



University Medical Center Groningen

University of Groningen

SDD

Benus, R. F.; Harmsen, H. J.; van der Werf, T. S.

Published in:
Intensive Care Medicine

DOI:
[10.1007/s00134-010-1947-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-010-1947-9)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2010

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Benus, R. F., Harmsen, H. J., & van der Werf, T. S. (2010). SDD: don't be selective in considering pros and cons. *Intensive Care Medicine*, 36(10), 1785-1786. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-010-1947-9>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

R. F. Benus
H. J. Harmsen
T. S. van der Werf

SDD: don't be selective in considering pros and cons

Accepted: 2 May 2010
Published online: 7 July 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is
published with open access at
Springerlink.com

Dear Editor,
We thank Dr. Zandstra and
colleagues [1] for their interest in
our work [2]. Although in their sci-
entific careers they have amassed
impressive data on selective digestive
microbial decontamination (SDD),
they have not fully appreciated what
our paper adds to this large body of
knowledge.

The intestinal microbiota contains
many more cells than the human body
itself. Furthermore the intestinal
microbial gene set is approximately
150 times larger than the human
genome [3]. Last year marked the
150th anniversary of Darwin's *On the
Origin of Species*. How can we
explain any genetic advantage for
humans to carry so many microbes
along? What benefit does our mi-
crobiome provide us during health?
And what happens during critical ill-
ness? How does the intensive care
unit (ICU) environment impact on our
microbiota? What impact does SDD
have on our microbiota? And what is
the impact of SDD on the emergence
of resistant bacteria in the ICU?

Zandstra et al. rightly allude to the
fact that *Enterobacteriaceae* increase
during critical illness—we also found
a more than tenfold relative increase
(as a percentage of total bacteria)

compared to data from healthy
volunteers [4, 5]. To fight overgrowth
of *Enterobacteriaceae* seems like a
good idea—but is there a trade-off?
Why did SDD—though providing a
small but significant survival advan-
tage compared to a control group—
fail to further reduce mortality
compared with patients receiving
only oral topical antimicrobial
products, even though these study
participants had similarly increased
percentages of *Enterobacteriaceae*?

A potential advantage of having
such massive numbers of anaerobic
bacteria in the colon is that colonocytes
feed on bacterial products. Indeed
colonocytes feed on butyrate, produced
by a limited number of
colonic bacterial species. The *Fae-
calibacterium prausnitzii* group is
predominant amongst these butyrate-
producing groups. This group is
already reduced during tube feeding,
resulting in a significantly reduced
concentration of butyrate [4]. We
describe a significant reduction in two
groups of microbiota that help in
maintaining the integrity of the large
intestinal mucosa. Quoting Vollaard's
and Donskey's work, Zandstra et al.
agree that SDD is a contradiction in
terms. We apparently disagree in our
concern that SDD might have a “dark
side”, i.e. that some important bene-
ficial microbiota are harmed by SDD.
Besides, there is additional collateral
damage: in the analysis of point-
prevalence cultures from the de Smet
study [6], an increase of intestinal
colonisation by resistant organisms
was observed after cessation of
SDD [7].

None of the articles cited by
Zandstra et al. describe the use of
molecular methods for detection and/
or enumeration of the intestinal mi-
crobiota. Bacteria from the
F. prausnitzii group are highly sensi-
tive to oxygen and require very
specific growth media. Culture-based
quantification yields a high degree of
culture bias. These limitations of

culture-based microbiological
techniques have precluded reliable
testing of the effects of antibiotics
(i.e. SDD) on the intestinal microbi-
ota. We are obviously not the first to
claim that SDD is not selective. We
are, however, the first to back up
these claims using absolute numbers
of faecal bacteria derived from a
quantitatively reliable, molecular
method.

Referring to Wensinck as an
argument that not *F. prausnitzii* but
clostridia contribute to colonisation
resistance (CR), Zandstra et al. dis-
play a misunderstanding of the
composition of the intestinal micro-
biota. Although phenotypically
Gram-negative, *F. prausnitzii* are
genetically closely related to the
Gram-positive clostridia Zandstra
et al. refer to. In fact, they belong to
clostridial cluster IV [8]. These phy-
logenetic relations have only been
discovered since the dawn of the
molecular era.

We agree that the clinical impact
of *F. prausnitzii* reduction in the
critically ill is unclear—at least, that
this should be further studied; we do
not know whether there is a critical
threshold of butyrate substrate for
colonocytes to survive, or to maintain
the integrity of the large intestinal
mucosa. Recent studies have shown
an anti-inflammatory effect of
F. prausnitzii in Crohn's disease that
could also be beneficial for the criti-
cally ill [9]. We have, however,
provided novel information that may
explain the discrepancy of effective
reduction of *Enterobacteriaceae* by
SDD, which fails to translate into
further survival benefit in comparison
with selective oropharyngeal decon-
tamination (SOD).

Open Access This article is distributed
under the terms of the Creative Commons
Attribution Noncommercial License which
permits any noncommercial use, distribu-
tion, and reproduction in any medium,
provided the original author(s) and source
are credited.

References

- Zandstra DF, Petros AJ, Silvestri L, de la Cal MA, Taylor N, Damjanovic V, van Saene HKF (2010) Selective decontamination of the digestive tract (SDD): selectivity is not required. *Intensive Care Med.* doi: [10.1007/s00134-010-1945-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-010-1945-y)
- Benus RF, Harmsen HJ, Welling GW, Spanjersberg R, Zijlstra JG, Degener JE, van der Werf TS (2010) Impact of digestive and oropharyngeal decontamination on the intestinal microbiota in ICU patients. *Intensive Care Med.* doi: [10.1007/s00134-010-1826-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-010-1826-4)
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batto JM, Hansen T, Le Paslier D, Linneberg A, Nielsen HB, Pelletier E, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Turner K, Zhu H, Yu C, Li S, Jian M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang X, Li S, Qin N, Yang H, Wang J, Brunak S, Doré J, Guarner F, Kristiansen K, Pedersen O, Parkhill J, Weissenbach J, MetaHIT Consortium, Bork P, Ehrlich SD, Wang J (2010) A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 464:59–65
- Benus RF, van der Werf TS, Welling GW, Judd PA, Taylor MA, Harmsen HJ, Whelan K (2010) Association between *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* and dietary fibre in colonic fermentation in healthy human subjects. *Br J Nutr* 29:1–8
- Harmsen HJ, Raangs GC, He T, Degener JE, Welling GW (2002) Extensive set of 16S rRNA-based probes for detection of bacteria in human feces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68:2982–2990
- de Smet AM, Kluytmans JA, Cooper BS, Mascini EM, Benus RF, van der Werf TS, van der Hoeven JG, Pickkers P, Bogaers-Hofman D, van der Meer NJ, Bernards AT, Kuijper EJ, Joore JC, Leverstein-van Hall MA, Bindels AJ, Jansz AR, Wesselink RM, de Jongh BM, Dennessen PJ, van Asselt GJ, te Velde LF, Frenay IH, Kaasjager K, Bosch FH, van Iterson M, Thijsen SF, Kluge GH, Pauw W, de Vries JW, Kaan JA, Arends JP, Aarts LP, Sturm PD, Harinck HI, Voss A, Uijtendaal EV, Blok HE, Thieme Groen ES, Pouw ME, Kalkman CJ, Bonten MJ (2009) Decontamination of the digestive tract and oropharynx in ICU patients. *N Engl J Med* 360:20–31
- Oostdijk EA, de Smet AM, Blok HE, Thieme Groen ES, van Asselt GJ, Benus RF, Bernards SA, Frénay IH, Jansz AR, de Jongh BM, Kaan JA, Leverstein-van Hall MA, Mascini EM, Pauw W, Sturm PD, Thijsen SF, Kluytmans JA, Bonten MJ (2010) Ecological effects of selective decontamination on resistant gram-negative bacterial colonization. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 181:452–457
- Duncan SH, Hold GL, Harmsen HJ, Stewart CS, Flint HJ (2002) Growth requirements and fermentation products of *Fusobacterium prausnitzii*, and a proposal to reclassify it as *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* gen. nov., comb. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 52:2141–2146
- Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Gratadoux JJ, Blugeon S, Bridonneau C, Furet JP, Corthier G, Grangette C, Vasquez N, Pochart P, Trugnan G, Thomas G, Blottière HM, Doré J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P (2008) *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105:16731–16736

R. F. Benus · H. J. Harmsen ·
T. S. van der Werf (✉)
University Medical Center Groningen,
Internal Medicine, Hanzeplein 1,
P.O. Box 30001, 9700 RB Groningen,
The Netherlands
e-mail: t.s.van.der.werf@int.umcg.nl
Tel.: +31-50-3611501
Fax: +31-50-3613216