Static solutions of Einstein's equations with cylindrical symmetry

C S Trendafilova and S A Fulling

Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77843-3368

E-mail: ryuhime@neo.tamu.edu, fulling@math.tamu.edu

Abstract. In analogy with the standard derivation of the Schwarzschild solution, we find all static, cylindrically symmetric solutions of the Einstein field equations for vacuum. These include not only the well known cone solution, which is locally flat, but others in which the metric coefficients are powers of the radial coordinate and the space-time is curved. These solutions appear in the literature, but in different forms, corresponding to different definitions of the radial coordinate. Because all the vacuum solutions are singular on the axis, we attempt to match them to "interior" solutions with nonvanishing energy density and pressure. In addition to the well known "cosmic string" solution joining on to the cone, we find some numerical solutions that join on to the other exterior solutions.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q

1. Introduction

Static solutions of Einstein's equations with spherical symmetry (the exterior and interior Schwarzschild solutions) are staples of courses in general relativity. Solutions with cylindrical symmetry (combining translation along and rotation around an axis) are much less familiar. In this paper we construct and study all the vacuum solutions of this sort, and we set up the differential equations for nonvacuum solutions and find some solutions numerically. The article is intended for students at the advanced undergraduate or beginning graduate level; instructors of introductory general relativity courses may also wish to use portions of it as a basis for exercises appropriate for their classes. The paper is based on the undergraduate research thesis of Cynthia Trendafilova [1].

In Section 2 we write the most general expression for a space-time metric with static and cylindrical symmetry and solve the Einstein vacuum field equations for the components of the metric tensor. Equivalent solutions may look different because of different definitions of the radial coordinate in a cylindrical system. At least three different conventions ("radial gauges") have been frequently used in the previous literature (see Section 6), none of which is the one that seemed most natural to us.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Namely, we adopt the convention that the angular term in the metric is $r^2 d\phi^2$. Then we carefully remove all redundant solutions corresponding to the freedom to rescale the coordinates. The principal result is the general solution (18), in which all the metric components are powers of r with exponents related by the constraint (16).

In Sections 3 and 4 we explore the geometrical natures of the various solutions obtained. They fall into two classes, the more plausible of which shows the circumference $2\pi r$ increasing with radial arc length (another natural radial coordinate). Also, the transformations into the other radial gauges are worked out; in all cases, the metric functions are powers of whatever radial coordinate is adopted. Our investigation of the relations among these various coordinate conventions is more systematic than any previous one known to us.

The space-times (18), which are curved in general, form a two-parameter family; it includes a one-parameter subfamily of spaces that are *locally flat*. When maximally continued down to a central singularity, such a space-time is a *cone* formed from Minkowski space by removing a wedge, characterized by a *deficit angle*. Although the other solutions were discovered in the early days of general relativity [2, 3], they are much less well known than the cones.

Like the exterior Schwarzschild solution (when it is not treated as a black hole), one expects vacuum cylindrical solutions to be physically relevant only over some subinterval of the r axis. In Section 5 we search for nonsingular "interior" solutions, with nonvacuum sources, that can be joined on to the vacuum solutions at some radius. The easiest to find have a locally flat cone solution on the outside and a constant energy density ρ inside, with pressure $p_z = -\rho$ along the axis and vanishing pressures $p_r = p_{\phi} = 0$ in the perpendicular plane; these are the well known "cosmic string" solutions [4,5]. Although natural from the point of view of gauge theory (see, e.g., [6]), such an equation of state would be surprising for normal matter. We write down the generic Einstein and conservation equations, isolate the independent dynamical variables and constraint, and construct some numerical solutions with isotropic pressures. These solutions match onto the exterior nonflat vacuum solutions. The results are examples of space-times that also deserve to be called "cosmic strings" but have a more general equation of state for the matter inside the string. We do not claim that these solutions necessarily are astrophysically plausible. In particular, we have not investigated their stability under perturbations that violate the assumed symmetries.

In Section 6 we present some historical background on the solutions and gauge choices presented in this paper, while Section 7 offers some concluding remarks.

2. Vacuum solution of Einstein equations

In order to solve the Einstein equations for a cylindrically symmetric spacetime, we must first determine the line element with which we would like to work. Let t denote a time coordinate. For a fixed time t, a cylindrically symmetric spacetime can be described as follows. There is a central axis of symmetry, with z denoting the coordinate along this axis. Then ϕ denotes the coordinate which measures an angle around this axis, and r is the radial coordinate which increases as one moves away from the axis. The line element ds^2 is then given by $ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta}dx^{\alpha}dx^{\beta}$, where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor describing the spacetime. Since we want static, cylindrical symmetry, we require that $\sqrt{-ds^2}$, the clock time measured by a test particle in moving from (t, r, ϕ, z) to $(t, r, \phi, z) + (dt, dr, d\phi, dz)$, is independent of t, ϕ , and z. It must also be invariant under reversals in the directions or signs of dt, $d\phi$, and dz. Hence the general static, cylindrically symmetric metric is of the form

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi}dt^{2} + e^{2\Lambda}dr^{2} + e^{2\Omega}d\phi^{2} + e^{2\Psi}dz^{2},$$
(1)

where Φ , Λ , Ω , and Ψ are functions of r only. By writing our unknown functions in the form of exponentials, we guarantee that our coefficients will be positive as we would like them to be, and also mirror the standard textbook treatment of the spherically symmetric metric.

In analogy to the standard treatment of spherical symmetry [7, p 256], we define r so that the coefficient of $d\phi^2$ is equal to r^2 . We shall call this convention "tangential gauge." In Sections 3 and 4 we discuss three alternative conventions (out of infinitely many possible), and also the question of whether any generality is lost by this convention. Thus by setting $e^{2\Omega} = r^2$ the metric can be written

$$ds^{2} = -e^{2\Phi}dt^{2} + e^{2\Lambda}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + e^{2\Psi}dz^{2},$$
(2)

where Φ , Λ , and Ψ are the unknown functions of r for which we would like to solve. The form in which we have written the metric does not restrict the range of ϕ to be from 0 to 2π ; instead it runs from 0 to some angle ϕ_* . As we shall show later, ϕ can be forced to fill an angle of 2π by rescaling ϕ and bringing in an additional numerical factor multiplying the angular term, or by also rescaling r and bringing in a numerical factor multiplying the dr^2 term.

Using the standard known expressions for the Christoffel symbols, Riemann curvature tensor, and Ricci tensor associated with a given metric [7, p 134, 159, 164], all of the components of these objects can be calculated for this static, cylindrically symmetric metric. The results for this are presented below.

Nonzero Christoffel Symbols:

$$\Gamma_{tr}^{t} = \Gamma_{rt}^{t} = \Phi'
\Gamma_{tt}^{r} = \Phi' e^{2(\Phi - \Lambda)}
\Gamma_{rr}^{r} = \Lambda'
\Gamma_{\phi\phi}^{r} = -r e^{-2\Lambda}
\Gamma_{zz}^{r} = -\Psi' e^{2(\Psi - \Lambda)}
\Gamma_{zz}^{\phi} = \Gamma_{\phi r}^{\phi} = \frac{1}{r}
\Gamma_{rz}^{z} = \Gamma_{zr}^{z} = \Psi'$$
(3)

Nonzero Riemann Curvature Tensor Components:

$$R^t_{\phi\phi t} = r\Phi' \mathrm{e}^{-2/2}$$

Static solutions of Einstein's equations with cylindrical symmetry

$$R^{r}_{\phi\phi r} = -r\Lambda' e^{-2\Lambda}$$

$$R^{r}_{zzr} = (\Psi'' + \Psi'^{2} - \Psi'\Lambda') e^{2(\Psi - \Lambda)}$$

$$R^{r}_{ttr} = -(\Phi'' + \Phi'^{2} - \Phi'\Lambda') e^{2(\Phi - \Lambda)}$$

$$R^{z}_{ttz} = -\Psi' \Phi' e^{2(\Phi - \Lambda)}$$

$$R^{z}_{\phi\phi z} = r\Psi' e^{-2\Lambda}$$
(4)

Nonzero Ricci Tensor Components:

$$R_{tt} = (\Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' + \Psi'\Phi')e^{2(\Phi-\Lambda)}$$

$$R_{rr} = -\Phi'' - \Phi'^2 + \Phi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Lambda' - \Psi'' - \Psi'^2 + \Lambda'\Psi'$$

$$R_{\phi\phi} = r(\Lambda' - \Phi' - \Psi')e^{-2\Lambda}$$

$$R_{zz} = -(\Psi'' + \Psi'^2 - \Psi'\Lambda' + \Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi')e^{2(\Psi-\Lambda)}$$
(5)

Primes correspond to differentiation with respect to r, e.g., $\Phi' = \frac{d\Phi}{dr}$.

We would like to solve the Einstein field equations for the vacuum solution, which corresponds to $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. It is easy to show, however, that it is sufficient to calculate the solutions for $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. We begin with the standard definition of the Einstein tensor, $G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\alpha\beta}$. From this we can calculate the trace of the Einstein tensor $G := G^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = R^{\mu}_{\ \mu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg^{\mu}_{\ \mu} = R - 2R = -R$ and thus obtain the following relation between the Ricci and Einstein tensors: $R_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Gg_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus we see that if $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ then $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and conversely, if $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ then $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Thus the solutions to $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ are also the solutions to the vacuum Einstein field equations, $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

By equating the nontrivial components of the Ricci tensor with zero, we obtain a set of four ordinary differential equations for Φ , Λ , and Ψ . We further note that the exponential function is never equal to zero, so the differential equations reduce to

$$(\Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' + \Psi'\Phi') = 0,$$
(6)

$$-\Phi'' - \Phi'^2 + \Phi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Lambda' - \Psi'' - \Psi'^2 + \Lambda'\Psi' = 0,$$
(7)

$$(\Lambda' - \Phi' - \Psi') = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$-(\Psi'' + \Psi'^2 - \Psi'\Lambda' + \Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi') = 0.$$
(9)

We see that (8) can be solved for Λ' in terms of the other two unknown functions, which can then be substituted into (6), (7), and (9) to eliminate Λ' . Thus this system can be reduced to

$$\Lambda' = \Phi' + \Psi',\tag{10}$$

$$\Phi'' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' = 0,$$
(11)

$$\Psi'' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi' = 0,$$
(12)

$$\Phi'\Psi' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi' = 0.$$
(13)

Now (10), (11), and (12) are linear second-order equations easily solved by separation of variables, yielding $\Phi = \ln(r^{a_1}) + \ln(a_2)$, $\Psi = \ln(r^{b_1}) + \ln(b_2)$, and $\Lambda = \ln(r^{a_1+b_1}) + \ln(c)$. Also, (13) provides the additional constraint that $a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 = 0$. Thus the static, cylindrically symmetric metric is

$$ds^{2} = -a_{2}^{2}r^{2a_{1}}dt^{2} + c^{2}r^{2(a_{1}+b_{1})}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + b_{2}^{2}r^{2b_{1}}dz^{2}$$
(14)

with $0 \leq \phi < \phi_*$. The multiplicative constants a_2 and b_2 can easily be absorbed by rescaling t and z, resulting in

$$ds^{2} = -r^{2a_{1}}dt^{2} + c^{2}r^{2(a_{1}+b_{1})}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + r^{2b_{1}}dz^{2};$$
(15)

after each change of variables in what follows, we shall carry out this procedure again without comment. Here we have shown that the coefficients must be powers of r as in (15), with $a_1b_1 + a_1 + b_1 = 0$. Since we no longer have to worry about the constants a_2 and b_2 , we now drop the subscripts on a_1 and b_1 , and simply write the constraint as

$$ab + a + b = 0. \tag{16}$$

The constant c can also be absorbed by rescaling r, which affects the $d\phi^2$ term by bringing out another constant in front, resulting in

$$ds^{2} = -r^{2a}dt^{2} + r^{2(a+b)}dr^{2} + K^{2}r^{2}d\phi^{2} + r^{2b}dz^{2}.$$
(17)

This leads to two natural conventions for the $d\phi^2$ term. One can now rescale ϕ so that the constant K^2 is absorbed, thus redefining the range ϕ_* of ϕ . One could instead rescale ϕ to fix its range to be from 0 to 2π , in which case the constant remains, multiplying either $d\phi^2$ as in (17) or dr^2 as in (15). In the work that follows, we use the first convention,

$$ds^{2} = -r^{2a}dt^{2} + r^{2(a+b)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + r^{2b}dz^{2},$$
(18)

where the arbitrary constant is hidden in the periodicity, ϕ_* .

3. Special cases

We now examine in greater detail the relationship between a and b, which is illustrated in figure 1.

We note the existence of several special points on these graphs and examine their significance in various different forms of writing the cylindrical metric. One such point is a = b = 0, which reduces the metric of (18) to

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + dz^{2}.$$
(19)

This describes a cone; that is, flat space missing a wedge of deficit angle $\Delta \phi = 2\pi - \phi_*$. If $\phi_* > 2\pi$, a wedge is added. Ordinary Minkowski space arises as the very special case $\phi_* = 2\pi$. It is also useful to note the symmetry between the t and z coordinates, along with the Lorentz symmetry under boosts in the z direction.

Another point of interest is b = -1, in which case $a \to \infty$. The significance of this (apparently singular) case can be better demonstrated if we rescale r, t, and z of (17) (with the rescaling for r given explicitly in Section 4) to write the metric in the form

$$ds^{2} = -r^{-2b}dt^{2} + r^{2(1+b)}d\phi^{2} + A^{2}r^{2b(1+b)}(dr^{2} + dz^{2})$$
(20)



Figure 1. (a) Plot of the relationship between a and b. (b) Plot of the relationship between a and a + b.

with $A \equiv c(1+b)$.

If we now treat A as the arbitrary constant instead of b, the metric remains nonsingular when b = -1 in the other terms. After rescaling r with $\bar{r} = A^{1/[b(1+b)-1)]r}$ to absorb A, one gets

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = -\bar{r}^{-2b}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}^{2} + A^{[2(1+b)]/[-b(1+b)-1]}\bar{r}^{2(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\phi^{2} + \bar{r}^{2b(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2b(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}^{2}.$$
(21)

We must now rescale ϕ as well in order to absorb the final constant in front of the $d\phi^2$ term; this changes the range ϕ_* . The metric becomes

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = -\bar{r}^{-2b}\mathrm{d}\bar{t}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\bar{\phi}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2b(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2b(1+b)}\mathrm{d}\bar{z}^{2}, \tag{22}$$

and at the point where b = -1 it reduces to

$$ds^{2} = -\bar{r}^{2}d\bar{t}^{2} + d\bar{\phi}^{2} + d\bar{r}^{2} + d\bar{z}^{2}.$$
(23)

Under the transformation $T = \bar{r} \sinh \bar{t}$ and $R = \bar{r} \cosh \bar{t}$ we see that (23) is locally equivalent to flat space,

$$ds^{2} = -dT^{2} + d\bar{\phi}^{2} + dR^{2} + d\bar{z}^{2}$$
(24)

with $\overline{\phi}$ a periodic coordinate.

We also note that the general relationship in (16) is symmetric when a and b are switched, corresponding to switching z and t. This observation suggests that the case $a = -1, b \to \infty$ is parallel to the foregoing one. To see its physical significance, we can write the metric of (15) in the form

$$ds^{2} = L^{-2b}r^{2a^{2}+2a}(-dt^{2}+dr^{2}) + L^{2(1+b)}r^{2+2a}d\phi^{2} + L^{-2(1+b)}r^{-2a}dz^{2}$$
(25)

with $L \equiv [(1+b)/K]^{(1+b)^{-2}}$. At the point where a = -1 and $b \to \infty$, this reduces to

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + d\phi^{2} + r^{2}dz^{2}.$$
(26)

Static solutions of Einstein's equations with cylindrical symmetry

Under the transformation $Z = r \sin z$ and $R = r \cos z$ we get

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + d\phi^{2} + dR^{2} + dZ^{2}, \qquad (27)$$

and this once again looks like flat space locally, but with ϕ still a periodic coordinate. We note that these locally flat solutions are not included in the general solution found in Section 2 because there we fixed the coefficient of $d\phi^2$ to be r^2 , whereas in (24) and (27) that coefficient is a constant.

4. Transforming between metric conventions

The equivalent forms (15), (20), and (25) all appear in [8]. The historical rationale for the last two will be explained in Section 6.

We now examine how transforming from (15) to the other metric forms affects the radial coordinate r. To go from (15) to (20), we must use $\bar{r} = [c/(a+1)]r^{a+1}$. We see that in this case, the exponent of r is negative whenever a < -1 or, equivalently, b < -1. Under this condition, r = 0 in our gauge choice corresponds to $\bar{r} = \infty$. To go from our form of the metric to that of (25), we require $\bar{r} = [c/(b+1)]r^{b+1}$. Once again, the exponent of r is negative whenever b < -1 (a < -1), and in that case r = 0 corresponds to $\bar{r} = \infty$ and vice versa.

Another natural choice for writing the metric, which we shall call "arc-length gauge," is

$$ds^{2} = -Adt^{2} + Bd\phi^{2} + dr^{2} + Cdz^{2},$$
(28)

where A, B, and C are once again functions of r only. In this case, to transform from our gauge to (28) we require $\bar{r} = [c/(a+b+1)]r^{a+b+1}$. Under this transformation, the metric becomes

$$ds^{2} = -(D\bar{r})^{[2a/(a+b+1)]}dt^{2} + d\bar{r}^{2} + (D\bar{r})^{[2/(a+b+1)]}d\phi^{2} + (D\bar{r})^{[2b/(a+b+1)]}dz^{2}(29)$$

with $D \equiv (a + b + 1)/c$. The exponent of r in our definition of \bar{r} is negative whenever a + b < -1, which occurs whenever b < -1 (a < -1). Thus in all three alternate metric forms discussed here, r = 0 in our gauge corresponds to $\bar{r} = \infty$ in the new gauge whenever a < -1 and b < -1 (hence $a + b \leq -4$ from figure 1 (b)). The other possibilities have $a + b \geq 0$ and r and \bar{r} running in the same direction.

It is also interesting to calculate $W \equiv R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ for the vacuum solution, because this is the simplest nonzero curvature invariant (since R = 0 from $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$). We get the result that

$$R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 4Cr^{-4(a+b+1)} \tag{30}$$

where $C = 3a^2 + 3b^2 + 3a^2b^2 + 2ab + 2a^2b + 2ab^2$. We see that the exponent is negative whenever a + b > -1, and in that case, $W \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$. In the other case, $W \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ but $W \to 0$ as $\bar{r} \to \infty$, and in that case there is some ambiguity as to which of these limits is the "outside" and which is the "inside".

5. Solutions of the Einstein equations with sources

We would like to find some cylindrical space-times that are not singular along the central axis. This requires solving the Einstein equations in cases where T has nonzero components. In order to proceed with this, we first require a few more basic quantities and tensors encountered in general relativity. We present here the results for the Ricci scalar, R, the Einstein tensor, $G_{\mu\nu}$, and the stress-energy tensor, $T_{\mu\nu}$, for the cylindrically symmetric metric given in (2).

Ricci Scalar:

$$R = e^{-2\Lambda} \left(-2\Phi'' - 2\Phi'^2 + 2\Phi'\Lambda - 2\Psi'' - 2\Psi'^2 + 2\Psi'\Lambda' - 2\Psi'\Phi' + \frac{2}{r}\Lambda' - \frac{2}{r}\Phi' - \frac{2}{r}\Psi' \right)$$
(31)

Nonzero Einstein Tensor Components:

$$G_{tt} = e^{2(\Phi - \Lambda)} \left(-\Psi'' - \Psi'^2 + \Psi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Lambda' - \frac{1}{r}\Psi' \right)$$

$$G_{rr} = \Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi'$$

$$G_{\phi\phi} = r^2 e^{-2\Lambda} \left(\Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' + \Psi'' + \Psi'^2 - \Psi'\Lambda' + \Psi'\Phi' \right)$$

$$G_{zz} = e^{2(\Psi - \Lambda)} \left(\Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' - \frac{1}{r}\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' \right)$$
(32)

The components of the stress-energy tensor are defined by setting, for example, $T_r^r = p_r$ and lowering an index to get $T_{rr} = p_r e^{2\Lambda}$. The other pressure components are defined similarly, and $T_t^t = -\rho$.

Nonzero Stress Tensor Components:

$$T_{tt} = \rho e^{2\Phi}$$

$$T_{rr} = p_r e^{2\Lambda}$$

$$T_{\phi\phi} = p_{\phi} r^2$$

$$T_{zz} = p_z e^{2\Psi}$$
(33)

From the Einstein field equations in natural units, $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$, and the conservation laws, $T^{\alpha\beta}_{\ \beta} = 0$, we get the following five differential equations:

$$0 = \frac{\partial p_r}{\partial r} + p_r (\Phi' + \Psi' + \frac{1}{r}) + \rho \Phi' - p_z \Psi' - \frac{1}{r} p_\phi, \qquad (34)$$

$$4\pi(\rho + p_r + p_{\phi} + p_z)e^{2\Lambda} = \Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' + \Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi',$$
(35)
$$4\pi(\rho + p_r - p_{\phi} - p_z)e^{2\Lambda} =$$

$$-\Phi'' - \Phi'^{2} + \Phi'\Lambda' + \frac{1}{r}\Lambda' - \Psi'' - \Psi'^{2} + \Lambda'\Psi', \qquad (36)$$

$$4\pi(\rho - p_r + p_{\phi} - p_z)e^{2\Lambda} = \frac{1}{r}(\Lambda' - \Phi' - \Psi'), \qquad (37)$$

$$4\pi(\rho - p_r - p_\phi + p_z)e^{2\Lambda} = -\Psi'' - \Psi'^2 + \Psi'\Lambda' - \Psi'\Phi' - \frac{1}{r}\Psi'.$$
 (38)

We can simplify these by summing (35) and (36) and subtracting (38). This yields

$$4\pi(\rho + 3p_r + p_{\phi} - p_z)e^{2\Lambda} = 2\Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Psi' + \frac{1}{r}\Lambda'.$$
(39)

We now add and subtract equation (37) from (39), resulting in

$$4\pi (2\rho + 2p_r + 2p_\phi - 2p_z)e^{2\Lambda} = 2\Psi'\Phi' + \frac{2}{r}\Lambda'$$
(40)

$$4\pi (4p_r)e^{2\Lambda} = 2\Psi'\Phi' + \frac{2}{r}(\Phi' + \Psi').$$
(41)

We now have a system of differential equations where equations (34), (35), (37), and (38) can be solved for p_r , p_{ϕ} , p_z , Φ , Ψ , and Λ (given ρ and an equation of state relating ρ and the various pressures), and (41), which contains only lower-order derivatives of the unknown functions, provides an additional constraint. The system of all five equations is second-order in Φ and Ψ and first-order in Λ and p_r .

Differentiating equation (41) with respect to r and using equations (34), (35), (38), and (40) to substitute for p'_r , Λ' , Φ'' , and Ψ'' yields an expression which reduces to 0 = 0; thus equation (41) must hold for all r if it holds at any r.

5.1. Solutions with $\rho = -p_z$, $p_r = p_{\phi} = 0$

Solving these equations for arbitrary ρ , p_r , p_{ϕ} , and p_z is rather difficult, so a simpler case one can look at is when $\rho = -p_z$ and the other pressure components are zero. In this case, the differential equations reduce to

$$0 = \rho(\Phi' + \Psi'), \tag{42}$$

$$0 = \Phi'' + \Phi'^2 - \Phi'\Lambda' + \Psi'\Phi' + \frac{1}{r}\Phi',$$
(43)

$$4\pi(2\rho)e^{2\Lambda} = \frac{1}{r}(\Lambda' - \Phi' - \Psi'),$$
(44)

$$0 = -\Psi'' - \Psi'^2 + \Psi'\Lambda' - \Psi'\Phi' - \frac{1}{r}\Psi',$$
(45)

$$0 = 2\Phi'\Psi' + \frac{2}{r}(\Phi' + \Psi').$$
(46)

From equation (42) we can see that $\Phi' + \Psi' = 0$, allowing us to solve equation (44) for $\Lambda' = 8\pi \rho r e^{2\Lambda}$, which can easily be solved using integration by parts to get Λ for a given ρ . From (46) and the fact that $\Phi' + \Psi' = 0$, we also see that $\Phi'\Psi' = 0$. Thus we can conclude that $\Phi' = \Psi' = 0$, yielding $\Phi = a_1$ and $\Psi = a_2$ (where a_1 and a_2 are constants). The metric of the solution can be written as

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + e^{2\Lambda}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + dz^{2}.$$
(47)

This solution (with $\rho = 1/(8\pi r_0^2)$ where r_0 is a constant) is widely known; it is usually called the "cosmic string solution" or "Gott's solution" [4,5]. Using this value of ρ , our solutions yield

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + [r_{0}^{2}/(r_{0}^{2} - r^{2})]dr^{2} + r^{2}d\phi^{2} + dz^{2},$$
(48)

which agrees with [4] after making the substitution $r = \sin(\theta)$ and then rescaling coordinates as necessary. This metric is Lorentz-invariant under boosts in the (z,t)plane, and thus ρ and p_z are independent of frame. If we did not have the condition that $\rho = -p_z$, the solution would not be Lorentz invariant in this way and ρ and p_z would not be frame-independent. Indeed, generically one would expect the density of the matter in a string source to be affected by Lorentz contraction when one moves out of the rest frame. But a Gott string, like cosmological dark energy (where all components of p equal $-\rho$), has no preferred rest frame.

5.2. Numerical solutions

We now present some numerical solutions (calculated with Mathematica) for the case when ρ is constant out to a radius R and zero outside of this radius, and the pressure is isotropic in all directions, $p_r = p_{\phi} = p_z \equiv p$. This is analogous to isotropic pressure in the spherically symmetric case. Since our differential equations involve factors of 1/r, they present problems when trying to solve the system numerically starting from r = 0. In order to deal with this, we first make power series expansions of p, Φ , Ψ , and Λ around r = 0. We keep terms up to order r in the p and Λ expansions (since our differential equations involve first-order derivatives of these functions) and keep terms up to order r^2 in the Φ and Ψ expansions (since the differential equations involve second-order derivatives of these functions), resulting in

$$p = p_0 + p_1 r, (49)$$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 r, \tag{50}$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1 r + \Phi_2 r^2, \tag{51}$$

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 + \Psi_1 r + \Psi_2 r^2. \tag{52}$$

We also take the initial conditions $\Psi = \Phi = \Lambda = 0$ at r = 0 so that the corresponding metric coefficients are equal to 1 at that point, and choose $\Psi' = \Phi' = 0$ to get smooth solutions at the axis. Equations (49)–(52) should satisfy our differential equations near r = 0, so we substitute them into (34), (35), (37), (38), and (41) (taking $p_r = p_{\phi} = p_z = p$), and obtain the relationships $p_1 = 0$, $\Lambda_1 = 0$, $\Phi_2 = \pi(\rho + 3p_0)$, and $\Psi_2 = -\pi(\rho - p_0)$. After choosing values for ρ and $p_0 = p(0)$, we can determine the values of p, Φ , Ψ , and Λ at some small r away from 0; we take r = 0.01. We use these as our initial conditions for the numerical calculations and obtain solutions for various values of ρ and p_0 ; two examples of such solutions are provided. For the case of $\rho = 1$, $p_0 = 0.1$, the results are given in figure 2. When $\rho = 10$, $p_0 = 1$, the results are given in figure 3. In both cases, the numerical solutions we obtain are not conformally flat (i.e., there are nonzero components of the Weyl tensor).

5.3. Connecting to exterior solution

After finding interior solutions numerically for $p_r = p_{\phi} = p_z = p$, we can then connect them to the exterior vacuum solution found in Section 2. We take R to be the point



Figure 2. For $\rho = 1$ and $p_0 = 0.1$ (interior): (a) Plot of $\Lambda(r)$ (thick), $\Psi(r)$ (normal), and $\Phi(r)$ (dashed). (b) Plot of p(r).



Figure 3. For $\rho = 10$ and $p_0 = 1$ (interior): (a) Plot of $\Lambda(r)$ (thick), $\Psi(r)$ (normal), and $\Phi(r)$ (dashed). (b) Plot of p(r).

where p(r) = 0, and use the values of $\Phi(R)$, $\Phi'(R)$, $\Psi(R)$, $\Psi'(R)$, and $\Lambda(R)$ from the numerical solutions as conditions to determine the unknown coefficients $(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2,$ and c) of Φ , Ψ , and Λ from the vacuum case. We must match our interior solution with the most general vacuum solution from Section 2 which includes all the arbitrary constants, since we chose our initial conditions so that the interior metric coefficients are all 1 at r = 0. Because of this, we are not free to scale away a_2 , b_2 , and c, and we must keep them in the metric in order to match our two sets of solutions.

To perform the matching, we impose the conditions that $\Phi(R)$, $\Phi'(R)$, $\Psi(R)$, $\Psi'(R)$, and $\Lambda(R)$ must be continuous at the boundary where p = 0. These five continuity conditions provide us with the information needed to find values for the five arbitrary constants from the vacuum solutions. After matching the solutions in this manner, $\Lambda'(R)$ is not necessarily continuous at the boundary. To explain this, it is helpful to look at the metric in the arc-length gauge, given in (28). Obviously one wants A, B, and C to be continuous so that the metric is continuous. Since r has the same geometrical meaning on both sides of the surface, a nonsingular metric should also have continuous A', B', and C' (where primes indicate differentiation with respect to the radial coordinate in the arc-length gauge). Technically, this condition is called "continuity of the second fundamental form" [9]. Also, in this case, the coefficient of dr^2 is unity, so it and its derivative are automatically continuous. Now letting \bar{r} be the radial coordinate in arclength gauge, (28), and letting r be the radial coordinate in tangential gauge, (2), we can see that $\frac{d\bar{r}}{dr} = e^{2\Lambda}$. Hence, after imposing the conditions that Λ , Φ , and Ψ must be continuous, continuity of the second fundamental form in arc-length gauge is merely equivalent to continuity of $\frac{d\Phi}{dr}$ and $\frac{d\Psi}{dr}$ in tangential gauge, and the derivative of the coefficient of $d\phi^2$ in tangential gauge is automatically continuous as well. Thus there are no conditions which require that $\frac{d\Lambda}{dr}$ also be continuous at the boundary.

The results for the two sample cases given above are presented in the figures. When $\rho = 1, p_0 = 0.1$, we get that R = 0.1486, and the resulting exterior solutions are plotted in figure 4. For the case of $\rho = 10, p_0 = 1$, we get R = 0.04791, and the exterior solutions are plotted in figure 5. Numerical constants for these solutions are given in table 1. Also, after rescaling coordinates appropriately in order to put the metric in the form of equation (18), the range of ϕ changes as well; it had to be 2π for the inner solution to guarantee smoothness at the origin, and thus the outer solution initially has range 2π when matched with the inner solution. The new value of ϕ_* is given by $\phi_* = (2\pi)c^{-1/(a_1+b_1+1)}$. The values of ϕ_* for the two solutions described above are also given in the table.

Bičák et al. [10] have done a more general study of static perfect-fluid cylinders, including numerical work on incompressible cylinders and their external vacuum solutions. We have compared our numerical results with theirs and found that they agree fairly well. We made comparisons with their parameters m and C, which are related to our notation by $m = -b_1$ and $C = (2\pi/\phi_*)(a_1 + 1)^{[-1/(a_1+b_1+1)]}$. The results are presented in table 2 and table 3.

In the spherically symmetric case, the Buchdahl theorem [7, p 269] requires that $R > \frac{9}{4}M$ for any stellar model, where $M = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho R^3$. This implies that $R^2\rho < \frac{1}{10}$, where $R^2\rho$ is a dimensionless quantity. Although this theorem does not apply to the cylindrically symmetric case, it is interesting to note that the inequality does hold in the examples studied above.



Figure 4. For $\rho = 1$ and $p_0 = 0.1$ (exterior): Plot of $\Lambda(r)$ (thick), $\Psi(r)$ (normal), and $\Phi(r)$ (dashed).



Figure 5. For $\rho = 10$ and $p_0 = 1$ (exterior): Plot of $\Lambda(r)$ (thick), $\Psi(r)$ (normal), and $\Phi(r)$ (dashed).

Table 1. Numerical constants for exterior solution when $\rho = 1$ and $p_0 = 0.1$ (second column), and $\rho = 10$ and $p_0 = 1$ (third column).

R	0.1486	0.04791
$R^2 \rho$	0.02208	0.02296
a_1	0.2052	0.2136
b_1	-0.1703	-0.1761
a_2	1.627	2.114
b_2	0.6722	0.5435
c	1.279	1.342
ϕ_*	4.954	4.732

Table 2. Comparison of our numerical results with those of Bičák et al. [10], for $\rho = 1$ and $p_0 = 0.1$.

Bičák	et al.	our results
m = 0.1696	i	0.1703
C 1.0590	1	1.05901

Table 3. Comparison of our numerical results with those of Bičák et al. [10], for $\rho = 10$ and $p_0 = 1$.

	Bičák et al.	our results
m	0.1696	0.1761
\mathcal{C}	1.10063	1.10179

6. History

The static, cylindrically symmetric vacuum solutions were found in the early 20th century by Weyl [2] and Levi-Civita [3]. They were interested in the more general problem of static geometries that are merely *axially* symmetric, that is, depend on z as well as r. It was therefore convenient to use coordinates that treat r and z on the same footing, so the Weyl–Levi-Civita solution was found in the form (20).

Similarly, Marder [8], building on work of Rosen [11], studied cylindrically symmetric gravitational waves and hence treated r and t alike, obtaining the static solution in the form (25) (four decades after [2,3]). Marder's paper, which also displays versions (15) and (20), was very helpful in the analysis of vacuum solutions in the present paper.

The arc-length gauge (28), leading to solution (29), was probably first used by Evans [12]; it appears also in much of the cosmic-string literature, such as [6], although the Weyl–Levi-Civita convention is also popular there. Of course, there are many other possible gauge choices; for example, Bičák et al. [10] use the convention (in our notation (1)) $\Phi = -\Lambda$.

During the 1980s, cylindrical solutions received broad attention when the (locally flat) cone solutions were studied as representing the space-time outside a onedimensional concentration of matter or gauge-field energy, a "cosmic string". Classic papers by Taub [13] and Vilenkin [14] considered infinitely thin sources; nonsingular solutions with sources of finite radius were constructed by Gott [4] and Hiscock [5]; and both were studied by many more physicists. With few exceptions (e.g., [15, 16]), the possibility that the exterior of a cosmic string might be one of the nonflat Weyl–Levi-Civita solutions was not widely recognized, except when the theory was generalized to include a cosmological constant [17, 18] (more recently studied in [19]). Physical enthusiasm for cosmic strings as realistic cosmological objects has diminished in recent years under the pressure of new observational data.

Nevertheless, research on static cylindrical solutions with interior sources and general Weyl–Levi-Civita exteriors has continued and intensified in recent years [9, 10, 12, 19–34]. We are not able to provide a complete review of the literature here.

7. Conclusion

Cylindrical symmetry in general relativity turns out to be similar to spherical symmetry in many ways but quite different in others. The only static vacuum spherical solutions are the Schwarzschild metrics parametrized by mass, but, contrary to popular belief, there are static vacuum cylindrical solutions other than the cone, or cosmic string, spaces parametrized by defect angle. A cone solution is Lorentz-invariant along the cylinder axis and hence cannot arise from a matter source (at least in the small-radius limit) unless the latter has a very unusual equation of state. We have presented numerical solutions with more conventional interior sources and more general exterior geometry.

The construction of the various solutions presented here illustrates several instructive points. The choice of gauge (coordinate system) is always a major issue in relativity; the same space-time can look quite different in different gauges, and how (and whether) to choose a standard gauge or "normal form" for a given problem is not always obvious. For our problem there are several natural ways to fix the gauge, and we have taken pains to describe them all and how they are related. Even after a definition of radial coordinate has been selected, further steps to a normal form can be taken by linear rescaling of the coordinates. But, as our final calculation shows, sometimes this progress must be undone to match solutions for different regions properly.

The structure of the Einstein equation system is nontrivial. There is one more equation than one might naively expect. The extra equation serves as a constraint on the data. For the cylindrical vacuum solutions this constraint is a simple algebraic relation among the parameters, but for our interior solutions, extra work was needed to verify that the constraint equation is consistent. The differential orders of the remaining equations need to be considered carefully in order to choose the correct sort of initial data on the axis and to match the interior solution properly to an exterior vacuum solution.

Finally, we observed some surprising ambiguities of interpretation. On the left branch of the vacuum solutions (see either half of figure 1) an increasing radial metric component corresponds to decreasing behavior of some of the other metric components; therefore, what is naturally considered the radial coordinate in our gauge is naturally considered to be the reciprocal of such a coordinate in other gauges. (That is, our axis is previous authors' infinity.) Also, two special solutions, (19) and (27), are not really "cylindrical" spaces, but rather representations of flat space-times in nonstandard coordinate systems.

Acknowledgments

We thank David Garfinkle for helpful remarks, and the referee for many valuable pedagogical and bibliographical suggestions, including the list of references at the end of Section 6. Norman Hugh Redington, Davood Momeni, and Luis Herrera also called our attention to additional relevant references in the literature. This work was supported by NSF Grants No. PHY-0554849 and PHY-0968269.

References

- Trendafilova C 2011 Static, Cylindrical Symmetry in General Relativity and Vacuum Energy, Undergraduate Research Scholars thesis (Texas A&M University)
- [2] Weyl H 1917 Ann. Phys., Lpz. 54 117
- [3] Levi-Civita T 1919 Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. 28 101
- [4] Gott J R 1985 Ap. J. 288 422
- [5] Hiscock W A 1985 Phys. Rev. D 31 3288
- [6] Garfinkle D 1985 Phys. Rev. D 32 1323
- [7] Schutz B F 2009 A First Course in General Relativity (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) p 135, 159, 164, 256
- [8] Marder L 1958 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 244 524
- [9] Herrera L, Le Denmat G, Marcilhacy G and Santos N O 2005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 14 657
- [10] Bičák J, Ledvinka T, Schmidt B G and Żofka M 2004 Class. Quant. Grav. 21 1583
- [11] Rosen N 1954 Bull. Res. Coun. Israel **3** 328
- [12] Evans A B 1977 J. Phys. A 10 1303
- [13] Taub A H 1980 J. Math. Phys. 21 1423
- [14] Vilenkin A 1981 Phys. Rev. D 23 852

- [15] Geroch R and Traschen J 1987 Phys. Rev. D 36 1017
- [16] Widom A, Srivastava Y N and Redington N 1993 Phys. Rev. D 48 554
- [17] Linet B 1986 J. Math. Phys. 27 1817
- [18] Tian Q 1986 Phys. Rev. D 33 3549
- [19] Žofka M and Bičák J 2008 Class. Quant. Grav. 25 015011
- [20] Texeira A F F, Wolk I and Som M M 1977 Nuovo Cim. B 41 387
- [21] Bronnikov K A 1979 J. Phys. A 12 201
- [22] Kramer D 1988 Class. Quant. Grav. 5 393
- [23] Davidson W 1989 J. Math. Phys. 30 1560
- [24] Davidson W 1990 J. Math. Phys. 31 1972
- [25] Davidson W 1990 Gen. Rel. Grav. 22 553
- [26] da Silva M, Herrera L, Paiva F and Santos N O 1995 J. Math. Phys. 36 3625
- [27] Philbin T G 1996 Class. Quant. Grav. 13 1217
- [28] Haggag S and Desokey F 1996 Class. Quant. Grav. 13 3221
- [29] Haggag S 1999 Gen. Rel. Grav. 31 1169
- [30] Sharif M 2000 J. Kor. Phys. Soc. 37 624
- [31] Herrera L, Santos N O, Texeira A and Wang A 2001 Class. Quant. Grav. 18 3847
- [32] Bičák J and Žofka M 2002 Class. Quant. Grav. 19 3653
- [33] Arik M and Delice Ö 2005 Gen. Rel. Grav. 37 1395
- [34] Fjälborg M 2007 Class. Quant. Grav. 24 2253