

University of Groningen

Authors' reply: Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

Koeck, R.; Friedrich, A. W.; Origianl Author Grp

Published in:
Eurosurveillance

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2014

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Koeck, R., Friedrich, A. W., & Origianl Author Grp (2014). Authors' reply: Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Eurosurveillance, 19(37), 21-21. [20902].

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Authors' reply: Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

R Köck¹, A W Friedrich (alex.friedrich@umcg.nl)², on behalf of the original author group³

1. Institute of Hygiene, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany

2. Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

3. The members of the group are listed at the end of the article

Citation style for this article:

Köck R, Friedrich AW, on behalf of the original author group. Authors' reply: Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Euro Surveill. 2014;19(37):pii=20902. Available online: <http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=20902>

Article submitted on 10 September 2014 / published on 18 September 2014

To the editor:

We thank Prof. Verbrugh for the letter in regard to our article *Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)* [1]. As mentioned by Prof. Verbrugh, the article describing the United States Veteran Affairs (VA) initiative to combat MRSA [2] was discussed in our review, but not included in the body of the text and not reviewed in detail. It should clearly be stated that we highly acknowledge the work of the VA initiative. This is why the results of this 'bundle approach' for MRSA prevention were highlighted in the discussion. However, in the literature review, we focused on assessing specifically the impact of three defined single measures (i.e. screening, isolation in single rooms and decolonisation) on the occurrence of MRSA. The question of interest with respect to MRSA screening was: 'Does screening of patients before or on admission reduce the incidence of MRSA infection or transmission? How do PCR-based rapid tests for the direct detection of MRSA from screening specimens influence the incidence of MRSA colonisation or infection compared with culture-based methods?'

In the VA initiative, screening followed by contact precautions was among the measures implemented which, indeed, made the study relevant for consideration in the review. However, the article was excluded from formal review. The main reason was that different microbiological techniques (culture-based and PCR rapid test) were used for MRSA screening in the participating 153 hospitals and results were not stratified between these different methods. This prevented basic categorisation of the article according to the question of interest mentioned above (PCR vs culture). We agree that this formal aspect could have been better explained in the section defining exclusion criteria.

However, we also agree that both the fact that the VA initiative was performed as a real-life intervention (rather than a (randomised) controlled study) and that screening was implemented in a bundle together with 'institutional culture change' and hand hygiene programmes, did not make the initiative irrelevant for learning about MRSA prevention. On the contrary, as we were aware that most evidence evaluating the effects of screening is from observational studies, we explicitly did not restrict data analysis to randomised controlled trials. In addition, we think that the evaluation of care bundles is an important (and feasible) method to assess preventive effects in defined health-care systems or clinical settings.

Members of the group

K Becker, B Cookson, JE van Gemert-Pijnen, S Harbarth, J Kluytmans, M Mielke, G Peters, RL Skov, MJ Struelens, E Tacconelli, W Witte.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Authors' contributions

RK, AWF, KB, BC, JEvGP, SH, JK, MM, GP, RLS, MJS, ET and WW replied to the letter.

References

1. Köck R, Becker K, Cookson B, van Gemert-Pijnen JE, Harbarth S, Kluytmans J, et al. Systematic literature analysis and review of targeted preventive measures to limit healthcare-associated infections by meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Euro Surveill. 2014;19(29):pii=20860.
2. Jain R, Kralovic SM, Evans ME, Ambrose M, Simbartl LA, Obrosky DS, et al. Veterans Affairs initiative to prevent methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(15):1419-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1007474>