



University of Groningen

Local and global solutions of a differential equation on a curve

Put, M. van der

Published in:

Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Series A:Mathematical Sciences

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1986

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Put, M. V. D. (1986). Local and global solutions of a differential equation on a curve. Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Series A:Mathematical Sciences, 89(1), 99-104.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Local and global solutions of a differential equation on a curve

by M. van der Put

Mathematical Institute, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands

Communicated by Prof. T.A. Springer at the meeting of September 30, 1985

INTRODUCTION

The curve C is a non-singular projective curve defined over a complete nonarchimedean valued field K having characteristic zero. After a finite extension of K the curve admits a stable reduction (see [6]) and we will assume in the sequel that C has already a stable reduction over K.

On C we consider a differential equation of the form

(0.1)
$$\frac{d}{dz} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is a $n \times n$ -matrix with coefficients in the function field K(C) of C and where $z \in K(C)$ is transcendental over K.

The differential equation above can be translated into a connection

$$(0.2) \qquad \nabla: V \to \Omega^1_{K(C)/K} \otimes V$$

in which V is an *n*-dimensional vectorspace over K(C) and where $\Omega^1_{K(C)/K}$ denotes the module of differentials of K(C) over K.

The differential equation is called *locally trivial* (with respect to the rigid analytic Grothendieck topology on C) if there exists a finite affinoid

(0.3) covering $\{C_1, ..., C_s\}$ of C and for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$ a fundamental matrix F_i solving (0.1). The entries of the matrix F_i are meromorphic functions on C_i and det (F_i) is an invertible meromorphic function on C_i .

The aim of this paper is to answer a question, posed by *F. Baldassarri:* Suppose that equation (0.1) is locally trivial. Does (0.1) have *n* independent solutions in K(C)?

In the sequel we will analyse locally trivial equations. Further the differential Galois group of equation (0.1) is studied.

§ 1. LOCAL SYSTEMS ON C

A local system L of dimension n on C is a sheaf of K-vectorspaces on C (with respect to the rigid analytic Grothendieck topology on C) such that L is locally isomorphic to the constant sheaf with fibre K^n .

Suppose that equation (0.1) is locally trivial. Then (0.1) gives rise to a local system. Indeed; on the intersections $C_i \cap C_j$ one writes $F_j = F_i M_{ij}$. The matrix M_{ij} is locally constant on $C_i \cap C_j$ and det (M_{ij}) is nowhere zero. The $\{M_{ij}\}$ form a 1-cocycle on C and determine a local system. This local system is of course equal to the sheaf L on C given by:

$$L(U) = \left\{ v \in M(U)^n \middle| \frac{d}{dz} (v) = Av \right\}$$

where U denotes an affinoid part of C and M(U) denotes the set of meromorphic functions on U. In order to understand local systems on C we have to consider reductions $R: C \rightarrow Y$ with respect to some pure affinoid U of C. Using [6] and [3] Ch. V, one sees that these are pure coverings U of C such that (a) L is constant on every affinoid belonging to U.

(b) every component of the reduction $R: C \rightarrow Y$ w.r.t. U is non-singular and every singular point of Y is an ordinary double point.

For two reductions $R_i: C \to Y_i$ w.r.t. pure coverings U_i (i=1,2) satisfying (a) and (b) there exists a pure covering U_3 finer than U_1 and U_2 such that U_3 satisfies again (a) and (b).

So we find a commutative diagram



in which $R_3: C \to Y_3$ is the reduction corresponding to U_3 and where φ_i (i=1,2) are morphisms of varieties over the residue field of K. Let $G_i = G(Y_i)$ (i=1,2,3) denote the intersection graph of the components of Y_i . One knows that the morphisms φ_i (i=1,2) are obtained by successive blowing down of \mathbb{P}_1 's in Y_3 . In particular the fundamental group $\pi_1(G_3)$ of the graph G_3 is isomorphic to $\pi_1(G_i)$ (i=1,2). This fundamental group is a finitely generated

free group Γ and it does not depend on the choice of the "prestable" reduction of C. We will call Γ the fundamental group of C. The group Γ is also the fundamental group of Y, a reduction of C satisfying (a) and (b), with respect to the Zariski-topology on Y. This leads to the following proposition.

(1.1) **PROPOSITION.** There are natural bijections between the following three sets:

(a) {Local systems on C of dimension n}/isomorphy.

(β) {*n*-dimensional representations of Γ }/equivalence.

(γ) {Locally trivial equations (0.1)}/equivalence.

PROOF. For a local system L on C and a reduction $R: C \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (a) and (b) above R_*L is a local system on Y with respect to the Zariski topology. Using that Γ is the fundamental group of Y one easily finds bijections $(\alpha) \rightleftharpoons (\beta)$.

We have already produced a map $(\gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha)$. For a local system L on C we find a *n*-dimensional holomorphic vectorbundle $E = O_C \otimes_K L$ with a connection $V : E \rightarrow \Omega^1_{C|K} \otimes E$ such that ker V = L. The global meromorphic sections of E produce then a differential equation in the form (0.2). There is still one point to verify, namely:

Suppose that $(d/a_{z}) - A_i$ (i = 1, 2) induce isomorphic local systems L_i (i = 1, 2). Then we have to produce a matrix $S \in Gl(n, K(C))$ such that

$$S^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{dz}-A_1\right)S=\frac{d}{dz}-A_2.$$

This equation for S can be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{dz}S=A_1S-SA_2.$$

This is an equation of type (0.1) and the equation is locally trivial. The corresponding local system is Hom (L_1, L_2) . The isomorphism $\varphi: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a global section of this new local system. Further φ corresponds to the S with the required properties. This finishes the proof.

The graph G = G(Y) has a universal covering. This can be used to construct the universal covering $u: U \rightarrow C$ of C with respect to the rigid analytic topology. (See [5]). One can transport a local system L to U. Then u^*L is the constant sheaf on U with fibre K^n . One finds then:

(1.2) COROLLARY. If equation (0.1) is locally trivial, then the equation has a fundamental matrix of solutions with entries global meromorphic functions on U.

(1.3) COROLLARY. If the Jacobian variety of C has good reduction and if (0.1) is locally trivial, then equation (0.1) has n independent solutions in $K(C)^n$.

Statement (1.3) follows from (1.2) since the condition "Jac(C) has good reduction" is equivalent to $\Gamma = \{1\}$ and also to U = C.

§ 2. THE DIFFERENTIAL GALOIS GROUP

Equation (0.1) always admits a Picard-Vessiot extension. This is a differential field $L \supset K(C)$ such that

(a) there is a fundamental matrix of solutions with coefficients in L.

(b) no proper subfield of L has property (a).

(c) K(C) and L have the same field of constants.

The group of differential automorphism of L over K(C) is denoted by DGal((d/dz) - A). It is an algebraic subgroup of Gl(n, K) called the differential Galois group. The group does not depend on the choice of the Picard-Vessiot extension L. (See [4].)

(2.1) PROPOSITION. Let equation (d/dz) - A of type (0.1) be locally trivial and let $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow Gl(n, K)$ denote the corresponding representation. The DGal((d/dz) - A) is the smallest algebraic subgroup of Gl(n, K) containing $\varrho(\Gamma)$.

PROOF. There is a fundamental matrix F with coefficients in the field M(U) of meromorphic functions on the universal covering U of C. Let $L \subset M(U)$ be the subfield generated over K(C) by the entries of F. Then L is invariant under d/dz since d/dz F = AF. One easily sees that L is a Picard-Vessiot extension of K(C). For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the field L is invariant under the action of γ on M(U) since γ commutes with d/dz. So we have a mapping $\Gamma \rightarrow DGal(L/K) = = DGal((d/dz) - A)$. Choose a basis e_1, \ldots, e_n of solutions of (d/dz) - A with coefficients in L. Then any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ induces a K-linear automorphism $\varrho(\gamma)$ of $Ke_1 + \ldots + Ke_n$. This representation ϱ is equivalent to the representation constructed in (1.1). Hence $\varrho(\Gamma) \subseteq DGal(L/K) \subset Gl(n, K)$. Let G denote the smallest algebraic group containing $\varrho(\Gamma)$. If $G \neq DGal(L/K)$ and the proposition is proved.

(2.2) EXAMPLE. According to (1.1) there are only interesting examples is the reduction of C has a non-trivial fundamental group. For the Tate-curve $C = K^*/\langle q \rangle$ (0 < |q| < 1) a locally trivial differential equation corresponds to a homomorphism $\varrho: \Gamma = \langle q \rangle \rightarrow Gl(n, K)$. So the equation is determined by a single matrix ϱ (the generator of Γ). If we want the differential equation to be irreducible than the matrix has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ \cdot & c \end{pmatrix}$$
 with $c \in K^*$.

The corresponding connection $\nabla: K(C)^n \to \Omega^1 \otimes K(C)^n$ can be found expli-

citely. Namely: for a suitable basis e_1, \ldots, e_n of $K(C)^n$ the ∇ is given by

$$\nabla e_1 = \omega \otimes e_1$$

$$\nabla e_2 = \omega \otimes (e_2 + e_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\nabla e_n = \omega \otimes (e_n + e_{n-1})$$

The differential form ω has poles of order 1 at the two points u(1), u(c) of C with residues 1 and -1. Here $u: K^* \to C$ is again the universal covering of the curve C. As yet ω is only determined upto holomorphic differentials on C. The precise choice of ω can be explained with theta functions.

Put

$$\theta(z) = \prod_{n \ge 0} (1 - q^n z^{-1}) \prod_{n > 0} (1 - q^n z)$$
 and put $\theta_c(z) = \theta(c^{-1} z)$.

Then $\omega = d\eta/\eta$ with $\eta = \theta_1/\theta_c$. The Picard-Vessiot extensions L of K(C) can also by calculated. For n = 1, $L = K(C)(\eta)$, note that $\eta(qz) = c\eta(z)$. For n = 2, $L = K(C)(\eta, f)$ where

$$f = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-n}z} + \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-n}z^{-1}}.$$

We note that f(qz) - f(z) = 1. For n > 2 similar, but more complicated, expressions can be found.

In the above we have classified all differential equations on $K^*/\langle q \rangle$ which are locally trivial.

(2.3) EXAMPLE. C a Mumford curve of genus $g \ge 2$.

The fundamental group Γ is a free group on g generators. This group has many representations in Gl(n, K) if n > 1. For 1-dimensional representation $\varrho: \Gamma \to K^*$ however one can again write down explicitly the corresponding differential equation $V: K(C) \to \Omega^1 \otimes K(C) = \Omega^1$.

This is given by $\nabla(g) = dg + g\omega$ where ω is a differential on C of the form $d\eta/\eta$ where $\eta \in M(U)$ is a thetafunction satisfying $\gamma(\eta) = \varrho(\gamma)\eta$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(2.4) EXAMPLE. C a Mumford curve of genus g > 2. Let E denote a vectorbundle on C of rank n and degree 0. Suppose that E is indecomposable. According to A. Weil and Atiyah there exists a connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow \Omega^1_{C/K} \otimes E$. (See [1.8].) This ∇ is however not unique. If there would be a choice of ∇ such that ∇ is locally trivial then one finds a representation (using (1.1)) $\varrho: \Gamma \rightarrow Gl(n, K)$ which induces the vector bundle E. And so the p-adic version of A. Weil's theorem on vector bundles would have been proved.

There seems however not much hope for the construction above since the condition "locally trivial" is difficult to obtain. For stable vectorbundles E of degree zero on C it has been proves that E is obtained from a representation $\Gamma \rightarrow Gl(n, K)$. (See [2] and [7].)

In particular E admits a connection ∇ which is locally trivial.

REFERENCES

- 1. Atiyah, M.F. Vectorbundles over an elliptic curve. Proc. of London Math. Soc. (3) VII 27, 414-452 (1957).
- 2. Faltings, G. Semi-stable vectorbundles on Mumford curves. Invent. math. 74, 199-212 (1983).
- Gerritzen, L. and M. van der Put Schottky groups and Mumford curves. Lect. Notes in Math. 817, 1980.
- 4. Kaplansky, I. Differential Algebra. (Hermann, Paris, 1957).
- 5. Put, M. van der Etale coverings of a Mumford curve. Ann. Inst. Fourier 33, 1, 29-52 (1983).
- 6. Put, M. van der Stable reductions of algebraic curves. Proceedings A 87 (4), 461-478 (1984).
- 7. Put, M. van der and M. Reversat Vectorbundles on a Mumford curve. (in preparation).
- 8. Weil, A. Généralisation des fonctions abéliennes. J. Math. pur. appl. 17, 47-87 (1938).