



University of Groningen

## Protein export in bacillus subtilis and escherichia coli

Dijl, Jan Maarten van

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 1990

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Dijl, J. M. V. (1990). Protein export in bacillus subtilis and escherichia coli. s.n.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## SUMMARY AND GENERAL DISCUSSION

The export of heterologous proteins in Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia inefficient. Frequently observed problems is often are: 1), coli accumulation of the precursor form of the exported protein in the cytoplasm or in the membrane; 2), inefficient or incorrect processing of the precursor; 3), inefficient release of the processed protein from the cell-envelope; and 4), proteolytic degradation of the exported protein. Several distinct steps in the export of proteins, involving properties of both the exported protein and the cellular protein export apparatus, can be conceived to account for these problems. The main purpose of the investigations described in this Thesis was to determine whether the processing of precursors of, in particular heterologous, exported proteins by signal peptidase I (SPase I) might be a rate-limiting step in protein export.

In chapter I a general introduction in bacterial protein export processes is presented. Particular properties of exported proteins, components of the cellular protein export machineries of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* and energy requirements for protein export are summarized. These properties are discussed in relation to problems encountered in the export of heterologous proteins by bacteria.

Chapter II describes the construction of a mutant of *Escherichia coli* (N4156::pGD28) in which SPase I synthesis could be controlled by a temperature-sensitive repressor. Repressed SPase I synthesis resulted in a severe inhibition of growth. Using this mutant, the effects of SPase I limitation on the synthesis and efficiency of processing of *E.coli* TEM- $\beta$ -lactamase and *Bacillus licheniformis*  $\alpha$ -amylase were studied. SPase I limitation resulted in reduced rates of processing of pre- $\beta$ -lactamase and in a strong inhibition of the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -amylase. The data indicated that reduced levels of SPase I limited the efficient processing of pre- $\beta$ -lactamase and the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -amylase in *E.coli*.

Chapter III describes the use of *E.coli* N4156::pGD28 (see chapter II) for the selection of the *Salmonella typhimurium lep* gene, encoding SPase I. The nucleotide sequence of the *S.typhimurium lep* gene was determined. This gene encoded a protein of 324 amino acids, showing 92.6% identity with the *E.coli* SPase I. Expression of the gene in *E.coli* N4156::pGD28 resulted in the suppression of growth inhibition and in the restoration of

processing limitation. potential SPase I-e

Chapte Fragments hybridizati were obt N4156::pG mutant (c they did fragments componen asparagina that diffe B.lichenifor were pro DNA fra signal. A DNA pro flanking l fragment situation lepA gen E.coli or lepA and observed Chapt

on the

which (

chromosc lactamase

showed

increase

lactamase

lactamas

precurso

under :

and *Escherichia* plems are: 1), protein in the ect processing of protein from the exported protein. ing properties of rt apparatus, can purpose of the ine whether the exported proteins g step in protein

al protein export exported proteins, of *Escherichia coli* protein export are tion to problems acteria.

of Escherichia coli controlled by a esis resulted in a effects of SPase I of E.coli TEM- $\beta$ studied. SPase I pre- $\beta$ -lactamase and The data indicated processing of pre- $\beta$ -

8 (see chapter II) ne, encoding SPase ne was determined. *ving* 92.6% identity *coli* N4156::pGD28 the restoration of processing activity on TEM- $\beta$ -lactamase under conditions of *E.coli* SPase I limitation. The results suggested that the system described here has the potential to be used for the cloning and expression of other heterologous SPase I-encoding genes.

Chapter IV describes attempts to clone the *B.licheniformis lep* gene. Fragments of the E.coli and S.typhimurium lep genes were used as hybridization probes for Southern blotting. Two hybridizing fragments were obtained. However, introduction of either of fragment in E.coli N4156::pGD28 could not complement defective SPase I production in this mutant (data not shown). Moreover, DNA sequence analysis indicated that they did not contain lep genes. Instead, the proteins encoded by these similarity with higly fragments showed a conserved ATP-binding component of binding protein-dependent transport systems and with Lasparaginases, respectively. The absence of sequence similarity suggests that differences exist between the lep genes of E.coli/S.typhimurium and B.licheniformis. The "false" positive signals in the Southern hybridizations were probably due to several adjacent sequences on the B.licheniformis DNA fragments acting cooperatively in the creation of a hybridization signal. A third B.licheniformis DNA fragment hybridized with an E.coli DNA probe containing not only lep sequences, but also part of the flanking lepA gene, which has no apparent role in protein export. This fragment encoded the 3' end of the B.licheniformis lepA gene. Unlike the situation in E.coli and S.typhimurium, the sequences downstream of this lepA gene did not appear to encode proteins showing similarity with E.coli or S.typhimurium SPase I. This indicates that the B.licheniformis lepA and lep genes are not organised in an operon-like structure as observed in E.coli and S.typhimurium.

Chapter V describes the effects of overproduction of SPase I in E.coli on the processing kinetics of various hybrid TEM-B-lactamase precursors which contained signal peptides selected from the Bacillus subtilis chromosome. Two precursors (pre[A42]-β-lactamase and pre[A2d]-Blactamase), which were processed slowly under standard conditions, showed enhanced processing rates when SPase I was overproduced. The increase in the rate of processing was most drastic with pre(A2d)-βlactamase. Moreover, the efficiency of release of mature (A2d)-Blactamase into the periplasm was increased concomitantly. A third hybrid precursor (pre[A13i]-\beta-lactamase), which was not detectably processed under standard conditions, was processed to a limited extent under conditions of SPase I overproduction. The mature (A13i)- $\beta$ -lactamase did not appear to be efficiently released into the periplasm. In contrast, the processing rates of wild-type pre- $\beta$ -lactamase and pre(A2)- $\beta$ -lactamase, already being high under standard conditions, were not detectably altered by SPase I overproduction. These results demonstrate that the availability of SPase I can be a limiting factor in protein export in *E.coli*, in particular with respect to (hybrid) precursor proteins showing low (SPase I) processing efficiencies. At present we can only speculate about the mechanisms which cause increased processing efficiencies of pre(A2d)- and pre(A13i)- $\beta$ -lactamase under conditions of SPase I overproduction. It is conceivable that these hybrid precursors are only processing-competent during a limited period of time and that increased availability of SPase I allows the processing of a larger fraction of processing-competent molecules before these become a poor substrate.

Chapter VI describes experiments on the production of E.coli SPase I in B.subtilis. By analogy to the results described in chapter V, it was reasoned that the overproduction of SPase I might also result in improved secretion of hybrid precursor proteins in B subtilis. Since no lep genes of Gram-positive bacteria were available, the approach chosen to address this question was to express the E.coli lep gene in B.subtilis. To that purpose the E.coli lep gene was provided with B.subtilis expression signals and cloned on a multi-copy-number plasmid (pGDL24) in this organism. This resulted in approximately 2.5-fold higher amounts of SPase I per mg cellular protein as compared to wild-type E.coli. However, pulse-chase labeling studies revealed that the E.coli SPase I in B.subtilis did not result in increased rates of processing of two hybrid secretory precursors (pre[A13]-α-amylase and pre[A2d]-β-lactamase). As suggested by in vitro processing studies, the failure to achieve increased rates of processing may be due to inactivity of the E.coli SPase I when produced in B.subtilis. Moreover, unlike in E.coli, the enzyme did not appear to be exposed on the outside of the cytoplasmic membrane. This suggests that the E.coli SPase I was not inserted correctly into the B.subtilis cytoplasmic membrane.

In summary, the results presented in this Thesis indicate that the availability of sufficient quantities of SPase I is critical for efficient export of proteins into the periplasm of *E.coli* (chapters II and V). The observation that the efficiency of protein export could be improved by overproduction of SPase I in *E.coli* may be of considerable practical value

154

(chapte in atte general when improve from c lep gei for the S.typhin bacteriu sequend that t differen by E.c processi extracts react SPase ] 13i)- $\beta$ -lactamase did m. In contrast, the pre(A2)- $\beta$ -lactamase, t detectably altered that the availability cport in *E.coli*, in showing low (SPase peculate about the s of pre(A2d)- and verproduction. It is processing-competent ilability of SPase I processing-competent

of E.coli SPase I chapter V, it was result in improved e no lep genes of sen to address this s. To that purpose ression signals and this organism. This SPase I per mg owever, pulse-chase B subtilis did not secretory precursors gested by in vitro ates of processing when produced in not appear to be This suggests that nto the B.subtilis

indicate that the itical for efficient s II and V). The be improved by able practical value

(chapter V). It is conceivable that analogous approaches may be successful in attempts to improve the export of heterologous proteins by bacteria in general. However, the observation that the E.coli SPase I was inactive when expressed in B.subtilis (chapter VI), may indicate that such improvements require SPase I from the production organism itself, or from closely related species. A hybridization approach for the selection of lep genes, using the E.coli lep gene as a probe, appeared to be suitable for the cloning of the corresponding gene of the Gram-negative bacterium S.typhimurium (chapter III), but not for that of the Gram-positive bacterium B.licheniformis (chapter IV). In addition to the apparent lack of sequence similarity between the lep genes, two other observations suggest that the SPases of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria are different. First, conditions favouring the in vitro processing of precursors by E.coli SPase I, present in cell-free extracts, did not favour the processing by the analogous enzyme, present in B.subtilis cell-free extracts. Second, antibodies directed against E.coli SPase I did not crossreact with B.subtilis membrane proteins, which presumably include the SPase I protein (chapter VI).

155