

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

An investigation into the effects of different housing and feeding systems on behaviour and milk production of dairy ewes in mid and late stages of lactation

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Animal Science

At Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand.

April Elizabeth Bliss

2018

Abstract

Comparisons of different New Zealand dairy sheep farm systems are currently lacking. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of different management systems on the behaviour and milk production of East Friesian cross-bred sheep at different stages of lactation. Two study groups were evaluated. In study group 1, a mob of 479 mixed-age, mid-lactation ewes were housed 24 h/day, and a separate mob of 473 mixed-age, mid-lactation ewes were managed in a hybrid system (housed between morning and afternoon milkings; grazed lucerne overnight). Both received a total mixed ration (TMR) indoors. In study group 2, a mob of 604 mixed-age, late-lactation ewes grazed pasture 24 h/day, and a separate mob of 452 mixed-age latelactation ewes were in a hybrid system, grazing pasture overnight. For both study groups, individual milk yield, walking distance, lying time, ambient temperature, live weight, and body condition score (BCS) were recorded. All sheep gained BCS and live weight except the fully grazed late-lactation ewes. For study group 1, fully housed ewes in mid-lactation spent less time lying overall during the day, but more overnight compared with those in the hybrid system, which was likely due to the latter grazing overnight. Lying bout duration was similar between groups, while milk yield was 29% less in housed ewes compared with the hybrid ewes. For study group 2, grazing ewes in late-lactation spent more total time lying each day, had longer lying bouts, and walked further each day than those in the hybrid system. Both latelactation groups had similar milk yields. Fully-housed sheep showed a positive relationship between daily lying time and increasing ambient temperature (P=0.07), however, more detailed weather information would be required to draw conclusions from this. In summary, the hybrid management system seems to improve milk yield in mid-lactation compared with the fully housed system, whereas there was no difference between the hybrid and fully grazed systems in late-lactation. Lying behaviour and walking distances (late-lactation group only) differed among different management systems, however, it is unclear what this means in terms of animal welfare, and warrants further investigation.

ii

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank Sam Peterson, Sue McCoard, and Karin Schütz for taking time to be my supervisors, and providing me the opportunity to work on this project at AgResearch. Thank you for all of the encouragement, understanding, knowledge, and advice you have given me over the past year and half to complete my masters. I would also like to thank Caroline Chylinski for being my supervisor for the first few months of my masters programme. Thank you to all of you for helping me define my research questions, and guiding me as I faced challenges throughout this process, and helping me with everything else! I have gained invaluable skills and knowledge over the past year and half that I will use for the rest of my life.

Thank you to James Wang, Dongwen Luo, and Harold Henderson for their statistical skills to help me learn and analyse data in my research. I also thank Vanessa Cave and Peter Johnstone for their advice in the challenges we faced in statistical analysis, and David Stevens for his assistance in editing the paper to be published from this research.

This study was funded by The Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE; contract C10X1305) and co-funded by Spring Sheep Dairy Primary Growth Partnership. Without this funding, this study would not have been possible.

Thank you to Spring Sheep Milk Co. for having me at your farm, and thank you to the farm staff for their day-to-day management of the trial and assistance in data collection.

Last, but not least, a huge thank you to my amazing mama and dada, family, partner, friends, and colleagues who have supported, motivated, and encouraged me endlessly over the past year and half. And to Alfie, for all of the meows of encouragement! There aren't enough words to thank you enough; without you, I don't know how I would have gotten to the end!

Table of contents

Abstractii	
Acknowledgementsiii	i
۲able of contentsiv	
.ist of figuresvii	
Chapter 1:	
A review of the dairy sheep industry and physical and physiological factors affecting sheep	
nilk production1	
ntroduction2)
1.1 Overview of the dairy sheep industry3	
1.2 Factors effecting milk production5	;
1.2.1 Genetics	5
1.2.2 Age and parity8	
1.2.3 Nutrition11	L
1.2.4 Body condition15	
1.2.5 Stage of lactation16	5
1.2.6 Number of lambs18	
1.2.7 Management system20)
Weaning system20)
Milking frequency23	;
Activity level25	;
1.2.8 Environmental factors affecting animal welfare and milk production	5
Heat stress26	;
Housing)

1.2.9 Mastitis	31
1.3 Methods of measuring sheep activity used in previous studies	33
1.4 Summary	35
Chapter 2:	
The impact of different management systems on behaviour and milk production	of dairy
ewes in different stages of lactation; a case study	
Introduction	39
2.1 Materials and methods	40
2.1.1 Animals and management systems	40
2.1.2 Milk yield, BCS and live weight	42
2.1.3 Walking distance and lying behaviour	42
2.1.4 Weather	43
2.1.5 Statistical analysis	44
2.2 Results	45
2.2.1 Study group 1	45
2.2.2 Study group 2	48
2.3 Discussion	52
Chapter 3:	
General discussion	55
Background	56
3.1 Key findings	57
Milk yield of sheep in different housing systems	57
Walking distance of sheep in different housing systems	58
Lying behaviour of sheep in different housing systems	58

References	63
3.3 Implications and future research	61
3.2 Limitations	59
BCS and live weight of sheep in different housing systems	59
Ambient temperature in different housing systems	59

List of figures and tables

Figure1.1 Effect of parity on total milk yield (L) of Laxta dairy sheep in Spain. Source: Ruiz et al.
(2000)
Figure 1.2 Average lactation curve of dairy sheep in New Zealand at their first (solid, n=22),
second (dashed, n=48), and third or more (dotted, n=52) lactation. Source: Scholtens et al.
(2017a)10
Figure 1.3 How milk fat concentration is affected by NDF concentration in feed in dairy sheep
whose milk yield is >1.5 kg/d. Source: Pulina et al. (2006)15
Figure 1.4 Changes in body condition of primiparous (graph one) and multiparous (graph two)
Lacaune ewes as they transition from twice-a-day to once-a-day milking (single lamb = °; twin
lambs = •). Source: Gonzalez-Garcia et al. (2015)16
Figure 1.5 Average lactation curves for the first two months of lactation in EF sheep on one
New Zealand farm, lambing at different times of the year. Source: Peterson et al.
(2005)
Figure 1.6 Weekly milk yield (●), somatic cell count (■), and lactose content (▲) of individual
Churra dairy sheep from two weeks post-partum in Spain. Source: Fuertes et al.
(1998)
Figure 1.7 Daily milk yield (g) of single and twin-bearing, non-dairy, EF-cross sheep in New
Zealand after lambing at different times of the year (*=significant difference; n for each group
provided in each column). Source: Peterson et al. (2005)
Figure 1.8 Total daily milk production by dairy ewes which are either machine milked once or
twice-a-day and/or suckled by their lambs in Canada. Source: Cant et al.
(2000)
(2000)
Figure 1.9 Milk secretion rate in mammary glands of Sarda dairy sheep in Italy in the hours
after being milked. Source: Cannas et al.
(2002)

Figure 2.2 Inside the housing barn with kiln-dried wood chips as bedding......42