | 3.14 | 2.69 | 7.75 | 33.9 | 43.1 |
| 77 | 2.74 | 2.63 | - | 27.7 | 42.9 |
| 78 | 2.74 | 2.66 | - | 28.0 | 42.7 |
| 79 | 2.74 | 2.66 | - | 29.0 | 42.5 |
| 80 | 2.92 | 2.67 | - | 24.6 | 43.2 |
| 81 | 3.01 | 2.73 | - | 28.2 | 44.02 |
| 82 | 2.85 | 2.73 | - | 28.1 | 42.9 |
| 83 | 2.97 | 2.73 | - | 28.2 | 42.7 |
| 84 | 2.90 | 2.69 | - | 24.4 | 43.2 |

* $\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ peaks are not observed in the ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of most of the compounds.

Based on the stoichiometry of the reactants and spectral data the following structures are proposed for $\mathbf{7 5}, \mathbf{7 7 - 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 2 - 8 4}$ (Figure 2.1). In most of the cases the Cd is hexacoordinate with halide and thiolate ligands. In the presence of excess $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and no base in the solution $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$, coordination around Cd is completed by only Cl atoms as observed in 75. This is also evident from the absence of Cd-S and Cd-N peaks in the Raman spectrum along with no significant shifts observed in NMR. However, in the presence of base but in the absence of a $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, the coordination around Cd is completed by thiolate ligand and halide atoms. In 84, due to the presence of excess base in the solution a $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond is not likely. However, a peak due to $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ in Raman spectrum can possibly be attributed to a $\left[\mathrm{CdCl}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ unit. A similar structure to that of $\mathbf{8 4}$ has been proposed earlier, however only magnetic properties were studied. ${ }^{130}$ The additional Cd-N bond in $\mathbf{8 1}, \mathbf{8 2} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ can be attributed to the use of excess base in the reaction.

### 2.2.2 Crystal Structures

The crystals for 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were obtained either from supernatant cooled to 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or by recrystallization of the precipitates from hot water. The crystal structure of $\mathbf{7 5}$ could not be resolved due to the disorder present in the AET groups. All the attempts to crystallize 77-80 and 82-84 failed, despite the use of a variety of solvents such as water, ethanol, dimethyl sulfoxide, and pyridine as well as mixtures of different solvents. Compound 76 has three-dimensional connectivity indicative of a solid-state material but it is nevertheless soluble in common solvents, which qualifies it as a "molecular solid". ${ }^{131}$
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Figure 2.1. The proposed structures of 75, 77-80 and 82-84.

The structure contains layers of repeating $(\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{SR})$ units perpendicular to each other (Figure 2.2, Table A13) and comprised of alternate opposite open cores of hexacoordinate Cd with Cl and S present at the corners.

A similar polymeric chain with hexacoordinate Cd atoms is also observed in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(5-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{pyS}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{DMF})\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$, where the coordination is completed by $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ of the ligand and the solvent, DMF. ${ }^{132}$ However, in 76 the coordination around Cd is completed by S and Cl atoms to achieve an octahedral geometry. A repeating pattern is observed in the units consisting of $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right)\right],\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCl}_{5}\right)\right],\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right)\right]$ and so on. One of the Cd atoms is coordinated to two S and four Cl with two bridging Cl atoms. However, the second Cd is bonded to one S and five Cl atoms with one terminal Cl atom. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are variable in the different units with similar distances observed associated with first and third Cd atoms ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.498 \AA$ ). On the other hand, the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ distance associated with the second Cd is longer ( $2.601 \AA$ ). These distances are in accord with those reported for similar polymeric $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (20-23). ${ }^{133}$

Variations in the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances are also observed for terminal and bridging Cl atoms. The $\mathrm{Cd}^{-} \mathrm{Cl}_{\text {ter }}$ distances are shorter (avg $2.808 \AA$ ) compared to the $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{br}}$ distances (avg $2.920 \AA$ ). These distances are in contrast to the terminal and bridging $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances observed in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OPS}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}_{\text {br }}=2.644\right.$ and $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}_{\text {ter }}=2.387$ A). ${ }^{134}$

The S-Cd-S angles in 76 are almost linear (avg $178^{\circ}$ ) due to the presence of a regular structure, in contrast to the corresponding angle observed in $\left[\mathrm{CdBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NS}\right)\right]$ $\left(126.9^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{133}$ The octahedral geometry around Cd consists of linear and perpendicular $\mathrm{Cl}-$ $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ angles $\left(167-180^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.90^{\circ}\right)$. In $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OPS}\right)_{2}\right]$, the distortion around Cd
is evident with $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}$ angles ranging from $89-112^{\circ} .{ }^{134}$ The amine units are oriented away from the core with an $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ angle of $112^{\circ}$, which will reduce any steric interactions. These groups are, however, involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding with Cl from adjacent units. Similar interactions have been observed for $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands, where the ammonium groups are involved in intermolecular hydrogen-bonding with halide from adjacent molecules. ${ }^{133}$

Compound $\mathbf{8 1}$ consists of discrete $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ molecules (Figure 2.3, Table A14) that are linked through intermolecular hydrogen bonds. The Cd is pentacoordinate, bonded to three S and two N atoms in a distorted square pyramidal geometry. The absence of halide attached to the Cd could be attributed to the presence of excess base in solution. In the dimeric unit the two Cd atoms are related by a center of inversion. One of the ligands acts as a terminal chelating unit, while the other chelate is also involved in bridging. The $\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core is nearly planar with average internal angles close to $90^{\circ}$. The Cd-S distances vary from 2.492-2.735 $\AA$ for axial and equatorial chelates as well as for the central core. These distances are, however, comparable to similar S-bridged $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $(2.537-2.713 \AA$ ) as well as those containing an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate (2.466-2.673 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{133-135}$ The Cd-N distances are variable in the four- $(2.308 \AA$ ) and five-membered rings ( $2.436 \AA$ ) but comparable to corresponding distances in similar compounds. ${ }^{134-137}$ The Cd-S1 distance is larger than Cd-S2 whereas Cd-N1 is smaller than Cd-N2 implying stronger Cd-S bonding in the axial position. This trend is also observed in $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{pyS}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{DMF})_{2}\right]$ containing distorted octahedral $\mathrm{Cd} .{ }^{132}$


Figure 2.2. View of 76 with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids.


Figure 2.3. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{8 1}$.

The aminoethanethiol units in axial and equatorial positions are neither perpendicular nor parallel to each other $\left(\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}, 101.8\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)^{\prime}$, $102.9^{\circ}$ ). This deformity is due to the interaction of the S and N atoms with the amine hydrogens from adjacent units.

### 2.3 Experimental Section

All the reactions were carried out at room temperature in deionized water. The reagents $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CdBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CdI}_{2}, \mathrm{CdCO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (J. T. Baker) and 2-aminoethanethiol hydrochloride (TCI America) were used as received. The NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) data were obtained with JEOL-GSX-400 and 270 instruments operating at 199.17 and 399.78 MHz using $\mathrm{d}^{6}$-DMSO and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent, with tetramethylsilane as the reference. ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}$ NMR spectra of $0.5-0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathbf{8 2}-\mathbf{8 4}$ in $_{6}$-DMSO were collected at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a varian INOV 400 MHz instrument with 4-nucleus Autoswitchable 5mm Probe and referenced to external $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ at zero $\mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{128}$ IR data were recorded as KBr pellets on a Matheson Instrument 2020 Galaxy Series spectrometer and are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Raman spectra were obtained on a Nicolet FT-Raman 906 Spectrometer ESP between $100-800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the Center for Applied Energy Research at the University of Kentucky. Mass Spectral data were obtained from the University of Kentucky Mass Spectrometry Facility. X-ray data for 75, 76 and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were collected on a Nonius Kappa-CCD unit using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation from colorless regular shaped crystals.

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{CdCl}_{4}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{H S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (75): Cadmium (II) chloride (2.28 g, 10.0 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in deionized water $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$
dissolved in DI water ( 20.0 mL ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 days. A white amorphous precipitate was isolatec by filteration and washed with water and methanol and dried. The filtrate was reduced in volume and allowed to stand in the refrigerator at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, causing needle shaped colorless crystals to form. Yield (crystal + precipitate): $3.10 \mathrm{~g}(75.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 262-264{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta$ $2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SH}), \delta 7.71\left(\mathrm{~b}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 21.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 41.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 461$, 659 (C-S), 1235 (C-N, stretching), 1265, 1324, $1471\left(\mathrm{~S}_{-\mathrm{CH}}^{2}\right), 1568\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, bending), 2885-3007 (symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$stretch), $3141\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$. HRMS (EI, positive): 415 $(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 410\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 355\left(\left[\mathrm{CdCl}_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\right)^{+}, 327\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{6}\right)^{+}, 289\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{5}\right)^{+}, 251$ $\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{4}\right)^{+}, 149(\mathrm{CdCl})^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d}_{2.5} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)\right]$ (76): Cadmium (II) chloride ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deionized water (20.0 mL ) and the resulting mixture was stirred over 3 days. The resulting solution was reduced in volume and allowed to stand in the refrigerator at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which formed cubic shaped colorless crystals. Yield: $0.290 \mathrm{~g}(10.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 3.14\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 7.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 657$ (C-S), 1230 (C-N, stretching), 1258, 1320, 1376, $1427\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1491,1561,1583\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)}\right.$ and N-H, bending), 2361, 2938, $3130\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), 3450\left(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $247(\mathrm{M}+2)^{+}, 171\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 92\left(\mathrm{M}-2\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 77\right.$
$\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{Cd}_{2.5}$ : C, $7.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.30 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.57 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.47$. Found: C, 7.65; H, 2.20; N, 4.55; S, 10.43

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$ (77): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium hydroxide $(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DI water $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this cadmium (II) chloride ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for 2 days. The resulting white precipitate was filtered, washed with water and methanol and dried. Yield (precipitate): 0.600 g (75.0 \%). Mp: $166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.63\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6^{-}}\right.$ DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR} / \mathrm{Raman}\left(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 189(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S})$, 220 (Cd-Cl), 466, 668 (C-S), 1234 (C-N), 1285, 1467, $1581\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, scissoring), 2882 2981 (symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$stretch), $3227\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, symmetric stretch). HRMS (EI, positive): $406\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, \quad 369(406-\mathrm{Cl})^{+}, \quad 301 \quad(406-3 \mathrm{Cl})^{+}, 267$ $\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, \quad 232 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, \quad 206 \quad\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, \quad 189}\right.\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right)^{+}, 146(\mathrm{CdCl})^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d B r}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$ (78): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium hydroxide $(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DI water $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this cadmium (II) bromide ( $1.72 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred for 2 days. The resulting white precipitate was filtered and washed with water and methanol and dried. Yield (precipitate): $1.80 \mathrm{~g}(84.0 \%)$. Mp: $160-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6^{-}}$ DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 2.66\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{d}_{6^{-}}\right.$ DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR} / \operatorname{Raman}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 189$
(Cd-S or Cd-Br), 466, 655 (C-S), 1229 (C-N), $1579\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, scissoring), 2873-2968 (symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$stretch), $3249\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $969(\mathrm{M}+3)^{+}$, $901\left(\mathrm{M}-4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 873\left(901-2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 845\left(901-4 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 789\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{4}\right)^{+}, 269$ $\left(\mathrm{CdBr}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right)^{+}, 190\left(\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right)^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}\right.$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d I}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \mathbf{( 7 9 )}$ : AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in DI water $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ along with sodium hydroxide $(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cadmium (II) iodide ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 days. The white precipitate was filtered, washed with water and methanol and dried. Yield (precipitate): $1.90 \mathrm{~g}(73.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 256-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, 200 MHz , ppm): $\delta 2.66\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right):$ $\delta 29.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, ~ v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 103(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{I}), 142(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}), 358$, 457, $660(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}), 1221(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 1376,1570\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, scissoring), 2856-2964 (symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ stretch), $3322\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). MS (EI, positive): $646\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right)^{+}, 577$ $(\mathrm{M} / 2)^{+}, 567\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{18}\right)^{+}, 552\left(567-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 537\left(567-2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 522\left(567-3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 507$ $\left(567-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 492\left(567-5 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 462\left(567-7 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 465\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)^{+}, 353(465-\mathrm{Cd})^{+}, 240$ $\left(\mathrm{CdS}_{4}\right)^{+}, 129(353-2 \mathrm{Cd})^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right]$ (80): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DI water ( 50.0 mL ) and to this cadmium (II) nitrate $(3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resulting solution was stirred for 2 days. The resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature to obtain white precipitate. Yield (precipitate): 2.40 g (49.0 \%). Mp: 250-252 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec without melting). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ):
$\delta 2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.90\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 24.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR} /$ Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 116,185(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}), 409(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}), 746$ (C-S), 1048, 1259 (C-N), 1145, $1580\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, scissoring), 2942-2977 ( $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ). HRMS (EI, positive): $\left.\left.495(\mathrm{M}+3)^{+}, 457\left(\mathrm{CdCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right)\right)^{+}, 420\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right)\right)^{+}, 416$ $\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}-4\right)^{+}, 371\left(416-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 327\left(416-2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 281$ $\left(416-3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}, 241\left(\mathrm{CdS}_{4}\right)^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right] \mathbf{( 8 1 )}$ : To a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, sodium hydroxide ( $0.800 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by addition of cadmium carbonate $(0.860 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight and then filtered to isolate a white precipitate. The X-ray quality colorless crystals were obtained from the supernatant at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystalline yield: 0.620 g (47.0 \%). Mp: $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.73\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta$ $3.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 28.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 44.02\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 622-661 (C-S), 1051, 1064, 1120, 1214, 1228 (C-N, stretching), 1423 $\left(\mathrm{S}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1580\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, bending), $3551\left(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $266(\mathrm{M}+2)^{+}, 190\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}, 114\left(\mathrm{M}-2\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 76$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cd}$ : C, 18.15; H, 4.57; N, 10.58; S, 24.23. Found: C, 18.00; H, 4.62; N, 10.51; S, 24.05.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right]$ (82): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium hydroxide $(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DI water $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this cadmium (II) chloride ( $2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water ( 20 mL ) was added and
stirred for 2 days. The white precipitate obtained was filtered and washed with water and methanol and dried. Yield (precipitate): $1.39 \mathrm{~g}(20.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 258-260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6^{-}}$ DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.97\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 7.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 24.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman $(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 464,659(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}), 878,1085,1262(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$, stretching $), 1319,1430,1469\left(\mathrm{~S}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 1557, $1595\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, bending), 2930, 3129, 3440 (R-NH2, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $\left.641\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right)\right)^{+}, 356(\mathrm{M} / 2)^{+}, 300\left(\mathrm{CdCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 264$ $\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 188\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 149(\mathrm{CdCl})^{+}, 78\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+2\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{C d}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right]\left(\mathbf{C d C l}_{\mathbf{4}}\right)(\mathbf{8 3})$ : AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol ( 20.0 mL ) along with sodium hydroxide $(0.800 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for few hours. The resulting precipitate of NaCl was filtered and the clear solution was evaporated under vacuum to obtain AET. The resulting AET was dissolved in DI water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this cadmium (II) chloride $(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 8.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 days. Yield (precipitate): 2.75 g ( $39.0 \%$ ). Mp: 182 $184{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.73\left(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.97(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 120,189(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}), 220(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}), 345(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}), 466,664,832(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}), 1083$, 1238 (C-N), 1290, 1406, $1462\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, scissoring), $2929\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3257,3340\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $410\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 345\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}$, $288\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)^{+}, 265\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 255\left(\mathrm{CdCl}_{4}\right)^{+}, 224\left(\mathrm{CdCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 188$ $\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 144(\mathrm{CdS})^{+}, 77\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}$.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{N a}_{\mathbf{2}}\left[\mathbf{C d}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right]$ (84): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium hydroxide $(0.800 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DI water and to this cadmium (II) chloride ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 2 days. The resulting white precipitate was filtered and washed with water and methanol and dried. The white precipitate obtained was filtered and washed with water and methanol and dried. Yield (precipitate): $2.20 \mathrm{~g}(43.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 192-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta$ $2.73\left(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 2.85\left(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 28.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR} / \operatorname{Raman}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 181(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}), 220(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{Cl}), 345(\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N})$, 461, 664 (C-S), 1091, 1238 (C-N, stretching), 1285, 1415, 1471, $2934\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3444\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $\left.480 \quad\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{( }\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 296$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CdS}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 255\left(\mathrm{CdCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 226(\mathrm{CdSCl}(\mathrm{SCH} 2))^{+}, \quad 204$ $\left(\mathrm{CdS}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 181(\mathrm{CdSCl})^{+}, \quad 172\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 154\left(\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, \quad 76$ $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}$.

### 2.4 Conclusion

Novel Cd(II)-thiolates have been synthesized and characterized with NMR, IR/Raman and X-ray crystallography for 76 and $\mathbf{8 1}$. Although the reactions have been carried out following similar procedures, the compounds obtained present different stoichiometries and variable geometries. However, the structural chemistry of Cd can be predicted according to the reaction conditions. For instance, a Cd-S bond is observed in all cases except 75. The absence of $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ in 75 can be attributed to excess $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. The Cd is hexa-coordinated surrounded with six Cl atoms, which are further involved in bridging with other Cd atoms to form a three-dimensional network. Compound 76 is closely related to $\mathbf{7 5}$, where two Cl are replaced with thiol groups. The network in 76 is further extended with intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving ammonium groups and Cl atoms. A Cd-N bond is observed in the compounds when the base $/ \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ratio is greater than 2. Compounds $\mathbf{8 1}$ and 84 are dinuclear with both bridging S and Cl atoms. Compounds $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 3}$ are trinuclear with the central Cd attached to four S and two Cl atoms.

In 20 and 23 bridging thiolates are responsible for the polymeric structure as observed in 76, and the bridging halides are also responsible for three-dimensional network. The coordination environment around Cd in 76 consisting of $S$ and halide is regular compared to those around Cd observed in $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 3}$, where S and N atoms are observed. The five-membered $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate is not observed in $\mathbf{7 6}$ in contrast to $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 3}$ due to the presence of ammonium groups. However a $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ coordination mode is observed in 81, where the Cd atoms are four- and five-coordinate in contrast to that observed in 13, where the Cd atoms are five-coordinate with bridging thiolates. The $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the
$\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate in $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ are similar, however, the bridging distances in $\mathbf{8 1}$ are much longer. The Cd-N distances in the five-membered chelate are variable in $\mathbf{8 1}$ but in accordance with those observed in $\mathbf{1 3}$.

The ligands cysteine and pencillamine, which are structurally similar to AET employ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ as well as S to complete the six coordinate environment around Cd . In the Cd-AET adduct (76), in contrast the coordination environment around Cd consists of S and halide atoms.

Since AET is related structurally with cysteine and pencillamine, the complexes of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ with AET may be relevant to further studies of Cd containing metallothioneins as well as in the development of treatments for heavy metal poisoning. D-pencillamine, a potential chelator for $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, instead of excretion cause mobilization and re-distribution of the metal ion to other tissues. ${ }^{138}$ Hence, formation of insoluble $\mathrm{Cd}($ II $)$-AET compounds in aqueous media might be relevant to the lower mobility of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions in the biological systems.
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## Chapter 3

## Lead(II)-2-Aminoethanethiolates

### 3.1 Overview

In the last few years there has been a resurgence in the coordination chemistry of heavy p-block elements due to their extensive Lewis acid properties and their potential use as solid-state materials. ${ }^{139-142}$ Lead $(\mathrm{Pb})$ has attracted particular attention, due to its versatility in adopting varying coordination geometries in compounds. ${ }^{143,144}$ The interesting property of lead(II) arises due to its nature to bind well with both hard and soft donor atoms and in forming compounds that are different from those conventionally expected.

Homoleptic organolead(II)-thiolates containing $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds are unstable and disproportionate to $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}-\mathrm{PbR}_{3}$ and elemental Pb unless attached to bulky ligands. ${ }^{145}$ Lead(II)-thiolates, on the other hand, are stable with respect to disproportionation and hydrolysis but are typically insoluble in non-coordinating solvents. This prevents the isolation of crystalline materials and hence limits an understanding of the structures present. Ligands containing both S and N donor have a significant advantage over the conventional thiolate ligands, due to the formation of stable and soluble compounds. However, only a few lead thiolates with both S and N coordination have been reported. ${ }^{146-148}$ This is in contrast with the vast amount of literature available for the zinc analogue. ${ }^{149}$ To address this limitation on the chemistry of Pb , and to gain insight into the biological activity of the element this chapter will present synthesis, characterization and structural study of lead(II)-2aminoethanethiolates.

### 3.2 Synthesis and Characterization

The combination of Pb (acetate) $)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, AET HCl and NaOH in 1:2:2 ratio in DI water yielded a tetranuclear compound consisting of $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right](\mathbf{8 5}) .{ }^{150} \mathrm{~A}$ similar reaction with $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ yielded $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{0.67}(\mathrm{Cl})_{0.33}$ (86), ${ }^{150}$ which is similar to 85 . In contrast to 86 , combination of an equivalent amount of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2$ AET $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and NaOH in water yielded a two-dimensional polymeric structure with the repeating unit consisting of $\left[\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(87) .{ }^{151}$ The colorless to light yellow crystals of $\mathbf{8 5} \mathbf{- 8 7}$ were obtained from filtrate as well as from the recrystallization of the precipitate in DI water. Similar reactions with variable amounts of Pb (II) salt, AET and NaOH in alcohol are shown to yield discrete molecular structures. ${ }^{60}$ Hence, it can be argued that the nature of the product can be manipulated by the nature of the solvent as well as the stoichiometry of the reactants (Table A15). The syntheses are summarized in scheme 3.1.


Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-2$-aminoethanethiolates. ${ }^{60,150,151}$

### 3.2.1 Spectroscopy

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{8 5}-\mathbf{8 7}$, single peaks were observed for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ groups, which are consistent with the symmetrical nature of the compounds in solution. Despite similar structures, a profound shift in the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ peaks is observed in $\mathbf{8 6}$ compared to that observed in 85 . The corresponding peaks for 87 fall within the range observed for $\mathbf{8 5}$ and 86. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, the presence of a $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ contact in $\mathbf{8 5}-\mathbf{8 7}$ is evident by the deshielded $C$ attached to $S(25-29 \mathrm{ppm})$. Similarly, deshielding observed for C attached to N in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ indicate the presence of a $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ contact. On the other hand, the nominal shift of CN observed in $\mathbf{8 7}$ is indicative of the absence of $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ contact (Table A16).

In the IR spectra, the absence of a peak at $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the -SH group confirms a direct $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ contact. This is also evident by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ stretches, which are shifted to lower frequencies compared to the free ligand. The peaks at 2938-3130, 1561$1583 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and no change in the C-N stretching in $\mathbf{8 7}$ indicates a free ammonium group. On the other hand, the presence of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ stretching and bending modes at higher frequencies in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ indicate a $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ contact.

In the Uv-Vis spectra for $\mathbf{8 5 - 8 7}$ in water the $\lambda_{\max }$ is observed at around 260 nm , which is due to an $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb}$ LMCT (ligand to metal charge transfer) and fall in the range usually observed for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates ( $250-400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) indicating retention of the geometry around $\mathrm{Pb} .{ }^{152}$ However, for $\mathbf{8 8}$ and $\mathbf{8 9}$, the $\lambda_{\max }$ at 201 nm due to unligated $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ indicated dissociation of the compounds under experimental condition. ${ }^{60}$

### 3.2.2 Crystal Structures

In 85, two independent Pb centers are observed, namely, $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PbClS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Figure 3.1, Table A17). The presence of an open coordination site suggests the presence of stereochemically active lone pair. The geometry around four- and five-coordinate Pb can be considered as distorted tetrahedral and trigonal bipyramidal, respectively. The five-coordinate Pb forms a planar four-membered $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring with average internal angles close to $90^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances observed around the five-coordinate Pb (2.737 and 2.897 $\AA$ ) are slightly longer than the corresponding distances observed around the fourcoordinate Pb ( 2.673 and $2.713 \AA$ ). On the other hand, the $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distance around the fourcoordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(2.629 \AA)$ is slightly longer than that observed around the five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(2.613 \AA)$. This trend in $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances observed is probably to achieve an overall stability. The unsymmetrical $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core (2.737 and $3.053 \AA$ ) relieve the strain caused by the four-membered ring. This also indicates the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair. ${ }^{153}$ Similar unsymmetrical distances are also observed around four-coordinate Pb . The bridging $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distance ( $2.897 \AA$ ) connecting $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PbClS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ sub-units is in agreement with the corresponding distances observed in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-$ thiolates containing bridging S atoms (2.671-2.960 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{153,154} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Pb-N} \mathrm{distances} \mathrm{are} \mathrm{also}$ comparable to four- and five-coordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelates (2.436-2.532 Å).

The $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ moiety is attached to the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core in a linear fashion with an $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Pb}-$ S angle close to $165.0^{\circ}$, which is comparable to similar angles observed in $\left[\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(162.7^{\circ}\right){ }^{155}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{SPh})_{2}\right]\left(158.9^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{154}$ The deformation around Pb is most probably due to the presence of intermolecular hydrogen bonding. The Cl
from the $\mathrm{PbClS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ unit is weakly bonded to the $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group of the $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ unit. On the other hand, the NH from the five-membered ring attached to the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core is involved in hydrogen bonding with the S atom of a $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ unit from a second molecule. Hence, the intermolecular hydrogen bonding is responsible for the stacking of the molecules. This stacking, however, with weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ interactions parallel to each other is also observed in $\left[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{SPh})_{2}\right] .{ }^{154}$

Compound 86 is isostructural to $\mathbf{8 5}$, except for the presence of Cl attached to a Pb in the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core. The overall structure reveals two independent Pb centers, namely, $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PbS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. The geometry around Pb can be best described as distorted tetrahedral. The open coordination site suggests the presence of a stereochemically lone pair on Pb (Figure 3.2, Table A18).

The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distance in the $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ unit ( $2.713 \AA$ ) is shorter than the corresponding distance observed in the $\mathrm{PbS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ unit (2.704 and $2.893 \AA$ ). Similar to 85, unsymmetrical $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances ( 2.704 and $3.085 \AA$ ) are observed in the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core indicating the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair. The presence of extensive hydrogen bonding in $\mathbf{8 6}$ might explain the higher thermal stability compared to $\mathbf{8 5}$. This might be due to the presence of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, which supplies more hydrogen bonding contacts than a single Cl ion. The $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ hydrogens are also weakly bonded to S and Cl atoms of another unit similar to those observed in $\mathbf{8 5}$.


Figure 3.1. View of $\mathbf{8 5}$ showing inter-molecular hydrogen bonding with dotted lines.


Figure 3.2. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{8 6}$ with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids. The counter anions $0.66 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $0.33 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$are present at the same position.

In 87 , the Pb atoms are tetracoordinate with two bridging S and two bridging Cl atoms (Figure 3.3, Table A19). However, taking into account weak interactions with $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$, two independent Pb are observed, namely, $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CN}=4)$ and $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{CN}=$ 6). The absence of a $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bond as seen in $\mathbf{8 5}, \mathbf{8 6}, 29$ and $\mathbf{4 2}$ can be attributed to the presence of an ammonium group. The angle spanning $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ is linear, $170^{\circ}-173^{\circ}$, while the $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ angles range from $81^{\circ}$ to $90^{\circ}$ providing a "see-saw" structure. The presence of an open coordination site indicates the presence of a stereochemically active lone pair on Pb .

The strain in the four membered $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring is evident in the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ angle of $84^{\circ}$, which is comparable to those observed in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}\left(83^{\circ}-87^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{150}$ The $\mathrm{Pb}--\mathrm{Pb}$ distance observed in the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core ( $4.145 \AA$ ) is slightly longer than the sum of van der Waals radii of two $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ atoms $(4.0 \AA)^{156}$ but falls in the range observed for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing a $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core (3.994-4.612 $\left.\AA\right) .{ }^{153,157}$ In contrast, the $\mathrm{S}---\mathrm{S}$ distances in the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core $(3.371 \AA$ ) are much smaller than the sum of covalent radii of two S atoms $(3.700 \AA)^{158}$ but in the range observed in similar $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $(3.103-3.836 \AA) .{ }^{159,160}$ The closest possible homonuclear interactions between the chains are through the open face with $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{Pb}(4.320 \AA \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}---\mathrm{Cl}$ interactions (3.952), which are comparable to those observed in polymeric Pb (II)-thiolates. ${ }^{145}$


Figure 3.3. View of $\mathbf{8 7}$ along the 'c' axis with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids. Additional $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds, nitrate ions and hydrogen atoms are not shown.

The presence of an unsymmetrical Pb - S distances ( 2.734 and $2.841 \AA$ ) may relieve the strain caused by the four-membered $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ring, ${ }^{161}$ which also suggests that the lone pair on Pb is stereochemically active. ${ }^{153}$ These distances are, however much larger than the corresponding distances observed for tetracoordinate Pb in $\mathbf{8 5}, 86$ and 29 (2.63 $2.71 \AA$ ). This observation is in contrast to the fact that exchange of N with $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 2}$ ) as an additional donor atom leads to the formation of stronger $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds. ${ }^{60}$ The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances ( 2.784 and $3.034 \AA$ ) fall between those observed for tetracoordinate Pb (2.791 $\AA$ in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and 86) and pentacoordinate $\mathrm{Pb}(3.082 \AA$ in 29). Hence, the two-dimensional network is formed of $\mathrm{PbS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ repeating units along with the individual chains linked to each other by the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core. This might be a reason for the stability of the compound in solution, in contrast to polymeric $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, where the chains are usually held together through weak $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ contacts. ${ }^{60}$ However, polymeric chains connected through the ligand are also known, for example $\left\{\left[\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right] \operatorname{Pb}(\mu-\mathrm{NCS})\right\}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})=$ pyrazolyl borate). ${ }^{162}$ The $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups in the core are present above and below the $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ plane and further involved in hydrogen bonding with bridging Cl and nitrate.

The two-dimensional network in 87 is extended to a three-dimensional framework by intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and Cl . The $\mathrm{NH}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance of $3.311 \AA$ is much smaller than those observed in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ (3.403-3.571 $\AA$ ) indicating a stronger interaction. The nitrate ions are present within the chains and weakly bonded to the amine groups with distances in the range $2.884-3.009 \AA$ and are smaller than those observed in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and 86 (2.9-3.2 $\AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Pb} 2---\mathrm{O}($ nitrate $)$ distances (2.867 and $3.187 \AA$ ) are within the range observed for similar interaction of Pb with nitrate or perchlorate (2.58-3.20 $\AA$ )..$^{70}$

### 3.3 Experimental Section

All the reactions were carried out at room temperature in deionized water. The reagents $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}, \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (J. T. Baker) and 2-aminoethanethiol hydrochloride (TCI America) were used as received. The NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ data were obtained with JEOL-GSX-400 and 270 instruments operating at 199.17 and 399.78 MHz using $\mathrm{d}^{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent, with tetramethylsilane as the reference. IR data were recorded as KBr pellets on a Matheson Instrument 2020 Galaxy Series spectrometer and are reported in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Raman spectra were obtained on a Nicolet FT-Raman 906 Spectrometer ESP between $100-800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the Center for Applied Energy Research at the University of Kentucky. Mass Spectral data were obtained from the University of Kentucky Mass Spectrometry Facility. X-ray quality crystals were obtained from supernatant at either room temperature or at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. X-ray data for $\mathbf{8 5}$ - $\mathbf{8 7}$ were collected on a Nonius Kappa-CCD unit using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation from colorless regular shaped crystals.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P b}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C l}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\right](\mathbf{8 5})$ : To a stirring solution of AET•HCl (1.14 g, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, sodium hydroxide ( $0.800 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by addition of lead acetate trihydrate ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by stirring for one day. The solution was filtered to remove yellow precipitate and the filtrate was allowed to stand for 3 days in the refrigerator at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time pale yellow needle shaped crystals formed. Yield (crystals): $0.640 \mathrm{~g}(19.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 3.02\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 48.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 668-657(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})$, 1032, 1065, 1105, 1219 (C-N, stretching), $1417\left(\mathrm{~S}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}}\right), 1596\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, bending),

3463 (R-NH2, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $359\left(\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 282$ $\left(\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 76\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}$Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{ClPb}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 10.6$; H , 2.67; N, 6.20. Found: C, 10.2; H, 2.48; N, 5.87.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P b}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\right]\left(\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{0.67}(\mathbf{C l})_{0.33}$ (86): Sodium hydroxide (20.0 $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.800 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.14 \mathrm{~g})$ in DI water $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of lead nitrate ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by stirring for one day. The resulting solution was filtered to remove white precipitate and the filtrate was allowed to stand for 10 days in the refrigerator at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time colorless crystals were formed. Yield (crystals): $0.410 \mathrm{~g}(24.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 132-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 3.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 49.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 628-706$ (C-S), 1046-1221 (C-N, stretching), $1430\left(\mathrm{~S}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1593\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, bending), 3448 ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, stretching). HRMS (EI, positive): $359\left(\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)^{+}, 282$ $\left(\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right)^{+}, 76\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)^{+}$Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3.67} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2.02} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.33} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2}$ : C , 10.3; H, 2.61; N, 7.38. Found: C, 10.3; H, 2.57; N, 7.36.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{P b C l}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)(\mathbf{8 7})$ : To a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(10.0$ mmol, 1.14 g ), sodium hydroxide ( $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.400 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added in 30 mL of DI water and stirred for few minutes. To the clear solution lead nitrate ( $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.31 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added and stirred for 24 hours. The resulting precipitate was washed with cold DI water and methanol and dried well. The filtrate was evaporated to yield light yellow needle shaped crystals. The same crystals were also obtained from the recrystallization of the
precipitate from hot water. Yield(crystals + precipitate): 3.50 g ( $81.0 \%$ ). Mp: 188 $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 3.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $7.36(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 25.1(\mathrm{CS})$ and $44.6(\mathrm{CN})$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 357, 656, 819, 881, 1033, 1091, 1335, 1390, 1475, 1596, 3137. HRMS (EI, positive): 427 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right),\left(\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{Cl}^{+}, 2\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\left[\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, 6.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.84 ; \mathrm{N}$, 7.37. Found: C, 6.28; H, 1.80; N, 7.36.

### 3.4 Conclusion

Compounds $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ are the first structurally characterized tetranuclear $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ thiolates containing an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligand. The solubility of 2-aminoethanethiol and its compounds has facilitated the preparation and structural study of soluble Lewis acid-base adducts $\mathbf{8 5}, \mathbf{8 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 7}$. The structures of $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ are based on a simple bonding model for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, in which the amine nitrogen donates electron density to the empty p orbitals of Pb . In the absence of a bridging Cl atom ( $\mathbf{8 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 7}$ ) molecular compounds are observed. A three-dimensional network is acquired due to the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving amine groups and counter anions. In contrast, the bridging Cl in $\mathbf{8 7}$ yields a one-dimensional network, which is extended to a two-dimensional network with bridging S atoms. It is interesting to note that weak $\mathrm{Pb}--\mathrm{S}$ as well as $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{N}$ interactions in $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates are responsible for the formation of polymeric structures, which are either unstable in solution ${ }^{60}$ or insoluble in non-coordinating solvents. ${ }^{145}$ In contrast to $\mathbf{8 5}-\mathbf{8 7}$, similar reactions in alcohol $(\mathbf{2 9}, 42)$ in the presence of excess base yielded molecular compounds with intramolecular $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{S}$ interactions. These compounds are shown to partially dissociate in solution, in contrast to robust $\mathbf{8 5}-\mathbf{8 7}$. The $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances for the four-coordinate Pb in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ are variable compared to those observed around $\mathbf{2 9}$ and 30. The average $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in the five-membered chelate in $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ are shorter than those observed in 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$.
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## Chapter 4

## Mercury(II)-2-Aminoethanethiolates

### 4.1 Overview

Mercury-thiolate chemistry has attracted much attention in the last few decades due to the presence of sulfur compounds in the biological cycling of the element. ${ }^{164}$ The well-documented toxicity is due to the interaction of mercury with sulfur, present in biomolecules as cysteine ${ }^{92}$ and methionine. ${ }^{165}$ In particular, the organomercury(II) compounds have stimulated much attention due to their ability to cause irreversible damage to the central nervous system. ${ }^{166}$ The inorganic form of mercury, if ingested by organisms, is easily transformed into organic species by alkylation making it more soluble in water as well as more lipophilic thereby increasing its toxicity. ${ }^{167}$

Mercury(II)-thiolates can be divided into two categories according to the donor atoms: a) homoleptic thiolates ( Hg bonded to only S atoms) and b ) heteroleptic thiolates (Hg bonded to halide, N or O along with an S atom). Homoleptic mercury(II)-thiolates can be mononuclear $\left(\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=2-4\right)$; dinuclear $\left(\mathrm{Hg}_{2}(\mathrm{SR})_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{n}=3,6\right)$; trinuclear $\left(\mathrm{Hg}_{3}(\mathrm{SR})_{4}\right)$; tetranuclear $\left(\mathrm{Hg}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})_{6}\right)$; pentanuclear $\left(\mathrm{Hg}_{5}(\mathrm{SR})_{8}\right)$ and polynuclear $\left([\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})]_{\mathrm{n}}\right) .^{12,83,87,168,169}$ On the other hand, the heteroleptic mercury(II)-thiolates are generally polymeric such as $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]_{\infty},[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{S} \text {-steroid }) \mathrm{Br}]_{\infty},[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SMe}) \mathrm{X}]_{\infty}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or $\mathrm{Br})$ and $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{\infty}\right)$. However, heteroleptic compounds of higher nuclearity are also known such as tetranuclear $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\right)\left[(\mu-\mathrm{SEt})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{Br})(\mathrm{HgBr})_{4}\right],\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4}(\mathrm{SR})_{6} \mathrm{X}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{R}=$ SEt, $\left.\mathrm{SPr}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and heptanuclear $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{7}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)_{12} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]$, where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$, Br or $\mathrm{I}^{89-91,170,171}$ The common feature observed in the heteroleptic mercury(II)-thiolates is that the geometry around the $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ center is affected by the size of the halide.

The interaction of Hg with thiolate S atoms is thermodynamically favorable and the stability of the compounds may be achieved by the formation of a number of different structures of equal or similar energy. The ease of deformation of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{\mathrm{x}}\right]$-type compounds is due to the low energy barrier separating different species, which leads to a complicated solution chemistry. ${ }^{172,173}$ The structural diversity found generally in metalthiolates is exemplified dramatically in the unusual coordination chemistry of mercury(II)-thiolates. Aminothiols with protected or quaternized groups are of greater synthetic and spectroscopic utility by comparison to conventional monodentate thiols as the metal compounds are generally more soluble. Thus, structural and solution equilibria studies can be achieved.

This chapter summarizes compounds of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ salts with $\mathrm{AET} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and their structural studies along with proposed mechanisms for the formation of compounds of higher nuclearity. The mechanistic pathways are topochemical and therefore incorporate the known structural chemistry of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates reported in the literature.

### 4.2 Compounds of AET with $\mathbf{H g C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$

### 4.2.1 Synthesis and Characterization

The combination of $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (or $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) with AET HCl in DI water at room temperature yielded $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (88), $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{8}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ (89), $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (90) and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ (91) with variable nuclearites. ${ }^{174-176}$ However, a similar reaction at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded only a tetranuclear cyclic compound, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (93). ${ }^{177}$ In contrast, combination of the neutral ligand with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ in methanol yielded a mononuclear four-coordinate compound,
$\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (92). ${ }^{126}$ The structures obtained apparently depended on the stoichiometry of the reaction, time and temperature (Table A20). X-ray quality crystals were mostly obtained from the supernatant at either room temperature or cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 4.2.2 Spectroscopy

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, a significant shift is observed for the methylene protons and C atoms of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups attached to S in $\mathbf{8 8 - 9 2}$ (Table A21) compared to the free ligand, indicating the presence of an $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ bond. The protons due to the $\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$groups are observed as a broad peak in the range 6.0-8.0 ppm for 89-91. A significant shift in the C attached to the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group is not observed in 91 despite an $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, compared to 92 .

The ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ solution NMR of compound 88 suggests the presence of a fourcoordinate $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ compound in solution. The peak at -618 ppm relative to 1 M $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ in DMSO (as external reference) is in the range reported for four-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $(0--800 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{169}$ In $\mathbf{8 9}$, despite the presence of three independent Hg centers only one single peak at -678 ppm indicating a four-coordinate Hg center is observed. This might be due to the dissociation of the cluster to a simple $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ compound. No suitable peaks for 90 and 91 could be observed despite their high solubility in DMSO, which can be attributed to the rapid exchange occurring in solution.

In the IR spectrum the absence of an -SH peak around $2500-2550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in $\mathbf{8 8} \mathbf{- 9 2}$ confirms the presence of covalent Hg-S bonds. In 88-90, peaks at $3200-3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric stretching) indicate protonated amine groups. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ scissoring and wagging modes for all the compounds were observed around 1500-1650 and 660-900
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, respectively. A red shift in the C-S stretch around $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{8 8} \mathbf{- 9 2}$ compared to the free ligand $\left(750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ also indicates interaction between the thiolate S atom and Hg .

In the Raman spectrum (Table A22), the symmetric and asymmetric stretch for Hg-S in 88-92 are observed around 280 and $340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are comparable to those reported in the literature (252-337 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). The stretch due to $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ in $\mathbf{8 9 - 9 1}$ is observed around $230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is also comparable to the literature values. ${ }^{88,116}$

In the UV-Vis spectrum the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ due to the $S \rightarrow H g$ LMCT for $\mathbf{8 8}-\mathbf{9 1}$ is observed around 270 nm , which indicates the presence of four-coordinate Hg in solution. However in 88, despite a linear geometry in the solid-state, the geometry around Hg in solution is more like distorted tetrahedral due to the presence of two Cl ions in close proximity. Low-energy LMCT bands in the wavelength range $280-310 \mathrm{~nm}$ are characteristic of distorted tetrahedral complexes containing $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds as observed in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2}\right] \quad\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ ethyl and isopropyl), ${ }^{178}$ Hg-plastocyanin ${ }^{179}$ and two types of metallothionein. ${ }^{180-182}$

### 4.2.3 Crystal Structures of 88-92

The geometry around $\mathbf{8 8}$ is essential linear with $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ close to $170^{\circ}$ (Figure 4.1). The deviation from linearity is due to a weak interaction with the Cl ions. A similar deviation is also observed in $44-46\left(154-170^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{92-94}$ The Hg-S distances (avg $\left.2.33 \AA\right)$ are comparable to two-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. ${ }^{92-95}$


Figure 4.1. ORTEP view of the dication of $\mathbf{8 8}$ without Cl ions.


Figure 4.2. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{8 9}$ with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids. The counter anions and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

A unique feature that has been identified in 88, in contrast to similar $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})-$ thiolates, such as $\mathbf{4 4}$ is the presence of short S---H contacts. ${ }^{183}$. The S---N distance is 3.26 $\AA$ implying a short hydrogen bond distance of $\sim 2.20 \AA$. This type of contact, although rare, has been found to be important in the structures of ferredoxins. ${ }^{184,185}$

The cationic cluster of $\mathbf{8 9}$ can be considered to be formed by linking two equivalent trinuclear species $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ with two bridging thiolate S atoms (Figure 4.2, Table A23). In the molecule two independent $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{Hg} 2$ (fourcoordinate) and Hg 3 (five-coordinate)), Cl (bridging and terminal) and bridging S atoms (inter- and intra unit) are observed. The geometry around Hg 1 and Hg 2 can be best described as distorted tetrahedral and around Hg 3 as distorted square pyramidal. The Hg S distances are variable and in the range, 2.339-2.631 $\AA$. The $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances are nearly equal ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.399 \AA$ ) except for $\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{S} 4(2.339 \AA$ ), where S 4 is not a bridging atom. These distances are found on the upper range of the distances observed for two-coordinate Hg (II)-thiolates with additional secondary contacts (2.316-2.395 $\AA)^{93,96,186,187}$ but slightly shorter than the corresponding distances observed in fourcoordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $\left(2.410-2.606 \AA\right.$ ).$^{89,90,118,188}$ The $\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{S} 1$ and $\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{S} 2$ distances ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.509 \AA$ ) are much longer than the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances associated with Hg 1 and Hg 2 . The bridging thiolate distance between trinuclear units $(2.631 \AA)$ is longer than the bridging Hg-S distances observed within the units. These distances are comparable to bridging $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances observed in molecular $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}(\mathrm{SMe})\right]^{2+}$ (avg $2.667 \AA$ ), ${ }^{84}$ $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\right]\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{MeOH}(\operatorname{avg} 2.708 \AA),{ }^{86}$ and polymeric $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$ $(\operatorname{avg} 2.625 \AA)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}(\operatorname{avg} 2.72 \AA) .{ }^{85}$

The three Hg atoms in each fragment are arranged around the triply bridged chloride at similar distances (avg $2.924 \AA$ ). The trinuclear fragment $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ can be compared to 71 , where a triply bridged Cl is also observed. ${ }^{119}$ The $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1(2.730 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2(3.106 \AA)$ distances are different despite similar environments. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance to triply bridged chloride in $\mathbf{8 9}$ is much longer than the corresponding distances observed in $71(2.370 \AA)$. The significantly longer distance may be due to the formation of a four-membered ring, $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SCl}$, involving bridging S and Cl atoms. In 71, the trinuclear units are held together through moderately strong chloride bridges forming a three-dimensional network, in contrast to $\mathbf{8 9}$, where the units are held together through bridging S atoms.

The Hg environment is distorted with primary $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ angles ranging from $171.8^{\circ}$ - $158.0^{\circ}$, much more linear compared to those associated with tetrahedral Hg with a $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ environment as in $\mathbf{5 1}\left(130.8^{\circ}\right),{ }^{189}$ and $70\left(112.5^{\circ}\right.$ and $\left.130.2^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{92}$ The largest bond angles are associated with the sulfur and the more narrow angles are associated with the triply bridged chlorides $\left(\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 3, \mathrm{~S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 3\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 3, \approx 88^{\circ}\right)$. The distortion around Hg can be attributed to the vibronic coupling mechanisms leading to d-orbital contribution, which give rise to deformation or a "plasticity" effect for tetrahedrally coordinated $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ with sulfur donor ligands. ${ }^{190}$

It is interesting to observe that despite the variation in the distances and the angles, each Hg atom is bonded to two sulfurs and two chlorides (with the exception of long S contact to Hg 3$)$. The $\mathrm{Cl}_{\text {triply bridged }} \mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ angles in $\mathbf{8 9}\left(89^{\circ}-98^{\circ}\right)$ are much more acute than the corresponding angles in $71\left(167.2^{\circ}\right)$ due to the involvement of bridged S atoms.

The geometry defined by 3 Hg , 2 S and one Cl atom (Cl3) is planar with $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 3-$ Hg 2 and $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{S} 2$ close to $160^{\circ}$. The terminal $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$groups are involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

The repeating unit in 90 is $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$with a highly distorted geometry around the Hg atoms (Figure 4.3, Table A24). Three independent Hg atoms are observed, namely $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{HgS}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{HgSCl}_{3}$. Hg 3 is unique as it is bonded to only one S atom, despite the tendency of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ to maximize bonding with thiolate S atoms. The geometry around Hg 1 and Hg 3 can be best described as distorted tetrahedral and around Hg 2 as slightly distorted ' T ' shaped. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances within a unit ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.442 \AA$ ) are comparable to those observed for four-coordinate polynuclear Hg (II)-thiolates. ${ }^{169}$

The Hg-S distance connecting trinuclear units is larger ( $2.794 \AA$ ) than the corresponding Hg-S distances observed within the trinuclear unit (avg $2.442 \AA$ ). The average $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance around Hg 1 and $\mathrm{Hg} 2(2.743 \AA)$ is longer than the terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance observed in similar $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (2.37-2.642 $\AA$ ) indicative of weaker $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonding. ${ }^{89,189,191}$ The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances around Hg 3 are variable and in the range 2.434 $2.707 \AA$. The longer distance may be attributed to the groups involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding. In contrast, the long $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ bond is not involved in any kind of secondary interaction, which may be attributed to the fact that Hg 1 is three-coordinate compared to four-coordinate Hg 2 and Hg 3 .

The smallest and largest bond angles around the Hg atoms are $167^{\circ}, 85.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{Hg} 1)$, $149^{\circ}, 90.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{Hg} 2)$ and $142^{\circ}, 93.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{Hg} 3)$. The more obtuse angles are associated with S compared to bonding with Cl atoms in the order $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$.


## CI 77

Figure 4.3. The trinuclear repeating unit of 90 . The additional $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ contacts are not shown for clarity.


Figure 4.4. Polymeric structure of 91 with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity.

The effective angular distortion of $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ is directly related to the presence of secondary contacts and asymmetric primary coordination. This is evident in the presence of a linear angle around $\mathrm{Hg} 1\left(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}=167^{\circ}\right)$ compared to $\mathrm{Hg} 2\left(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}=149^{\circ}\right)$. The Hg 1 environment is quite unusual as compounds with $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$moieties are not known. However it can be compared to 71, where the Cl is not bonded directly but present as chloride in close proximity $\left(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}=169.8^{\circ}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}=3.232 \AA$ ). ${ }^{92}$ The Tshaped geometry around Hg 1 is common for three-coordinate Hg as observed in $\left[\mathrm{HgXL}_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}-$-tetraethylthiuram disulfide. ${ }^{192,193} \mathrm{The} \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ angles around Hg 3 are more linear compared to the almost perpendicular $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ angles around Hg 1 and Hg 2. Hg 1, however, acts as a linear Hg (II)-bis-thiolate due to the absence of weak interactions associated with the Cl atom.

In the one-dimensional chain the $\mathrm{HgSCl}_{3}$ moieties are present on the opposite side of the chain. The ammonium groups are pointing away from the plane containing $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{S}$ and Cl to avoid steric interactions. All the Cl atoms, except Cl , are involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the ammonium group of a second chain to acquire a three dimensional structure. The NH---Cl distances (avg $3.222 \AA$ ) are slightly longer than those observed in $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with N and Cl atoms ( $\operatorname{avg} 3.150 \AA^{88}$ and 3.160 $\AA^{189}$ ) but comparable to those observed in free ligand (avg 3.200 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{174}$

The geometry around Hg in the repeating unit of 91 $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]$, is distorted tetrahedral with the coordination sphere consisting of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and Cl atoms (Figure 4.4, Table A25). The repeating units are attached through bridging S in a unidirectional fashion. However, intermolecular hydrogen
bonding involving an $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group, Cl and water molecules generate a three-dimensional structure.

The five-membered rings are neither parallel nor perpendicular to each other ( N Hg -S-C torsion angle $\approx 100^{\circ}$ ). This distortion might be due to the hydrogen bonding of amine with Cl from an alternate chain and to avoid any kind of steric interaction among the five-membered rings. In the chelate ring the average $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}(2.652 \AA)$ distance is much longer and the Hg-N distance ( $2.268 \AA$ ) is much shorter than the corresponding distances observed in other $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelates (avg 2.400 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{49,99}$ These distances are indicative of weaker $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and stronger $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds. The chelate $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distance (2.652 $\AA$ ) is much longer than the bridging Hg-S bond ( $2.423 \AA$ ), which is in contrast to the observations made in $\mathbf{6 9}$, where the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelating Hg - S distances are shorter than non-chelating Hg-S distances ( 2.414 and $2.495 \AA$, respectively). ${ }^{49}$ The Hg-N distances are shorter than those observed in $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with additional N donor ligands (2.390 $-2.480 \AA$ ). ${ }^{111}$ The bridging Hg-S distances (avg $2.408 \AA$ ) present between repeating units are slightly shorter than the bridging distances observed in $\mathbf{9 0}$, which can be attributed to a larger $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ bond present in the chelate. The terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances are variable with $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1,2.719 \AA, \mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2,2.717 \AA$ and $\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{Cl} 3,2.630 \AA$. The contribution of Cl in intermolecular hydrogen bonding makes the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ length slightly elongated, which is found in the upper limit range ( $2.310-2.830 \AA$ ) observed in $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with terminal Cl atoms. ${ }^{88,106,191}$

In 92, the coordination around Hg consists of S and N atoms (Figure 4.5) with a nearly linear S-Hg-S $\left(161^{\circ}\right)$ arrangement and narrow $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ angles $\left(93^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{126}$ The linear $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ indicates the tendency of Hg to maximize the bonding with S atoms rather than N
atoms. Additionally, a weak Hg---S contact is also observed leading to the formation of a dimer. The dimers are further connected by intermolecular hydrogen bonding. The Hg-S (avg $2.360 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances (avg $2.590 \AA$ ) are in accordance with four-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. ${ }^{169}$

A similar reaction used for the synthesis of $\mathbf{8 8}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded a polynuclear compound, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ (93) (Figure 4.6). The core structure is shown to consist of repeating tetranuclear units, which are connected with bridging Cl atoms to form a one-dimensional polymeric chain. Two independent Hg centers are observed, namely, $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, with distorted octahedral and tetrahedral geometry, respectively.

The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ unit ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.368 \AA$ ) are close to those observed for two-coordinate rather than six-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates. Similarly the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ angles $\left(\operatorname{avg} 173^{\circ}\right)$ are comparable to those observed in 88. On the other hand, the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ units ( $2.524 \AA$ ) are comparable to tetrahedral Hg (II)-thiolates. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances in the $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ unit $(2.953 \AA)$ are much longer than those present in the $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ units (avg $2.549 \AA$ ).

### 4.2.4 Mechanistic pathway for the formation of 88-93

The formation of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-bis-thiolate, $\mathbf{8 8}$ can be considered to occur through a stepwise process with the formation of a four-coordinate intermediate in solution (Scheme 4.1). The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of 3.120 and $3.470 \AA$ in $\mathbf{8 8}$ clearly indicate chlorides as essentially ionic.


Figure 4.5. Dimer of $\mathbf{9 2}$ with weak $\mathrm{Hg}---\mathrm{S}$ contacts shown with dotted lines. ${ }^{126}$


Figure 4.6. Repeating unit observed in $\mathbf{9 3}$ drawn with Mercury. ${ }^{194}$ The disordered $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups are not shown for clarity.

As indicated by the bond angles and the distances it can be easily concluded that the pathway for the formation of $\mathbf{9 3}$ involves $\mathbf{8 8}$ and free $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ (Scheme 4.1). The presence of free $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ in the solution can be attributed to its low solubility at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the solution free $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ add across $\mathbf{8 8}$ to from a dinuclear species, which in turn dimerize to form the tetranuclear unit of 93 .

The formation of $\mathbf{8 9}$ and $\mathbf{9 0}$ can be considered to proceed through a threecoordinate intermediate $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$, which could exist in solution in equilibrium with 88 (Scheme 4.2). There are no reports for formation of such an intermediate; however, compounds of general formula, $\mathrm{ClHgSR}(\mathrm{R}=$ benzyl, neopentyl and isopropyl) have been reported. ${ }^{87}$ For $\mathbf{8 9}$ a free $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ interacts with the threecoordinate intermediate to form a dinuclear species, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$, which in turn interacts with another three-coordinate intermediate to form the trinuclear unit. This trinuclear unit dimerizes with a bridging thiolate to form the hexanuclear unit of $\mathbf{8 9}$.

In 90, the three-coordinate intermediate dimerizes through a bridging thiolate to form the dinuclear unit, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2+}\right.$. The free $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ then reacts with a terminal thiolate atom to form the trinuclear unit, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]^{2-}$. The fourth coordination around this Hg unit is further completed by the addition of a free $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion. This pathway is implicit in the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances of 2.430 and $2.540 \AA$ in the $\mathrm{HgSCl}_{3}$ unit.


Scheme 4.1. Formation of $\mathbf{8 8}$ and $\mathbf{9 3}$ where $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.


Scheme 4.2. Formation of $\mathbf{8 9}$ and 90 from the three-coordinate intermediate. $(\mathrm{R}=-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$).

In the presence of a base $(\mathrm{NaOH})$ the formation of an additional $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is observed in 91 and 92 . The formation of four-coordinate Hg in 91 and 92 happens through the initial formation of the three-coordinate intermediate, $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$. The fourth coordination around Hg in 91 is completed by bonding to the thiolate present on another three-coordinate intermediate (Scheme 4.3). The fourth coordination around Hg , however, can be completed by formation of $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$or by dimerization to form $\left\{\left[\operatorname{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]\right\}_{2}$. Such intermediate have not been isolated but reported to exist in solution. ${ }^{195-197}$ Formation of an additional Hg -S bond prevails over the formation of an additional $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond to minimize the energy. However, in 92, the stability around $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$is achieved by the additional $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate to form a four-coordinate compound, $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (Scheme 4.4).

### 4.3 Compounds of AET with $\mathbf{H g B r}_{2}$

### 4.3.1 Synthesis and Characterization

The $1: 2$ combination of $\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}$ and AET HCl and AET in DI water yielded nonanuclear $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{15}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{9}\right]^{3+}(\mathbf{9 4})$ and tetranuclear $\left\{\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\right)_{2}\right]\right\}$ (95), respectively. ${ }^{175,198}$ However, a bis-thiolate, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br})_{2}$ (96), which is isostructural to $\mathbf{8 8}$ can be obtained if the reaction is conducted for a shorter time. The compounds are obtained as white precipitates in quantitative yields. X-ray quality colorless crystals for $\mathbf{9 4}$ were obtained from supernatant at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while for $\mathbf{9 5}$ and $\mathbf{9 6}$ the crystals were obtained from slow evaporation of the supernatant at room temperature.


Scheme 4.3. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 91.




Scheme 4.4. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 92.

### 4.3.2 Spectroscopy

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for 94 , the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ peaks are observed at 3.0 and 3.14 ppm, respectively. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, the corresponding peaks are observed at 25.9 and 43.0 ppm , respectively. In 95, shifts from the free ligand are not observed indicating the absence of $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds.

A suitable peak in the ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ solution NMR of $\mathbf{9 4}$ could not be obtained, which is most probably due to the presence of five independent (three- and four-coordinate) Hg centers.

In the IR and Raman, the absence of a peak at $2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates the absence of an -SH group. The characteristic peaks at $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are indicative of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$group. The symmetric and asymmetric stretches for $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ in 94 are observed at 288 and $339 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$, respectively. The stretch due to a terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond in both 94 and 95 could be assigned to the peaks at $190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Similar peaks have been observed in anionic $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{6}\right]^{2-}$ $\left(150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right){ }^{199}$

The $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ due to the $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}$ LMCT for $\mathbf{9 4}$ is observed around 270 nm indicating a tetrahedral geometry around the Hg center. Similar transitions due to tetrahedral Hg are observed in 88-91.

### 4.3.3 Crystal Structures

The compound 94 (Figure 4.7, Table A26) is the only known nonanuclear heteroleptic $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolate. The molecule can be considered as composed of three trinuclear $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{5}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$units with bridging S and Br atoms. In the molecule four different types of environment are observed around the Hg atoms, namely $\mathrm{HgSBr}_{3}$,
$\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{HgSBr}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$. Within a unit, three independent Hg atoms are observed. The Hg atoms are three- and four-coordinate with bridging S and either bridging or terminal Br atoms. The Hg-S distances observed (2.424-2.488 $\AA$ ) within a unit are close to the range observed for similar four-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ - thiolates (2.360-2.420 $\AA, 2.480$ $-2.610 \AA$ ). ${ }^{91,171}$ The bridging Hg-S distances $(\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 2 \mathrm{~B}=2.811 \AA$ ) between the units are much longer than the corresponding $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances within the unit. The Hg3-S distances are slightly longer than the distances observed in $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with an $\mathrm{HgSBr}_{3}$ moiety such as $\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}(\mathrm{tzdtH})\right]_{2}(2.435 \AA) .{ }^{114}$ This is due to the presence of a bridging rather than a terminal thiolate. The terminal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances (2.559-2.790 $\AA$ ) are close to the range found in the literature (2.470-2.650 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{112,113}$ The bridging HgBr distances ( $\operatorname{avg} 3.004 \AA$ ) are also close to the literature values $(2.730-2.900 \AA) .{ }^{171} \mathrm{~A}$ variation is commonly found for terminal and bridging $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances of thiolatebridged compounds of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II}) .{ }^{200}$ The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Hg}$ bridge is symmetrical ( $3.073 \AA$ ), which is in contrast to the fact that unsymmetrical $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Hg}$ bridges are more frequently observed. ${ }^{201-203}$ The weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {br }}$ (between units) and normal $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}_{\text {br }}$ distances suggest that Br plays a more important role than S in holding three different units together.

The greatest deviation from an ideal tetrahedral geometry is observed in the angles $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S} 2,172^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 2,85.0^{\circ}$. The relatively weaker secondary contacts around Hg are responsible for an almost linear S-Hg-S angle $\left(168^{\circ}\right)$. The broader angles observed are bonded to S atoms and the more narrow angles are associated with bridging Br . The Hg atoms in a unit are arranged in a zigzag pattern similar to that observed in 70. ${ }^{92}$ If seen along the ' $b$ ' axis it is observed that the Hg atoms in unit one and unit three are on top of each other and the second unit is arranged in a spiral fashion
with bridging thiolate and bromide atoms. Such a bonding pattern has not been observed previously. For example, in clusters such as $\left[\mathrm{Ag}_{9}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{6}\right]^{3-}$ the Ag atoms are arranged in a tetragonal prism of eight Ag , centered by a ninth Ag atom. ${ }^{204}$

The structure of $\mathbf{9 5}$ revealed a diammonium disulfide along with $\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ as the counter anion (Figure 4.8, Table A27). The absence of $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ or $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds is remarkable, as similar reaction conditions are shown to yield $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates such as $\mathbf{9 2}$ and 100. However, similar adducts have been reported in the literature, for example; $\left\{\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{4}\right][\mathrm{tab}]\right\}$ (tab $=$ 4-trimethyl-ammoniumphenyl disulfide). ${ }^{205}$ This compound was obtained from organic solvents, in contrast to 95 , which was obtained from aqueous media. The geometry around Hg is distorted tetrahedral with the Br atoms involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the ammonium groups from the disulfide unit.

### 4.3.4 Mechanistic Pathway for the formation of 94

Compound $\mathbf{9 4}$ is composed of three equivalent trinuclear units. The formation of an individual unit can be thought to proceed through rearrangement of a two-coordinate intermediate $\left(\left[\operatorname{HgBr}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}\right)$, three-coordinate intermediate $\left.\left[\mathrm{HgBr}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}\right]$and free $\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}$ present in the solution (Scheme 4.5). The free $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$in solution further adds across an $\left[\mathrm{HgSBr}_{2}\right]$ unit to form $\left[\mathrm{HgSBr}_{3}\right]$, with a fourcoordinate Hg , similar to that observed in $\mathbf{9 0}$. The individual trinuclear units organize further through with weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ interactions to form the nonanuclear cluster (94).


Figure 4.7. Molecular structure of 94 with $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms and counter anions are omitted for clarity.


Figure 4.8. View of $\mathbf{9 5}$ with intermolecular hydrogen bonding shown with dotted lines.

(96)


Scheme 4.5. Proposed pathway for the formation of 94 through 96 , where $R=-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.

### 4.4 Compounds of AET with $\mathbf{H g I}_{\mathbf{2}}$

### 4.4.1 Synthesis and Characterization

Combination of $\mathrm{AET} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ in a $2: 1$ ratio yielded the linear bis-thiolate $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}(97)$, which is isostructural to 88 and 96 . However, a similar reaction, if stirred for a longer time yielded polynuclear $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{n} \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (98). In the presence of an equivalent amount of base $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$a cyclic molecular structure, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right](99)$ is obtained. ${ }^{206}$ The same reaction used in the formation of 99 with neutral ligand yielded a compound with a cyclic structure $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{6}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (100), when a four-coordinate compound similar to 92 was expected. ${ }^{198}$ This is most probably due to the higher polarity of water compared to alcohol, where ligand exchange and rearrangement is much faster. The reactions are summarized in scheme 4.6.

### 4.4.2 Spectroscopy

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{9 8} \mathbf{- 1 0 0}$ show a significant downfield shift in the methylene protons attached to sulfur (Table A28) from that of free ligand ( 2.69 ppm ). These shifts are, however, slightly upfield compared to those observed for $\mathbf{9 7}$ ( 3.27 ppm ). In 99 and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$, the shift observed for the methylene protons adjacent to nitrogen ( $\sim 2.96$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ is comparable to that observed in $\mathbf{9 1}(2.97 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathbf{9 2}(2.92 \mathrm{ppm}),{ }^{126}$ indicating an $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ contact.

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra a downfield shift is observed for C -S in comparison to that of 2aminoethanethiol (22.2 ppm). The C-N peaks, however, do not show profound shifts from that observed for free ligand ( 42.8 ppm for 2-aminoethanethiol).


Scheme 4.6. Synthesis of 97-100.

This observation is in contrast to the presence of the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond observed in 99 and 100. It can be concluded that in the presence of an additional Hg-I bond, the shielding of carbon attached to nitrogen is negligible, something not observed in 92 (46.1 ppm), where a significant shift is observed despite a weaker $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. ${ }^{126}$

A suitable peak in the ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ solution NMR for 98 could not be observed due to the presence of three independent Hg centers and shielding due to I atoms. However, in 99 a broad peak at -642 ppm indicates the presence of four-coordinate Hg . The broadening of the signal supports the presence of an $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond since coupling between N and Hg could be responsible for such broadening. Similar broadening has been observed for amine-mercuric chloride complexes ${ }^{207}$ as well as in 92 (-659 ppm in $\mathrm{d}_{6}-$ DMSO). ${ }^{126}$ The ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ NMR for 100 shows two distinct peaks at -2983 and -1928 ppm , which could be assigned to $\mathrm{HgI}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and HgISN coordination environments, respectively. The shielding present in the first peak is most probably due to the presence of two $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ bonds. Despite a similar [ $\mathrm{HgIS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ] environment as of 99, a broad peak around -660 ppm is not observed in $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ indicating absence of an $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. This could be due to the equilibrium between four-coordinate $\left[\mathrm{HgIS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ and three-coordinate $\left[\mathrm{HgIS}_{2}\right]$ units in the solution.

In the IR spectra a significant change in the C-S stretch $\left(\approx 629-675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ is observed compared to the free ligand $\left(757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. This might be due to the slight difference in the C-S distance compared to the free ligand as evident from the X-ray studies. In 97 and $\mathbf{9 8}$, the band at $2962 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to a symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$stretch. Also peaks at 1468 and $1594 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to symmetric deformation and degenerate deformation modes, respectively, for the $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$group. In 99 and 100, peaks
around $3000-3448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to antisymmetric as well as symmetric $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ stretches, which are characteristic of primary amines.

In the Raman spectra of $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ the symmetric and asymmetric frequencies for $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ are observed around 260 and $341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively. In 98 , the corresponding peaks are observed around 287 and $340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and are in the range observed for a distorted tetrahedral Hg environment. In 99 and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$, the peak at $\sim 490 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ stretch, which is in the range observed for Hg-thiolates with $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonding (400$\left.700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{208}$ The terminal Hg -I frequencies can be assigned to the peaks around 125 and $135 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, respectively, and are in accord with the terminal Hg -I frequencies reported in the literature. ${ }^{95,116,209}$ The peak at $106 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ can be assigned to the bridging Hg-I stretch, which is comparable to the peak observed in $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{6}\right]^{2-} \cdot{ }^{210}$

### 4.4.3 Crystal Structures

The tetranuclear repeating unit in $\mathbf{9 8},\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, connected through bridging S atoms to form a one-dimensional polymeric chain, consists of three independent Hg centers, namely $\mathrm{HgI}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{HgI}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}$ (Figure 4.9, Table A29). Hg 1 and Hg 2 are are bonded to one bridging S , one terminal I , and two bridging I atoms, Hg 2 is bonded to two bridging S and I atoms and Hg 4 is attached to two bridging and two terminal I atoms. The geometry around the Hg atoms can be best described as distorted tetrahedral, which is indicated by angles ranging from $141^{\circ}$ to $89^{\circ}$. The smallest deviation is observed in the $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}$ moiety followed by the $\mathrm{HgI}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ unit, which suggests the tendency of Hg to maximize bonding with $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}=133^{\circ}\right.$ in $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ moiety). The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{br}}-\mathrm{Hg}$ angles observed (avg 86.7 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) are in the range found for $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{6}{ }^{2-}\left(83.8-88.0^{\circ}\right) .{ }^{211}$ The Hg -S
distances in the tetranuclear unit as well as between the repeating units (avg $2.478 \AA$ ) are in accord with other polymeric heteroleptic Hg-thiolates (avg 2.450 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{85,212}$ The Hg-I distances are variable depending on their presence at terminal (avg $2.687 \AA$ ) or bridging (avg $2.953 \AA$ ) positions but in agreement with corresponding distances observed in Hg thiolates with both terminal and bridging Hg -I bonding such as $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}(\mathrm{tzdtH})\right]_{2}(2.669 \AA$ (ter) and $3.059 \AA(\mathrm{br}))$ and $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{5}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)\right]^{2+}(2.723 \AA($ ter $)$ and $2.994 \AA(\mathrm{br})) .{ }^{211}$

Compound 99 is a centrosymmetric tetranuclear molecule with an eightmembered ring consisting of alternate Hg and S atoms. The four metal centers have similar coordination environments consisting of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ and a terminal I atom (Figure 4.10, Table A30). The octagonal ring is non-planar with the I atoms attached to Hg and present on the opposite sides of the mean plane. However, the geometry defined by the four Hg atoms can be considered as square planar with S atoms present above and below the plane. The sets of equivalent $\left[\operatorname{HgI}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ units are connected through bridging S atoms. The geometry around $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is distorted tetrahedral, whereas around $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ it is approximately octahedral including the secondary interactions with Cl or Br ions.

The geometry around the Hg atom is distorted tetrahedral with the smallest angles observed in the five-membered ring $\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S} \approx 82^{\circ}\right)$ and largest angles associated with the thiolate S atoms $\left(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \approx 123^{\circ}\right)$. The $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ distance $(2.518 \AA)$ associated with the five membered $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate is longer than the bridging Hg1-S2 distance ( $2.476 \AA$ ). The $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ distance is similar to the sum of the covalent radii of tetrahedral Hg and S atoms $(2.52 \AA),{ }^{213}$ whereas $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 1$ is much smaller. Asymmetric distances are quite common for Hg-thiolates, where formation of a longer bond is compensated by the presence of another shorter $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ bond to achieve overall electronic stability. ${ }^{214}$ The Hg-N distances
are variable $(2.371 \AA$ and $2.404 \AA$ ) but in accord with the corresponding distances observed in $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with an additional N donor ligand such as in $\left[\mathrm{HgO}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2}(\mathrm{RS})(\mathrm{L})\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}\right.$, and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)(\operatorname{avg} \mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N} 2.48 \AA) .{ }^{89,118}$

In 100, two independent Hg centers, namely, $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgS}_{2} \mathrm{NI}$ are observed (Figure 4.11, Table A31). The geometry around Hg can be best described as distorted tetrahedral with the smallest angle observed in the five-membered chelate $\left(83^{\circ}\right)$ and largest angle in S-Hg-S $\left(136^{\circ}\right)$.

Similar trends have been observed for 99. The average Hg -S distance of $2.485 \AA$ is smaller than that observed in 99 as well as in tetrahedral Hg (II)-thiolates (2.505-2.606 $\AA$ ). Similarly, average Hg-I distances ( $2.827 \AA$ ) are slightly longer than those observed in $99(\operatorname{avg} 2.758 \AA)$.

However, the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances ( $\operatorname{avg} 2.391 \AA$ ) are in agreement with those observed in $99(2.387 \AA)$ but smaller than those reported for $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates with an additional N donor ligand $(\operatorname{avg} 2.480 \AA) .{ }^{89,118}$ The trend in Hg -S and Hg -I distances compared to 99 is in agreement that longer $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$ are compensated by shorter $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ to achieve overall stability.

The $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$groups are opposite to each other with respect to the plane formed by four Hg atoms to avoid steric interactions. Similarly the $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ units are also present above and below the plane. The amine/ammonium groups are involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding to form a three-dimensional network.


Figure 4.9. The repeating unit of $\mathbf{9 8}$. The bridging Hg-S bonds are not shown for clarity.


Figure 4.10. View of 99 with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.


Figure 4.11. View of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids. Solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity.

### 4.4.4 Mechanistic pathway for the formation of 98-100

Based on two distinct $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ moieties and common features observed for $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}{ }^{-}$ thiolates a general mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{9 8}$ can be proposed (Scheme 4.7). The reaction proceeds through the formation of a three-coordinate intermediate, $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$. This three-coordinate unit is common for $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing I atoms such as $\mathrm{tzdtH}^{114}$ and $\left.\mathrm{imtH}_{2}\right)^{99}$. The intermediate can either dimerize to form $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ or react with free $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ to form $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$. One of the thiolates from unit $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ adds across $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ to yield 98. The three-coordinate intermediate and the dinuclear species could be isolated from less polar solvents, where the rate of ligand exchange is much slower.

For the formation of $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$, two different three-coordinate intermediates, namely $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{HgI}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ can be considered as building units (Scheme 4.8). These structural units have been reported for several $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates including 48 and 49. ${ }^{98,99}$ The formation of $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ is most probably due to the partial solubility of $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$ in water, which otherwise in alcohol would have yielded $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. The stability around the three-coordinate Hg (II) intermediate is achieved by formation of bridging Hg-S with a thiolate from another unit. These units further oligomerize to form the cyclic tetranuclear unit of $\mathbf{9 9}$. The choice of formation of $\left[\mathrm{HgI}\left(\mu-\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ over $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ in $\mathbf{9 9}$ is most probably due to the preference of Hg for S over I (bond energies 217.1 and $34.69 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). This is also evident from the X -ray structure, where the Hg - S distance between two $\left[\mathrm{HgI}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ units is stronger compared to that in the five-
membered ring. However, in case of 100, another three-coordinate intermediate, namely $\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ comes in contact with $\left[\mathrm{HgI}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]$ to form a dimer, which oligomerize to form tetranuclear unit of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$.


Scheme 4.7. Proposed mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{9 8}$, where $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.


Scheme 4.8. Proposed mechanism for the formation of compounds 99 and 100.

### 4.5 Experimental Section

General Procedure. The reactions were carried out at room temperature in a mixture of DI water and methanol under nitrogen. The reagents 2-aminoethanethiol hydrochloride (TCI America) and $\mathrm{HgX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}\right.$ and I) (Alfa Aesar) were used as received. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were obtained with JEOL-GSX-400 and 270 instruments operating at 199.17 MHz using $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO as solvent. The ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO were collected at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Varian INOV 400 MHz instrument with 4-Nucleus Autoswitchable 5 mm Probe and referenced to $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ in DMSO at -1500 ppm as external reference ${ }^{207,215}$ and checked against external $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(-2250$ ppm). ${ }^{216}$ The IR data was recorded as KBr pellets on a Mattson Galaxy 5200 FT-IR instrument between $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Mass Spectral data were obtained from the University of Kentucky Mass Spectrometry Facility. Raman spectra were obtained on a Nicolet FT-Raman 906 Spectrometer ESP between $100-800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in Center for Applied Energy Research at the University of Kentucky Facility. The UV-Vis studies were conducted on an Agilent HP 8453 instrument by using 0.05 mM solutions in deionized water.

X-ray Crystallography. Crystals of compounds $\mathbf{8 8}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ were obtained from the supernatant at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or by recrystallization of the resulting precipitate from hot water. Xray diffraction data of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 8 - 9 9}$ were collected at 90 K on a Bruker-Nonius X8 Proteum diffractometer unit using $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation and Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer unit using $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, respectively from regular shaped crystals mounted in Paratone-N oil on glass fibers. Initial cell parameters were obtained using

DENZO ${ }^{217}$ from $1^{\circ}$ frames and were refined via a least-square scheme using all datacollection frames (SCALEPACK). ${ }^{217}$ The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXL97) ${ }^{218}$ and completed by difference Fourier methods (SHELXL97). ${ }^{218}$ Refinement was performed against $F^{2}$ by weighted full-matrix least-square and empirical absorption correction (SADABS) ${ }^{218}$ were applied. Hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions using suitable riding models with isotropic displacement parameters derived from their carrier atoms. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Atomic scattering factors were taken from the International Tables for Crystallography Volume C. ${ }^{219}$

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{H g}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right](\mathbf{C l})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 8 8 )}$ : To a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercury(II) chloride $(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting solution was allowed to stand for 2 weeks at 4 C during which time colorless cubic crystals formed in high yield. Yield: $1.72 \mathrm{~g}(81.0 \%) ; \mathrm{Mp}: 218-220 \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 25.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR}$ $\left(\mathrm{KBr} ; ~ v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3445 \mathrm{w}, 2991 \mathrm{~s}, 2904 \mathrm{~s}, 2720 \mathrm{w}, 2606 \mathrm{w}, 2532 \mathrm{w}, 2410 \mathrm{w}, 1604 \mathrm{~s}, 1566 \mathrm{~s}$, $1491 \mathrm{~s}, 1477 \mathrm{~s}, 1420 \mathrm{w}, 1405 \mathrm{~m}, 1366 \mathrm{w}, 1315 \mathrm{~m}, 1264 \mathrm{~s}, 1249 \mathrm{~m}, 1134 \mathrm{~m}, 1094 \mathrm{~m}, 1077 \mathrm{~m}$, 1034m, 1015w, 933s, $882 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 802 \mathrm{w}, 787 \mathrm{~m}, 724 \mathrm{w}, ~ 653 \mathrm{w}, ~ 453 \mathrm{w}$. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{HgN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 11.2; H, 3.32; N, 6.58; S, 15.1. Found: C, 11.3; H, 3.25; N, 6.70; S, 15.0.

Synthesis of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{8}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbf{4}} \cdot \mathbf{4 \mathrm { H } _ { \mathbf { 2 } } \mathrm { O }}$ (89): To a stirring solution of AET $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercury(II) chloride $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resulting solution was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The solution was then allowed to stand for 2 weeks in the refrigerator at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time colorless cubic crystals formed. Crystalline yield: 3.46 gm ( $60.0 \%$ ); Mp: 204 - $206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO, 200MHz, ppm): $\delta 3.00\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 3.14(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ and $\delta 7.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 25.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta$ $43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman (KBr, $\left.v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3448,3058,2991,2906,1604,1566,1477,1404$, $1365,1313,1263,932,881,786,724,712,691,502,340,298,285,272,263,251,234$, 221, 178, 133. MS (EI, +ve): 356, $\quad\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}+1\right]^{+} ; \quad 389$, $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}-1\right]^{+} ; 313, \quad\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{8}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 8.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.83$. Found: C, 8.20; H, 2.63; N, 4.79.

Synthesis of $\left[\left\{\mathbf{H g}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}}\right\} \mathbf{C l}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathbf{( 9 0 )}$ : To a stirring solution of AET HCl $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercurous(I) chloride ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 days to obtain clear solution. The elemental mercury was removed and the filtrate was partially evaporated to obtain colorless crystals. Yield: $2.01 \mathrm{gm}, 77.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{0}(0.480 \mathrm{gm}, \approx 50.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 204$ - $206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $2.94\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) and 6.33 (br, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 42.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) . \mathrm{IR} /$ Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, ~ v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3444,2966,1603,1467,1366,1285,1029,807,763$, 686, 629, 621, 559, 477, 391, 351, 269, 225, 215, 189. MS (EI, +ve): 390,
$\left(\left[\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, \quad 5 \%\right) ; \quad 309, \quad\left(\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, \quad 10 \%\right) ; \quad 277$, ( $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}, 12 \%\right) ; 200,\left([\mathrm{Hg}]^{\circ}, 25 \%\right) ; 77,\left(\left[\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{+}, 25 \%\right)$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{C1}_{6} \mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 6.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.01$. Found: C, $6.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.98$.

Synthesis of $\left[\left\{\mathbf{H g}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\mathrm{n}},(\mathbf{9 1})$ : To a stirring solution of AET HCl $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercurous(I) chloride ( $3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 3 days at room temperature to obtain clear solution. The elemental mercury was removed and the filtrate was partially evaporated to obtain colorless crystals. Yield: $3.61 \mathrm{gm}, 78.0 \%$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{0}(0.980 \mathrm{gm}, \approx 50.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 221-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decompose without melting). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 2.97-3.09 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ).. and $8.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 25.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3452,2966,2827,1603,1386,1262,1102,1021,807,757,675,627,584,467,398,345$, 299, 248, 226, 190. MS (EI, +ve): 624, $\left(\left[\operatorname{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]_{2}{ }^{+}, 3 \%\right) ; 388$, ([ $\left.\left.\mathrm{HgCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}, 4 \%\right) ; 200$, ([Hg] $\left.{ }^{\circ}, 26 \%\right) ; 76$, $\left(\left[\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]^{+}, 75 \%\right)$. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{13} \mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires: C, $7.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.28$; N, 4.32. Found: C, 7.02; H , 2.21; N, 4.26.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right] \mathbf{C l}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (93): AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deaerated DI water ( 30 mL ) was added to a stirring solution of mercury(II) chloride (5.00 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.80 \mathrm{~g})$ in an ice bath. The resulting solution was stirred overnight in ice bath. The resulting turbid solution was filtered and kept at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for crystallization. Colorless
crystals were obtained in less than $5 \%$ yield, hence could not be characterized using spectroscopic techniques.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{15}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{0.8} \cdot \mathrm{Br}_{0.2}\right)_{3}$ (94): To a stirring solution of AET $\mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deionized water ( 20 mL ) was added mercury(II) bromide $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ to obtain a white precipitate. The precipitate was removed and dried and the filtrate was allowed to stand for 2 weeks at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, during which time colorless crystals formed. Yield (crystals): $2.68 \mathrm{gm}(42.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 154-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 2.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), \delta 3.15\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ and $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR/Raman $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3433,3005,2893,1576,1502,1475,1455,1378,1316,1272,1126,1069$, 1009, 933, 764, 752, 717, 604, 454, 339, 288, 268, 221, 196, 174, 150. MS (EI, +ve): 435, $\left[\mathrm{HgBr}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}-\quad 1\right]^{+} ; \quad 356, \quad\left[\mathrm{HgBr}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+} ; \quad 355$, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}+2\right]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{15}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{0.8} \cdot \mathrm{Br}_{0.2}\right)_{3}: \mathrm{C}$, 5.68; H, 1.66; N, 3.31. Found: C, 5.49; H, 1.58; N, 3.24.

Synthesis of $\left\{\left[\mathbf{H g B r}_{4}\right]\left[\left(\mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{S}-\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]\right\}(\mathbf{9 5})$ : To a stirring solution of AET ( 0.770 g , $10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water ( 60 mL ), mercury(II) bromide ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methanol ( 20 mL ) was added and stirred at room temperature for 2 days. The white precipitate was removed and dried and the filtrate was slowly evaporated to obtain colorless crystals. Yield (precipitate + crystals): $1.74 \mathrm{gm}(52.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 220-222{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec without melting). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 3.02\left(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 6.04$ (b, 3H, NH3). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$.

IR/Raman (KBr, $v / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3430,3257, 2973, 2869, 1557, 1458, 1372, 1264, 1065, 1001, 936, 720, 647, 457, 336, 305, 157. MS (EI, +ve): 680, $[\mathrm{M}-6]^{+} ; 594,[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Br}]^{+}, 512$, $[\mathrm{M}-$ $2 \mathrm{Br}]^{+} ; \quad 480, \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} ; \quad 452, \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SSCH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} ;$293, $\left[(\mathrm{Hg})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SSCH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} ; \quad 281, \quad\left[(\mathrm{Hg})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SS}\right)\right]^{+} ; \quad 200, \quad[\mathrm{Hg}]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left\{\left[\mathrm{HgBr}_{4}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\right)_{2}\right]\right\}: \mathrm{C}, 7.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.15$. Found: C, $7.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.15 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.09.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{H g}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right](\mathbf{C l} / \mathbf{B r})_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{9 6})$ : To a stirring solution of AET HCl $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercury(II) bromide $(1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00$ mmol ) and stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature to yield crystalline white precipitate of $\mathbf{9 6}$ in quantitative yield. The characterization data are similar to that of $\mathbf{8 8}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{H g}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)\right] \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 9 7 ) : ~ T o ~ a ~ s t i r r i n g ~ s o l u t i o n ~ o f ~ A E T ~} \mathrm{HCl}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DI water ( 15 mL ) was added mercury(II) Iodide ( $2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in minimum amount of methanol and stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature to yield crystalline white precipitate of $\mathbf{9 7}$. The characterization data are similar to that of $\mathbf{8 8}$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathbf{n H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{9 8})$ : To a stirring solution of AET HCl $(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.14 \mathrm{~g})$ in a mixture of DI water $(90.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added mercury(II) Iodide ( $5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.27 \mathrm{~g}$ ) dissolved in minimum amount of methanol and stirred at room temperature for three days. The precipitate was removed, washed with
methanol followed by cold water and vacuum dried. Evaporation of the filtrate at room temperature yielded x-ray quality crystals. Crystals could also be obtained by recrystallization of precipitate from DI water. Yield (crystals + precipitate): 4.03 g (80.0 \%). Mp: 110-112 ${ }^{\circ} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 3.04$ (m, 4H, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), \delta 7.68\left(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta$ $42.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3720,3448,3164,2962,2831,1594,1468,1407,1364$, 1260, 1086, 804, 675. MS (MALDI, m/z): 172, $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]^{+} ; 144,\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 2.39$; $\mathrm{H}, 0.903$; $\mathrm{N}, 1.39$. Found: C, 2.39; H , 0.903 ; N, 1.39.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{H g}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{4}}\right]$ (99): To a stirring solution of AET HCl (10.0 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.14 \mathrm{~g})$ and sodium hydroxide $(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.400 \mathrm{~g})$ in a mixture of DI water $(90.0$ mL ) and methanol ( 10.0 mL ) was added mercury(II) iodide ( $5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.27 \mathrm{~g}$ ) dissolved in minimum amount of methanol and stirred at room temperature for three days. The precipitate was removed, washed with methanol followed by cold water and vacuum dried. Evaporation of the filtrate at room temperature yielded x-ray quality crystals. Yield (crystals + precipitate): $2.50 \mathrm{~g}(62.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 175-177^{\circ} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6^{-}}$ DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 2.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), \delta 2.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), \delta 6.06(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR $(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\left.\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3445,3164,2831,1601,1555,1364,1266,1153,1018,935,630$. MS (MALDI, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 356,\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} ; 401,\left[\mathrm{HgI}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} ; 146,\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right]^{+} ; 172$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]^{+} ; 78,\left[\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left.\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{4}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{4}\right)\right]$ : C, 5.95; H, 1.49; N, 3.47. Found: C, 5.94; H, 1.48; N, 3.48.

## Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{6}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathbf{S C H}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{N H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathbf{E t O H})$ (100): To a

 stirring solution of AET ( $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.770 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in DI water ( 30.0 mL ), mercury(II) iodide $(5.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.27 \mathrm{~g})$ dissolved in ethanol $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred at room temperature for three days. The light yellow precipitate was removed, washed with methanol followed by cold water and vacuum dried. Supernatant at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded light yellow crystals. Yield (crystals + precipitate): $3.20 \mathrm{~g}(68.0 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 188-190^{\circ}$ (dec). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 2.94\left(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 3.58\left(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 32.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), \delta 41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3445, 3164, 2831, 1601, 1555, 1364, 1266, 1153, 1018, 935, 630. MS (EI, +ve): 679, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 351,\left[\mathrm{HgCC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 336,\left[351-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 326,\left[336-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]^{+} ; 278$, $\left[\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{+} ; \quad 202, \quad[\mathrm{Hg}]^{+} ; \quad 77, \quad\left[\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{+}$. Anal. calcd for $\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]: \mathrm{C}, 6.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.90$. Found: C, 6.19; H, 1.59; N, 3.00.
### 4.6 Conclusion

Novel $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-2-aminoethanethiolates have been synthesized and characterized. In aqueous media the halide seems to be responsible for the formation of clusters rather than a two-coordinate compound with weak interactions. These results are in contrast to the reported $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, where $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and sometime I derivatives are isostructural. The coordination around tetrahedral Hg in the clusters $(\mathbf{8 9}, \mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{9 3}$, and $\mathbf{9 4})$ is more inclined toward a linear geometry. This may be related to the metal sites in metallothioneins, where incorporation of more than four Hg ions leads to a progressive change from tetrahedral to an essentially linear geometry. ${ }^{182}$ The $\mathrm{Hg}---\mathrm{Hg}$ contact observed in $\mathbf{9 0}$ are the shortest mercurophilic interaction reported for $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates for far. In the I derivatives, it is observed that the stronger Hg-I contacts (98-100) lead to the formation of low (two- or three-) coordination compounds, which then serve as precursors for the resulting thiolate clusters. On the other hand, Cl and Br derivatives along with additional secondary contacts to Hg are more prone to undergo rearrangement in solution due to a weak $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Br}$ bond. This variation in geometries is due to the labile nature of lowcoordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates in solution, which is even more interesting in aqueous media.

The Hg-S distances in two-coordinate compounds (88, 96 and 97 ) are in accordance to those observed for two-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates $(\mathbf{4 4}, \mathbf{4 5})$. The distortion observed in S-Hg-S is related to weak interactions with counter anions. However in 97 despite the presence of I in close proximity, the S-Hg-S angle is essentially linear $\left(180^{\circ}\right)$. This linearity is not observed in two-coordinate compounds unless the ligand contains a bulky group. The Hg -S distances associated with four-coordinate Hg in 89 - $\mathbf{9 4}$ are much shorter comparable to those reported for four-coordinate $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (48-57). The
$\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in 91 are shorter compared to those observed in 92 despite having a similar five-membered chelate around Hg as well as those observed in 66-68 and 73. The strong Hg-N bond in 91 might be responsible for the distorted environment around Hg . The bridging Hg-S distances are 94 are much longer than those observed in similar $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (63-67, 69, 70-74). However the bridging $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances are within the limit reported in the literature. This implies the stronger influence of Br compared to thiolate for the formation of clusters. The symmetrical $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances in 94 are in contrast to unsymmetrical $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances usually reported for Hg (II)-thiolates. In 98 - 100, despite similar reaction conditions variable coordination environments around Hg are observed. The geometry around the Hg atoms are distorted tetrahedral with increasing deviation in the order $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{I}$. This implies the tendency of Hg to maximize bonding with S atoms. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ are variable despite similar Hg environments. However, the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ distance in $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ is intermediate to those observed in 99. The Hg-I distance in $\mathbf{9 9}$ is shorter compared to that observed in $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ despite similar coordination environments around Hg .
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## Chapter 5

## Conclusion and future research

Direct addition of $\mathrm{MX}_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, I , nitrate, acetate) with AET. HCl in aqueous media yielded molecular as well as nonmolecular compounds. The coordination environment around Cd in 75-84 consist of S, N or X (X $=$ halides). A regular hexa-coordinate Cd in 76, consisting of S and Cl in the coordination environment is in contrast to the polymeric $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates (20-23), where coordination around Cd consists of S and N atoms. The polymeric 76 consists of bridging Cl as well as S atoms, which is unusual as for polymeric $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates bridging S is usually responsible for polymerization. The $\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core observed in $\mathbf{8 1}$ is similar to polynuclear $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing bridging thiolate S atoms (13).

In $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{AET}$ adducts, isostructural 85 and 86 contains two independent Pb centers consisting of S and N and weak interaction with counter anions. A similar reaction with an equivalent amount of base yielded a polymeric structure (87) with $\left[\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ as the repeating unit. The Pb is either four- or five-coordinate (85, $\mathbf{8 6}, 87$ ), however with weak interactions with counter anions the CN around Pb in $\mathbf{8 7}$ increases to 7. Despite similar reactions a $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is not observed in 87 , which is due to the presence of an ammonium group. The repeating units in 87 can be considered to link through bridging Cl and S to yield an overall two-dimensional network. This is in contrast to polynuclear $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, which involve bridging S atoms as well as weak $\mathrm{Pb}--\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}---\mathrm{N}$ interactions $(\mathbf{4 2}, 43)$. A central $\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ core is observed in $\mathbf{8 5}, \mathbf{8 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 7}$ similar to that in $\mathbf{8 1}$. The unsymmetrical $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{S}$ distances in the $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb})$ core are most probably due to the strain associated with the four-membered ring. In 85-87, the
unsymmetrical $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{S}$ distances as well an open coordination site indicates presence of stereochemically active lone pair on Pb . In 87 short $\mathrm{Cl}---\mathrm{Cl}$ distance indicate presence of homonuclear interactions.

Similar reactions with $\mathrm{HgX}_{2}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ yielded complicated structures of various nuclearities. In the polynuclear compounds $(\mathbf{8 9}, \mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{9 3}, \mathbf{9 4}, \mathbf{9 8}, \mathbf{1 0 0})$ two or three independent Hg centers are observed. The coordination environment around Hg consists of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}$ as well as halides. The geometry around Hg is mostly distorted tetrahedral in the solid- as well as in solution-state. In $\mathbf{8 9}$ and 94, the halides are responsible for the formation of oligomers. In $\mathbf{8 9}$, the three-coordinate Cl as well as bridging S atoms are responsible for the hexanuclear cluster. In 94, bridging Hg -S distances are longer and bridging the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances are comparable to the distances reported for similar compounds, indicating the influence of Br in the formation of the nonanuclear cluster. The mercurophilic $\mathrm{Hg}---\mathrm{Hg}$ interactions observed in $\mathbf{9 0}$ are the shortest compared to such interaction reported for homo- and heteroleptic Hg (II)-thiolates. This could be attributed to the strain associated with the $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}$ angle as well as smaller size of Cl . In 91 strong $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are observed compared to $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates containing $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ chelate (66-69, 92). Such strong Hg-N bonds in 91 might be responsible for the highly distorted geometry around Hg . Compounds $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ are similar except for the presence of a non-chelated Hg center in the latter one. The kinetically stable products (two-coordinate) for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ and I were isolated in the solid-state. However solution studies (Uv-Vis, ${ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ NMR) indicated the presence of a four-coordinate Hg in solution with effective coordination number of $4[2+2]$.

Systematic pathways presented for the formation of the complicate structures observed employ mononuclear two-, three- and four-coordinate compounds. It is well known that complicate structures are formed of simple two-coordinate compounds, however such mechanistic studies have not been explored in detail. The reported molecular structures $(\mathbf{8 8}, \mathbf{9 6}, \mathbf{9 7})$ are in contrast to the fact that simple thiols usually form polymeric structures with bridging thiolate S atoms.

In $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-aminoethanethiolates the halides are an integral part of the structure. In non-aqueous media homoleptic thiolates are usually obtained. The absence of $\mathrm{M}--\mathrm{S}$ as well as $\mathrm{M}---\mathrm{N}$ interactions in these compounds can be attributed to the presence of halide in the coordination environment. Weak intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$ and counter anions are observed in most cases, which is responsible for the three-dimensional framework.

2-Aminoethanethiol provided the oppurtanity to study the advantage to study the aqueous chemistry of $\mathrm{d}^{10}$ metal ions. These metal ions usually form insoluble compounds, which makes a determination of their structural chemistry difficult. The study of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ coordination around the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ center could make it suitable for studying $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ containing metallothioneins. Part of the toxicity of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ is due to their competition with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ in zinc-finger proteins and liver alcohol dehydrogenase. ${ }^{8,163}$ The ratio of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ bound to a particular site in a metalloenzyme was determined by the relative affinities of the two metals for the site. ${ }^{152}$ The $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and Pb (II) compounds reported here with $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ coordination around the metal center might be useful as a biological model for $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ containing metalloenzymes.

A study of the structural chemistry and reactivity of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates is important, as it is one of the most toxic elements. In heteroleptic $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates, the halide seems to be an integral part of the overall structure with profound influence on the geometry around Hg . This is the first study to note this effect. This had not been found in previous work as $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-thiolates as most of the compounds reported are obtained in less polar solvents such as alcohol, acetonitrile and DMSO. From this work, it is evident that the coordination chemistry of Hg is affected by the nature of the halide as well as reaction condition. The involvement of the halide with the Hg center might be useful to explain the significant distribution of inorganic and organic mercury between red blood cells and plasma. ${ }^{220}$ The systematic pathways for the formation of the Hg (II)-thiolate clusters described here might be useful in understanding the behavior of low-coordinate Hg (II)thiolates in solution, which eventually would help to understand Hg chemistry in biological systems. Such mechanisms might also be useful to understand the chemistry involving conversion of heteroleptic-thiolates to homoleptic-thiolates in biological systems.

There appears to be no systematic structural motif that can be viewed as having relevance to living systems. The structures obtained in this study indicate that the coordination environment around $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Hg is highly variable, with significantly different structures obtained under very similar conditions. Thus, in the presence of free cysteine, or other sulfur containing biomolecules, a wide range of compounds are likely to be observed, and in equilibrium with one another.

Future research regarding aqueous chemistry of $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$, and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ metal ions with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ligands should be conducted with L - cysteine under slightly varying
reaction conditions. Similar studies can be pursued with organic ligands, which resemble the active sites of soft metal ions containing metalloproteins. One important study that should be conducted is to combine two-coordinate $\operatorname{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-AET $(\mathbf{8 8}, \mathbf{9 6}, \mathbf{9 7})$ with stoichiometric exces of AET to determine the resulting structure. Similar studies should also be conducted with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$-cysteine compounds.
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Figure A1. View of the unit cell of $\mathbf{8 1}$ emphasizing the intermolecular hydrogen bonding.
Figure A2. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding observed in 87.
Figure A3. Packing diagram of $\mathbf{8 8}$ showing hydrogen bonding.
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Table A1. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for mononuclear Cd(II)-thiolates.

| Compound | Coordination | Cd-S | Cd-N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S-Cd- } \\ & \text { S } \end{aligned}$ | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.425 \\ & 2.457 \end{aligned}$ | 2.314, 2.330 | 134.8 | 29 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.588 | 2.388, 2.341 | - | 30 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 2.584 | 2.336, 2.392 | - | 30 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 2.603 | 2.306, 2.424 | - | 30 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.574 | 2.327,2.356 | - | 31 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | 2.561 | 2.351, 2.356 | - | 31 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CdSN}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | 2.556 | 2.366, 2.379 | - | ${ }^{31}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.560 \\ & 2.602 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 2.442, & 2.469 \\ \text { and } & 2.291, \\ 2.305 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 104.6 | 30 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.588 \\ & 2.549 \end{aligned}$ | 2.420, 2.530 | 116.2 | 34 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ | 2.667 | 2.474 | 102.4 | 40 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.613, \\ & 2.706 \end{aligned}$ | 2.353, 2.428 | 104.2 | ${ }^{41}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2.622 | 2.366 | 104.2 | 42 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{SR}-\mathrm{Ph})_{2}(1-\right.$ <br> Meimid) ${ }_{2}$ ] | $\mathrm{CdS}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.474 \\ & 2.451 \end{aligned}$ | 2.270, 2.291 | 126.3 | 221 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{COC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right.$ (phen) $]$ | $\mathrm{CdS}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.647 \\ & 2.727 \end{aligned}$ | 2.386 | 160.5 | 39 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm { Cd } \left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CSC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}-\right.\right.} \\ & )(\text { bipy })] \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CdS}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.66 \\ & 2.70 \end{aligned}$ | 2.363 | 153.7 | 222 |

meimid $=$ 1-methylimidazole pyridine, $\mathrm{R}=2,4,6-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}{ }_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$.

Table A2. Geometry around $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\AA\right.$ and $\left.^{\circ}\right)$ in $\mathbf{1 3}$ and similar $\mathrm{Cd}($ II) $)$-thiolates.

| Compounds | Geometr y | Coordination | Distance | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | tbp | $\mathrm{CdS}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ter}}=2.495, \\ & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{br}}=2.632, \\ & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}=2.381 \end{aligned}$ | 43 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]\right.$ | tbp | $\mathrm{CdS}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ter}}=2.536-2.594, \\ & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{br}}=2.644,2.800 \end{aligned}$ | 37 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Cd}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}\right)_{4}\right.} \\ & ] \end{aligned}$ | tbp | $\mathrm{CdS}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\text {ter }}=2.539-2.631, \\ & \mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{br}}=2.87 \end{aligned}$ | 38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm { Cd } ( \mathrm { C } _ { 6 } \mathrm { H } _ { 4 } \mathrm { NO } _ { 2 } ) \left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.\right.} \\ & )_{4}\right] \end{aligned}$ | oct | $\mathrm{CdN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}=2.310$ | 223 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm { Cd } ( \mathrm { HCOO } ) _ { 2 } \left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.\right.} \\ & \left.\mathrm{NCONH}_{2}\right) \\ & \left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | oct | $\mathrm{CdN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}=2.336$ | 224 |
| $\left[\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right]$ | oct | $\mathrm{CdCl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}=2.35$ | 52 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NCOO}\right)_{2}\right]$ | oct | $\mathrm{CdN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}=2.23$ | 225 |

tbp $=$ trigonal bipyramidal, oct $=$ octahedral and, ter $=$ terminal and $\mathrm{br}=$ bridging.

Table A3. Selected distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 16-18.

| Compoun <br> d | Cd-S | Cd-N | Cd-X | S-Cd-S | S-Cd-N | R ef |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.558(\mathrm{Cd}) ; \\ & 2.520, \quad 2.506 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 2.36 (Cd) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.946 \quad(\mathrm{Cd}) ; \\ & 2.413\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 172.3(\mathrm{Cd}) ; \\ & 113\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 80.9 (Cd) | 48 |
| 17 | 2.518, 2.514 <br> $(\mathrm{Cd}) ;$  <br> 2.501, 2.494 <br> $\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right)$  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.366 \\ & 2.375 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.681,2.572 \\ & (\mathrm{Cd}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126.3(\mathrm{Cd}) ; \\ & 161.0\left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.5 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 49 |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.464, \quad 2.543, \\ & 2.532(\mathrm{Cd}) \\ & 2.554, \quad 2.559 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.507 \\ & (\mathrm{Cd}) \\ & 2.558 \\ & 2.516 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.733, } 2.735 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118.4, \\ & \text { 121.7, } \\ & 119.1(\mathrm{Cd}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 83.4, \\ & 99.1,96.6 \\ & (\mathrm{Cd}) ; \\ & 79.4 \\ & \left(\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 49 |

Cd and $\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}$ represent two different types of Cd atoms within the compound.

Table A4. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 20-23.

| Compound | Cd-S | Cd-N | S-Cd- <br> $\mathrm{N}($ trans $)$ | S-Cd- <br> $\mathrm{N}($ chelate $)$ | S -Cd-S | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $2.689,2.868$ | 2.283 | 90.2 | 80.8 | 89.6 | 42 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $2.547,2.606 ;$ | 2.283 | - | 98.2 | 57.7 | 102.3 |
| $3.061,3.129$ | 2.328 | 53 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $2.543-2.649 ;$ | 2.342 | - | 101.6 | 57.2 | 103.4 |
|  | $2.809-3.083$ | 2.343 |  | 53 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $2.668-2.750$ | 2.398 | - | 151.6 | - | $61.3-61.3$ |
|  |  | 2.479 | 167.1 | 154.7 | 54 |  |

Table A5. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 27-43.

| Compound | Pb-S | Pb-N | S-Pb-S | S-Pb-N | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 2.709 | 2.362 | - | 74.3 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 2.715 | 2.295 | - | 77.2 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $2.636($ avg $)$ | $2.550,2.626$ | 99.2 | 83.8 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $2.882,2.707$ | $2.59,2.79$ | 86.4 | $55.8-125.0$ | 61 |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $2.685,2.727$ | $2.602,2.654$ | 89.7 | $67.5,84.5$ | 63 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $2.755,2.784$ | $2.625,2.644$ | 74.19 | 64.9 | 64 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 2.819 | - | 179.9 | 88.1 | 67 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 2.582 | $2.494,2.759$ | - | $72.3,134.2$ | 67 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 2.734 | $2.585,2.486$ | 61.4 | $67.3-167.2$ | 67 |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $2.716,3.160$ | 2.444 | - | - | 68 |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $3.416,3.192$ | $2.565,2.692$ | 107.0 | $58.6-156.7$ | 69 |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $3.193,3.251$ | $2.607,2.695$ | $72.9-177.4$ | $62.4,105.2$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $2.981,2.955$ | $2.543(a v g)$ | 128.5 | $68.2-129.8$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 3.005 | 2.577 | $69.3,130.6$ | $67.2-126.7$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 2.789 | $2.606,2.684$ | - | $68.6-129.6$ | 67 |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $2.665,3.160$ | $2.409,2.592$ | $69.4-93.5$ | $76.3-145.7$ | 60 |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $2.742,3.237$ | $2.850,2.510$ | $77.0-94.8$ | 56.2 | ${ }^{69}$ |

Table A6. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 44-62.

| Compound | Geometry | Hg-S | Hg -X | S-Hg-S | S-Hg-X | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Essentially <br> Linear | $\begin{aligned} & 2.342 \\ & \text { (avg) } \end{aligned}$ | 3.232 (Cl) | 169.8 | - | 92 |
| 45 | Essentially <br> Linear | 2.329 | - | 176.9 | - | 93 |
| 46 | Sq py | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2.361 \\ 2.352 \end{array}$ | - | 175.7 | -- | 94 |
| 47 | Essentially <br> Linear | $\begin{aligned} & 2.346 \\ & \text { (avg) } \end{aligned}$ | - | 178.2 | - | 95 |
| 48 | dis tg | 2.467 | $2.676,2.816$ <br> (I) | - | $\begin{aligned} & 119.7 \\ & 127.3 \end{aligned}$ | 98 |
| 49 | dis tg | 2.460 | $2.818,2.651$ <br> (I) | - | $\begin{aligned} & 108.9, \\ & 134.6 \end{aligned}$ | 99 |
| 50 | dis td | 2.452 | 2.781 (Br) | 134.8 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 98.8 & - \\ 134.8 & \end{array}$ | 99 |
| 51 | dis td | 2.453 | 2.642 (Cl) | 130.8 | $\begin{array}{ll} 104.3 & - \\ 108.7 & \end{array}$ | 189 |
| 52 | dis td | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.494, \\ 2.526 \end{array}$ | 2.647 (Br) | 122.6 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 101.6 & - \\ 112.7 & \end{array}$ | 95 |
| 53 | dis td | 2.6716 | 2.687 (I) | 102.7 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 97.1 & - \\ 112.0 & \end{array}$ | 114 |
| 54 | pseudo td | 2.451 | 2.598 (Br) | 127.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 105.1, \\ & 109.9 \end{aligned}$ | 101 |
| 55 | pseudo td | 2.507 | 2.644 (Br) | 110.3 | $\begin{array}{ll} 109.9 \\ 118.5 \end{array}$ | 101 |
| 56 | pseudo td | 2.548 | 2.795 (I) | 109.0 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 103.1 & - \\ 115.2 & \end{array}$ | 101 |
| 57 | dis td | 2.626 | 2.708 (I) | 88.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 112.9 \\ & 127.3 \end{aligned}$ | 98 |


| 58 | tri by - td | 2.512 | 2.626 (N) | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 105.5 & - \\ 129.0 & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 75.4 & - \\ 78.2 & \end{array}$ | 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | dis by | 2.801 | $2.633,2.104$ <br> ( N ) | - | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 60.0 & - \\ 99.2 & \end{array}$ | 106 |
| 60 | sq py | 2.583 | $2.554,2.414$ <br> ( N ) | 116.0 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 72.0 & - \\ 128.5 & \end{array}$ | 111 |
| 61 | dis tet py | 2.522 | $2.402,2.410$ <br> ( N ) | - | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 65.1 & - \\ 135.3 & \end{array}$ | 30 |
| 62 | dis tet py | 2.506 | 2.463 (N) | - | $\begin{aligned} & 72.7, \\ & 135.4 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | bipyramidal, tet $=$ tetragonal, $\mathrm{pyr}=$ pyramidal

Table A7. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 63-68.

| Compound | Geometry | Hg-S | Hg -X | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Hg}- \\ & \mathrm{S} \end{aligned}$ | S-Hg-X | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | dis td | 2.435 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.514, & 2.756 \\ (\mathrm{Br}) & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 108.0-138.2 | 114 |
| 64 | dis td | 2.510 | $2.669, \quad 3.058$ <br> (I) | - | 101.2-123.4 | 114 |
| 65 | dis tg by | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.406 \\ 2.419 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.490, & 2.826 \\ (\mathrm{Br}) & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | 103.1-139.4 | 115 |
| 66 | sq py | 2.694 | $2.303, \quad 2.464$ <br> ( N ) | 92.15 | 73.6, 141.9 | 111 |
| 67 | sq py | 2.689 | $2.331, \quad 2.474$ <br> ( N ) | 92.58 | 73.9, 141.5 | 111 |
| 68 | dis td | 2.358 | 2.856 (N) | 174.25 | - | 117 |

$\mathrm{X}=$ halide, N, dis $=$ distorted, $\mathrm{sq}=$ square, $\mathrm{tg}=$ trigonal, $\mathrm{td}=$ tetrahedral, by $=$ bipyramidal, py = pyramidal

Table A8. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 70-74.

| Compound | Geometry | Hg-S | Hg-X | S-Hg-S | S-Hg-X | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | dis td | 2.453, |  |  |  |  |
| 2.490 | $2.582,2.645$ <br> $(\mathrm{Cl})$ | 136.0 | $96.3-111.9$ | 92 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | dis td | 2.320 | $2.37(\mathrm{Cl})$ | - | 167.2 | 119 |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | dis td | 2.464 | $2.513,2.634$ <br> $(\mathrm{Cl})$ |  | $102.3,112.5$ | 88 |
| $\mathbf{7 3}$ | dis td | 2.430 | $2.451(\mathrm{~N})$ | 143.1 | $95.0,110.3$ | 99 |
| $\mathbf{7 4}$ | linear | 2.339 | - | 169.7 | - | 120 |

$\mathrm{X}=$ halide, N, dis $=$ distorted, $\mathrm{td}=$ tetrahedral.

Table A9. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 76.

| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.4825(14)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)^{\prime}$ | $2.6018(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.4995(14)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.6679(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.8875(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.6968(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.8276(14)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $2.6372(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.7895(14)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $2.6060(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.5417(14)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.8159(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $2.7306(13)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $178.22(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $84.70(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $95.85(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $96.23(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $85.52(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $82.67(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $91.66(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $86.68(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $87.82(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $167.01(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\prime}$ | $84.86(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\prime}$ | $94.80(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\prime}$ | $167.25(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\prime}$ | $91.20(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)^{\prime}$ | $99.79(2)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $90.65(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(5)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $90.22(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(5)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $88.05(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)^{\prime}$ | $142.52(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ | $94.74(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(1)$ | $90.50(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)^{\prime}$ | $101.90(5)$ |

Table A10. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 1}$.

| Cd-N(1) | $2.3087(18)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.436(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.7359(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.5813(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.4920(7)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}^{\prime}$ | $2.5843(7)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $85.54(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $137.38(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $81.66(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $78.22(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $161.82(6)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $104.85(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $93.54(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}$ | $86.46(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $101.85(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cd}-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $97.79(6)$ |

Table A11. Stoichiometric amount of the reactants $(\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$, AET HCl and NaOH$)$ used for the formation of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-2$-aminoethanethiolates.

| Product | Reactants | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pb}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right] \mathbf{( 8 5 )}$ | $1: 2: 4$ | 150 |
| $\left[\mathrm{~Pb}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right]^{+} \mathbf{( 8 6 )}$ | $1: 2: 4$ | 150 |
| $\left[{\left.\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+} \mathbf{( 8 7 )}}^{1: 1: 1}\right.$ | 151 |  |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right] \mathbf{( 8 8}\right)$ | $1: 2: 2$ | 60 |
| $\left[\left\{\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\} \cdot 2\left\{\mathrm{PbCl}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right\}\right](\mathbf{8 9})$ | $1: 2: 5$ or $1: 2: 7$ | 60 |

Table A12. NMR data (ppm) for AET HCl and $\mathbf{8 5 - 8 7}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | 2-aminoethanethiol <br> hydrochloride | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ | 2.69 | 2.85 | 2.74 | 2.89 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ | 2.99 | 3.02 | 3.20 | 3.06 |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CN}$ | 42.83 | 48.5 | 49.3 | 44.6 |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CS}$ | 22.22 | 29.1 | 30.0 | 25.1 |

Table A13. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 5}$.

| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.629(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.613(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.713(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.673(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.897(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.394(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.7377(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $3.053(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $3.082(2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $73.17(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $86.41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $81.08(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $73.51(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $83.89(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $73.61(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $99.56(6)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $83.81(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $165.09(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $84.35(6)$ |

Table A14. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 6}$.

| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.604(7)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.575(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.7138(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.6806(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.893(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.411(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.704(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $3.0857(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $72.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $84.28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $83.32(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $73.54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $84.43(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $74.15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $100.78(6)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $168.83(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $86.00(6)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $87.00(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)$ | $96.11(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pb}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Pb}(2)^{\prime}$ | $95.65(6)$ |

Table A15. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 7}$.

| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $2.7383(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $2.8031(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{S} 2^{\prime}$ | $2.8399(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2^{\prime}$ | $2.9879(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl1-Pb} 2$ | $3.0788(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}-\mathrm{Pb} 2^{\prime}$ | $2.8458(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $2.7275(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $2.7694(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{S} 1^{\prime}$ | $2.8458(1)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 1^{\prime}$ | $2.8399(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $84.53(5)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ | $83.92(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl1-Pb}-\mathrm{S} 2^{\prime}$ | $89.19(4)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 2^{\prime}$ | $96.11(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl1-Pb}-\mathrm{Cl} 2^{\prime}$ | $170.48(3)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl2}$ | $81.44(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2$ | $86.71(5)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{S} 1^{\prime}$ | $84.01(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $96.20(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl2}-\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $172.96(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb} 1-\mathrm{Cl1-Pb} 2$ | $130.38(7)$ | $\mathrm{Pb} 2-\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{Pb} 1^{\prime}$ | $132.82(7)$ |

Table A16. Reaction conditions for the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SR})_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]$ type complexes from $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ and AET•HCl.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Compounds } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Hg(II):RSH, } \\
\text { Conditions }\end{array}
$$ \& Crystalliz \& Coord \& Ref <br>
\hline \mathbf{8 8} \& 1: 2 ; RT, DI H2O \& R T Evap \& 2 \mathrm{~S} \& 174 <br>
\hline \mathbf{8 9} \& 1: 2 ; RT, DI H2O \& 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \& 2 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{Cl}, <br>

3 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{Cl}\end{array}\right]\)| 175 |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{9 0}^{*}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 *}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 3}$ |

Table A17. Chemical shifts (ppm) observed for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in 88-92.

| Compound/ <br> Solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \\ & \left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \\ & \left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CS})$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})$ | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 3.27 | 3.23 |  | 25.2 | 43.3 | 174 |
| $\mathbf{8 9} \quad\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\right.$ $\mathrm{DMSO})$ | 3.14 | 3.00 | 7.91 | 25.9 | 43.0 | 175 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{90} \quad\left(\mathrm{~d}_{6}-\right. \\ & \mathrm{DMSO}) \end{aligned}$ | 3.08 | 2.94 | 6.33 | 26.7 | 42.8 | 176 |
| $\mathbf{9 1} \quad\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\right.$ DMSO $)$ | 2.97 | 3.09 | 8.13 | 25.6 | 42.9 | 176 |
| $92\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ | 2.83 | 2.92 | - | 33.0 | 46.1 | 126 |

Table A18. Selected vibrational frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathbf{8 8}$ - 92.

| Compound | Geometry | $v(\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{S})$ | $v(\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Cl})$ | References |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{8 8}$ | Essentially linear | 361 (as) |  | ${ }^{226}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 9}$ | Distorted td | 272 (s), <br> 340 (as) | 234 (t) | 175 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | Distorted td | 269 (s) <br> 351 (as) | 225 (t) | 176 |
| $\mathbf{9 1}$ | Distorted td | 299 (s), <br> 345 (as) | 226 (t) | 176 |
| $\mathbf{9 2}$ | Distorted td | 362 (as) | - |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\{\mu-\right.$ | Distorted td | 272 (s), <br> $\left.\left.\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right\}\right]$ | 232 (t) | 88 |
| $\left[\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SCHN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ | Pseudo td (as) | 270 (s), | 225 (t) | 116 |

$\mathrm{td}=$ tetrahedral, $\mathrm{s}=$ symmetric, as $=$ asymmetric, $\mathrm{t}=$ terminal

Table A19. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 89.

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $4.927(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $3.797(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $3.776(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $4.379(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $4.927(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)^{\prime}$ | $3.898(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)---\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $4.927(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)---\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $9.819(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)---\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $3.898(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)---\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $7.347(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)^{\prime}$ | $6.329(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.410(14)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.397(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.394(14)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $2.339(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.732(15)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $3.106(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.895(13)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.983(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.501(14)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $2.631(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.518(14)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.631(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.894(13)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $2.831(15)$ |


| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | 48.97 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | 51.16 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $100.34(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $81.94(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $158.06(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $105.34(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $89.26(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $95.03(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $98.37(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $162.64(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3){ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $96.54(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $85.72(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $93.96(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3) \mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $97.95(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | 79.87 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $101.24(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $159.97(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $79.91(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | 171.87 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 94.96 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $89.15(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl} 2)$ | 89.06 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(4)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $98.37(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $100.70(4)$ |
| $(\mathrm{S} 1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $87.55(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $88.87(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3))^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(3) \mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $95.23(4)$ |

Table A20 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 90 .

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $3.5644(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $3.8345(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.3723(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.4795(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.4086(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.5076(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.7635(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.7249(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.7225(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.4346(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.4504(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $2.5418(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $2.7070(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $2.7248(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $102.341(7)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $167.98(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $106.61(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $85.35(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $143.33(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $43.97(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $54.83(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $44.81(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $133.71(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $98.39(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $149.37(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $94.81(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $90.90(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $116.95(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $91.85(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $98.14(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $42.41(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $111.44(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $124.27(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $129.50(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $142.33(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $98.00(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(4)$ | $112.66(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $93.15(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $102.61(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(4)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(5)$ | $99.09(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $105.93(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $107.61(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $97.33(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $93.62(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $104.41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $100.55(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $94.39(5)$ |

Table A21. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 91.

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.3932(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.236(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.6928(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.7199(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.6927(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.236(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)^{\prime}$ | $2.277(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.4001(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $2.5803(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $2.7171(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $2.6520(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $2.268(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.4239(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $2.6306(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $2.5802(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $2.277(4)$ |


| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $125.78(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $147.25(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1) "-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $80.78(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $86.42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $108.72(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $92.16(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)$ | $101.54(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $92.44(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | $104.03(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $135.25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) "-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $82.11(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)^{\prime}$ | $137.13(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3) "-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $87.80(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $103.18(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3) "-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $97.38(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $105.21(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $140.82(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $97.14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | $101.58(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $80.61(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $128.11(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $100.76(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $104.75(5)$ |  |  |

Table A22. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 94.

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | 3.605(9) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | 3.750(11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | 7.169(17) | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)---\mathrm{Hg}(2){ }^{\prime}$ | 3.980 (11) |
| Hg1---Hg(1)' | 5.472(9) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)---\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | 3.980(17) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | 2.375(2) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.487(2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 2.424(2) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.482(2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 2.935(1) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | 2.811(2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)^{\prime}$ | 3.073(1) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2) \mathrm{S}$ - $(1)$ | 2.811(2) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1) 1 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 3.073(1) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 2.7903(1) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 2.482(2) | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ | 2.555(1) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(4)$ | 2.688(1) | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(5)$ | 2.784(1) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | 94.43(8) | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | 99.91(8) |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)^{\prime}$ | 131.17(4) | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | 97.96(9) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | 172.06(8) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | 153.74(8) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 105.01(5) | (S2)-Hg(2)-S(1)' | 110.00(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)^{\prime}$ | 94.55(6) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | 92.94(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 82.15(6) | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 98.54(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{Br}(2)^{\prime}$ | 85.82(6) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 90.41(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ | 141.34(6) | $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(4)$ | 108.18(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(5)$ | 99.69(7) | $\mathrm{Br}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(4)$ | 99.25(4) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(3)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(5)$ | 98.40(4) | $\mathrm{Br}(4)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{Br}(5)$ | 105.75(4) |

Table A23. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 95.

| $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $2.5551(10)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 3$ | $2.5640(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 4$ | $2.5914(12)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $2.7616(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 3$ | $126.09(4)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 4$ | $112.46(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br} 3-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 4$ | $112.75(3)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $101.73(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Br} 3-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $98.00(4)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 4-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $99.78(4)$ |

Table A24. Chemical shifts (ppm, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) observed for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in 98-100.

| Compound $/$ <br> Solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CS})$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN})$ | Ref |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 3.27 | 3.23 |  | 25.2 | 43.3 | ${ }^{177}$ |
| $\mathbf{9 8}$ | 3.10 | 3.04 | 7.68 | 27.3 | 42.5 | 206 |
| $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 2.96 | 2.84 | 6.06 | 29.1 | 42.7 | 206 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 *}$ | 2.94 | - | - | 32.4 | 41.4 | 198 |

* A single broad peak at 2.94 ppm integrates to the four protons for the $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ group.

Table A25. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 98.

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.464(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.463(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.491(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.482(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.491(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.936(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.989(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $3.046(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $2.932(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $2.668(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $2.932(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | $2.965(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | $2.797(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | $3.129(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(7)$ | $2.704(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(8)$ | $2.697(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $106.21(8)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $104.09(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $99.50(8)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $106.77(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $141.62(8)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $139.56(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $91.19(3)$ | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $92.42(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $105.26(3)$ | $\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $102.38(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(3)$ | $101.26(3)$ | $\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(4)$ | $104.09(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $133.59(9)$ | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | $89.96(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | $107.56(8)$ | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(7)$ | $119.61(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | $110.62(8)$ | $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(8)$ | $111.07(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1) '-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(5)$ | $98.09(8)$ | $\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(7)$ | $95.09(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1))^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | $110.60(8)$ | $\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(8)$ | $103.42(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(6)$ | $92.79(3)$ | $\mathrm{I}(7)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)-\mathrm{I}(8)$ | $125.71(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(3)$ | $106.65(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(3))^{\prime}$ | $105.28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $86.21(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $85.26(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(5)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)$ | $90.53(3)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(3)-\mathrm{I}(6)-\mathrm{Hg}(4)$ | $86.70(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A26. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 99.

| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.518(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.473(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.476(1)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.530(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.404(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.371(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $2.762(4)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $2.755(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $123.47(5)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $124.18(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $81.53(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}$ | $108.94(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $109.45(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $82.00(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $112.34(4)$ | $\mathrm{S}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $112.35(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{I}(1)$ | $115.81(3)$ | $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)-\mathrm{I}(2)$ | $112.65(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)$ | $104.62(5)$ | $\mathrm{Hg}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Hg}(2)^{\prime}$ | $100.62(5)$ |

Table A27. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1 0 0}$.

| $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $2.498(2)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $2.519(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $2.8130(7)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I}$ | $22.8613(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.391(8)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $2.468(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $2.486(2)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{I} 3$ | $2.8078(7)$ |
| S 2 '-Hg2 | $2.486(2)$ | N 2 "-Hg2 | $2.391(8)$ |


| S2-Hg1-S1 | $117.34(7)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $110.89(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $110.51(5)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $107.89(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $108.09(5)$ | $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1-\mathrm{I} 2$ | $100.74(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $113.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $82.37(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $135.91(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{I} 3$ | $102.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{I} 3$ | $108.71(5)$ | $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{I} 3$ | $106.96(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Hg} 1$ | $103.12(8)$ | $\mathrm{Hg} 2-\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Hg} 1$ | $104.58(7)$ |

Table A29. Crystal data for 85, 86 and 87.

| Data/ <br> Compound | 85 | 86 | 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3.67} \mathrm{O}_{2.02} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{C}_{0.33} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ & \mathrm{~Pb} \end{aligned}$ |
| Molecular weight | 678.24 | 696.15 | 428.93 |
| Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 145 (2) | 206 (2) | 90.0(2) |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P 21/n | P 21/n | P 21 |
| Unit cell dimensions in ( $\AA$ ) and $\left({ }^{0}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=9.1680(10) \\ & \mathrm{b}=9.5880(10) \\ & \mathrm{c}=16.707(2) \\ & \alpha=90 \\ & \beta=95.410(10) \\ & \gamma=90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=9.3600(10) \\ & \mathrm{b}=9.5300(10) \\ & \mathrm{c}=17.057(10) \\ & \alpha=90 \\ & \beta=95.860(10) \\ & \gamma=90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10.614(2) \\ & \mathrm{b}=6.7150(1) \\ & \mathrm{c}=11.501(2) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=108.260(8) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1462.1 (3) | 1513.5 (3) | 778.47(2) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 23.58 | 22.68 | 22.24 |
| $\mathrm{F}\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | 1216 | 1254 | 688 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.492 | 1.049 | 1.067 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{R}_{1} \quad \text { (on } \quad \mathrm{F}, \\ \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})) & \end{array}$ | 0.0249 | 0.0327 | 0.0147 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (all data) | 0.0359 | 0.0453 | 0.0190 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, | 0.0625 | 0.0582 | 0.0393 |


| $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.0686 | 0.0619 | 0.0400 |
| Refinement <br> Method | Full-matrix least-square <br> on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix least- <br> square on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix <br> least-square on <br> $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |

Table A30. Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{7 6}$ and 81.

| Data/ Compound | 76 | 81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cd}_{2.5} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cd}$ |
| Molecular weight | 612.54 | 264.68 |
| Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) | 173 (1) | 145(2) |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | P 21/n | P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions in ( $\AA$ ) and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10.4530(6) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.1430(5) \\ & \mathrm{c}=17.5180(8) \\ & \alpha=90 \\ & \beta=90.426(3) \\ & \gamma=90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=6.2800(10) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.2360(10) \\ & \mathrm{c}=8.5420(10) \\ & \alpha=92.270(10) \\ & \beta=99.566(10) \\ & \gamma=102.563(10) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1491.07 (14) | 423.92 (10) |
| Z | 4 | 2 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 4.694 | 2.990 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.050 | 1.081 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}(\mathrm{on} \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ) | 0.0354 | 0.0154 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (all data) | 0.0513 | 0.0176 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}\left(\mathrm{on}^{2}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})\right.$ ) | 0.0737 | 0.0350 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.0787 | 0.0355 |

Table A31. Crystal Data for 88-89.

| Data | 88 | 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{HgNN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{Hg}_{1.5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ |
| Formula Weight | 425.78 | 1276.99 |
| Temperature (K) | 145(1) | 173(1) |
| Crystal System | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space Group | P 21/c | P 21/n |
| Unit Cell Dimensions ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=7.746(1) \\ & \mathrm{b}=12.138(1) \\ & \mathrm{c}=12.023(1) \\ & \alpha=90 \\ & \beta=103.61 \\ & \gamma=90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=14.162(3) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.0090(16) \\ & \mathrm{c}=19.604(4) \\ & \alpha=90.000 \\ & \beta=92.79(3) \\ & \gamma=90.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 1098.7(2) | 2220.9(8) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| Density Calculated ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 2.578 | 3.819 |
| Absorption Coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 14.823 | 30.412 |
| Reflection Collected | 4925 | 13479 |
| Independent Reflections | 2528 (R(int) = 0.0295) | 3911 (R(int) = 0.0799) |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix least-square on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix least-square on $F^{2}$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0240 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0444 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0357 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0562 \end{aligned}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0194 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0431 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0587 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0619 \end{aligned}$ |

Table A32. Crystal Data for 90 and 91.

| Data | 90 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{13} \mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula Weight | 1045.91 | 972.51 |
| Temperature (K) | 90.0(2) | 90.0(2) |
| Crystal System | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic |
| Space Group | P 21/n | Pbca |
| Unit Cell Dimensions ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=13.7992(3) \\ & \mathrm{b}=7.7167(2) \\ & \mathrm{c}=19.6891(4) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=93.4(11) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a=21.1255(10) \\ & b=7.9607(2) \\ & c=22.6473(3) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=90.0 \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 2092.76(8) | 3808.68(11) |
| Z | 4 | 8 |
| Density Calculated ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 3.320 | 3.392 |
| Absorption Coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 23.014 | 24.877 |
| Reflection Collected | 21724 | 8215 |
| Independent Reflections | 4789 (R(int) $=0.0498$ ) | 4375 (R(int) $=0.0289$ ) |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix least-square on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | Full-matrix least-square on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0272 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0508 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0247 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0542 \end{aligned}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0404 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0359 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0573 \end{aligned}$ |

Table A33. Crystal Data for $\mathbf{9 4}$ and 95.

| Data | 94 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Br}_{5.2} \mathrm{Cl}_{0.8} \mathrm{Hg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2.67} \mathrm{H}_{10.67} \mathrm{Hg}_{0.67} \mathrm{Br}_{2.67} \mathrm{~N}_{1.3} \\ & { }_{3} \mathrm{O}_{0.67} \mathrm{~S}_{1.33} \end{aligned}$ |
| Formula Weight | 1276.99 | 461.69 |
| Temperature (K) | 173 (1) | 90.0(2) |
| Wavelength $\AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal System | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space Group | P 21/n | P 21/c |
| Unit Cell Dimensions ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=14.162(3) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.0090(16) \\ & \mathrm{c}=19.604(4) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=92.79(3) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=6.3250(13) \\ & \mathrm{b}=12.381(3) \\ & \mathrm{c}=10.112(2) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=101.16(3) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 2220.9(8) | 776.9(3) |
| Z | 4 | 3 |
| Density Calculated ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 3.819 | 2.961 |
| Absorption Coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) | 30.412 | 20.439 |
| F(000) | 2246 | 628 |
| Reflection Collected | 13479 | 9830 |
| Independent Reflections | 3911 (R(int) = 0.0799) | $17635(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0605)$ |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix least-square on $F^{2}$ | Full-matrix least-square on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Goodness of fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.950 | 1.055 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0357 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0562 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0345 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0660 \end{aligned}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0587 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0463 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0697 \end{aligned}$ |

Table A34. Crystal Data for 98-100.

| Data | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{NOS}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5.28} \mathrm{H}_{16.2} \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ |
| Formula Weight | 1003.94 | 807.26 | 969.76 |
| Temperature (K) | 90.0(2) | 90.0(2) | 90.0(2) |
| Wavelength $\AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 1.54178 |
| Crystal System | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space Group | P c a 21 | P 21/c | P 21/c |
| Unit Cell <br> Dimensions ( $\AA$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=29.6018(3) \\ & \mathrm{b}=7.25240(10) \\ & \mathrm{c}=13.3459(2) \\ & \alpha=90.000 \\ & \beta=90.0 \\ & \gamma=90.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=9.2107(2) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.1173(2) \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.1332(4) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=100.5020(10) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=12.3749(2) \\ & \mathrm{b}=8.1190(2) \\ & \mathrm{c}=17.9245(4) \\ & \alpha=90.0 \\ & \beta=105.5780(10) \\ & \gamma=90.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 2865.15(7) | 1333.04(5) | 1737.42(6) |
| Z | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Density ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 4.655 | 4.022 | 3.705 |
| Absorption <br> Coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 30.137 | 27.911 | 75.182 |
| F(000) | 3392 | 1392 | 1684 |
| Reflection Collected | 6261 | 20973 | 22510 |
| Independent <br> Reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 6261(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})= \\ & 0.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3054(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})= \\ & 0.0540) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3128(\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})= \\ & 0.0638) \end{aligned}$ |
| Refinement Method | Full-matrix leastsquare on $F^{2}$ | Full-matrix leastsquare on $F^{2}$ | Full-matrix leastsquare on $F^{2}$ |
| Goodness of fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.044 | 1.086 | 1.059 |
| Final R indices [I $>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0345 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0740 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0248 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0427 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0366 \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0912 \end{aligned}$ |


| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0429$ <br> $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0776$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0366$ <br> $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0455$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0397$ <br> $\mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0933$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure A1. View of the unit cell of $\mathbf{8 1}$ emphasizing the intermolecular hydrogen bonding. A simplified figure showing this bonding is shown in the inset.


Figure A2. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding observed in 87 along the ' b ' axis shown with dotted lines.


Figure A3. Packing diagram of $\mathbf{8 8}$ showing hydrogen bonding.


Figure A4. The trinuclear moiety of $\mathbf{8 9}$ showing triply bridged Cl atoms. The bridging $\mathrm{Hg} 3-\mathrm{S} "$ and $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{Hg} 3 "$ bonds are not shown.


Figure A5. The polymeric unit of 90 .


Figure A6. Asymmetric unit in the structure of 94 (without counter anions) with $50 \%$ thermal ellipsoids.


Figure A7. The chair configuration acquired by the core of $\mathbf{9 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 0}$.
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