

Unimodal sequences and quantum and mock modular forms

Jennifer Bryson^{a,1}, Ken Ono^b, Sarah Pitman^b, and Robert C. Rhoades^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843; ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322; and ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Edited by* George E. Andrews, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, and approved August 17, 2012 (received for review July 13, 2012)

We show that the rank generating function $U(t; q)$ for strongly unimodal sequences lies at the interface of quantum modular forms and mock modular forms. We use $U(-1; q)$ to obtain a quantum modular form which is “dual” to the quantum form Zagier constructed from Kontsevich’s “strange” function $F(q)$. As a result, we obtain a new representation for a certain generating function for L -values. The series $U(i; q) = U(-i; q)$ is a mock modular form, and we use this fact to obtain new congruences for certain enumerative functions.

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

A sequence of integers $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^s$ is a strongly unimodal sequence of size n if it satisfies

$$0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k > a_{k+1} > a_{k+2} > \dots > a_s > 0$$

for some k and $a_1 + \dots + a_s = n$. Let $u(n)$ be the number of such sequences. The rank of such a sequence is $s - 2k + 1$, the number of terms after the maximal term minus the number of terms that precede it.

By letting t (respectively, t^{-1}) keep track of the terms after (resp., before) a maximal term, we find that $u(m, n)$, the number of size n and rank m sequences, satisfies[†]

$$\begin{aligned} U(t; q) &:= \sum_{m,n} u(m, n) t^m q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-tq; q)_n (-t^{-1}q; q)_n q^{n+1} \\ &= q + q^2 + (t + 1 + t^{-1})q^3 + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad [1.1]$$

where $(x; q)_n := (1 - x)(1 - xq)(1 - xq^2) \dots (1 - xq^{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$ and $(x; q)_0 := 1$.

Example: The strongly unimodal sequences of size 5 are: $\{5\}$, $\{1, 4\}$, $\{4, 1\}$, $\{1, 3, 1\}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{3, 2\}$, and so $u(5) = 6$. Respectively, their ranks are 0, -1 , 1, 0, -1 , 1.

The q -series $U(-1; q)$, the generating function for the number of size n sequences with even rank minus the number with odd rank, is intimately related to Kontsevich’s strange function[‡]

$$\begin{aligned} F(q) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q; q)_n = 1 + (1 - q) + (1 - q)(1 - q^2) \\ &\quad + (1 - q)(1 - q^2)(1 - q^3) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad [1.2]$$

It is strange because it does not converge on any open subset of \mathbb{C} , but is well-defined at all roots of unity. Zagier (1) proved that this function satisfies the even “stranger” identity

$$F(q) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \chi_{12}(n) q^{\frac{n^2-1}{24}}, \quad [1.3]$$

where $\chi_{12}(\bullet) = (\frac{12}{\bullet})$. Neither side of this identity makes sense simultaneously. Indeed, the right-hand side[§] converges in the unit disk $|q| < 1$, but nowhere on the unit circle. The identity means

that $F(q)$ at roots of unity agrees with the radial limit of the right-hand side.

We prove that $U(-1; q)$, which converges in $|q| < 1$, also gives $F(q^{-1})$ at roots of unity.

Theorem 1.1. *If q is a root of unity, then $F(q^{-1}) = U(-1; q)$.*

Example: Here are two examples: $U(-1; -1) = F(-1) = 3$ and $U(-1; i) = F(-i) = 8 + 3i$.

Remark: Theorem 1.1 is analogous to the result of Cohen (2, 3) that $\sigma(q) = -\sigma^*(q^{-1})$ for roots of unity q , for the well-known q -series $\sigma(q)$ and $\sigma^*(q)$ that Andrews et al. (4) defined in their work on partition ranks.

Zagier (1) used Eq. 1.3 to obtain the following identity:

$$e^{-\frac{t}{24}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-t})(1 - e^{-2t}) \dots (1 - e^{-nt}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_n}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{t}{24}\right)^n, \quad [1.4]$$

where Glaisher’s T_n numbers (see Eq. 2.3 and A002439 in ref. 5) are the “algebraic factors” of $L(\chi_{12}, 2n + 2)$. As a companion to Theorem 1.1, we use $U(-1; q)$ to give these same L -values.

Theorem 1.2. *As a power series in t , we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{t}{24}} \cdot U(-1; e^{-t}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_n}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{-t}{24}\right)^n \\ &= \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi^2} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!} \cdot L(\chi_{12}, 2n+2) \cdot \left(\frac{-3t}{2\pi^2}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

These results are related to the next theorem, which gives a new quantum modular form. Following Zagier[¶] (3), a weight k quantum modular form is a complex-valued function f on \mathbb{Q} , or possibly $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus S$ for some finite set S , such that for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the function

$$h_{\gamma}(x) := f(x) - \epsilon(\gamma)(cx + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{ax + b}{cx + d}\right)$$

Author contributions: J.B., K.O., S.P., and R.C.R. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

*This Direct Submission article had a prearranged editor.

[†]In (7) $u(n)$ is denoted $u^*(n)$ and $U(1; q)$ is denoted $U^*(q)$.

[‡]Zagier credits Kontsevich for relating $F(q)$ to Feynmann integrals in a lecture at Max Planck in 1997.

[§]As Zagier points out in section 6 of ref. 1, the right-hand side of the identity is essentially the “half-derivative” of Dedekind’s eta-function, which then suggests that the series may be related to a weight $3/2$ modular object.

[¶]Zagier’s definition of a quantum modular form is intentionally vague with the idea that sufficient flexibility is required to allow for interesting examples. Here, we modify his definition to include half-integral weights k and multiplier systems $\epsilon(\gamma)$.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: j.bryson@tamu.edu.

satisfies a “suitable” property of continuity or analyticity. The $\epsilon(\gamma)$ are roots of unity, such as those in the theory of half-integral weight modular forms when $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We prove that

$$\phi(x) := e^{-\frac{\pi i x}{12}} \cdot U(-1; e^{2\pi i x}) \quad [1.5]$$

is a weight $\frac{3}{2}$ quantum modular form. Because $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$ and $\phi(x) - e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \cdot \phi(x+1) = 0$, it suffices to consider $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The following theorem establishes the desired relationship on the larger domain $\mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{H} - \{0\}$, where \mathbb{H} is the upper-half of the complex plane.

Theorem 1.3. *If $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{H} - \{0\}$, then*

$$\phi(x) + (-ix)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \phi(-1/x) = h(x),$$

where $(ix)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ is the principal branch and

$$h(x) := \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi i} \int_0^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(x+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau - \frac{i}{2} e^{\frac{\pi i}{6}} (e^{2\pi i x}; e^{2\pi i x})_{\infty}^2 \cdot \int_0^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(x+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau.$$

Here, $\eta(\tau) := e^{\frac{\pi i \tau}{12}} (e^{2\pi i \tau}; e^{2\pi i \tau})_{\infty}$ is Dedekind’s eta-function. Moreover, taking $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a C^{∞} function that is real analytic everywhere except at $x = 0$, and $h^{(n)}(0) = (-\pi i/12)^n \cdot T_n$, where T_n is the n th Glaisher number.

Remark: Zagier (1) proved that $e^{\frac{\pi i x}{12}} \cdot F(e^{2\pi i x})$ is a quantum modular form. Theorem 1.3 gives a dual quantum modular form, one whose domain naturally extends beyond \mathbb{Q} to include \mathbb{H} . This is somewhat analogous to the situation for $\sigma(q)$ and $\sigma^*(q)$ discussed above. Zagier constructed a quantum modular form from these q -series in example 1 of ref. 3.

Remark: Theorem 1.3 implies that $\Phi(z) := \eta(z)\phi(z)$ behaves analogously to a weight 2 modular form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for $z \in \mathbb{H}$ with a suitable error function. Namely, $\Phi(z+1) = \Phi(z)$ and $\Phi(z) - z^{-2}\Phi(-\frac{1}{z}) = \eta(z)h(z)$; see also theorem 1.1 of ref. 6.

It turns out that $U(1; q)$ and $U(\pm i; q)$ also possess deep properties. We have that $U(1; q)$ (7) is a mixed mock modular form, and $U(\pm i; q)$ is a mock theta function (see refs. 8–10). We use these facts to study congruences for certain enumerative functions.

Theorem 1.4. *If $3 < \ell \not\equiv 23 \pmod{24}$ is prime, $\delta(\ell) := (\ell^2 - 1)/24$ and $\ell \nmid k$, then for all n*

$$u(\ell^2 n + k\ell - \delta(\ell)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Example: If $\ell = 7$, then Theorem 1.4 gives $u(49n + a) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for $a \in \{5, 12, 19, 26, 33, 40\}$.

The nature of Theorem 1.4 suggests the existence of a Hecke-type identity for $U(-1; q)$ analogous to those obtained for $\sigma(q)$ and $\sigma^*(q)$ in ref. 4. Here we obtain such an identity.

Theorem 1.5. *We have that*

$$U(-1; q) = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{6n \geq |6j+1|} (-1)^{j+1} q^{2n^2 - \frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} + 2 \sum_{n,m>0} \sum_{6n \geq |6j+1|} (-1)^{j+1} q^{2n^2 + mn - \frac{j(3j+1)}{2}}.$$

These congruences appear to have refinements modulo 4. In analogy with the theory of partition ranks (11–13), we suspect that ranks also “explain” these congruences. Namely, let $u(a, b; n)$ be the number of size n strongly unimodal sequences with rank $\equiv a \pmod{b}$.

Conjecture 1.6. *If $\ell \equiv 7, 11, 13, 17 \pmod{24}$ is prime and $(\frac{k}{\ell}) = -1$, then for all n we have*

$$u(\ell^2 n + k\ell - \delta(\ell)) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \quad [1.6]$$

Moreover, for $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ we have $u(a, 4; \ell^2 n + k\ell - \delta(\ell)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and

$$u(0, 4; \ell^2 n + k\ell - \delta(\ell)) \equiv u(2, 4; \ell^2 n + k\ell - \delta(\ell)) \pmod{4}. \quad [1.7]$$

We have that $u(1, 4; n) = u(3, 4; n)$, and so the truth of Eq. 1.7 is a proposed explanation of Eq. 1.6. Therefore, it is natural to study $U(\pm 1; q)$ and the third-order mock theta function (14–16):

$$U(\pm i; q) = \Psi(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q^2)_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-q^2; q^2)_n q^{n+1} = \frac{q}{(q^4)_{\infty}} \cdot \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-1)^n q^{6n(n+1)}}{1 - q^{4n+1}}.$$

Using this mock theta function, we are able to obtain the following related congruences.

Theorem 1.7. *If $(Q, 6) = 1$, then there are arithmetic progressions $An + B$ such that*

$$u(0, 4; An + B) \equiv u(2, 4; An + B) \pmod{Q}.$$

Example: For $Q = 5$, the cusp form in the proof of Theorem 1.7 is annihilated by $T(11^2)$, and so if

$$a(24n - 1) := u(0, 4; n) - u(2, 4; n) \pmod{5}$$

[note. $a(n) = 0$ if $n \not\equiv 23 \pmod{24}$], then for every $n \equiv 23, 47 \pmod{120}$ we have that

$$a(121n) - \left(\frac{n}{11}\right) a(n) + a(n/121) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

Because $(\frac{n}{11}) = 0$ and $a(n/121) = 0$ when $11 \nmid n$, this gives congruences such as

$$u(0, 2; 73205n + 721) \equiv u(2, 4; 73205n + 721) \pmod{5}.$$

2. Quantum Properties of $U(-1; q)$

Here we prove the quantum properties of $U(-1; q)$. We first prove Theorem 1.1 relating the values of Kontsevich’s $F(q)$ and $U(-1; q)$ at roots of unity. We then prove Theorem 1.2 giving a new representation of Zagier’s L -value generating function, and we conclude with a proof of Theorem 1.3.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1: For ξ a fixed k th root of unity, define the polynomial

$$C(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} (X - \xi^{-1}) \dots (X - \xi^{-n}).$$

We have the identity

$$C(\xi^{-1}X) = (X - 1)^2 C(X) - X(X^k - 1) + X. \quad [2.1]$$

Define the functions $u_a(X)$ for $a \geq 1$ by

$$(2 - X^k)u_a(\xi^{-a}X) = C(\xi^{-a}X) - (1 - X)^2 \dots (1 - \xi^{-(a-1)}X)^2 C(X).$$

Hence, for $a = k$ we have

$$X^k C(X) = u_k(X). \quad [2.2]$$

Then we have

$$(2 - X^k)(u_{a+1}(X) - u_a(X)) = (1 - \xi X)^2 \dots (1 - \xi^a X)^2 (C(\xi^a X) - (1 - \xi^{a+1})^2 C(\xi^{a+1} X)).$$

By Eq. 2.1, we have

$$C(\xi^a X) = (1 - \xi^{a+1} X)^2 C(\xi^{a+1} X) + \xi^{a+1}.$$

Letting $X = 1$ gives $u_{a+1}(1) - u_a(1) = \xi^{a+1}(1 - \xi)^2 \dots (1 - \xi^a)^2$. Induction and Eq. 2.2 give

$$C(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \xi^{n+1} (1 - \xi)^2 \dots (1 - \xi^n)^2.$$

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.2: By the results of Andrews et al. (6) (see equation 9.2 and propositions 9.2 and 9.3) with $q = e^{-2\pi z}$, we have

$$qv(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n+1}}{(q^{n+1}; q)_{\infty}^2} = \frac{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-z)}}{\sqrt{3z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{3z}} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\frac{2\pi x}{3})}{\cos(\pi x)} dx \cdot (1 + O(z^N))$$

for any positive N where $v(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(q^n; q)_{\infty}^2}$. Because we have $U(-1; q) = (q; q)_{\infty}^2 qv(q)$ and $(q; q)_{\infty}^2 = e^{-\frac{\pi}{6}(\frac{1}{2}-z)} z^{-1} (1 + O(z^N))$ for any positive N , we have

$$q^{-\frac{1}{24}} U(-1; q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3z^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{3z}} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\frac{2\pi x}{3})}{\cos(\pi x)} dx (1 + O(z^N))$$

for any N . The Glaisher's T -numbers are given by

$$\frac{\sinh(\frac{2\pi x}{3})}{\cosh(\pi x)} = \frac{2}{i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_n}{(2n+1)!} \left(\frac{i\pi x}{3}\right)^{2n+1}. \quad [2.3]$$

We also have the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2j} e^{-\frac{\pi x^2}{3z}} dx = \frac{(2j)!}{2^j j!} \left(\frac{3}{2\pi}\right)^j \sqrt{3zz^j}.$$

Combining these identities and then setting $t = 2\pi z$ completes the proof.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3: Define $G(z) := (e^{2\pi iz}, e^{2\pi iz})_{\infty} U(-1; e^{2\pi iz})$. Theorem 1.1 of ref. 6 gives

$$G(z) - \frac{i}{2} \eta(z)^3 \int_{-\bar{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi i} \eta(z) \int_{-\bar{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(\tau+z))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau = z^{-2} \left(G\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) - \frac{i}{2} \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(-\frac{1}{z}+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi i} \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(\tau-\frac{1}{z}))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau \right). \quad [2.4]$$

Note that using $\eta(-\frac{1}{z}) = \sqrt{-iz}\eta(z)$, we have

$$\eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(\tau-\frac{1}{z}))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau = (\sqrt{-iz})^3 \eta(z)^3 \int_{-\bar{z}}^0 \frac{\eta(-\frac{1}{\tau})^3}{(-i(-\frac{1}{\tau}-\frac{1}{z}))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau^{-2} d\tau = (\sqrt{-iz})^3 \eta(z)^3 \int_{-\bar{z}}^0 \frac{(\sqrt{-i\tau}\eta(\tau))^3 (-z\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tau^{-2} d\tau = -z^2 \eta(z)^3 \int_0^{-\bar{z}} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau. \quad [2.5]$$

Similarly, we have

$$\eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(\tau-\frac{1}{z}))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau = -z^2 \eta(z) \int_0^{-\bar{z}} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau. \quad [2.6]$$

Combining Eqs. 2.4–2.6 gives

$$G(z) - z^{-2} G\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi i} \eta(z) \int_0^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau - \frac{i}{2} \eta(z)^3 \int_0^{i\infty} \frac{\eta(\tau)^3}{(-i(z+\tau))^{\frac{3}{2}}} d\tau.$$

Dividing by $\eta(z)$ and using its modular transformation property give the result for $x \in \mathbb{H}$.

For $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, note that $(e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi ix})_{\infty} = 0$. Moreover, Zagier, in the discussion after the theorem of section 6 of ref. 1, explains how the integral $\int_0^{\infty} \eta(z)(z+x)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dz$ is real analytic for real x .

3. Congruence Properties and the Hecke-Type Identity

We first prove Theorem 1.4 on the parity of $u(n)$, and we then prove Theorem 1.5 giving the Hecke-type identity for $U(-1; q)$. We then conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 1.7.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4: By theorem 1 of ref. 14 (see Eq. 1.2), we have that

$$U(-1; q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \cdot \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (1+q^n) q^{\frac{3n^2+n}{2}}}{(1-q^n)^2} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n q^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}}}{1-q^n} \right).$$

If $spt(n)$ is the smallest parts partition function of Andrews, then by theorem 4 of ref. 17 we have:

$$S(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} spt(n)q^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{3n^2+n}{2}} (1+q^n)}{(1-q^n)^2} \right).$$

We have used the elementary fact that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} dq^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^n}{1-q^n}. \quad [3.1]$$

We have $U(-1; q) \equiv S(q) \pmod{2}$, and so the theorem follows from theorem 1.2 in ref. 18¹¹.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.5: We prove Theorem 1.5 using the method of Bailey pairs. As usual, we let $(a)_n := (a; q)_n$. Two sequences (α_n, β_n) form a Bailey pair for a if

$$\beta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r}{(q)_{n-r} (aq)_{n+r}}$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{(1-aq^{2n})(a)_n (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(1-a)(q)_n} \sum_{j=0}^n (q^{-n}; q)_j (aq^n; q)_j q^j \beta_j.$$

The following Bailey pair is central to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\beta_n = 1$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$ and for $n > 0$*

$$\alpha_n = (1-q^{2n})q^{2n^2-n} \left(\frac{q-2}{1-q} + \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j \frac{(1-q^{2j-1})}{(1-q^j)(1-q^{j-1})} q^{-\frac{3j(j-1)}{2}} \right),$$

then (α_n, β_n) is a Bailey pair with respect to 1.

Proof: We apply theorem 8 of ref. 19 with $\beta_n = 1$ for all n . By letting $b, c, d \rightarrow 0$, and then letting $a = 1$, one obtains the lemma. Some care is required for the $j = 0$ and $j = 1$ terms.

The following is Bailey's Lemma (for example, see ref. 19).

Lemma 3.2. (Bailey's Lemma). *If α_n and β_n form a Bailey pair relative to a , then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)_n}{(aq/\rho_1)_n (aq/\rho_2)_n} \alpha_n$$

$$= \frac{(aq)_{\infty} (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)_{\infty}}{(aq/\rho_1)_{\infty} (aq/\rho_2)_{\infty}} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\rho_1)_n (\rho_2)_n (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2)_n \beta_n.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5: By Lemma 3.2 with $\rho_1 = x$, $\rho_2 = x^{-1}$ and $a = 1$, Lemma 3.1 gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (x)_n (x^{-1})_n q^n = \frac{(xq)_{\infty} (x^{-1}q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2} + \frac{(x)_{\infty} (x^{-1})_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2}$$

$$\times \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1-xq^n)(1-x^{-1}q^n)} \cdot \alpha_n.$$

Dividing by $(1-x)(1-x^{-1})$ and collecting the $n = 0$ terms give

¹¹Theorem 1.2 in ref. 18 is not stated correctly in ref. 18. One must replace pm^2 by $p^{4a+1}m^2$ where $\gcd(p, m) = 1$. Recent work by Andrews et al. (23) gives a new proof of this result.

$$\sum_{n > 0} (xq)_{n-1} (x^{-1}q)_{n-1} q^n = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^{-1})}$$

$$\cdot \left(\frac{(xq)_{\infty} (x^{-1}q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2} - 1 \right) + \frac{(xq)_{\infty} (x^{-1}q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2}$$

$$\times \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^n}{(1-xq^n)(1-x^{-1}q^n)} \cdot \alpha_n. \quad [3.2]$$

To simplify the α_n , we have that

$$\frac{1-q^{2j-1}}{(1-q^j)(1-q^{j-1})} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1+q^j}{1-q^j} + \frac{1+q^{j-1}}{1-q^{j-1}} \right),$$

which in turn implies that

$$\sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^j \frac{(1-q^{2j-1})}{(1-q^j)(1-q^{j-1})} q^{-\frac{3j(j-1)}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1+q}{1-q} \cdot q^{-3} + \frac{(-1)^n}{2}$$

$$\cdot \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \cdot q^{-\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} (1+q^j) q^{-\frac{3j(j-1)}{2}} \frac{1-q^{3j}}{1-q^j}.$$

Thus, $\alpha_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\alpha_n = (1-q^{2n})q^{2n^2-n} \left(\frac{q-2}{1-q} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1+q}{1-q} \cdot q^{-3} + \frac{(-1)^n (1+q^n) q^{-\frac{3n(n-1)}{2}}}{1-q^n} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} (1+q^j) (1+q^j + q^{2j}) q^{-\frac{3j(j+1)}{2}}$$

$$= (1-q^{2n})q^{2n^2-n} \left(-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} (1+q^j) q^{-\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^n q^{-\frac{3n(n-1)}{2} + n}}{1-q^n}$$

$$= (1-q^{2n})q^{2n^2-n} \left(\sum_{j=-n+1}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} q^{-\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \right) + (-1)^n (1+q^n) q^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}}$$

$$= (1-q^{2n})q^{2n^2-n} \left(\sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} q^{-\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}} \right) + (-1)^n (1+q^n) q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

We note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-x^{-1})} \left(\frac{(xq)_{\infty} (x^{-1}q)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^2} - 1 \right) = \sum_{n > 0} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)^2}$$

$$= \sum_{n > 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} (1+q^n)}{(1-q^n)^2}.$$

Now, insert these facts in Eq. 3.2, let $x \rightarrow 1$, and use the identity $\frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} = 1 + 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} q^{mn}$.

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.7: We give a sketch because it is analogous to theorem 1.5 of ref. 12 and theorem 1 of ref. 20. We have

$$U(\pm i; q) = \Psi(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (u(0, 4; n) - u(2, 4; n)) q^n,$$

where $\Psi(q)$ is one of Ramanujan's third-order mock theta functions. We have that $q^{-1} \Psi(q^{24})$ is the holomorphic part of a weight $1/2$ harmonic Maass form whose shadow is a unary theta function. Using quadratic and trivial twists modulo Q , one obtains a weight $1/2$ weakly holomorphic modular form. By work of

