



2009

Computing in the field: Automated elicitation & documentation

Andrew R. Hippisley

University of Kentucky, andrew.hippisley@uky.edu

Gregory Stump

University of Kentucky, gstump@uky.edu

Raphael Finkel

University of Kentucky, raphael.finkel@uky.edu

Right click to open a feedback form in a new tab to let us know how this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/lin_present



Part of the [Linguistics Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Hippisley, Andrew R.; Stump, Gregory; and Finkel, Raphael, "Computing in the field: Automated elicitation & documentation" (2009). *Linguistics Presentations*. 1.

https://uknowledge.uky.edu/lin_present/1

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Linguistics at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in Linguistics Presentations by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@sv.uky.edu.

Computing in the field: Automated elicitation & documentation

Andrew Hippisley, Gregory Stump & Raphael Finkel

University of Kentucky

{a.hippisley, g.stump}@uky.edu, raphael@cs.uky.edu

1. Introduction

University of Kentucky

Amanda Barie

Stanley Brunn

Darya Bukhtoyarova

Raphael Finkel

Andrew Hippisley

Mark Lauersdorf

Jeanmarie Rouhier-Willoughby

Gregory Stump

**Khorog State University,
*Institute of Humanities of the
Tajik Academy of Sciences**

Muqbilsho Alamshoev*

Shoxnazar Mirzoev*

Gulnoro Mirzovafoeva

Shahlo Nekushoeva*

Shughni Summer Workshop, University of Kentucky, July 2008



Shughni Summer Workshop, University of Kentucky, July 2008



2. The Shughni language



The position of Shughni in the Indo-European language family

Indo-European

Albanian
 †Anatolian
 Armenian
 Balto-Slavic
 Celtic
 Germanic
 Greek

Indo-Iranian

Indo-Aryan

Iranian

Eastern

Northeastern

Avestan, etc.

Southeastern

Pashto

Pamir

Shughni

Munji

Sanglechi-Ishkashimi

Sarikoli

Wakhi

Yazgulyam

Yidgha

Western

Northwestern

Kurdish, etc.

Southwestern

Persian, Tajik, etc.

Italic

†Tocharian

Grammatical descriptions

- Bahtibekov, T. 1979. *Grammatikai Zaboni Šuynoni* [Grammar of the Shughni language]. Dushanbe.
- Dodykhudoeva, Lelia R. 1988. *Shugnanskii glagol v istoricheskom osveshchenii* [Shughni verbs in historical perspective]. Dushanbe.
- Karamshoev, Dodkhudo. 1986. *Kategorija roda v pamirskih jazykah (shugnano-rushanskaja grupa)* [The category of gender in the Pāmīr Languages, Shughni-Roshani group]. Dushanbe.
- Nawata, Tetsuo. 1979. *Shughni* (Asian and African grammatical manual 17s). Tokyo.

History

- Payne, John. 1980. The decay of ergativity in Pamir languages. *Lingua* 51, 147-186.
- Payne, John. 1981. Iranian Languages. In Bernard Comrie (ed.), *The Languages of the Soviet Union*. Cambridge. 158-179.
- Payne, John. 1989. Pāmīr languages. In Rüdiger Schmitt (ed.), *Compendium linguarum Iranicarum*, 417-444. Wiesbaden.

Dictionaries

- Karamshoev, Dodkhudo. 1988-1999. *Shugnansko-russkii slovar'* [Shughni-Russian Dictionary], 3 vols. Moscow.
- Zarubin, Ivan Ivanovich, ed. 1960. *Shugnanskie teksty i slovar'* [Shughni texts and dictionary]. Moscow & Leningrad.

3. Morphological elicitation

Inflection of *wiftow* ‘knit’

Nonpast	<p>wuz wāf-um tu wāf-i yu / yā wof-t māš wāf-am tama wāf-et wāδ wāf-en</p>	<p>‘I knit’ ‘you (sg.) knit’ ‘he / she knits’ ‘we knit’ ‘you (pl.) knit’ ‘they knit’</p>
Past	<p>wuz = um wīft tu = t wīft yu = yi / yā = yi wīft māš = ām wīft tam = et wīft wāδ = en wīft</p>	<p>‘I knitted’ ‘you (sg.) knitted’ ‘he / she knitted’ ‘we knitted’ ‘you (pl.) knitted’ ‘they knitted’</p>

Inflection of *wirīvdow* ‘stand’

Nonpast	wuz wirāfc- um	‘I am standing’
	tu wirāfc- i	‘you (sg.) are standing’
	yu / yā wirofc- t	‘he / she is standing’
	māš wirāfc- am	‘we are standing’
	tama wirāfc- et	‘you (pl.) are standing’
	wāδ wirāfc- en	‘they are standing’
Past	wuz = um wirūvd	‘I (masc.) stood’
	wuz = um wirovd	‘I (fem.) stood’
	tu = t wirūvd	‘you (masc. sg.) stood’
	tu = t wirovd	‘you (fem. sg.) stood’
	yu wirūvd	‘ he stood’
	yā wirovd	‘she stood’
	māš = ām wirovd	‘we stood’
	tam = et wirovd	‘you (pl.) stood’
wāδ = en wirovd	‘they stood’	

4. Default inheritance & morphological generation

In order to investigate verb morphology in a heavily inflected language, it is necessary to postulate not just individual word forms, but rather *entire paradigms*. A computer program for *morphological generation* is well suited to this purpose.

In our research, we have integrated automatic morphological generation *into the elicitation process*: A native speaker evaluates the generated paradigms; where necessary, we revise the generation program and confirm the validity of its subsequent output.

The most suitable morphological generation program for use in the elicitation process is one which models morphology as a *default inheritance hierarchy*: a program of this sort allows the morphology of a language to be modelled very succinctly and allows revisions (with potentially far-reaching consequences) to be made quickly and easily.

DATR and KATR

- Evans, Roger & Gerald Gazdar. 1996. DATR: A language for lexical knowledge representation. *Computational Linguistics* 22, 167-216.
- Raphael Finkel, Lei Shen, Gregory Stump & Suresh Thesayi. 2002. 'KATR: A Set-Based Extension of DATR', Technical Report No. 346-02, Department of Computer Science, University of Kentucky.

Realizational approaches to morphology

- Corbett, Greville G. & Norman M. Fraser. 1993. Network Morphology: A DATR account of Russian nominal inflection. *Journal of Linguistics* 29, 113-142.
- Hippisley, Andrew. 1997. Declarative Derivation: A Network Morphology Account of Russian Word Formation with Reference to Nouns Denoting 'Person', Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Surrey.
- Stump, Gregory T. 2001. *Inflectional Morphology*. Cambridge University Press.

The verb hierarchy

Verb:

```
{ } == SubjectPronoun Adverb , "<stemPresent>" Agreement eow
{past} == SubjectPronoun "<auxiliary>" , "<wordformPast>"
{perfect} == SubjectPronoun "<auxiliary>" , "<wordformPerfect>"
{auxiliary} == Agreement
{perfectSuffix} == - ě
{wordformPast} == "<stemPast>" - t
{wordformPerfect} == "<stemPerfect>" "<perfectSuffix>"
{stemPerfect} == "<stemPast>"
{stemPast} == "<stemPresent>"
```

.

MiddleVerb:

```
{auxiliary 3 sg} ==
{perfectSuffix fem sg} == - c
{ } == Verb
```

.

ActiveVerb:

```
{auxiliary 3 sg} == - i
{ } == Verb
```

.

Agreement:

```
{1 sg} == - u m
{2 sg} == - i
{3 sg} == - t
{auxiliary 2 sg} == - a t
{1 pl} == - ā m
{2 pl} == - e t
{3 pl} == - e n
```

.

SubjectPronoun:

```
{1 sg} == w u z
{2 sg} == t u
{3 sg masc} == y u
{3 sg fem} == y ā
{1 pl} == m ā š
{2 pl} == t a m a
{3 pl} == w ā ō
```

.

A regular lexical entry

Disturb:

```
{stemPresent} == wiš
```

```
{ } == ActiveVerb
```

.

Theorem of 'disturb'

	sg		pl			
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz wiš-um	wuz wiš-um	1	māš wiš-ām	māš wiš-ām
	2	tu wiš-i	tu wiš-i	2	tama wiš-et	tama wiš-et
	3	yu wiš-t	yā wiš-t	3	wāð wiš-en	wāð wiš-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wiš-t	wuz-um wiš-t	1	māš-ām wiš-t	māš-ām wiš-t
	2	tu-yat wiš-t	tu-yat wiš-t	2	tama-yet wiš-t	tama-yet wiš-t
	3	yu-yi wiš-t	yā-yi wiš-t	3	wāð-en wiš-t	wāð-en wiš-t
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wiš-č	wuz-um wiš-č	1	māš-ām wiš-č	māš-ām wiš-č
	2	tu-yat wiš-č	tu-yat wiš-č	2	tama-yet wiš-č	tama-yet wiš-č
	3	yu-yi wiš-č	yā-yi wiš-č	3	wāð-en wiš-č	wāð-en wiš-č
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta wiš-um	wuz-ta wiš-um	1	māš-ta wiš-ām	māš-ta wiš-ām
	2	tu-ta wiš-i	tu-ta wiš-i	2	tama-ta wiš-et	tama-ta wiš-et
	3	yu-ta wiš-t	yā-ta wiš-t	3	wāð-ta wiš-en	wāð-ta wiš-en

5. Elicitation query generation: A demonstration

Cycle 1: Start with Theory 1

1. Computational model based on standard lexical entries to produce theorem consistent with language consultant
2. Non-standard lexical entry of type 1 plugged into model, produces theorem inconsistent with language consultant
3. Model constrained to produce all theorems consistent with language consultant – result is Theory 2

Cycle 2: Start with Theory 2

1. Computational model based on standard + non-standard type 1 lexical entries
2. Non-standard lexical entry of type 2 plugged into model, produces theorem inconsistent with language consultant
3. Model constrained to produce all theorems consistent with language consultant – result is Theory 3.

Cycle n results in Theory $n + 1$, and may lead to the further Cycle $n + 1$.

Example 1: Morphological overgeneralization

Buzz:

```
{stemPresent} == b ā γ
```

```
{ } == ActiveVerb
```

•

Overgeneralized theorem for ‘buzz’

	sg		pl			
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz bāy-um	wuz bāy-um	1	māš bāy-ām	māš bāy-ām
	2	tu bāy-i	tu bāy-i	2	tama bāy-et	tama bāy-et
	3	yu bāy-t	yā bāy-t	3	wāð bāy-en	wāð bāy-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um bāy-t	wuz-um bāy-t	1	māš-ām bāy-t	māš-ām bāy-t
	2	tu-yat bāy-t	tu-yat bāy-t	2	tama-yet bāy-t	tama-yet bāy-t
	3	yu-yi bāy-t	yā-yi bāy-t	3	wāð-en bāy-t	wāð-en bāy-t
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um bāy-č	wuz-um bāy-č	1	māš-ām bāy-č	māš-ām bāy-č
	2	tu-yat bāy-č	tu-yat bāy-č	2	tama-yet bāy-č	tama-yet bāy-č
	3	yu-yi bāy-č	yā-yi bāy-č	3	wāð-en bāy-č	wāð-en bāy-č
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta bāy-um	wuz-ta bāy-um	1	māš-ta bāy-ām	māš-ta bāy-ām
	2	tu-ta bāy-i	tu-ta bāy-i	2	tama-ta bāy-et	tama-ta bāy-et
	3	yu-ta bāy-t	yā-ta bāy-t	3	wāð-ta bāy-en	wāð-ta bāy-en

Fixing ‘buzz’ by fixing the model

```
#sandhi $voicedObstruent - č => $1 - ĵ .  
#sandhi $voicedObstruent - t => $1 - d .  
  
#vars $voicedObstruent: b ž z ɣ v ʒ g d ĵ ð .
```

Correct theorem for ‘buzz’

		sg		pl		
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz bāy-um	wuz bāy-um	1	māš bāy-ām	māš bāy-ām
	2	tu bāy-i	tu bāy-i	2	tama bāy-et	tama bāy-et
	3	yu bāy-d	yā bāy-d	3	wāð bāy-en	wāð bāy-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um bāy-d	wuz-um bāy-d	1	māš-ām bāy-d	māš-ām bāy-d
	2	tu-yat bāy-d	tu-yat bāy-d	2	tama-yet bāy-d	tama-yet bāy-d
	3	yu-yi bāy-d	yā-yi bāy-d	3	wāð-en bāy-d	wāð-en bāy-d
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um bāy-ĵ	wuz-um bāy-ĵ	1	māš-ām bāy-ĵ	māš-ām bāy-ĵ
	2	tu-yat bāy-ĵ	tu-yat bāy-ĵ	2	tama-yet bāy-ĵ	tama-yet bāy-ĵ
	3	yu-yi bāy-ĵ	yā-yi bāy-ĵ	3	wāð-en bāy-ĵ	wāð-en bāy-ĵ
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta bāy-um	wuz-ta bāy-um	1	māš-ta bāy-ām	māš-ta bāy-ām
	2	tu-ta bāy-i	tu-ta bāy-i	2	tama-ta bāy-et	tama-ta bāy-et
	3	yu-ta bāy-d	yā-ta bāy-d	3	wāð-ta bāy-en	wāð-ta bāy-en

Example 2: Stem overgeneralization

See:

```
{stemPresent} == w i n
```

```
{ } == ActiveVerb
```

•

Overgeneralized theorem for 'see'

	sg		pl			
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz win-um	wuz win-um	1	māš win-ām	māš win-ām
	2	tu win-i	tu win-i	2	tama win-et	tama win-et
	3	yu win-t	yā win-t	3	wāð win-en	wāð win-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um win-t	wuz-um win-t	1	māš-ām win-t	māš-ām win-t
	2	tu-yat win-t	tu-yat win-t	2	tama-yet win-t	tama-yet win-t
	3	yu-yi win-t	yā-yi win-t	3	wāð-en win-t	wāð-en win-t
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um win-č	wuz-um win-č	1	māš-ām win-č	māš-ām win-č
	2	tu-yat win-č	tu-yat win-č	2	tama-yet win-č	tama-yet win-č
	3	yu-yi win-č	yā-yi win-č	3	wāð-en win-č	wāð-en win-č
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta win-um	wuz-ta win-um	1	māš-ta win-ām	māš-ta win-ām
	2	tu-ta win-i	tu-ta win-i	2	tama-ta win-et	tama-ta win-et
	3	yu-ta win-t	yā-ta win-t	3	wāð-ta win-en	wāð-ta win-en

Fixing 'see' by fixing lexical entry

See:

```
{stemPresent} == w i n
```

```
{ } == ActiveVerb
```

```
{stemPast} == w ī n
```

```
{stemPresent 3 sg} == w ī n
```

•

Fixing 'see' by introducing a generalization

Verb:

```
% {stemPast} == "<stemPresent>"  
  {stemPast} == "<stemPresent 3 sg>"  
  . . .
```

‘see’ generalization (nearly) predicting stem for ‘stand’

	sg		pl			
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz wirāfc-um	wuz wirāfc-um	1	māš wirāfc-ām	māš wirāfc-ām
	2	tu wirāfc-i	tu wirāfc-i	2	tama wirāfc-et	tama wirāfc-et
	3	yu wirofs-t	yā wirofs-t	3	wāð wirāfc-en	wāð wirāfc-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wirūv-d	wuz-um wirofs-t	1	māš-ām wirofs-t	māš-ām wirofs-t
	2	tu-yat wirūv-d	tu-yat wirofs-t	2	tama-yet wirofs-t	tama-yet wirofs-t
	3	yu wirūv-d	yā wirofs-t	3	wāð-en wirofs-t	wāð-en wirofs-t
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wirūv-ĵ	wuz-um wirofs-c	1	māš-ām wirofs-č	māš-ām wirofs-č
	2	tu-yat wirūv-ĵ	tu-yat wirofs-c	2	tama-yet wirofs-č	tama-yet wirofs-č
	3	yu wirūv-ĵ	yā wirofs-c	3	wāð-en wirofs-č	wāð-en wirofs-č
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta wirāfc-um	wuz-ta wirāfc-um	1	māš-ta wirāfc-ām	māš-ta wirāfc-ām
	2	tu-ta wirāfc-i	tu-ta wirāfc-i	2	tama-ta wirāfc-et	tama-ta wirāfc-et
	3	yu-ta wirofs-t	yā-ta wirofs-t	3	wāð-ta wirāfc-en	wāð-ta wirāfc-en

(semi) fixing 'stand' through lexical specification

Stand:

```
{stemPresent} == w i r ā f c  
{stemPresent 3 sg} == w i r o f s  
{stemPast sg masc} == w i r ū v  
{stemPerfect sg fem} == w i r ī v  
{ } == MiddleVerb
```

.

Verb:

```
{stemPast} == "<stemPresent 3 sg>"  
{stemPerfect} == "<stemPast>"
```

. . .

(nearly) correct theorem for ‘stand’

	sg		pl			
present	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz wirāfc-um	wuz wirāfc-um	1	māš wirāfc-ām	māš wirāfc-ām
	2	tu wirāfc-i	tu wirāfc-i	2	tama wirāfc-et	tama wirāfc-et
	3	yu wirofs-t	yā wirofs-t	3	wāð wirāfc-en	wāð wirāfc-en
past	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wirūv-d	wuz-um wirofs-t	1	māš-ām wirofs-t	māš-ām wirofs-t
	2	tu-yat wirūv-d	tu-yat wirofs-t	2	tama-yet wirofs-t	tama-yet wirofs-t
	3	yu wirūv-d	yā wirofs-t	3	wāð-en wirofs-t	wāð-en wirofs-t
perfect	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-um wirūv-ĵ	wuz-um wirīv-ʒ	1	māš-ām wirofs-č	māš-ām wirofs-č
	2	tu-yat wirūv-ĵ	tu-yat wirīv-ʒ	2	tama-yet wirofs-č	tama-yet wirofs-č
	3	yu wirūv-ĵ	yā wirīv-ʒ	3	wāð-en wirofs-č	wāð-en wirofs-č
future	sg	masc	fem	pl	masc	fem
	1	wuz-ta wirāfc-um	wuz-ta wirāfc-um	1	māš-ta wirāfc-ām	māš-ta wirāfc-ām
	2	tu-ta wirāfc-i	tu-ta wirāfc-i	2	tama-ta wirāfc-et	tama-ta wirāfc-et
	3	yu-ta wirofs-t	yā-ta wirofs-t	3	wāð-ta wirāfc-en	wāð-ta wirāfc-en

<i>Overgeneralization Type</i>	<i>Theory Refinement</i>	<i>Example</i>
Rule is completely accurate but incomplete	Add a complementary rule	rule of voicing assimilation affecting past tense suffix <i>-t</i>
rule is sometimes accurate, sometimes not	introduce overrides to rule	rule overriding default identity of a verb's present stems
rule is only superficially accurate	replace the rule	past stem = present stem replaced by past stem = 3 sg present stem

6. Conclusion

- technology of morphological generation is a quick and accurate hypothesis tester for data elicitation verification
- hypothesis by default, cyclical hypothesis refinement through extension, overrides and substitution
- consultant as system evaluator
- outcome is formal and informed description of the language

- compact theory generating exhaustive set of theorems