1	The original published PDF available in this website:
2	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/jbi.13485
3	Journal of Biogeography (2019) 46: 304-315.
4	
5	Predicting beta diversity of terrestrial and aquatic beetles using ecogeographical
6	variables: insights from the replacement and richness difference components
7	
8	Jani Heino <sup>1*</sup> , Janne Alahuhta <sup>2</sup> , Simone Fattorini <sup>3</sup> & Dénes Schmera <sup>4,5</sup>
9	<sup>1</sup> Finnish Environment Institute, Biodiversity Centre. P.O. Box 413, FI–90014 Oulu, Finland.
10	<sup>2</sup> Geography Research Unit, University of Oulu. P.O. Box 3000, FI–90014 Oulu, Finland.
11	<sup>3</sup> Department of Life, Health & Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Via Vetoio,
12	Coppito, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy
13	<sup>4</sup> MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Balaton Limnological Institute, Klebelsberg K. u. 3,
14	H-8237 Tihany, Hungary
15	<sup>5</sup> MTA Centre for Ecological Research, GINOP Sustainable Ecosystem Group, Klebelsberg K.
16	u. 3, H-8237 Tihany, Hungary
17	
18	*Correspondence: jani.heino@environment.fi
19	
20	BIOSKETCH

21	The authors are interested in all aspects of biodiversity, ranging from spatial patterns in
22	species distributions through different facets of biodiversity to their conservation
23	implications.

## 24 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

25 We dedicate this paper to all people who have contributed to the faunistics of beetles in

26 Northern Europe. We would like to express our gratitude to three anonymous referees for

their comments on a previous version of this paper. This research was supported by the

28 OTKA K128496 and GINOP 2.3.3-15-2016-00019 grants.

### 30 ORCID

- 31 Jani Heino: orcid.org/0000-0003-1235-6613
- 32 Janne Alahuhta: orcid.org/0000-0001-55149361
- 33 Simone Fattorini: orcid.org/0000-0002-4517-2135
- 34 Dénes Schmera: orcid.org/0000-0003-1248-8413

<sup>29</sup> 

#### 35 Abstract

Aim: We examined the responses of the beta diversity of aquatic and terrestrial beetles to
ecogeographical variables, including climate, land cover and land use, across Northern
Europe.

39 Location: Northern Europe (Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Finland).

Methods: Information on the occurrence of ground beetles and diving beetles across
Northern European biogeographic provinces was collated from literature sources. Beta
diversity was examined using Jaccard dissimilarity coefficient as well as its replacement and
richness difference components. Each of the three dissimilarity matrices (responses) was
modelled using various ecogeographical variables (predictors) by generalized dissimilarity
modelling (GDM).

**Results:** The magnitude of total beta diversity was relatively similar between ground beetles 46 47 and diving beetles, but the richness difference component contributed more than the replacement component to total beta diversity in ground beetles, whereas the opposite was 48 49 true for diving beetles. The predictor variables most influential in GDM in accounting for 50 spatial variation in beta diversity varied between the two beetle groups as well as between the replacement and richness difference components. In general, the richness difference 51 component of ground beetles responded strongly to latitude and associated climatic variables, 52 53 whereas the replacement component of diving beetles varied strongly along the same geographical gradient. 54

55 Main conclusions: Our findings suggest that the study of the determinants of biodiversity 56 patterns benefits from the partitioning of beta diversity into different components and from 57 comparing terrestrial and aquatic groups. For example, our findings suggest that the strong 58 climatic and land use-related gradients in beta diversity have important implications for

- 59 predicting and mitigating the effect of ongoing global change on the composition of regional
- 60 biotas.
- 61

# 62 KEYWORDS

- 63 biodiversity, climate, generalized dissimilarity modelling, land cover, land use, mean annual
- 64 temperature.

#### 65 1 | INTRODUCTION

66

Owing to the fact that ongoing global change is threatening the diversity of populations, 67 species and assemblages (Sala et al., 2000; Heino et al., 2009), understanding the factors 68 underlying spatial variation of biodiversity remains at the heart of biogeography, ecology and 69 conservation biology. However, different components of biodiversity may respond differently 70 71 to global change and natural environmental variation (Socolar et al., 2016). Species diversity can be decomposed into alpha, beta and gamma components (Whittaker, 1960), all of which 72 73 may respond to various historical, environmental and geographical factors (Mittelbach, 2012). While most previous studies focused on patterns in alpha or gamma diversity 74 75 (Hillebrand, 2004; Field et al., 2009), beta diversity has received considerable renewed 76 interest in recent years (Tuomisto, 2010; Anderson et al., 2011).

77 Beta diversity can be defined as the variation in assemblage composition among 78 sampling units or the extent of change in assemblage composition along gradients (Legendre et al., 2005; Tuomisto et al., 2006), and it can further include different components (e.g. 79 80 replacement and richness difference components; Podani & Schmera, 2011). Species replacement is related to factors affecting changes in species identities between sites, whereas 81 richness difference informes about factors determining differences in the number of species 82 83 (Legendre, 2014). However, given the paucity of empirical studies using this approach (Baiser et al., 2012; Tonial et al., 2012; Vad et al., 2017), it is difficult to (i) make 84 conclusions about the relative importance of these components, and (ii) if these components 85 86 respond differently to environmental and geographical gradients. An alternative approach would be to decompose total beta diversity into turnover and nestedness components 87 (Baselga, 2010), but we opted to focus on the replacement and richness difference 88

components (Podani & Schmera, 2011) because we were interested in any variation related to
richness differences between sites instead of nestedness-related patterns (Carvalho *et al.*2012; Legendre, 2014).

92 Although beta diversity is gaining increasing, comparative studies on beta diversity patterns between biological assemblages inhabiting contrasting environments are mostly 93 94 lacking (but see Fattorini, 2010; Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). For example, terrestrial assemblages are typically driven by climate-related variables (e.g., Hortal et al., 2011), 95 whereas local habitat conditions, such as water quality, often structure variation in aquatic 96 97 assemblages even at broad spatial scales (e.g., Alahuhta, 2015). One possible explanation may be that not only the terrestrial ecosystems are directly influenced by climate (i.e. air 98 temperature), whereas actual water temperature is naturally more important than air 99 100 temperature to aquatic organisms (e.g. water may buffer extreme changes in air temperatures), but also the role of water is fundamentally different for aquatic species 101 distributions (e.g., Heino, 2011). For instance, terrestrial assemblages are mainly affected by 102 the accessibility of water in the ground for primary producers, drinking water for animals and 103 different moisture conditions for different animal species (e.g., Begon et al., 2006), whereas 104 105 the survival of aquatic species depends more on the quality and movement of water in 106 freshwater environments (Wetzel, 2001; Allan & Castillo, 2007). Because the underlying 107 structuring factors for terrestrial versus aquatic assemblages do not necessarily co-vary 108 geographically, aquatic organisms can be used to disentangle and contrast some of the mechanisms believed to underlie the most pervasive diversity patterns in the world (Brown, 109 2014). 110

Beetles are a hyperdiverse group of insects, with different families inhabiting
terrestrial, semi-aquatic and aquatic environments (Thomas, 2008). A highly diverse
terrestrial family of beetles, ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae), has been studied from

114 ecological, evolutionary and biogeographical perspectives for a long time (Lindroth, 1985; Lövei & Sunderland, 1996; Dajoz, 2002; Kotze et al., 2011). Previous studies have found 115 clear geographical patterns in their regional diversity and assemblage composition, which 116 have been associated with concurrently varying climate conditions (Heino & Alahuhta, 117 2015). In particular, temperature and humidity are two important environmental factors 118 influencing the behaviour and ecology of ground beetles (e.g., Rainio & Niemelä, 2003), for 119 which reason these insects are regarded as a model group for research on the effects of 120 climate change (e.g., Müller-Kroehling, 2014). For example, temperature may influence their 121 122 flight, speed of digestion, larval survival and life-history phenology (Thiele, 1977; Butterfield, 1996; Lövei and Sunderland, 1996), whereas humidity may be important in 123 124 regulating behavioural patterns and habitat affinity (e.g., Kagawa & Maeto, 2009). However, 125 landscape features and more localised environmental variations also affect the distributions of ground beetles (Thiele, 1977; Lindroth, 1985; Lövei & Sunderland, 1996). Ground beetle 126 assemblages are strongly influenced by habitat structure, especially as reflected by vegetation 127 128 (Brose 2003; Koivula et al., 1999; Taboada et al. 2008; Koivula, 2011). Thus, ground beetle assemblages host species characteristic of particular habitats, reflect variation in structural 129 features (e.g. soil characteristics), and may be particularly sensitive to anthropogenic 130 alterations (Rainio & Niemelä, 2003; Koivula, 2011). For these reasons, ground beetle 131 132 distributional patterns can be strongly influenced by land use (Eyre *et al.*, 2003; Eyre & Luff, 133 2004; Kotze et al., 2011). Thus, it is important to examine the influence of land cover on ground beetle assemblages in a broad-scale biogeographical context (Heino & Alahuhta, 134 2015). A highly diverse aquatic family of beetles, diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae), has 135 also been the focus of numerous ecological and biogeographical studies. Some studies, 136 addressed to associate their distributions and diversity to local environmental variables 137 (Nilsson, Elmberg and Sjöberg, 1994; Nilsson & Söderberg, 1996), have emphasised that 138

139 diving beetle assemblages are mostly driven by vegetation characteristics, invertebrate prey abundance, fish predation and geographical location of water bodies. On the other hand, 140 studies at broad scales have suggested that assemblage composition of diving beetles is 141 142 mostly driven by climatic variables, with additional influences by landscape features (Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). However, no previous study has aimed to find out if and how geography, 143 climate, land cover and anthropogenic land use variables affect the replacement and richness 144 difference components of beta diversity in these two major beetle groups inhabiting different 145 environments. 146

147 Here, we focused on the beta diversity of ground beetles and diving beetles through examining the responses of total beta diversity and its replacement and richness difference 148 components to climate, land cover and geographical gradients across Northern Europe. Our 149 150 previous study found that both ground beetle and diving beetle assemblages were mostly driven by mean annual temperature and, secondarily, by various other climatic and land cover 151 variables (Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). However, it is still not clear whether this assemblage 152 differentiation across Northern Europe is manifested by species replacement, richness 153 difference or both, and whether the identified ecogeographical drivers have similar effects on 154 155 these beta diversity components. In our previous study, we used constrained ordination and 156 constrained clustering methods, and did not examine the drivers of replacement and richness 157 difference components. In the present study, we expected that (i) the replacement component 158 would be driven by land cover and land use variables (because species composition typically shows turnover along long environmental gradients; e.g., Gaston & Blackburn, 2000; Qian & 159 160 Ricklefs, 2012; König et al., 2017) and (ii) the richness difference component would be 161 driven by geographical and climatic variables (because history and climate shape variation in 162 species richness at large scales; e.g., Hillebrand, 2004; Field et al., 2009). In the final stage, we mapped the observed responses of beta diversity and its components to show their broad-163

scale latitudinal and longitudinal patterns in Northern Europe. Our findings should contribute
to discussion of the ongoing global change effects on insect biodiversity in high-latitude
areas.

167

168 **2 | METHODS** 

169

170 **2.1** | Study area

171

We analysed beetle distribution and environmental data derived from the 101 biogeographic 172 provinces belonging to Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Finland (Väisänen et al., 1992; 173 Väisänen & Heliövaara, 1994). Prior to the analyses, we merged various small coastal 174 provinces in Norway to provide a better and more accurate representation of species ranges 175 176 (Heino & Alahuhta, 2015; Heino et al., 2015). After these modifications, the number of 177 provinces remaining in the analyses was 79. Each province has typical characteristics of climate and land cover, and "biogeographic province" is thus a relatively homogeneous study 178 unit. We used the 79 provinces as sampling units (i.e. grain size), and all the species found in 179 180 a biogeographic province were pooled to represent a single assemblage.

181

### 182 2.2 | Species data

183

We analysed the same literature data as in Heino and Alahuhta (2015) for two adephagan
beetle groups: ground beetles (Carabidae; Lindroth, 1985; 1986) and diving beetles

186 (Dytiscidae; Nilsson & Holmen, 1995). Ground beetles are mainly terrestrial insects, which are predatory, omnivorous, granivorous or herbivorous species as adults and mostly predatory 187 as larvae (Lindroth, 1985; Lövei & Sunderland, 1996; Dajoz, 2002). Diving beetles dwell in 188 189 fresh waters and sometimes in brackish waters, and they are mostly predatory as larvae and predators or scavengers as adults (Nilsson & Holmen, 1995). These two beetle groups are 190 relatively species rich in Northern Europe. However, Carabidae comprised more species 191 (total number of species = 388; mean number of species per province = 159, sd = 56.9) than 192 Dytiscidae (total number of species = 155; mean = 78.9, sd = 19.3; paired t-test; p < 0.001) 193 194 based on the literature data (Lindroth, 1985, 1986; Nilsson & Holmen, 1995). Although these biological data are already rather old, they represent good information about species 195 196 distributions across Northern Europe and can be easily associated with predictor variable data 197 derived for a period between 1960s and 1990s. Although additional beetle distributional data 198 may be available in more recent faunistic publications, we opted to not use them because our predictor variable are older in comparison to these recent assessments. The presence-absence 199 200 data we used are based on various faunistic and ecological surveys across Northern Europe and comprise the work of a large number of scientists and amateur entomologists. For this 201 202 reason, the sampling effort might be different among the provinces to an unknown extent, and this variation cannot be accounted for in the present analyses. However, the very long time of 203 sampling effort, the multitude of people that collected data, the variety of used techniques and 204 205 sampled habitats, and the relatively small number of species occurring in the study area, suggest that faunal inventories were comprehensive by the dates the books were published. 206

207

208 2.3 | Predictor variables

210 Among the multiple correlated climatic variables available in WorldClim (Hijmans et al., 2005), we selected those that are presumably the most important for insect distributions. 211 These climate variables were: average annual temperature (°C), maximum temperature of the 212 213 warmest month (°C), minimum temperature of the coldest month (°C), precipitation of the wettest month (mm) and precipitation of the driest month (mm). The climate variables were 214 averaged values for the period 1960-1990 for each biogeographical province and were 215 derived from WorldClim with 0.93 km × 0.93 km resolution (Hijmans et al., 2005). Because 216 most of the aforementioned climate variables were strongly intercorrelated ( $r \ge 0.80$ ), we 217 218 used only average annual temperature and precipitation of the wettest month in the statistical analyses. These two are also conceptually the most important climatic variables affecting 219 220 biodiversity at high latitudes. Land cover and land use variables were percentages of fresh 221 water, forests, open areas, wetlands, agricultural areas and urban areas. These variables were 222 obtained from European CORINE 2006 with 100m resolution. For the suitability of CORINE-based land use and land cover variables in these types of studies in northern 223 224 Europe, see Heino & Alahuhta (2015). Although the land cover data is from the mid-2000s, most drastic changes in the land cover happened in Northern Europe between 1950 and 1980, 225 226 when the current road and peatland drainage networks were established and a large proportion of people moved from the countryside to urban environments (Seppälä, 2005). 227 228 Development of agriculture changed landscapes already thousands of years ago in Southern 229 Fennoscandia (Eriksson et al., 2002), after which changes in the quantity of agricultural land has been considerably modest. Finally, average elevation and elevation range within the 230 province were also considered as land cover variables, as these variables are related to the 231 232 environmental variation along elevation gradients. Elevation variables were obtained from 3D Digital Elevation Model over Europe with 25m resolution. Because these two variables 233 were strongly correlated (r = 0.95), only average elevation was used in the statistical analysis. 234

### 236 2.4. | Statistical methods

237

238 We first calculated beta diversity components for each beetle group based on Jaccard dissimilarity coefficient. We thus followed the approach devised by Podani & Schmera 239 (2011) and Carvalho et al. (2012). In this scheme, total beta diversity is decomposed into 240 replacement and richness difference components: **Btotal = Brepl + Brich**. **Btotal** reflects 241 242 both species replacement and loss-gain; Brepl refers to replacement of species identities alone, and Brich relates to species loss-gain or richness differences alone. A recent review 243 found this decomposition a suitable approach for addressing complex issues in beta diversity 244 245 (Legendre, 2014). We thus produced dissimilarity matrices based on each of the three components for each beetle group using the 'beta' function in the R package BAT (Cardoso et 246 al., 2015). 247

Second, we modelled variation in biological dissimilarities using Generalized 248 Dissimilarity Modelling (GDM: Ferrier et al., 2007). GDM is a technique for modelling 249 spatial variation in assemblage composition between pairs of geographical locations, and it 250 251 can be based on any dissimilarity matrix as response. These were, in our case, pairwise Btotal, Brepl and Brich dissimilarity matrices for each beetle group. GDM is based on matrix 252 regression, and it can accommodate nonlinearities typical in ecogeographical datasets. These 253 nonlinearities occur for two reasons: (i) the curvilinear response between increasing 254 255 ecological distance and observed compositional dissimilarity, and (ii) the variation in the rate of compositional dissimilarity at different position along ecogeographical gradients (Ferrier et 256 al., 2007). It is thus a highly useful technique for large-scale assessments of assemblage 257 258 composition. In consistency with other generalized linear models, the GDM model is

259 specified based on two functions: (i) a link function (in our case, 1-exp[y]) defining the relationship between the response (i.e. compositional dissimilarity between sites) and the 260 linear predictor (i.e. inter-site distances based on any ecogeographical variable, including 261 262 geographical distance between sites), and (ii) a variance function defining how the variance of the response depends on the predicted mean (Ferrier et al., 2007). We ran the GDM 263 models, plotted the I-splines (which are monotone cubic spline functions) for each predictor 264 variable (and geographical distance) and assessed the impacts of the predictor variables 265 (which are estimated as the variance explained by the predictor when all the others are kept 266 267 constant) on the response dissimilarities using the functions 'gdm' and 'gdm.varImp' available in the R package gdm (Manion et al., 2017). Prior to running GDMs, we checked 268 269 for multicollinearity among the predictor variables. The highest correlation was between 270 agriculture and mean annual temperature (Pearson r = 0.80), but the other correlations were lower (r < 0.70 or r > -0.70). Hence, we did not remove any of the predictor variables shown 271 in the final models. Also, GDM is known to be robust to multicollinearity among predictor 272 273 variables (e.g., Glassman et al., 2018). We did not standardize the predictor variables in our focal analyses, as a number of authors have followed a similar approach (e.g., Fitzpatrick et 274 275 al., 2013), and because this facilitates understanding variation in beta diversity along actual environmental gradients. However, we also ran the analyses using standardized predictor 276 variables (mean = 0, SD = 1), but the main inferences did not change (i.e. the same predictor 277 278 variables were the most important irrespective of whether or not we standardized the variables, and the explained deviance did not differ too much between the two approaches). 279 For all above analyses, we assessed the uncertainty in the fitted I-splines by plotting I-splines 280 281 with error bands using a bootstrapping approach (Shyrock et al., 2015). We used 100 iterations in bootstrapping, and 70% of the sites were retained from the full site-pair table 282 when subsampling the data. 283

284 Third, we produced RGB colour maps using province scores from three non-metric multidimensional (NMDS) axes simultaneously. NMDS is considered as a highly robust 285 unconstrained ordination method that can be utilised in ecology and biogeography (Minchin, 286 287 1987). For our present purpose, we ran 20 3-dimensional NMDS solutions based on random starts, and selected for mapping the solution of three NMDS axes with the lowest stress 288 value. These NMDS axes were calculated separately based on total beta diversity, 289 290 replacement and richness difference dissimilarity matrices for each beetle group using the function 'metaMDS' with the R package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2017). The stress values were 291 292 acceptable and ranged from 0.016 to 0.199, with the exception of the replacement component-related ordination of ground beetles for which the stress value was 0.242. The 293 294 colour mapping routines were conducted using the functions 'recluster.col' and 295 'recluster.plot.sites.col' from the R package recluster (Dapporto et al., 2015) and the results 296 were plotted on the maps of the study area.

Finally, we used GDM to examine latitudinal and longitudinal patterns in total beta
diversity and its components across the study area. We thus ran GDM to regress each
dissimilarity matrix, **Btotal**, **Brepl** and **Brich**, with both latitudinal distance and longitudinal
distance. We again used bootstrapping as above to assess the uncertainty in the resulting Isplines.

302

#### 303 **3 | RESULTS**

304

Regarding the decomposition of total beta diversity into replacement and richness difference
components, there were no clear differences between ground beetles and diving beetles (Fig.
1). Total beta diversity hardly differed between the beetle groups, with average values being

very similar (ground beetles: 0.52; diving beetles: 0.49). However, while the richness
difference component was slightly more important than the replacement component for
ground beetles (average replacement = 0.23, average richness difference = 0.29), the opposite
was true for diving beetles (average replacement = 0.28, average richness difference = 0.21).

There were some differences in the explained deviance between the beetle groups and the components of beta diversity when using the selected 10 predictor variables (Table 1). Total beta diversity of ground beetles was slightly better explained than that of diving beetles, but the opposite was true for the replacement component. The richness difference component of ground beetles was slightly better explained than that of diving beetles.

The total beta diversity of ground beetles was best explained by geographical 317 318 distance, followed by mean annual temperature, urban land use and open areas (Table 1). Of 319 these variables, geographical distance and mean annual temperature had almost linear relationships with beta diversity variation, urban areas first had an increasing relationship and 320 then reached a plateau, and open areas had a slightly curvilinear increasing relationship 321 (Supporting Information, Fig. S1). Other variables had only weak or no relationships with 322 total beta diversity of ground beetles. The replacement component of ground beetles was 323 324 most strongly impacted by geographic distance, followed by precipitation, mean annual temperature, forest cover and wetland cover (Fig. S2). Of these, geographic distance showed 325 a relationship that first increased rapidly after which the pattern levelled off. Mean annual 326 temperature had a closely similar relationship to that of geographic distance, and the other 327 important variables had slightly curvilinear increasing impacts on the replacement 328 component. The richness differences component of ground beetles was most clearly related to 329 urban land use and mean annual temperature, of which the former had a very steep increasing 330 effect that decreased with higher urban land uses (Fig. S3). Mean annual temperature had 331 almost a linear relationship with the richness difference component. 332

The total beta diversity of diving beetles was mostly impacted by precipitation, 333 followed by mean annual temperature and open areas (Table 1). These variables showed 334 slightly curvilinear, almost sigmoidal and almost linear relationships, respectively, with total 335 336 beta diversity (Fig. S4). The replacement component of diving beetles was mostly related to mean annual temperature and geographic distance, which had almost linear relationships with 337 this component (Fig. S5). Finally, the richness difference component was mostly driven by 338 precipitation, followed by open areas and urban land use. These variables showed slightly 339 curvilinear relationships with richness difference (Fig. S6). 340

341 The NMDS-based maps of total beta diversity and its replacement and richness difference components showed some differences (Fig. 2). While total beta diversity varied 342 quite similarly along latitudinal and longitudinal gradients across Northern Europe, the 343 344 replacement and richness difference components showed some striking differences between the two beetle groups. The replacement component of ground beetles and diving beetles 345 showed clear differences between Denmark and southern Sweden, whereas the richness 346 difference component showed different patterns for ground beetles and diving beetles. As a 347 result, ground beetles showed a latitudinal gradient in richness difference, whereas a 348 349 longitudinal gradient was more pronounced in the case of diving beetles across the provinces 350 based on visual inspections.

The visual inspections were also largely corroborated by the results of additional GDMs, with total beta diversity being strongly related to latitude in both beetle groups, whereas the replacement and richness difference components showed differences between the beetle groups (Fig. 3). For ground beetles, the richness difference component was strongly correlated to latitude, whereas the replacement component of diving beetles showed a strong relationship with latitude. These relationships were almost linear. There was also a major geographical break in the replacement component of ground beetles at latitude of 62°N to

63°N, after which the species compositional variation increased rapidly (Fig. 3b). Similarly,
there was a clear break, followed by a plateau, in the richness difference component of diving
beetles at a longitude of 10°E to 11°E (Fig. 3f). These visual inspections were corroborated by
the numerical results of the GDM analysis (Table 2).

362

#### 363 4 | DISCUSSION

364

There is a substantial lack of studies that have compared the beta diversity patterns of
multiple insect groups based on the same study units and identical statistical methods
(Fattorini, 2010; Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). Here, we contrasted biogeographical patterns in
the total beta diversity and its replacement and richness difference components for terrestrial
(ground beetles) and aquatic (diving beetles) insects.

370 We found that different factors drove the most variation in the assemblages of ground 371 beetles and diving beetles, and these differences were also contingent on the beta diversity measure in question. Total beta diversity of ground beetles responded most strongly to (i) 372 373 geographic distance between provinces, which expresses the importance biogeographical and historical factors (such as the presence of geographical barriers, the distribution of suitable 374 375 habitats, and the effects of glaciations); (ii) mean annual temperature, indicating the role of current climatic forcing; and (iii) urban land use, suggesting that provinces with varying 376 degrees of urbanization harbour different ground beetle assemblages. For diving beetles, total 377 378 beta diversity was mostly related to (i) precipitation of the wettest month, describing a gradient from the Atlantic coast of Norway in the west to continental areas in Eastern Finland 379 380 in the east; (ii) mean annual temperature, which varies markedly from south to north across 381 the study area (Heino et al., 2015); and (iii) open areas, implying that the provinces having

382 open areas versus forested areas harbour different diving beetle assemblages. The weak impact of geographical distance in diving beetles may be due to their dispersal capabilities. 383 Diving beetles live in spatially discrete and sometimes ephemeral habitat patches, and many 384 385 species are therefore assumed to be very active dispersers, able to move between suitable localities sometimes even on multiple occasions within an individual's lifetime (Bilton, 386 2014). Although large-sized ground beetles move relatively speedily on the ground, being 387 able to disperse over distances in the order of kilometres, and many species are able to fly, 388 high habitat fragmentation and geographical barriers are known to prevent many species from 389 390 colonizing most patches (Kotzke et al., 2011; Elek et al., 2014). This can be especially true for flightless ground beetle species, which are constrained by habitat fragmentation at larger 391 392 spatial scales. For these cases, geographical distance is likely to exert increased importance in 393 comparison to diving beetles that are better dispersers, as observed in our study.

The few previous studies that have decomposed total beta diversity into the 394 replacement and richness difference components have found that their relative importance 395 varies among study systems and organisms (Baiser et al., 2012; Tonial et al., 2012; Victorero 396 397 et al., 2018). Using an alternative approach to partition beta diversity into the turnover and 398 nestedness components (Baselga, 2010), Soininen et al. (2018) observed that the turnover 399 component was clearly more important that the nestedness component in a meta-analysis of 400 269 data points. This finding is similar to that of a global comparative study of lake 401 macrophytes that showed the preponderance of the turnover component over the nestedness 402 component (Alahuhta et al., 2017). In our study, the predictors of the replacement component varied somewhat between the two beetle groups. For ground beetles, geographic distance was 403 404 by far the most important variable affecting differences in species composition between 405 provinces. This effect is plausible given the rather large geographical area and the legacy of historical influences in the study region (e.g. post-Ice Age colonization may still be ongoing; 406

407 Hortal et al., 2011). Geographical distance was followed by precipitation, mean annual temperature, forest cover and wetland cover. These variables were likely to be related to 408 effects of climate and habitat differences on species composition, as already observed in 409 410 previous accounts on ground beetle distributions in the study area (Lindroth 1985, 1986). For diving beetles, the replacement component was mostly driven by mean annual temperature 411 412 and geographic distance, suggesting strong south-north changes in species identities along a temperature gradient. These findings are in accordance with previous accounts of species 413 distributions, emphasising that diving beetles are sensitive to temperature that may strongly 414 415 contribute to their distributions at both local and regional scales (Nilsson & Holmen, 1995; Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). 416

417 The variables best explaining the richness difference components of ground beetles 418 and diving beetles were strikingly different. While the richness difference component of ground beetles was mostly related to urban land use (impact: 10.8) and mean annual 419 temperature (impact: 3.4), that of diving beetles was mostly impacted by precipitation 420 (impact: 28.8) and cover of open areas (impact: 11.9). These findings suggest that species 421 422 loss-gain occurs mostly along urbanization and temperature gradients in ground beetles, with 423 more species occurring in southernmost provinces with a higher urban land use cover than in 424 more northerly provinces in the study area. While the positive effect of temperature is 425 consistent with geographical patterns observed in most organisms (Currie et al., 2004; 426 Hawkins et al., 2004; Lomolino et al., 2010), the increase of ground beetle richness with 427 urbanization is counter-intuitive, because urbanization has typically negative effects on insect diversity (McKinney, 2002; Martinson & Raupp, 2013; New, 2015). This unexpected positive 428 429 association can be explained by assuming that species richness and human settlements both 430 respond positively to energy availability, because the higher the energy, the greater the biomass and the number of individuals to be sustained, which, in turn, allow more species to 431

maintain viable populations within an area (Gaston, 2005; Evans & Gaston, 2005). Thus, it 432 can be hypothesised that early human populations settled in a clumped fashion and grew 433 434 more readily in the warmer and more productive areas represented by southern provinces, where there is high abundance and diversity of plants and animals that can be used as food or 435 for other purposes, and where climate is milder. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that 436 the richness difference component of ground beetles was also related to mean annual 437 438 temperature, which increases southwards. As regards the negative effects of urbanization, they can really operate, but their influence may be masked at coarse spatial resolutions as that 439 440 used in this study, because remnants of suitable biotopes can be found even where human population density is high (Fattorini et al., 2016). 441

We also found that latitude strongly affected the richness difference component of 442 beta diversity in ground beetles, but not so much in diving beetles. The effects of 443 recolonization after the Ice Age are expected to be higher for the richness difference 444 component (see also Hortal et al., 2011), since few species (especially the most tolerant and 445 mobile) were able to recolonize or disperse to areas strongly affected by historical climatic 446 changes, especially those located at high latitudes (Fattorini & Ulrich, 2012a; 2012b). Thus, 447 448 the influence of latitude on the richness difference component of beta diversity of ground beetles is consistent with the hypothesis that the spatial distribution of dispersal-limited 449 450 species is still significantly affected by historical processes, as observed for ground beetles 451 (see also Schuldt & Assmann, 2009). By contrast, the possible impact of Ice Age history on the current distribution of diving beetles seems to have been erased by their ability to long 452 dispersal to reach scattered suitable habitat patches. In diving beetles, species loss-gain most 453 454 likely occurs along a gradient from coastal (higher precipitation) to continental (lower 455 precipitation) provinces. Especially the amount of precipitation may influence habitat availability and habitat types for diving beetles, with temporary ponds and pools, as 456

457 important habitats for some diving beetle species (Nilsson & Holmen, 1995), being probably 458 uncommon in provinces with continuously high precipitation. In addition, water level fluctuations in permanent lakes and rivers may affect aquatic vegetation, thereby affecting 459 460 habitat availability for diving beetles. Finally, increased precipitation may result in nutrient leaching to aquatic ecosystems (Soininen et al., 2015), which influences the chemical 461 environment for diving beetles and might therefore affect their geographical distribution. 462 463 Thus, in addition to historical influences, present-day latitudinal and longitudinal distributions of beetles may also be affected by environmental factors that vary 464 465 geographically (Heino & Alahuhta, 2015). Disentangling the effects of Ice Age history and 466 contemporary environmental conditions may be especially difficult in a region, such as 467 Northern Europe, where these two sets of factors co-vary strongly geographically.

Our findings showed that the magnitudes of beta diversity changes varied depending 468 on the beta component considered and in relation with the main habitat of the study group. 469 These findings suggest that the analysis of the determinants of biodiversity patterns will 470 benefit from the partitioning of beta diversity into different components (Podani & Schmera, 471 472 2011; Legendre, 2014), as these components are determined by different ecogeographical 473 factors in animals inhabiting contrasting environments. Knowing which ecogeographical 474 factors affect present-day biodiversity patterns is also a prerequisite for predicting alterations 475 in species distributions in the face of global change. For example, the presence of strong 476 climatic gradients in beta diversity have important implications for predicting, adapting and 477 mitigating the effect of ongoing climate change on the composition of biological 478 assemblages: (i) the species composition in areas of cold climates will likely become to 479 resemble that currently present in more southerly regions (Hickling et al., 2006) and (ii) some 480 species with northern distributions may go extinct with climate change (Thomas et al., 2006). However, these two topics deserve further and more direct modelling studies in the context of 481

hyperdiverse insect groups. Although we analysed patterns at the scale of biogeographic
provinces, our findings do point out that various factors should be taken into account in the
conservation biogeography of highly diverse organism groups in terrestrial and aquatic
realms to facilitate understanding nuances in biodiversity patterns.

### **REFERENCES**

489	Alahuhta, J. (2015) Geographic patterns of lake macrophyte communities and species
490	richness at regional scale. Journal of Vegetation Science, 26, 564-575.
491	Alahuhta, J., Kosten, S., Akasaka, M., Auderset, D., Azzella, M., Bolpagni, R., Bove, C.P.,
492	Chambers, P.A., Chappuis, E., Ilg, C., Clayton, J., de Winston, M., Ecke, F., Gacia, E.,
493	Gecheva, G., Grillas, P., Hauxwell, J., Hellsten, S., Hjort, J., Hoyer, M.V., Kolada, A.,
494	Kuoppala, M., Lauridsen, T., Li, EH., Lukács, B.A., Mjelde, M., Mikulyuk, A.,
495	Mormul, R.P., Nishihiro, J., Oertli, B., Rhazi, L., Rhazi, M., Sass, L., Schranz, C.,
496	Søndergaard, M., Yamanouchi, T., Yu, Q., Wang, H., Willby, N., Zhang, XK. &
497	Heino, J. (2017) Global variation in the beta diversity of lake macrophytes is driven
498	by environmental heterogeneity rather than latitude. Journal of Biogeography, 44,
499	1758-1769.
500	Allan, J.D. & Castillo, M.M. (2007) Stream Ecology. Structure and Function of Running
501	Waters. Second Edition. Springer, New York.
502	Anderson, M. J., Crist, T. O., Chase, J. M., Vellend, M., Inouye, B. D., Freestone, A. L.,
503	Sanders, N. J., Cornell, H. V., Comita, L. S., Davies, K. F., Harrison, S. P., Kraft, N.

504	J., Stegen, J. C. & Swenson, N. G. (2011), Navigating the multiple meanings of $\beta$
505	diversity: a roadmap for the practicing ecologist. <i>Ecology Letters</i> , <b>14</b> , 19-28.
506	Baiser, B., Olden, J.D., Record, S., Lockwood, J.L., & M.L. McKinney (2012) Pattern and
507	process of biotic homogenization in the New Pangaea. Proceedings of the Royal
508	Society B: Biological Sciences, 279, 4772-4777.
509	Baselga, A. (2010) Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta diversity.
510	Global Ecology and Biogeography, 19, 134-143.
511	Begon, M., Townsend, C.R., Harper, J.L. (2005) Ecology: From Individuals to Ecosystems.
512	fourth Edition. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford.
513	Bilton, D.T. (2014) Dispersal in Dytiscidae, In: Donald, E. (ed.) Ecology, Systematics, and
514	the Natural History of Predaceous Diving Beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae), pp.387-
515	407. Springer, New York.
516	Brose, U. (2003) Bottom-up control of carabid beetle communities in early successional
517	wetlands: mediated by vegetation structure or plant diversity? Oecologia, 135, 407-
518	413.
519	Brown, J.H. (2014) Why are there so many species in the tropics? Journal of Biogeography,
520	41, 8-22.
521	Butterfield, J.E. (1996) Carabid life-cycle strategies and climate change: a study on an

- altitude transect. *Ecological Entomology*, **21**, 9-16.
- Cardoso, P., Rigal, F. & Carvalho, J. C. (2015) BAT Biodiversity Assessment Tools, an R
  package for the measurement and estimation of alpha and beta taxon, phylogenetic
  and functional diversity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 232-236.

526	Carvalho, J.C., Cardoso, P. & Gomes, P. (2012) Determining the relative roles of species
527	replacement and species richness differences in generating beta-diversity patterns.
528	Global Ecology and Biogeography, 21, 760-771.
529	Currie, D.J., Mittelbach, G.G., Cornell, H.V., Field, R., Guégan, J.F., Hawkins, B.A.,
530	Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E. & Turner, J.R.G. (2004)
531	Predictions and tests of climate-based hypotheses of broad-scale variation in
532	taxonomic richness. Ecology Letters, 7, 1121e1134.
533	Dajoz, R. (2002) Les Coléoptères Carabides et Ténébrionidés. Ecologie et Biologie.
534	Lavoisier, Paris.
535	Dapporto, L., Ramazzotti, M., Fattorini, S., Vila, R., Talavera, G. & Dennis, R.H.L. (2015)
536	Package 'recluster'. Ordination Methods for the Analysis of Beta-Diversity Indices.
537	Version 2.8. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/recluster/recluster.pdf
538	Elek, Z., Drag, L., Pokluda, P., Čížek, L.& Bérces, S. (2014) Dispersal of individuals of the
539	flightless grassland ground beetle, Carabus hungaricus (Coleoptera: Carabidae), in
540	three populations and what they tell us about mobility estimates based on mark-
541	recapture. European Journal of Entomology, 111, 663-668.
542	Eriksson, O., Cousins, S.A.O. & Bruun, H.H. (2002) Land-use history and fragmentation of
543	traditionally managed grasslands in Scandinavia. Journal of Vegetation Science, 13,
544	743-748.
545	Eyre, M.D., Luff, M.L., Staley, J.R. & Telfer, M.G. (2003) The relationship between British
546	ground beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) and land cover. Journal of Biogeography, 30,
547	719-730.

- Eyre, M.D. & Luff, M.L. (2004) Ground beetle species (Coleoptera, Carabidae) associations
  with land cover variables in northern England and southern Scotland. *Ecography*, 27,
  417-426.
- Evans, K.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2005) People, energy and avian species richness. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 14, 187-196.
- Fattorini, S. (2010) The influence of geographical and ecological factors on island beta
  diversity patterns. *Journal of Biogeography*, 37, 1061-1070.
- Fattorini, S. & Ulrich, W. (2012a) Drivers of species richness in European Tenebrionidae
  (Coleoptera). *Acta Oecologica*, 36, 255-258.
- 557 Fattorini, S. & Ulrich, W. (2012b) Spatial distributions of European Tenebrionidae point to
- multiple postglacial colonization trajectories. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, London*, **105**, 318-329.
- 560 Fattorini, S., Galassi, D.M.P. & Strona, G. (2016) When human needs meet beetle
- preferences: tenebrionid beetle richness covaries with human population on the
  Mediterranean islands. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 9, 369-373.
- Ferrier, S., Manion, G., Elith, J. & Richardson, K. (2007) Using generalized dissimilarity
  modelling to analyse and predict patterns of beta diversity in regional biodiversity
  assessment. *Diversity and Distributions*, 13, 252-264.
- 566 Field, R., Hawkins, B.A., Cornell, H.V., Currie, D.J., Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Guegan, J.-F.,
- 567 Kaufman, D.M., Kerr, J.T., Mittelbach, G.G., Oberdorff, T., O'Brien, E.M. & Turner,
- J.R.G. (2009) Spatial species-richness gradients across scales: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Biogeography*, 36, 132-147.
- 570 Fitzpatrick, M.C., Sanders, N.J., Normand, S., Svenning, J.C., Ferrier, S. Gove, A.D. &
- 571 Dunn, R.R. (2013) Environmental and historical imprints on beta diversity: insights

- 572 from variation in rates of species turnover along gradients. *Proceedings of the Royal*573 *Society B*, **280**, 20131201.
- Gaston, K.J. (2005) Biodiversity and extinction: species and people. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 29, 239-247.
- Gaston, K.J. & Blackburn, T.M. (2000) *Pattern and Process in Macroecology*. Blackwell
  Science, Oxford.
- Glassman, S.I., Wang, I.J. & Bruns, T.D. (2017) Environmental filtering by pH and soil
  nutrients drives community assembly in fungi at fine spatial scales. *Molecular Ecology*, 26, 6960-6973.
- Hawkins, B.A. & Diniz-Filho, J.A.F. (2004) 'Latitude' and geographic patterns in species
  richness. *Ecography*, 27, 268-272.
- Heino, J. (2011) A macroecological perspective of diversity patterns in the freshwater realm. *Freshwater Biology*, 56, 1703–1722.
- 585 Heino, J. & Alahuhta, J. (2015) Elements of regional beetle faunas: faunal variation and
- 586 compositional breakpoints along climate, land cover and geographical gradients.
- *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **84**, 427-441.
- Heino, J., Alahuhta, J. & Fattorini, S. (2015) Phylogenetic diversity of regional beetle faunas
  at high latitudes: patterns, drivers and chance along ecological gradients. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 24, 2751-2767.
- 591 Heino, J., Virkkala, R. & Toivonen, H. (2009) Climate change and freshwater biodiversity:
- detected patterns, future trends and adaptations in northern regions. *Biological Reviews*, 84, 39-54.

594	Hickling, R., Roy, D. B., Hill, J. K., Fox, R. & Thomas, C. D. (2006) The distributions of a
595	wide range of taxonomic groups are expanding polewards. Global Change Biology,
596	<b>12.</b> 450-455.

Hijmans, R.J., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G. & Jarvis, A. (2005) Very high resolution 597 interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of 598 *Climatology*, **25**, 1965-1978. 599

- Hillebrand, H. (2004) On the generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient. American 600 Naturalist, 163, 192-211. 601
- 602 Hortal, J., Diniz-Filho, J. A., Bini, L. M., Rodríguez, M. Á., Baselga, A., Nogués-Bravo, D.,
- Rangel, T. F., Hawkins, B. A. & Lobo, J. M. (2011) Ice age climate, evolutionary constraints and diversity patterns of European dung beetles. Ecology Letters, 14, 741-604 748. 605
- Kagawa, Y. & Maeto, K. (2014) Ground beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) assemblages 606
- associated with a satoyama landscape in Japan: the effects of soil moisture, weed 607
- height, and distance from woodlands. Applied Entomology and Zoology, 49, 429-436. 608
- 609 Koivula., M., Punttila., P., Haila, Y. & Niemelä, J. (1999) Leaf litter and the small-scale

distribution of carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in the boreal forest. 610

611 Ecography, 22, 424-435.

- 612 Koivula, M. (2011) Useful model organisms, indicators, or both? Ground beetles (Coleoptera,
- Carabidae) reflecting environmental conditions. ZooKeys, 100, 287-317. 613
- König, C., P. Weigelt, P. & Kreft, H. (2017) Dissecting global turnover in vascular plants. 614 615 Global Ecology and Biogeography, 26, 228-242.
- Kotze, D. J., Brandmayr, P., Casale, A., Dauffy-Richard, E., Dekoninck, W., Koivula, M. J., 616
- Lövei, G. L., Mossakowski, D., Noordijk, J., Paarmann, W., Pizzolotto, R., Saska, P., 617

618	Schwerk, A., Serrano, J., Szyszko, J., Taboada, A., Turin, H., Venn, S., Vermeulen, R.
619	& Zetto, T. (2011) Forty years of carabid beetle research in Europe - from taxonomy,
620	biology, ecology and population studies to bioindication, habitat assessment and
621	conservation. ZooKeys, 100, 55-148.
622	Legendre, P. (2014) Replacement and richness difference components. Global Ecology and
623	<i>Biogeography</i> , <b>23</b> , 1324-1334.
624	Legendre, P., Borcard, D. & Peres-Neto, P. R. (2005) Analyzing beta diversity: Partitioning
625	the spatial variation of community data. <i>Ecological Monographs</i> , <b>75</b> , 435-450.
626	Lindroth, C.H. (1985) The Carabidae (Coleoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Fauna
627	Entomologica Scandinavica, 15, 1-225.
628	Lindroth, C.H. (1986) The Carabidae (Coleoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Fauna
629	Entomologica Scandinavica, 15, 226-497.
630	Lomolino, M.V., Riddle, B.R., Whittaker, R.J. & Brown, J.H. (2010) Biogeography. Fourth
631	Edition. Sinauer, Sunderland.
632	Lövei, G. L. & Sunderland, K. D. (1996) Ecology and behaviour of ground beetles
633	(Coleoptera: Carabidae). Annual Review of Entomology, 41, 231–256.
634	Manion, G., Lisk, M., Ferrier, S., Nieto-Lugilde, D., Mokany, K. & Fitzpatrick, M.C. (2017)
635	gdm: Generalized Dissimilarity Modeling. R package version 1.3.4.
636	https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gdm
637	Martinson, H. M. & Raupp, M.J. (2013) A meta-analysis of the effects of urbanization on
638	ground beetle communities. Ecosphere 4: 60. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/ES12-</u>
639	00262

- McKinney, M.L. (2002) Urbanization, biodiversity and conservation. *BioScience*, **52**, 883890.
- 642 Minchin, P.R. (1987) An evaluation of relative robustness of techniques for ecological
  643 ordinations. *Vegetatio*, 69, 89-107.
- 644 Mittelbach, G.G. (2012) Community Ecology. Sinauer, Sunderland.
- Müller-Kroehling, S., Jantsch, M.C., Fischer, H.S., & Fischer, A. (2014) Modelling the
  effects of global warming on the ground beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) fauna of
  beech forests in Bavaria, Germany. *European Journal of Entomology*, 111, 3549.
- 649 New, T. R. (2015) Insect Conservation and Urban Environments. Springer, New York.
- Nilsson, A.N., Elmberg, J. & Sjöberg, K. (1994) Abundance and species richness patterns of
  predaceous diving beetles (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae) in Swedish lakes. *Journal of Biogeography*, 21, 197-206.
- Nilsson, A.N. & Holmen, M. (1995) The Aquatic Adephaga of Fennoscandia and Denmark 2.
  Dytiscidae. *Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica*, **32**, 1-188.
- Nilsson, A.N. & Söderberg, H. (1996) Abundance and species richness patterns of diving
  beetles (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae) from exposed and protected sites in 98 northern
  Swedish lakes. *Hvdrobiologia*, **321**, 83-88.
- 658 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, Friendly, M., R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin,
- 659 P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E. &
- 660 Wagner, H. (2017) vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-5.
- 661 <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.</u>

663	exploring and explaining pattern in presence-absence data. Oikos, 120, 1625-1638.
664	Qian, H. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2012) Disentangling the effects of geographic distance and
665	environmental dissimilarity on global patterns of species turnover. Global Ecology
666	and Biogeography, <b>21</b> , 341-351.
667	Rainio, J. & Niemelä, J. (2003) Ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae) as bioindicators.
668	Biodiversity & Conservation, 12, 487-506.
669	Sala, O. E., F. S. Chapin, J. J. Armesto, E. Berlow, J. Bloomfield, R. Dirzo, E. Huber-
670	Sanwald, L. F. Huenneke, R. B. Jackson, A. Kinzig, R. Leemans, D. M. Lodge, H. A.
671	Mooney, M. Oesterheld, N. L. Poff, M. T. Sykes, B. H. Walker, M. Walker, and D. H.
672	Wall. (2000) Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science, 287, 1770-
673	1774.
674	Schuldt, A. & Assmann, T. (2009) Environmental and historical effects on richness and
675	endemism patterns of carabid beetles in the western Palaearctic. Ecography, 32, 705-
676	714.
677	Seppälä, M. (ed). (2005). The Physical Geography of Fennoscandia. Oxford University
678	Press. Oxford.
679	Shryock, D. F., Havrilla, C.A., DeFalco, L.A., Esque, T.C., Custer, N.A. & T. E. Wood (2015)
680	Landscape genomics of Sphaeralcea ambigua in the Mojave Desert: a multivariate,
681	spatially-explicit approach to guide ecological restoration. Conservation Genetics, 16,
682	1303-1317.

Podani, J. & Schmera, D. (2011) A new conceptual and methodological framework for

Socolar, J.B., Gilroy, J., W. Kunin, W. & Edwards, D. 2016. How should beta-diversity
inform biodiversity conservation? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **31**, 67-80.

30

685	Soininen, J., Bartels, P., Heino, J., Luoto, M. & Hillebrand, H. (2015) Toward more integrated
686	ecosystem research in aquatic and terrestrial environments. <i>BioScience</i> , 65, 174-182.
687	Soininen, J., Heino, J. & Wang, J. (2018) A meta-analysis of nestedness and turnover
688	components of beta diversity across organisms and ecosystems. Global Ecology and
689	<i>Biogeography</i> , <b>27</b> , 96-109.
690	Taboada, A., Kotze, D.J., Tárrega, R. & Salgado, J.M. (2008) Carabids of differently aged
691	reforested pinewoods and a natural pine forest in a historically modified landscape.
692	Basic and Applied Ecology, 9, 161-171.
693	Thiele, H. U. (1977) Carabid Beetles in Their Environments. Springer, Berlin.
694	Thomas, C.D., France, A.M.A. & Hill J. K. (2006) Range retractions and extinction in the
695	face of climate warming. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 21, 415-416.
696	Thomas, M.C. (2008) Beetles (Coleoptera). In: Capinera, J.L. (ed.) Encyclopedia of
697	Entomology. Second edition, pp. 437-447. Springer, New York.
698	Tonial, M.L.S., Silva, H.L.R., Tonial, I.J., Costa, M.C., Silva Júnior, N.J. & Diniz-Filho,
699	J.A.F. (2012) Geographical patterns and partition of turnover and richness
700	components of beta-diversity in faunas from Tocantins river valley. Brazilian Journal
701	of Biology, <b>72</b> , 497-504
702	Tuomisto, H. (2010) A diversity of beta diversities: straightening up a concept gone awry.
703	Part 1. Defining beta diversity as a function of alpha and gamma diversity.
704	<i>Ecography</i> , <b>33</b> , 2-22.
705	Tuomisto, H. and Ruokolainen, K. (2006) Analyzing or explaining beta diversity?
706	Understanding the targets of different methods of analysis. <i>Ecology</i> , <b>87</b> , 2697-2708.
707	Väisänen, R. & Heliövaara, K. (1994) Hot-spots of insect diversity in northern Europe.
708	Annales Zoologici Fennici, <b>31,</b> 71-81.

709	Väisänen, R., Heliövaara, K. & Immonen, A. (1992) Biogeography of northern European
710	insects: province records in multivariate analysis (Saltatoria, Lepidoptera: Sesiidae;
711	Coleoptera: Bubrestidae, Cerambycidae). Annales Zoologici Fennici, 28, 57-81.
712	Vad, C. F., A. L. Péntek, N. J. Cozma, A. Földi, A. Tóth, B. Tóth, N. A. Böde, A. Móra, R.
713	Ptacnik, É. Ács, K. Zsuga, and Z. Horváth (2017) Wartime scars or reservoirs of
714	biodiversity? The value of bomb crater ponds in aquatic conservation. Biological
715	Conservation, <b>209</b> , 253-262.
716	Victorero, L., Robert, K., Robinson, L.F., Taylor, M.L. & Huvenne, V.A.I. (2018) Species
717	replacement dominates megabenthos beta diversity in a remote seamount setting.
718	Scientific Reports, 8, 4152.
719	Wetzel, R.G. (2001) Limnology. Lake and River Ecosystems. Third Edition. Academic
720	Press, San Diego.
721	Whittaker, R. H. (1960) Vegetation of the Siskiyou Mountains, Oregon and California.
722	Ecological Monographs, <b>30</b> , 279-338.

## 724 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets utilized in this paper are accessible in published books (Lindroth, 1985; 1986;

Nilsson & Holmen, 1995) and the WorldClim database (Hijmans *et al.*, 2005).

727

# 728 Supporting Information

729 Additional Supporting Information can be found in the online version of this article.

	Ground beetle	es		Diving beetles		
	Total beta	Replacement	Richness diff	Total beta	Replacement	Richness diff
GDM deviance	48	144	252	68	162	273
Null deviance	339	181	488	338	350	487
Explained (%)	85.9	20.7	48.2	79.8	53.6	44.0
Intercept	0.177	0.091	0.076	0.165	0.033	0.083
Variable impacts						
Geographic distance	3.636	12.604	0.802	0.985	3.311	0.000
Urban	2.867	0.000	10.848	1.927	0.010	2.537
Agriculture	1.041	0.087	1.474	0.235	1.741	0.000
Forests	0.041	4.260	0.018	0.102	0.192	0.405
Open area	2.066	0.258	1.985	2.993	0.000	11.967
Wetlands	0.761	4.007	0.727	0.519	0.793	0.360
Water	0.000	1.063	0.000	0.000	0.948	0.000
Altitude	0.046	0.581	0.000	0.164	0.131	0.000
Mean annual temperature	2.995	4.575	3.418	3.614	8.705	0.000
Precipitation of wettest month	0.075	5.867	0.080	9.765	0.177	28.872

Table 1. Summaries of the GDM models for each beetle group and component of beta diversity. Also, shown are the predictor variable impacts in each model.

-	Ground beetles					
	Total beta	Replacement	Richness diff	Total beta	Replacement	Richness diff
GDM deviance	91.7	156	289	153	202	414
Null deviance	339	181	488	338	350	487
Explained (%)	72.9	14.1	40.8	54.7	42.2	14.9
Intercept	0.317	0.192	0.115	0.294	0.126	0.148
Variable impacts						
Latitude	92.873	14.687	33.185	74.922	20.678	18.413
Longitude	3.472	20.237	0.332	32.613	0.000	96.269

Table 2. Summaries of the GDM models for each beetle group and component of beta diversity, with only latitude and longitude used as predictor variables.



Fig. 1. Boxplots of median pairwise dissimilarities for total, replacement and richness difference
component of ground beetles (a to c) and diving beetles (d to f). The horizontal line describes the
median value, box denotes first and third quartiles, whiskers denote minimum and maximum
values, and dots indicate outliers. Numerical values inside the boxes denote means.



Fig. 2. RGB colour maps based on the first three axes of NMDS for total, replacement and richness
difference components across the biogeographical provinces of Northern Europe. First row: ground
beetles. Second row: diving beetles. Similar colours represent similarities in assemblage composition
between provinces.



Fig. 3. Plots of I-splines of the predictor variables (blue) and confidence intervals from bootstrapping (grey) for the beta diversity components of ground
beetles (a-c) and diving beetles (d-f) along latitudinal and longitudinal gradients. Subfigures: total beta diversity (a and d), replacement component (b and e)
and richness differences component (c and f).