



University of Groningen

Whole-exome sequencing is a powerful approach for establishing the etiological diagnosis in patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly

Rump, Patrick; Jazayeri, Omid; van Dijk-Bos, Krista; Johansson, Leonnart F.; van Essen, Anthonie J; Verheij, Johanna B G M; Veenstra-Knol, Hermine E; Redeker, Egbert J W; Mannens, Marcel M A M; Swertz, Morris A *Published in:* BMC Medical Genomics

DOI: 10.1186/s12920-016-0167-8

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2016

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Rump, P., Jazayeri, O., van Dijk-Bos, K., Johansson, L. F., van Essen, A. J., Verheij, J. B. G. M., ... Sikkema-Raddatz, B. (2016). Whole-exome sequencing is a powerful approach for establishing the etiological diagnosis in patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly. BMC Medical Genomics, 9(1), [7]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12920-016-0167-8

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Whole-exome sequencing is a powerful approach for establishing the etiological diagnosis in patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly

Patrick Rump¹, Omid Jazayeri¹, Krista K. van Dijk-Bos¹, Lennart F. Johansson^{1,3}, Anthonie J. van Essen¹, Johanna B. G. M. Verheij¹, Hermine E. Veenstra-Knol¹, Egbert J. W. Redeker², Marcel M. A. M. Mannens², Morris A. Swertz³, Behrooz Z. Alizadeh⁴, Conny M. A. van Ravenswaaij-Arts¹, Richard J. Sinke¹ and Birgit Sikkema-Raddatz^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Clinical and genetic heterogeneity in monogenetic disorders represents a major diagnostic challenge. Although the presence of particular clinical features may aid in identifying a specific cause in some cases, the majority of patients remain undiagnosed.

Here, we investigated the utility of whole-exome sequencing as a diagnostic approach for establishing a molecular diagnosis in a highly heterogeneous group of patients with varied intellectual disability and microcephaly.

Methods: Whole-exome sequencing was performed in 38 patients, including three sib-pairs, in addition to or in parallel with genetic analyses that were performed during the diagnostic work-up of the study participants.

Results: In ten out of these 35 families (29 %), we found mutations in genes already known to be related to a disorder in which microcephaly is a main feature. Two unrelated patients had mutations in the *ASPM* gene. In seven other patients we found mutations in *RAB3GAP1*, *RNASEH2B*, *KIF11*, *ERCC8*, *CASK*, *DYRK1A* and *BRCA2*. In one of the sib-pairs, mutations were found in the *RTTN* gene. Mutations were present in seven out of our ten families with an established etiological diagnosis with recessive inheritance.

Conclusions: We demonstrate that whole-exome sequencing is a powerful tool for the diagnostic evaluation of patients with highly heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorders such as intellectual disability with microcephaly. Our results confirm that autosomal recessive disorders are highly prevalent among patients with microcephaly.

Keywords: Autosomal recessive inheritance, *ASPM*, *BRCA2*, *CASK*, *DYRK1A*, *ERCC8*, *KIF11*, Microcephaly, *RAB3GAP1 RNASEH2B*, *RTTN*, Whole-exome sequencing

Background

Microcephaly, a disproportionally small head size defined as occipitofrontal circumference at or below –3 standard deviations (SD), is an important neurological sign usually associated with developmental delays and intellectual disability [1]. Microcephaly can be congenital or may

* Correspondence: b.sikkema01@umcg.nl

¹Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands Full list of author information is available at the end of the article develop later after birth. It can occur as a more or less isolated finding or as one of the features of a more complex syndrome. To date, according to the London Medical Database [2], more than 800 syndromes with microcephaly have been described, reflecting an enormous number of possible diagnoses. Microcephaly may stem from a wide variety of genetic or non-genetic conditions and is considered to be a consequence of abnormal brain development. Non-genetic causes include foetal alcohol exposure, perinatal infections, asphyxia or haemorrhage. Microcephaly can also occur in patients with inborn errors



© 2016 Rump et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Patrick Rump and Omid Jazayeri are shared first authors.

of metabolism, but this explains only a small proportion of the total cases [3]. In the majority of patients a genetic cause is suspected [4]. Chromosomal abnormalities may account for 15-20 % of patients [5]. In a retrospective study of 680 children with microcephaly [6], a specific genetic cause was detected in 15.3 % of them, with numerical chromosome aberrations accounting for 6.8 % and microdeletions/duplications and monogenetic disorders accounting for the other 8.5 %. Over the last few years the number of genes related to microcephaly has been rising, primarily as a result of the increased use of whole-exome sequencing (for an overview see [7]). This clinical and genetic heterogeneity represents a major diagnostic challenge. Although the presence of additional clinical findings may aid in identifying a specific cause in some cases, the majority of patients with microcephaly remain undiagnosed. Yet an early and specific diagnosis is important because it can provide relevant information about disease prognosis, appropriate medical or supportive care, reproductive consequences for parents and other family members, and prenatal diagnostic options. It may also preclude unnecessary further, and possibly invasive, diagnostic tests and evaluations.

The diagnostic work-up of microcephaly is extensive and includes brain imaging, metabolic and ophthalmologic evaluations, skin or muscle biopsies, karyotyping or microarray analysis, and mutation analyses of microcephaly genes. However, the use of targeted approaches, such as candidate gene testing by Sanger sequencing, is rather limited and their diagnostic yield is generally low. In contrast, because whole-exome sequencing does not require a priori knowledge of the gene or genes responsible for the disorder under investigation [8], it has been proven to be a very effective technique for identifying new genetic causes of microcephaly [9, 10].

In this study, we investigated the utility of whole-exome sequencing as a diagnostic approach for establishing a molecular diagnosis in a highly heterogeneous group of patients with microcephaly and intellectual disability.

Methods

Study subjects

We performed whole-exome sequencing in 38 patients, including three sib-pairs, with severe microcephaly and varied intellectual disabilities (Table 1). Patients were recruited, both prospectively and retrospectively, from the population of patients with intellectual disability referred to the genetics departments of the University Medical Centre Groningen and the Academic Medical Centre in Amsterdam. Informed consent was obtained from the participating families and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Medial Centre Groningen. The clinical characteristics of all 38 patients are summarized in Table 1. The average age of the patients was 10 years (range 0 to 57 years). The majority (87 %) were children, with only five patients over 18 years of age. Severe microcephaly was defined as an occipital frontal head circumference of at least 3 SDs below the age-related mean according to Dutch national reference curves. The mean occipital frontal head circumference Z-score for our patients was -4.5 SD (range -3 to -8 SD). Consanguinity was reported for the parents of six patients (patients# 16, 23, 27, 28, 29, 35), including one of the three sib-pairs. Phenotype information was retrieved from medical records and provided by the referring physicians.

All patients had undergone genetic testing during their routine diagnostic work-up. In addition to wholeexome sequencing, the work-up may have included standard chromosome analysis, metabolic screening, or DNA sequencing of individual or multiple genes. On average, 2.8 DNA tests (range 0 to 9 tests) were performed per family (Additional file 1: Table S1). The most frequently tested gene was *ASPM*. This gene was analysed in seven (20 %) of the families.

Microarray analysis

SNP array analysis was performed in all patients prior to inclusion using the IlluminaHumanCytoSNP-12 v2.1 DNA Analysis BeadChip following the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Normalized intensity and allelic ratios were analysed using GenomeStudio Data Analysis Software and the cnvPartition v3.1.6 algorithm to assess copy number variation. No causal copy number variants were found (data not shown). Homozygosity was determined as were, if possible, any shared haplotypes within a family. Shared homozygous stretches between the siblings with consanguineous parents were detected by selecting regions in which both siblings shared the same homozygous alleles; for the sib-pair with a recessive disorder we selected regions in which they shared the same combination of alleles, and for the sib-pair with a dominant disorder we selected regions in which they shared at least one allele at each SNP position. Stretches of homozygosity were detected within a single patient by selecting regions that only showed homozygous alleles.

Whole-exome sequencing

Library preparation was based on the SureSelect All Exon V4 bait protocol (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA), and carried out on a PerkinElmer[®] SciClone NGS workstation (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Briefly, 3 micrograms of genomic DNA were randomly fragmented with ultrasound using a Covaris[®] instrument (Covaris, Inc., Woburn, MA, USA). Adapters were ligated to both ends of the resulting fragments. Fragments with an

Patient	Age	Sex	OFC (Z-score)	Brain imaging (MRI)	Additional clinical features	
1	8 years	f	-3.0	Thin corpus callosum, mild enlarged ventricles, hypoplasia of vermis and cerebellum	Bilateral congenital cataracts, microphthalmia, pale optic discs, hypogenitalism, axial hypotonia, hip dislocation, joint contractures, severe intellectual disability	
2	5 years	f	-5.3	Mild enlarged ventricles	Intra-uterine growth retardation, neonatal hypoglycaemia, sensineural deafness, moderate intellectual disability	
3	3 years	f	-6.3	Simplified gyral pattern, thin corpus callosum, wide peripheral liquor spaces	Feeding problems, recurrent respiratory infections, seizures, severe developmental delay	
4	2 years	m	-4.6	Normal	Chorioretinopathy, mild developmental delay, hip dysplasia (mother with microcephaly and learning problems)	
5	8 years	m	-3.3	Normal	Hypotelorism, cryptorchid testis, short stature, mild myopia, hyperactivity, intellectual disability	
6	31 year	f	-5.0	NA	Mild intellectual disability, seizures, behavioural problems (brother with intellectual disability and microcephaly)	
7	4 years	m	-3.6	Thin corpus callosum, delayed myelinisation, hypoplasia of frontal lobes	Strabismus, myoclonic jerks, spastic paraplegia, severe developmental delay	
8(^a)	5 years	f	-4.4	Diffuse pachygyria	Short stature, moderate intellectual disability, bilateral metatarsus primus varus	
9(^a)	10 year	f	-5.2	Mild frontal lissencephaly, posterior frontal pachygyria and parieto-occipital subcortical band heterotopia	Short stature, Tetralogy of Fallot, embryotoxon posterior, moderate intellectual disability (sister of patient 8)	
10(^b)	56 years	f	NA	Normal CT-scan	Severe microcephaly, chorioretinopathy, seizures, autism spectrum disorder, severe intellectual disability	
11(^b)	57 years	f	-7.1	NA	Chorioretinopathy, seizures, autism spectrum disorder, severe intellectual disability (sister of patient 10)	
12	3 years	m	-4.6	Temporal and parieto-occipital atrophy	Cryptorchid testes, spasticity, feeding problems, nephrocalcinosis, seizures, severe developmental delay	
13	10 year	m	-5.0	Simplified gyral pattern, mild cerebellar vermis hypoplasia	Hyperactivity, behavioural problems, speech delay, mild-moderate intellectual disability	
14	1 year	m	-3.2	Megacisterna magna, prominent sulci of cerebellum	Brachycephaly, carp shaped mouth, uplifted ear lobes, mild hypotonia, developmental delay	
15	0.5 years	f	-7.0	Simplified gyral pattern, sub-cortical areas with high signal intensity, prominent ventricles, small cerebellum	Infantile spasms, hyperkinesia, hypertonia, feeding problems, severe developmental delay	
16	3 years	m	-3.3	Leucodystrophy, cerebral and cerebellar atrophy	Short stature, truncal hypotonia, spasticity, contractures, scoliosis, deafness, pigmentary retinopathy, mildly enlarged liver and elevated aminotransferase, feeding problems, cryptorchid testis, severe developmental delay, progressive disease course (consanguineous parents)	
17	2 years	f	-3.0	NA	Short stature, embryotoxon posterior, feeding problems, developmental delay	
18	23 years	m	-3.0	Hypoplasia of cerebral and cerebellum hemispheres, large cisterna magna, abnormal myelinisation	Seizures, hypotonia, thoracic kyphosis, camptodactyly, horseshoe kidney, small penis, auto mutilation, severe intellectual disability	
19	22 years	f	-4.0	Atrophy, mild enlarged ventricles on CT-scan	Umbilical hernia, hip dysplasia, scoliosis, spasticity, feeding problems, severe intellectual disability, seizures, no speech, prominent upper incisors	
20	4 years	m	-3.9	Normal	Metopic ridge, pancreas annulare, unilateral cleft lip and palate, short stature, severe developmental delay	
21	11 year	f	-4.8	Hypoplasia frontal lobes, delay of myelinisation	Chorioretinopathy, mild cortical cataracts	
22	5 years	f	-8.0	Delayed myelinisation, thin corpus callosum	Severe intellectual disability, hyperactivity disorder	
23	2 years	m	-4.9	Wide peripheral liquor spaces	Low birth weight, brachycephaly, hypertonia, severe developmental delay (consanguineous parents)	
24	19 years	f	-3.0	Focal temporal cortical dysplasia	Postnatal growth retardation, obesity, seizures, primary amenorrhea, hepatocellular carcinoma, mild-moderate intellectual disability with regression	

Table 1 Characteristics of 38 patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly on whom whole-exome sequencing was performed

25	2 years	m	-4.5	Normal	Short stature, adducted thumbs, hyperinsulinism, hypothyroidism, feeding problems, developmental delay
26	5 years	f	-3.9	Multiple intra cerebral calcifications on CT-scan	Feeding problems, short stature, seizures, spasticity, severe developmental delay
27(^c)	5 years	m	-4.5	Diffuse polymicrogyria	Seizures, ventricle septum defect, feeding problems, spasticity, severe developmental delay (consanguineous parents)
28(^c)	13 years	f	NA	Diffuse polymicrogyria	Severe microcephaly, seizures, spasticity, severe intellectual disability (sister of patient 27)
29	1 year	f	-3.0	Normal	Feeding problems, recurrent ear infections, vesicoureteral reflux, developmental delay (consanguineous parents)
30	1 year	f	-5.2	Simplified gyral pattern, hypoplasia of frontal lobes and corpus callosum	Low birth weight, mild developmental delay
31	3 years	m	-3.4	Normal	Microtia, iris and choroid coloboma, blepharophimosis, hypertelorism, micropenis, short stature, ventricle septum defect, inguinal hernia, feeding problems, developmental delay (consanguineous parents)
32	14 years	f	-6.8	Simplified gyral pattern	Seizures, behavioural problems, short stature, obesity, severe intellectual disability
33	2 years	f	-3.1	Mild delay of myelinisation, wide liquor spaces	Multicystic renal dysplasia, liver cysts and liver fibrosis, insulin- dependent diabetes, sick thyroid syndrome, hypertension, mixed hearing loss, developmental delay, mild complex III deficiency
34	0 year	f	-3.9	Abnormal gyration and myelinisation, small cerebellum	Intra-uterine growth retardation, maternal oligohydramnios, progressive renal failure (diffuse mesangial glomerulo sclerosis), beaked nose, large floppy ears, long fingers
35	4 years	m	-4.4	Possible polymicrogyria	Myopia, severe developmental delay
36	16 years	f	-3.7	Abnormal gyration parieto-temporal, hypoplasia posterior part of corpus callosum	Short stature, behavioural problems, mild mental retardation
37	2 years	m	-3.5	Small brain, no other abnormalities	Short stature, atrial septum defect, hypotonia, hypospadia, blepharophymosis, ear tag, developmental delay
38	0.5 years	m	-5.2	Wide peripheral liquor spaces, abnormal gyration fronto-parietal, small vermis, small pons	Peripheral hypertonia, axial hypotonia, seizures, feeding problems, developmental delay

 Table 1
 Characteristics of 38 patients with intellectual disability and microcephaly on whom whole-exome sequencing was performed (Continued)

(a), (b), (c) indicating the three sib-pairs (a), (b), (c) indicating the three sib-pairs

NA, not available

OFC, occipital frontal head circumference (expressed in standard deviations below the mean (Z-score) according

average insert size of 220 bp were excised using the LabChip XT° gel system (PerkinElmer) and amplified by PCR (98° C 2 min; 11cycli of 98 °C 30s, 65 °C 30s, 72 °C 1 min; 72 °C 10 min). The quality of the product was verified by capillary electrophoresis on the LabChip GX instrument (PerkinElmer). Of this product, 500 ng was subsequently hybridized to the Agilent SureSelect[®] All Exon V4 bait pool (Agilent Technologies, Inc.). During subsequent enrichment with PCR (98 °C 2 min; 11 cycli of 98 °C 30s, 57 °C 30s, 72 °C 1 min; 72 °C 10 min), individual samples were bar-coded and the quality of the product was again verified on the LabChip GX instrument. The product had an average fragment size of 360 bp, and was sequenced using 100 base pair reads paired-end on an Illumina® HiSeq2000 in pools of four samples per lane (Illumina). Resulting image files were processed including demultiplexing using standard Illumina[®] base calling software.

All lanes of sequence data were aligned to the human reference genome build b37, as released by the 1000 Genomes Project [11], using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner [12]. Subsequently the duplicate reads were marked. Using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) [13], realignment around insertions and deletions detected in the sequence data and in the 1000 Genomes Project pilot [11] was performed, followed by base quality score recalibration. During the full process the quality of the data was assessed by performing Picard [14], GATK Coverage and custom scripts. SNP and indel discovery was done using GATK Unified Genotyper and Pindel [15], respectively, followed by annotation using SnpEff [16]. This production pipeline was implemented using the MOLGENIS compute [17] platform for job generation, execution and monitoring. For each sample, a vcf file was generated that included all variants. Mean target coverage of 64x was achieved, with more than 82 % of targeted bases having at

least 20x coverage (Additional file 2: Table S2). Sample swab was excluded by a concordance check with the SNP information from the array.

Data interpretation

For data interpretation we uploaded the vcf files to Cartagenia NGS bench (Cartagenia, Leuven, Belgium) and then filtered the variants. We removed variants covered by less than five sequence reads and possibly benign variants annotated in dbSNP133, the 1000 Genomes project, the Seattle exome database [18], or the GoNL database [19] with an allele frequency above 2 %. Additionally, variants that were annotated in eight control samples, and for which exome sequencing was performed in the same sequence run, were also removed because they were considered to be artefacts. The remaining variants were filtered on any occurrence in known genes related to the phenotype, using a filter with selected phenotype traits [HPO-terms for microcephaly (HP:0011451, HP:0005484, HP:0000253), abnormalities of the nervous system (HP:0002011, HP:0000707), and abnormality of the head (HP:0000234)] [20] in the Cartagenia filter tree. The remaining variants were assumed to be related to the phenotype and further filtered on an inheritance model. First, an autosomal recessive inheritance model was applied for gene identification, then an autosomal dominant or X-linked inheritance model was applied. We considered variants resulting in transcriptional or splice site effects as potentially pathogenic. For the remaining group of variants, including those without a phenotypic match, we checked for their presence in the professional HGMD database (Biobase-international, Beverly, MA, USA) and manually checked the relationships between variants and possible phenotypes. The effect of potentially pathogenic variants was explored in the Alamut prediction program (Alamut, Rouen, France) or based on information from available databases and literature.

Validation of mutations by Sanger sequencing

Candidate pathogenic variants were validated by Sanger sequencing. When available, we studied segregation of these confirmed variants by investigating DNA samples from additional family members. Sequencing analysis was carried out using flanking intronic primers (primer sequences are available upon request). The forward primer was designed with a PT1 tail (5'-TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3') and the reverse primer with a PT2 tail (5'-CAGGAAACAGC TATGACC-3'). PCR was performed in a total volume of 10 µl containing 5 µl AmpliTaq Gold °Fast PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 1.5 µl of each primer with a concentration of 0.5 pmol/µl (Eurogentec, Serian, Belgium) and 2 µl genomic DNA in a concentration of 40 ng/µl. Samples were PCR amplified and sequenced according to our standard diagnostic protocols (available upon request).

Causality criteria

To designate candidate pathogenic variants as causative, variants confirmed by Sanger sequencing were required to occur in genes in which mutations are known to cause a disorder with clinical features consistent with the patient's phenotype. All variants were either reported in the literature or HGMD database [21] to be deleterious, classified as truncating or having a splice site effect, or predicted to be deleterious at least in three prediction algorithms in Alamut. The presence of candidate pathogenic variants was checked in the 1000 Genomes project, the Seattle exome database [18], Exome Variant Server [22], and Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) [23]. Furthermore, when possible, familial segregation was investigated to determine whether this was consistent with the expected mode of inheritance. Causative variants were then reported to the referring physicians.

Results

On average 34,580 single-nucleotide variants and small insertions or deletions were found in each patient by whole-exome sequencing (see Additional file 3: Table S3). A molecular diagnosis could be established in 11 patients from 10 families, corresponding to a diagnostic yield of 29 %. The inheritance of these mutations were autosomal recessive (N = 7 families), autosomal dominant (N = 2) and X-linked (N = 1). In three patients, a molecular diagnosis was reached during their diagnostic work-up while performing exome sequencing (patient 1, patient 26 and patient 32). All three of these diagnoses were also reached by whole-exome sequencing. In the remaining 25 families, we identified five possibly candidate genes (data not shown). However, for none of these candidates a causal relationship to the phenotype of the patients could be proven.

Mutations were detected in nine different previously identified microcephaly genes: *ASPM, RAB3GAP1, RNASEH2B, KIF11, RTTN, ERCC8, CASK, DYRK1A* and *BRCA2* (Table 2). None of these mutations was homo- or heterozygous present in the databases of benign variants. One exception was the variant in *RNASEH2B* [c.529G > A]. This mutation has been described before [24] and is predicted to be pathogenic by Alamut . It was heterozygous reported in the ExAC database with a frequency of 0.13 %.

We identified *ASPM* mutations related to primary microcephaly type 5 [25] in two independent families. Patient 6 had two novel mutations in the *ASPM* gene: a deletion of four base pairs resulting in a frameshift and a splice site mutation. Sanger sequencing of the parents confirmed segregation. The other *ASPM* mutations (in patient 32) had already been detected by Sanger sequencing:

			5		5	5		
Patient	Gene	Transcript ID	Transcript variants	Protein variants	Zygosity	Related disorder	Inheritance	Reference for mutations
1	RAB3GAP1	NM_012233.2	c.475_478delACTG	p.T159Afs*19	Homozygous	Warburg Micro syndrome 1[MIM 600118]	AR	Aligianis et al., [26]
4	KIF11	NM_004523.3	c.1040dupT	p.S348Efs*8	Heterozygous	Microcephaly with or without chorioretinopathy, lymphedema, or mental retardation [MIM 152950]	AD	Current study
6	ASPM	NM_018136.4	c.7781_7784delAGAA c.3168 + 1G > C	p. K2595Yfs*20 p.?	Compound heterozygous	Primary microcephaly 5 [MIM 608716]	AR	Current study
8&9	RTTN	NM_173630.3	c.4186delC c.2594A > G	p.E1397Kfs*7 p.H865R	Compound heterozygous	Polymicrogyria with seizures [MIM 614833]	AR	Current study
16	ERCC8	NM_000082.3	c.295_297delinsTG	p.R99Sfs*26	Homozygous	Cockayne syndrome type A [MIM 216400]	AR	Current study
19	CASK	NM_003688.3	c.2302 + 2 T > G	p.?	Heterozygous	Mental retardation and microcephaly with pontine and cerebellar hypoplasia [MIM 300749]	XL	Current study
23	DYRK1A	NM_001396.3	c.1433delT	p.F478Sfs*114	Heterozygous	Autosomal dominant mental retardation 7 [MIM 614104]	AD	Current study
24	BRCA2	NM_000059.3	c.9672dupA	p.Tyr3225llefs*30	Homozygous	Fanconi anemia, complementation group D1 [MIM 605724]	AR	Tea et al., [42]
26	RNASEH2B	NM_024570.3	c.529G > A c.554 T > G	p.A177T p.V185G	Compound heterozygous	Aicardi-Goutières syndrome 2[MIM 610181]	AR	Crow et al., [24]
32	ASPM	NM_018136.4	c.2389C > T c.2053dupA	p.R797* p.N688Kfs*5	Compound heterozygous	Primary microcephaly 5 [MIM 608716]	AR	Passemard et al., [25] Current study

Table 2 Established molecular diagnoses in patients with microcephaly using whole-exome sequencing

AR Autosomal recessive, AD Autosomal dominant, XL X-linked, MIM Mendelian inheritance in man number (http://omim.org) p.? = the predicted effect on the protein is unknown

a stop mutation and an insertion of one base pair resulting in a frameshift.

In two patients with clinically recognizable syndromes (i.e. Warburg Micro syndrome and Aicardi-Goutières syndrome), mutations in *RAB3GAP1* (patient 1) [26] and *RNASEH2B* (patient 26) [24] related to these conditions were identified during their diagnostic work-up as well as by whole-exome sequencing. In *RAP3GAP1* a homozygous deletion of four base pairs in exon 6 was detected. This deletion creates a frameshift starting at codon Thr159. The new reading frame ends in a stop codon 18 positions downstream.

A frame-shift mutation in the *KIF11* gene was identified in patient 4, who was known to have microcephaly and retinopathy, consistent with the phenotype. The mutation is an insertion of one base pair resulting in a frameshift and after eight amino acids causing a stop codon. The mutation in this patient proved to be inherited from the mother, who also had microcephaly and mild learning problems, but who was not found to have any ophthalmologic abnormalities. The unaffected maternal aunt of patient 4 did not carry the mutation.

We found a compound heterozygous frame-shift and missense mutation in the *RTTN* gene encoding the centrosome-associated protein Rotatin in one of the three sib-pairs (patients 8 and 9). The missense mutation was predicted as deleterious by several programs (including Polyphen, SIFT, and Mutation taster).

In the *ERCC8* gene a homozygous frame-shift mutation was found in patient 16, located in an 8 Mb homozygous region causing a stop codon further in the exon. Sanger sequencing of the parents confirmed that both were carriers of this heterozygous mutation.

We further found a splice-site mutation in the *CASK* gene in patient 19, and analysis of the parents confirmed that this was a *de novo* mutation. This mutation results in a predicted change of the splice site.

We identified a frame-shift mutation in the *DYRK1A* gene in patient 23, which was not inherited from the patient's mother. Because DNA of the patient's father was not available for testing, we were unable to confirm a *de novo* event in our patient, but the mutation is highly likely to be pathogenic.

A homozygous mutation in the *BRCA2* gene was detected in patient 24. Biallelic *BRCA2* mutations cause Fanconi anaemia complementation group D1 [27], a phenotype consistent with the phenotype of this patient. Sanger sequencing of the parents confirmed segregation. Since both parents of our patient were heterozygous for the mutation, and because of the associated increased risk for cancer, both of their families were offered appropriate genetic counselling and mutation screening. To date, no other family members have been reported to have cancer.

Discussion

Overall, a molecular diagnosis was established in 29 % of the families with microcephaly studied using whole-exome sequencing. This diagnostic yield is comparable to the diagnostic yield of 25 % for whole-genome sequencing reported in a study of patients with a wide range of suspected genetic disorders, mostly related to neurologic conditions [28]. Our results are also in line with other studies on the diagnostic utility of whole-exome sequencing in genetically highly heterogeneous disorders. Wholeexome sequencing had a higher diagnostic yield than Sanger sequencing in patients with deafness (44 % vs. 10 %), blindness (52 % vs. 25 %), mitochondrial diseases (16 % vs. 11 %) and movement disorders (20 % vs. 5 %) [29]. In a study of 188 probands from families with consanguineous parents and two or more affected children, mutations in known genes were found in 27 % of the patients [8]. A similar diagnostic yield of approximately 25 % was obtained in a study on consanguineous families from Qatar [30].

We found mutations that are highly likely to be causative in nine different genes known to be associated with a disorder in which microcephaly is an important phenotypic feature. The ASPM gene is related to primary microcephaly type 5, which is the most common cause of autosomal recessive inherited primary microcephaly [31]. Although ASPM was the most frequently tested gene during the diagnostic work-up of the patients (Additional file 1: Table S1), in one of these two families this gene had not been tested. Mutations in the KIF11 gene are known to cause autosomal dominant inherited microcephaly with or without chorioretinopathy, lymphedema, or mental retardation [32], a phenotype that is consistent with the clinical features of the patient in which we identified a KIF11 mutation. Homozygous missense mutations in the RTTN gene have recently been identified in patients with microcephaly, intellectual disability, epilepsy and bilateral polymicrogyria [33]. The p. H865R mutation identified in our family results in a substitution of an amino acid that is located in the second Armadillo-like domain of Rotatin, a protein-protein interacting domain that is highly conserved among species. Sanger sequencing in both parents and two unaffected siblings confirmed that the mutations segregated with the clinical phenotype in this family, further proving causality. In our patients, the type of cortical malformations differed and the degree of microcephaly was more severe than in these published cases. It is known that such heterogeneity in distribution, severity and type of cortical malformations can occur in relation to mutations in one specific gene, as has been observed with mutations in the GPR56 gene [33, 34]. ERCC8 gene mutations cause Cockayne syndrome type A [35].

Although, in retrospect, this diagnosis is consistent with the clinical features of our patient, it had not been considered prior to our discovery of the ERCC8 gene mutation by whole-exome sequencing. When the patient was re-evaluated, his facial features had changed substantially and had become more characteristic of Cockayne syndrome. Mutations in the CASK gene cause mental retardation with microcephaly and pontocerebellar hypoplasia [36]. This X-linked condition is seen more frequently in females than in males [37]. The presence of severe intellectual disability, spasticity, seizures, absence of speech, and prominent upper incisors reported in our CASK patient are known clinical features associated with this disorder [38]. Unfortunately, no brain MRI was available from this patient so it remains unknown whether she also had pontine or cerebellar hypoplasia. The absence of this information may also explain why this diagnosis had not been considered prior to whole-exome sequencing. DYRK1A mutations cause autosomal dominant mental retardation type 7, in which microcephaly is an important clinical finding [39, 40]. Typically, de novo DYRK1A mutations are found [39] as was most likely the case in our patient. Biallelic BRCA2 mutations are known to cause Fanconi anaemia type D1 [41], and we found a homozygous mutation in this gene. Secondary microcephaly and hepatocellular carcinoma, as seen in our patient, are known features of Fanconi anaemia [27]. This particular BRCA2 mutation has been identified in families with familial breast cancer [42].

In our cohort an autosomal recessive disorder was detected in 7/10 of the families with an established diagnosis, while only eight out of 35 (23 %) families had been suspected of having a recessive disorder (six consanguineous families and two families with affected siblings). This is consistent with the high empirical recurrence risk in sibs (approximately 15-20 %) of patients with severe primary microcephaly without an identified cause [43]. Our results suggest that autosomal recessive inheritance is far more frequent in patients with microcephaly than in patients with intellectual disability overall [28, 44]. In a study of 100 patients with severe intellectual disability, mostly *de novo* mutations with an assumed dominant effect were identified and only one patient was found to have an autosomal recessive disorder [45].

Our results confirm the clinical and genetic heterogeneity in patients with microcephaly. When wholeexome sequencing is performed early in the diagnostic work-up of patients, it may prevent other, unnecessary, diagnostic evaluations. Most of the patients in our study had had extensive diagnostic evaluations but these had not led to a specific diagnosis in the majority of cases. Neveling et al. calculated that when three or more genes per patient are investigated by Sanger sequencing, whole-exome sequencing is more cost effective and has a higher diagnostic yield [29].

Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that whole-exome sequencing is a powerful tool for the diagnostic evaluation of highly heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorders. We performed whole-exome sequencing in 35 families with intellectual disability and microcephaly. A molecular diagnosis could be established in 29 % of the studied families. In 70 % of the families an autosomal recessive condition was present, confirming that this inheritance mode is more prevalent among patients with intellectual disability who have microcephaly.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Genetic tests performed during the diagnostic work-up of the study participants. (DOC 55 kb)

Additional file 2: Table S2. Specification of the sequence data. (DOC 51 kb)

Additional file 3: Table S3. Overview of the number of variants detected at each filter step for each patient. (DOC 128 kb)

Abbreviations

AD: autosomal dominant; AR: autosomal recessive; GATK: genome analysis toolkit; GoNL: genome of the netherlands; HGMD: human gene mutation database; HPO: human phenotype ontology; MIM: mendelian inheritance in man; NGS: next generation sequencing; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; SD: standard deviations; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; VCF: variant call format; WES: whole exome sequencing; XL: x-linked.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

BS-R, BZA and RS are responsible for the concept, design of experiments, and supervision of the study. KvD-B and LFJ performed WES and analysed copy number variants and performed homozygosity mapping. OJ, LFJ and MAS performed WES data analysis. PR, AJVE, JBGMV, HEV-K EJWR, MMAMM, CMAvR-A recruited patients and supervised clinical aspects. OJ performed Sanger sequencing. OJ, KvD-B, PR, RS, CMAvR-A interpreted the results. PR wrote the manuscript. BZA, CMAvR-A, BS-R critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Patrick Rump and Omid Jazayeri are shared first authors.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from the University Medical Centre Groningen (*Doelmatigheidsfonds*). We thank all patients and families for their participation, their physicians for submitting patient samples and providing clinical information, and Jackie Senior and Kate Mc Intyre for editing the manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands. ²Department of Clinical Genetics, University of Amsterdam, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ³Department of Genetics, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Genomics Coordination Centre, Groningen, The Netherlands. ⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

Received: 10 July 2015 Accepted: 25 January 2016

References

- 1. Woods CG. Human microcephaly. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2004;14:112-7.
- 2. London Medical Database. [http://www.lmdatabases.com]. August 2014.
- Prasad AN, Bunzeluk K, Prasad C, Chodirker BN, Magnus KG, Greenberg CR. Agenesis of the corpus callosum and cerebral anomalies in inborn errors of metabolism. Congenit Anom(Kyoto). 2007;47:125–35.
- Piro E, Antona V, Consiglio V, Ballacchino A, Graziano F. Microcephaly a clinical-genetic and neurologic approach. Acta Medica Mediterranea. 2013; 29:327–31.
- Cooper GM, Coe BP, Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Vu TH, Baker C, et al. A copy number variation morbidity map of developmental delay. Nat Genet. 2011; 43:838–46.
- Hagen M, Pivarcsi M, Liebe J, Bernuth H, Didonato N, Hennermann JB, et al. Diagnostic approach to microcephaly in childhood. a two-center study and review of the literature. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2014;56:732–41.
- Alcantara D, O'Driscoll M. Congenital microcephaly. Am J Med Genet Part C. 2014;166:124–39.
- Dixon-Salazar TJ, Silhavy JL, Udpa N, Schroth J, Bielas S, Schaffer AE, et al. Exome sequencing can improve diagnosis and alter patient management. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4:138ra–78.
- Dyment DA, Sawyer SL, Chardon JW, Boycott KM. Recent Advances in the Genetic Etiology of Brain Malformations. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep. 2013;13:1–10.
- Rabbani B, Mahdieh N, Hosomichi K, Nakaoka H, Inoue I. Next-generation sequencing: impact of exome sequencing in characterizing Mendelian disorders. J Hum Genet. 2012;57:621–32.
- Abecasis G, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM GRA, Hurles ME, et al. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature. 2010;467:1061–73.
- 12. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:589–95.
- McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing nextgeneration DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20:1297–303.
- 14. Picard. [http://picard.sourceforge.net], version 1.61.
- Ye K, Schulz MH, Long Q, Apweiler R, Ning Z. Pindel. A pattern growth approach to detect break points of large deletions and medium sized insertions from paired-end short reads. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2865–71.
- Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang L, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6:1–13.
- Byelas H, Dijkstra M, Neerincx PB, Van Dijk F, Kanterakis A, Deelen P, et al. Scaling Bio-Analyses from Computational Clusters to Grids. In: IWSG. Zurich: Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Science Gateways; 2013.
- 1000 Genomes project, Seattle exome database. [http://www. 1000genomes.org/1000-genomes-browsers]. release v3 20101123.
- Francioli LC, Menelaou A, Pulit SL, van Dijk F, Palamara PF, Elbers CC, et al. Whole-genome sequence variation, population structure and demographic history of the Dutch population. Nat Genet. 2014;46:818–25.
- 20. Human phenotype ontology. [http://www.human-phenotype-ontology.org/].
- HGMD, Human Gene Mutation Database. [https://portal.biobase-international. com/cgi-bin/portal/login.cgi]; Professional Database 2013.2.
- 22. Exome Variant Server. (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/); ESP6500SI-V2 dataset, annotated with SeattleSeqAnnotation 137.
- 23. Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC). (http://ExAC.broadinstitute.org). ExAC release 0.3.
- Crow YJ, Leitch A, Hayward BE, Garner A, Parmar R, Griffith E, et al. Mutations in genes encoding ribonuclease H2 subunits cause Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome and mimic congenital viral brain infection. Nat Genet. 2006;38:910–6.
- Passemard S, Titomanlio L, Elmaleh M, Afenjar A, Alessandri J-L, Andria G, et al. Expanding the clinical and neuroradiologic phenotype of primary microcephaly due to ASPM mutations. Neurology. 2009;73:962–9.
- Aligianis IA, Johnson CA, Gissen P, Chen D, Hampshire D, Hoffmann K, et al. Mutations of the catalytic subunit of RAB3GAP1 cause Warburg Micro syndrome. Nat Genet. 2005;37:221–4.
- 27. Alter BP, Rosenberg PS, Brody LC. Clinical and molecular features associated with biallelic mutations in FANCD1/BRCA2. J Med Genet. 2007;44:1–9.

- Yang Y, Muzny DM, Reid JG, Bainbridge MN, Willis A, Ward PA, et al. Clinical whole-exome sequencing for the diagnosis of mendelian disorders. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1502–11.
- Neveling K, Feenstra I, Gilissen C, Hoefsloot LH, Kamsteeg E-J, Mensenkamp AR, et al. A Post-Hoc Comparison of the Utility of Sanger Sequencing and Exome Sequencing for the Diagnosis of Heterogeneous Diseases. Hum Mutat. 2013;34:1721–6.
- Fahiminiya S, Almuriekhi M, Nawaz Z, Staffa A, Lepage P, Ali R, et al. Whole exome sequencing unravels disease-causing genes in consanguineous families in Qatar. Clin Genet. 2013;86:134–41.
- Nicholas AK, Swanson EA, Cox JJ, Karbani G, Malik S, Springell K, et al. The molecular landscape of ASPM mutations in primary microcephaly. J Med Genet. 2009;46:249–53.
- Ostergaard P, Simpson MA, Mendola A, Vasudevan P, Connell FC, van Impel A, et al. Mutations in *KIF11* Cause Autosomal-Dominant Microcephaly Variably Associated with Congenital Lymphedema and Chorioretinopathy. Am J Hum Genet. 2012;90:356–62.
- Kheradmand Kia S, Verbeek E, Engelen E, Schot R, Poot RA, de Coo IF, et al. *RTTN* Mutations Link Primary Cilia Function to Organization of the Human Cerebral Cortex. Am J Hum Genet. 2012;91:533–40.
- Barkovich AJ, Guerrini R, Kuzniecky RI, Jackson GD, Dobyns WB. A developmental and genetic classification for malformations of cortical development: update 2012. Brain. 2012;135:1348–69.
- Laugel V, Dalloz C, Durand M, Sauvanaud F, Kristensen U, Vincent M-C, et al. Mutation update for the CSB/ERCC6 and CSA/ERCC8 genes involved in Cockayne syndrome. Hum Mutat. 2010;31:113–26.
- Najm J, Horn D, Wimplinger I, Golden JA, Chizhikov W, Sudi J, et al. Mutations of CASK cause an X-linked brain malformation phenotype with microcephaly and hypoplasia of the brainstem and cerebellum. Nat Genet. 2008;40:1065–7.
- Hackett A, Tarpey PS, Licata A, Cox J, Whibley A, Boyle J, et al. CASK mutations are frequent in males and cause X-linked nystagmus and variable XLMR phenotypes. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009;18:544–52.
- Moog U, Kutsche K, Kortüm F, Chilian B, Bierhals T, Apeshiotis N, et al. Phenotypic spectrum associated with CASK loss-of-function mutations. J Med Genet. 2011;48: 741–51.
- O'Roak BJ, Vives L, Fu W, Egertson JD, Stanaway IB, Phelps IG, et al. Multiplex targeted sequencing identifies recurrently mutated genes in autism spectrum disorders. Science. 2012;338:1619–22.
- Van Bon B, Hoischen A, Hehir-Kwa J, de Brouwer A, Ruivenkamp C, Gijsbers A, et al. Intragenic deletion in DYRK1A leads to mental retardation and primary microcephaly. Clin Genet. 2011;79:296–9.
- Howlett NG, Taniguchi T, Olson S, Cox B, Waisfisz Q, de Die-Smulders C, et al. Biallelic inactivation of BRCA2 in Fanconi anemia. Science. 2002;297:606–9.
- Tea M-KM, Kroiss R, Muhr D, Fuerhauser-Rappaport C, Oefner P, Wagner TM, et al. Central European *BRCA2* mutation carriers: Birth cohort status correlates with onset of breast cancer. Maturitas. 2014;77:68–72.
- Firth HV, Hurst JA, Hall JG. Oxford desk reference: clinical genetics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005.
- 44. Veltman JA, Brunner HG. De novo mutations in human genetic disease. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13:565–75.
- De Ligt J, Willemsen MH, van Bon BW, Kleefstra T, Yntema HG, Kroes T, et al. Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1921–9.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

