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## RÉSUMÉ

Le transport public existe presque partout dans le monde. Cela permet à toutes les personnes le désirant de se déplacer d'un endroit à un autre d'une ville de façon économique et écologique. De plus, de plus en plus de données sont disponibles de nos jours grâce aux systèmes embarqués à l'intérieur des véhicules. Ces données pourraient être utilisées dans une optique de prévision des retards, qui permettraient par la suite de les anticiper. Ainsi la fiabilité des horaires serait améliorée et plus de gens seraient suceptibles d'employer ce mode de transport. Des travaux ont été réalisés afin de prédire les retards en utilisant différentes données, cependant aucune d'elle ne l'a fait dans l'idée d'intégrer ces prévisions dans les procédures de création de planification de trajet.

Au cours de ce mémoire, divers modèles de prédicition de retard pour les fins de trajet sont essayés. Il ne s'agit pas de prédire le retard exact, mais de classifier les retards des fins de trajet. Afin d'être utile aux planificateurs d'horaires, ces modèles n'utilisent que des données qui peuvent se trouver en amont de la planification. Les données exploitées pour les modèles sont des observations historiques de la ville de Montréal. Deux problèmes de classification sont abordés au cours de ce mémoire. Le premier est un modèle de classification binaire qui prédit si un bus va finir son trajet en retard ou à l'heure. Le second est un modèle qui prévoit dans quel créneau de retard le bus va finir son trajet. Pour chacun des problèmes, trois algorithmes de machine learning pour l'estimation des retards sont testés : réseau de neurones, forêt aléatoire et arbre stimulé par gradient. De plus, une régression logistique est également testée afin de comparer les résultats par rapport à une méthode plus standard. Les modèles sont optimisés selon différentes méthodes et sont comparés en terme de précision et de temps d'entraînement.

Les modèles sont par la suite entraînés sur une période et testés sur d'autres afin d'étudier la possibilité d'intégrer ces modèles dans le processus de création de lignes. Par la suite, les prédictions sont utilisées afin de créer des distributions de probabilité pour les différents crénaux de retard pour les fins de trajet des bus. Les différents algorithmes sont testés afin de distinguer ceux qui reproduisent au mieux la réalité.

Le projet conclut sur la possibilité d'utiliser les données de planning pour prédire le retard des fins de trajet des bus. Une classification sur plusieurs classes peut être améliorée en intégrant de l'apprentissage non supervisée afin de déterminer les classes de retard. Il est également possible d'entraîner un modèle sur des périodes passées afin de prédire sur de futures périodes, mais cette méthode doit être encore améliorée.


#### Abstract

Public transportation services are provided in almost all the cities of the world. They allow people to move through the cities in an economical and eco-friendly way. The buses are one of the possible solutions for public transportation. Moreover buses are interesting to study because more data are available from onboard systems and can be used to optimize service quality.

Indeed, preventing delays could improve service reliability and thus make people more likely to use public transport instead of their cars, which are currently more comfortable and more reliable. The first step in this process would be to forecast the delays. A lot of factors are linked to delays: peak-hour traffic, weather or accidents, etc. Some studies were conducted to predict end trips delay using real-time input which does not allow improvement to schedule reliability because these data are not available during planning.

This research focuses on modeling end-trip arrival time for each bus trip based only on offline input available to public transport planner. The models do not intend to predict the exact delays, but rather to classify them. The delays used to train and test the models are historical observations from the city of Montreal in autumn 2017. Two different classification problems were treated. The first one estimates the probability for a trip to end on-time or late. The second one estimates the slot of delay. For each problem, three different machine learning models were built and optimized: random forest, gradient boosted tree and artificial neural network. Also, logistic regression was tested in order to compare the results. Several optimization methods were tried. The models are compared in term of accuracy, recall, f1 score and training time.

The data from another period (autumn 2016) were then added to the database, and the model tested on the aggregated database. The model accuracy remained constant after the addition of the new period. The models were then fit on a single period (autumn 2016) and tested on the other one (autumn 2017) in order to check the possibility to use the model to forecast future schedules. The prediction is then used to generate a probability distribution for the different trips to end late to assess service reliability. The probability distributions are then compared with reality by comparing the distance between them and the frequencies of delays for the different trips. Normal distribution was also tested and obtained better results than the machine learning models.

The project concluded that it is possible to model end trip delays using offline data. Multilabel classification can be improved by using unsupervised learning to determine classes.


There is also a potential of training the models on some periods in order to predict for future ones.
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## CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Public transit is a mass transportation system available to all and operated on defined lines and schedule, often managed by private companies under contracts of public instances. Public transport is from an economic and environmental point of view, the most effective means of transportation. Trains, subway, buses, and ferries are examples of public transport.

Several features are essential for a satisfactory public transport service, such as price, comfort and travel time. One of the most important features is the reliability of the scheduling, as stated by Peek and Van Hagen (2002) [1]. With the deployment of Advanced Public Transportation Systems (APTS), it is getting easier to gather information about the trip and thus to monitor the efficiency of the schedules.

Moreover, the cost of components giving access to real-time information about bus trips has decreased, which has led to a global deployment of these systems. The data extracted could help to improve the public transport service and reduce their costs.

### 1.1 Framework of the project

A definition of the basic concepts is essential to understand the project and to avoid misinterpretations. To characterize the subject, the following sections will present the Montreal bus network and of the contractor in charge of managing the planning : GIRO.

### 1.1.1 Basic concepts and definitions

A bus is a transport facility which can transfer a limited number of people at the same time; large buses can accommodate up to one hundred persons. A stop is a place where the bus halts along its way and where people can get in or out of the bus. A line is a collection of stops which determines a path from one terminus to another. A trip is a line covered by a bus, leaving a terminus at a determined time. A block is a sequence of trips that a bus covers between its start at a depot and its return. A layover is a buffer time during two trips of a block.

The figure 1.1 is an example of the schedule of a block. In this example, a bus is covering a sequence of 3 trips. The layover at the different terminuses serves the purpose of preventing the propagation of delays. When a bus finishes the last trip of a block, it comes back to the depot.


Figure 1.1 Concepts schematic

At the end of a trip, there are several possibilities : the bus can either do the same line in the return way, or stay at the same terminus and cover another trip, or go to another terminus and cover another trip. The trip intra terminus without covering any trip in the last option is called an Haut-le-pied (HLP) or deadhead.

### 1.1.2 Case study : the Montreal Bus network

The population of the island of Montreal is about 1,705,000 inhabitants in an area of 431,5 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$. The Montreal urban design is the superposition of an old urban division which comes from the French seigneurial period and the classic checkerboard pattern from North-American cities [2]. One of the characteristics of the road organization in Montreal is the few numbers of left-turn.

The Société de transport de Montréal (STM) administrates Montreal public transportation. In 2016, 221 bus lines were in activity, which represented about 4300 trips a day. Within the four subway lines, it is more than 429,5 million of travels during this year. The busiest bus lines could transport 31777 people on average each day [3]. A new metro line is actually under construction and could be active for 2025.

The continental climate of the island of Montreal complicates public transport planning. Indeed, the cold winters damage the roads and make road works necessary during the rest of the year. It is an additional difficulty for the schedules of public transport.

More than a third of the vehicles in Montreal are equipped with APTS devices, mostly for passenger counting and GPS location over time. For each trip covered by a bus equipped with APTS devices, data are available at each stop, such as date, line number, direction, block

ID, trip ID, vehicle ID and the number of people in the vehicle. At each trip covering a line at a specific time is given an Original Trip Number (OTN). Furthermore, for each record, the scheduled time and the real departure time are available.

### 1.1.3 GIRO

The project has been done with the partnership of GIRO. GIRO is a Canadian company founded in 1979 which provides software solutions for Public transport and postal organizations to plan, optimize, and manage their operations. Its clients are all over the world (the STM in Montréal, the SNCF in France, MTA in New-York, SBS in Singapore...). GIRO is providing the software Hastus to the STM in order to help in the scheduling and the operations. This software could manage a fleet of more than six thousand vehicles.

One of the current GIRO challenges is to integrate machine learning tools in their solutions for improving the reliability of their schedule, predicting the delay or the absence rate among the drivers. For that purpose, it has developed a partnership with universities and Research Chair, such as the Canada Excellence Research Chair in Data Science for Real-Time DecisionMaking.

### 1.1.4 Machine Learning

Articial Intelligence (AI) is a field of computer science and was first introduced in 1956. AI includes all the theory and practices about creating things able to reproduce intelligence. Machine Learning (ML) is one of the study fields of AI. ML is based on a statistical approach in order to allow computers to improve their way of solving problems by themselves. The implementation of such methods is an essential part of ML.

In the first part of a ML implementation, the objective is to model an existing database. The model uses features as inputs and targets as outputs. This phase is called the learning phase. The second part is to try to predict future outputs using a combination of features. The learning can be supervised if the desired predictions are known : for example classification or regression. However, on the contrary, the learning is qualified of unsupervised if the objective of the learning is to determine and define the structure of the data [4].

There are several ML kinds of models : decision trees (which include Random Forest (RF) and Gradient Boosted Tree (GBT)), Support Vector Machine (SVM) or Artificial NeuralNetwork (ANN), just to quote some.

### 1.2 Problem

Deciding of the problem of the thesis was one of the main difficulties of the project. Indeed, at the beginning of the project, the framework was not specified. The first objective was to get ideas of various projects and determine their potential in term of machine-learning and their feasibility.

As the service quality is one of the main issues for public transportation planners and because GIRO is one of the main actors in this sector, it was decided that the project would aim to improve service quality.

An analysis of the available data led to the first outline of a problem : forecasting the delay at each stop using real-time data. However, the literature review showed that this problem was addressed by several before. Moreover, GIRO acts upstream in the public transportation system, and no real application could be found. Working with off-line data was essential for the project. Then, the idea of working with the layover appeared.

The analysis of the data shows that the scheduled time is not always respected, and the delay could be propagated inside a block. The layover, which is a buffer time, could prevent the propagation of delay. Thus it was thought that a new method to find adequate layover could be developed.

The layover is the main leverage a public transport planner could use in order to prevent delay propagation. Predicting the departure status of the trip was then the new objective of the thesis. However, once the situation is modeled, it just described the adequacy of the layover in this particular situation, and the importance of the feature layover was too significant. It was too difficult to transpose the models to other periods. The final idea was to forecast the delay of the end-trip, in order for public transport to have an idea of the necessary buffer time to prevent delay propagation.

### 1.3 Objectives

The first objective of this project was to determine the potential of the available data in machine learning processes. To decide it, an analysis of the different features was necessary. This analysis led to decide the other objectives of the projects.

The second objective was to find out if it was possible to model the end trip delays using offline data in Montreal. The data used come from Autumn 2017. Different machine learning models were candidates for this. Both binary and multi-label classifiers models were tested. Then the next step was to try if it was possible to train the different models on one period
(Autumn 2016) and test it on another (Autumn 2017). The objective of this part was to assess the possibility to predict end trip delays for future periods.

The ultimate objective of this thesis was to prepare a final deliverable for GIRO, predicting the probability distributions of delays for the different trips to help to create more reliable schedules. These distributions were compared to a normal distribution.

### 1.4 Work overview

This dissertation displays the work done to estimate the bus end trip delays. It also shows examples of how these models could be used practically. The thesis which presents this work is composed of different parts.

The first section presents a literature overview of the several topics of this thesis : the scheduling, arrival time prediction models, service reliability and APTS technologies. The analysis of arrival time prediction models shows that the use of only scheduling data had not been done yet, and this paper offers to fill this gap.

The second chapter describes the methodology and the several sources used to create the database. An analysis of the database is presented, as the correlation between the different features.

The third chapter describes the building and the optimization of the machine learning models which were used to estimate the delays for the various trips of the schedule. This chapter first presents binary classifying models and the second part describes multi-label ones. The last section shows the results of a model fits on one period and tested on another one. The algorithms are compared with a metric combining accuracy and recall : f1 score.

The fourth chapter presents the proposed method to assess schedule reliability. The trips are aggregated by time slot, line, and direction, and the probability distribution for the different delay classes are proposed. An analysis of the distance between the different probability distributions and reality described by frequencies in each category is presented.

Finally, the thesis concludes with the best of the algorithms presented in this work which could be used to predict bus end trip arrival times and proposes ideas for future work.

## CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Public transport planning

The public transport planning decomposes in several sub-problems [5]. The first one is estimating the demand, the second one is deciding the network design, the third one is creating the vehicle scheduling and the last one is the driver assignment. The following section will describe currently operated algorithms used to answer these different problems, such as the various factors essential for quality service in public transportation.

### 2.1.1 Planning methodology

The demand is often estimated by analyzing the previous data and estimating the habits of people. Origin-Destination (OD) matrices are used to model the demand, by estimating the number of people susceptible to go from the various origins to the different destinations. The OD matrices are created depending on the time of the days and are the best ways of estimating the needs of the public transportation network. Such matrices could either be created in static or dynamic way [6]. External factors such as fares or quality of the service should be taken into account to improve their accuracy [7].

Designing a network is a problem which aims at deciding the topology and the frequency of the operated lines to fulfill the demand under constraints of budget [8]. The routes and their recurrences can be assigned either separately using a first route set generation and genetic algorithm [9] or simultaneously [10]. The genetic algorithm also enables multi-objective and creates routes from scratches and produces results near to the Pareto optimum [11].

The vehicle scheduling problem aims at assigning buses to trips to cover a given timetable, taking into account the bus fleet and other practical constraints, such as the number of depots [12]. This problem is more complex than a routing problem because it has time windows as constraints [13]. The layover, or buffer time, is thus one of the leverages for having robust schedules. The algorithm produces sequences of trips which should be covered by buses, also called block. It is in this aspect of public transport planning that the project took place.

The last phase of the problem is driver assignment. Two elements composed this question : the first one is the creation of the different work days and the second one is to assign drivers to these work days, under some constraints such as collective agreements or workers availability. This problem is called the bus driver scheduling problem [14].

### 2.1.2 Quality factor for planning

Several factors can lead people to use public transportation [15]. These factors are :

- the fare of the public transport ticket, which supports the service provided. However the price is not the factor of the decision in itself, but the difference of expense in comparison to other transport solutions such as the bike or the car.
- the accessibility, in space which is the distance minimum to reach access to the public transport network, and in time which is the waiting time before accessing the facility
- the mean travel time from one origin to a destination. It also has to be compared to the travel time with other transport facilities
- the comfort of the passenger during the journey. The fact that some transports are overcrowded or outdated can have a fatal impact on the way people perceive public transportation.
- the safety
- the service reliability, which is how close the journey is to what expected the passenger. The thesis will develop this topic.

These various quality factors were prioritized in a Maslow pyramid by Peek and Van Hagen in 2002 as the figure 2.1 shows. Some quality factors could be qualified either of "satisfier", which means that their presence could encourage more people to use public transport, or "unsatisfier", which means their absence could prevent people from using public transport.


Figure 2.1 Quality factors in public transport presented in pyramid of Maslow (source : Peek and Van Hagen 2002)

Most people still uphold to use the private motor vehicle because of its convenience, despite the environmental degradation. Studies have shown that four major study fields will help to understand how to make people shift from private car to public transportation : improvement in service reliability, creating new evaluation methods, studying specificities of car users and how to make people perceived the benefits of public transportation [16].

### 2.2 Advanced Public Transportation Systems

APTS are a sub-class of Intelligent Transportation Systems which were defined by the European Union (EU) Directive 2010/40/EU as systems where communication and information manage users, traffic flows, infrastructures and transports [17].

There are different types of APTS, also called intelligent public transportation Systems. The main advantage of using APTS technology is that the data could be collected automatically, and thus not having manual data collection efforts. APTS aims at enhancing efficiency and effectiveness of public transportation infrastructure, using archived data [18] [19]. The following sections will present different APTS systems and how the analysis of the data they provided have improved service quality.

### 2.2.1 Automatic Passenger Count

For instance, many transit authorities throughout Canada and the United States used Automatic Passenger Count (APC) technology [20]. APC systems can count the number of people using a set of infrared beams which cross stairwells at waist level. Passengers who are boarding or leaving break the beam and so close the switches : systems can count and record the passenger activity. Treadle mats can also be used to count the number of people entering and leaving public transports [21].

More recent counting passengers systems are developed, and they include tracking and validation to people detection. These methods use various image recognition methods, detecting clothes and physical characteristics. They perform well on dense and sparse crowds and can identify more than $20 \%$ accurately than other competitive methods [22]. Stereovision can also be used to count the number of passengers in buses and subway [23].

There are multiples objectives in counting the number of people in public transports : monitoring user trends, analyzing performance and identify problematic locations [21].

APC systems have been assessed, and measurement errors exist. However, the percentage of errors with APC systems is not relevant compared to mistakes made during manual data collection techniques [20].

### 2.2.2 Automatic Vehicle Location

Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) use Global Positioning System (GPS) devices to track in real-time a whole fleet of buses. These AVL systems were designed at first for monitoring buses in real-time [24], but now it also provides data for offline analysis. AVL systems offer a
large amount of data which enable to track the percentage of schedule adherence at each stop and combine with APC data could even connect to the number of customers affected [25].

The schedule adherence is the percentage of buses which arrives within a time window near the schedule one and acceptable for the user. A poor quality schedule adherence can prevent passengers from using public transportation. AVL data can determine the statistical distribution of arrival time at each stop, and so update the transportation times in the timetables, and thus improving transit on-time performance [26]. Another example is the use of AVL data to detect bottleneck in public transportation networks [27].

Even if AVL systems are used to monitor the adherence to a schedule, they can have impacts directly on the willingness of the operator to keep on schedule, and thus on the schedule adherence directly [28].

### 2.2.3 Smart card

A smart card in public transportation is an electronic device which serves as a proof of subscription or automatizes fare collection systems. The predominant technology used actually in the smart card is Radio Frequency Identification (RFID). Transit agencies used them increasingly because of their efficiency and effectiveness. While collecting payments, they produce large amounts of data which could compare planned and real trips arrival time or count the number of people onboard. The biggest challenge for this technology is to link the different trips made by a user to map their whole journey [29].

Smart card data coupled with cellphone call detail can provide patterns for urban mobility and transport mode choices, and thus find problematic locations which could prevent public transport usage. This method of data mining to determine temporal and spatial variability in transport mode preference has been tested on Singapore [30]. Moreover, mobile phone data give the ability to transit operator to improve the management of their service by monitoring the real demand with the one planned. Travel patterns can be found using mobile phone location data, and then provide accurate OD demand. New routes and schedules which could reduce both travel time and waiting time can be identified [31].

### 2.3 Service reliability

Improving service reliability could lead more people to use public transports. Moreover, public transport planners try to maximize it. This section describes the service reliability and focuses on schedule reliability.

### 2.3.1 Definition of service reliability

Service reliability can be defined as the difference between the expected service in time and comfort relative to the one perceived by the user. The time reliability can be assessed by the waiting time compared to the scheduled one. The comfort reliability can be evaluated by the possibility of finding a seat during peak hours and by the percentage of bus overcrowded. The degree of reliability relies mainly on the passenger assumption of the variability.

The planning robustness has been defined as the capacity of a schedule to respond to disruptions without impacting the rest of the network [32]. The leading cause of disturbance in schedules is the presence of delays within the schedules, and thus planning robustness is directly linked to service reliability. Methods had been established to improve planning robustness, which mainly consists of deciding the trade-off between the service quality, service reliability and operating costs [33].

### 2.3.2 Improving service reliability

One way of improving service reliability is by establishing holding points alongside the lines. Holding points are specific stops where the driver has to wait for a determined departure time to leave the particular stop if he is in advance. When holding points are employed, the additional travel time decreases [34].

Other factors can help in improving service reliability. For that, the STM has introduced smart card, has restricted some lane to bus-only use, has added articulated buses to its fleet and had integrated transit signal priority. Except for the transit signal priority, all the other solutions had positive impacts on service variation, a component of transit service reliability [35].

During the network design, transit planner can also improve service reliability by, for example, choosing line length and stop spacing. The waiting time can be decreased by $65 \%$ during the timetable design by optimizing the choice of the percentile value used. A too high value can improve the robustness of a model but can lead to extra waiting time for passenger between different stops [36].

### 2.3.3 Measuring service reliability

A measure of the service reliability can be done by counting the number of buses arriving within a time window near to the schedule one. However, for some tests, service reliability can be assessed as the number of buses arriving at the destination, regardless of the delay [37].

In the transit field, the ratio volume/capacity has been used to label critical points. A modern method to evaluate network performance has been developed, studying link capacity and network design [38]. This method had enabled more benefits than with the volume/capacity methods, by also quantifying the time saves.

Problematic links labeling is essential to evaluate the vulnerability of a public transport network. A robustness index has been proposed, using the capacity of the network for assessing the service performance [39]. This method locates critical links by using the change in all the network locations and can be used when the network robustness index is not significant.

### 2.3.4 Delay propagation and bus bunching

Several types of delay exist, such as the current delay, the primary delay (a bus ends a trip late) and the secondary delay (which occurs when a bus ends late a trip because the delay propagated). Cumulative distribution functions could model all of these delays. Thus, it has been possible to represent the delay propagation with an activity graph [40].

Using layover as buffer times could prevent a primary delay from propagating, and thus avoid further problems. Indeed, because of a primary delay, the number of people at each stop waiting for a bus will increase, and thus the probability of stopping at each stop and the dwell time will extend, generating more delays, causing the headway with the next bus to shrink. This phenomenon is called bus bunching. Some researches have tried to use APTS data to determine the causes of bus bunching [41].

Due to delay propagation, passengers could experience several negative aspects : changes in expected travel times, augmentation of the probability to find a vehicle crowed and the impossibility of finding a seat [15].

### 2.4 Predicting Delay in Public transportation

The main objective of the project was to estimate the end trip delay of trips. The following sections will review different methods used to predict travel time and forecast end trip delays, using various types of data.

The data collected through APTS have enabled the building of accurate models for bus travel times predictions and thus have improved the robustness of schedules. There are various factors which impact on bus travel times : some can be anticipated when designing networks such as the hour of the day and the route, but others cannot, such as weather conditions, traffic accidents or special events. Arrival time has been estimated using various methods, which mainly use real-time data.

### 2.4.1 Models using percentile methods

The most straightforward method to predict the travel time in public transportation is the use of the percentile travel time method. Using the 95th percentile travel time method indicates that 95 percent of the trips have a travel time shorter than the 95 th percentile travel time. However this method is not accurate because generally the trips are not distinguished, and thus this method does not take into account exterior information such as the peak hours.

### 2.4.2 Models using historical data

Historical data models analyze travel time on the previous trip at the same period to forecast the bus travel time, assuming the traffic journey to remain stationary. Patterns could be found for traffic conditions on a daily and weekly basis, and the forecast could be done accurately for buses arrival time for part of the day, for each day of the week [42]. However, these models cannot take into account the subtleties of traffic.

Models could also use previous average travel time to predict further bus arrival time. This method was mainly used as a reference for other studies. This model was almost every time outperformed by the algorithm proposed by these researches [43]. Other inputs can be taken into account to adjust the results and improve accuracy. The main other independent feature which could be added to the model is the weather condition. Previous travel times can also be combined with dynamic data sources such as AVL systems to develop real-time prediction algorithm [44].

Another historical data model uses the average speed of vehicles over certain links of a route to forecast the Estimated Time of Arrival (ETA) of buses. Different algorithms have been
proposed to estimate bus arrival time extracting the average speed from GPS data [45]. A variation of this model has been proposed latter, combining real-time location, real-time traffic, historical travel time, temporal and spatial variations of the traffic conditions [46]. The main factor for the ETA of a bus is its current speed.

The previous models use historical data to make direct forecasts depending on the part of the day. The results could be made more accurate using a Double-Seasonal Holt-Winter's Exponential Smoothing approach. This method allows the model to update itself with four different parameters and thus can take into account seasonality and time-trend in data series. The results show that estimation is approximately $10 \%$ better than elementary statistical models [47].

Models using historical data require a considerable set of data which cannot be available. Therefore, these models are not suitable for analysis with too significant variances in the differences in speed or travel times.

### 2.4.3 Models using statistics

The different factors which impact on bus arrival time are the diver behavior, signal, number of people on the bus. These variables are used as independent ones in the majority of the studies, and the accuracy of the methods rely on their independence [48]. Most of the literature about statistical models have been published before the 1990s.

One of the statistical model used to forecast the ETA of buses is the use of time series. This model uses arrival time from historical periods to predict future arrival times. It is assumed that mathematical functions can provide patterns to determine arrival times, and these patterns will not change in the future. Precision for this model relies mostly on the likeness between previous and actual patterns [49]. Time series were not tested during the project because they need real-time data and we used offline data.

Another statistical model is using a regression model, and it is more likely to work under uncertain traffic condition. These models measure simultaneously the effects of various inputs in order to predict bus arrival times. These inputs can come from APC systems. Distance, boarding passengers, number of stops and weather information were used in various multilinear regression to estimate bus arrival time at terminus [50]. One of the main advantages of regression is revealing the impact of each feature in the model. However, these models are usually outperformed by other models because the input data are highly inter-correlated [49]. This method is relatively easy to implement and was thus used as a comparison to the different machine learning models during the project.

### 2.4.4 Models using Machine Learning

There are several advantages of using ML models over statistical models. It is easier to deal with interdependent features in input and noisy data can be processed [51]. ML models are used without processing traffic data. However, results for one stop are not assignable to another location because of location specificities. The different machine learning algorithms implemented during the project are ANN, GBT and RF, and are presented in the following sections. SVM models are also described.

ANN have been recently more used for estimating bus travel time because of their ability to model any kind of function, even non-linear one. ANN models predicting end-trip arrival time has been proposed, using only GPS data, trained with historical data and test with real-time information. The ANN model outperformed the historical average model in term of prediction accuracy and robustness [52]. Jeong and Rilett also proposed an ANN model which performed better than multilinear regression models [53]. Furthermore, a model using ANN to predict bus travel time with GPS data and other features such as the number of passengers boarding had been proven efficient on a line of the Delhi public transport network [54].

The gradient boosting tree algorithm is a method based on decision trees, which creates new decision trees based on the errors made on the previous models. These new decision trees are therefore used to adjust the weight of data in the training set, to minimize a loss function. GBT algorithms have outperformed simpler model for travel time prediction [55]. However, the training time could be too considerable. GBT were also used in a method based on predicting the travel time of the different journey segments of a trip. This method also uses queueing theory estimators [56].

SVM is a recent neural network algorithm which tries to find the separating border between different output in order to classify them. The main advantage of SVM is the model find without specific function the relation between input and output. SVM models have been tested using three features : the segment, the travel time of the ongoing section and the latest travel time of the next section. The results showed that SVM model could be used to predict bus arrival time [57]. However, significant problems can cause computation time issues. Bus travel time has also been estimated using real-time road traffic with a SVM model, and this model has outperformed the ANN model [58].

RF algorithms create a specified number of decision trees during the training phase, and for multi-label classification, output the class represented the more in all the decision trees generated. RF models can also be operated for labeling bottleneck in public transport network by estimating a probability distribution for congestion in time and space [59]. This
algorithm manages to reduce the prediction error on congested days by $38 \%$ compared to a genetic algorithm. Another use of a RF algorithm for public transportation is to predict bus travel time using the near neighbor method [60]. This article demonstrates that even the computation time is slow, the model obtains a high accuracy. RF models have also been used to predict the service reliability of a bus line directly in Dalian (China) by estimating the punctuality, frequency and load factors of the buses [61].

Other machine learning algorithms exist and could have been tested during the project. For example recurrent neural networks, which are a class of artificial neural networks. However, this model is more specific for problems with temporal dynamic behavior and was not well suited for a model with offline data.

### 2.4.5 Models using Kalman Filter

Kalman filters were adopted along the years for many technologies using treatment signals, such as radar or communication. A Kalman filter uses the dynamic of an object to deduce its static characteristics, hence eliminating the noise of signals. These data can be used for studying the past, analyzing the present or predicting the future.

In some models Kalman filters had been incorporated to predict the expected bus arrival time at individual bus stops, using the filter to make the data more reliable and a neural network for the robustness [62]. Kalman filters can also integrate data from social networks in which people posted news about events they witnessed [63]. This method had been tested on a traffic simulator.

Kalman filters are used for preprocessing the data in models which also combine machine learning. Indeed, Kalman filters clean the data and make them more accurate, which help many machine learning models, especially with real-time data.

### 2.4.6 Conclusion

Different machine learning models can use APTS data in order to estimate bus arrival time, and they outperformed other models. Techniques such as Kalman filters can improve more the accuracy of the models. However, it has only been used for real-time prediction and not for the estimation of planning overall. The main advantage of machine learning models is that they find some patterns that human could not distinguish.

In this project, machine learning models will be trained on historical data on a planning level, in order to predict future periods, and will be compared to real-time data coming from APTS systems.

## CHAPTER 3 DATA ANALYSIS

The database is an essential aspect when creating a machine learning model. Data could be collected from one or multiple sources, linked altogether, cleaned and then preprocessed before being operated in the models. This chapter presents the procedure which has led to the creation of the database. Therefore the database is analyzed, and the importance of the features is discussed. GIRO provided the data used in this project, which were extracted from the APTS systems installed by the STM in their fleet. They concern the period of the Autumn 2017 and Autumn 2016 for the buses in Montreal. As the data from Autumn 2016 were only used for a specific analysis, it was not investigated during the data analysis. However, the methodology to build it was the same as for Autumn 2017.

### 3.1 Planning Data

In public transportation, the planning is a document which displays the details about the trips scheduled, which means the departure time for the different lines. It also arranges the trips in sequence, called blocks. Moreover, it specifies the time of departure from the depot, the return to the depot and the HLP.

The schedule for Autumn 2017 was operated from the 28th of August until the 29th October, for the weekdays and except the 4th of September and 9th October which were public holidays. In total, data from 43 days composes this database. Furthermore, 19355 trips were scheduled for each weekday in Montreal during the described period. Hence the Autumn 2017 schedule can be used to describe 831405 unique trips.

Figure 3.1 shows an example of data from the planning provided by GIRO.


Figure 3.1 Example of Planning file

The documents were available under several formats, and the format Comma-separated values (CSV) was used and transformed under XLSX files. The different elements available in the planning files are :

- the id of the line operated. The data can be missing if the bus is operating a return to the depot or an HLP for example. For the second record of the previous figure, the line ID is " 178 ".
- the id of the origin of the trip. For the second record of the previous figure, the origin is "O23601".
- the scheduled start time of the trip. For the second record of the previous figure, the start time is "06:00".
- the scheduled end time of the trip. For the second record of the previous figure, the scheduled end time ID is "06:18".
- the id of the destination of the trip. For the second record of the previous figure, the Id of the destination is "V04802".
- the permanent number. This number is specific to each trip, and thus be considered as a primary key because it identifies uniquely every trip. For the second record of the previous figure, the permanent number is "111227617".
- the block id. For the second record of the previous figure, the block ID is "10-03".
- the type of the trip. It can be either "regular" when it the bus operates a line, or "exit" when the bus leaves the depot, or "return" when the bus comes back to the depot or "HLP" which are the bobtails. For the second record of the previous figure, the trip is "Regular".
- the minimum layover time in minutes. For the second record of the previous figure, the minimum layover time is " 2 ".
- the actual layover. For the second record of the previous figure, the minimum layover time is " 0 ".
- the shortfall layover, which is different from 0 if the actual layover is lower than the minimum layover. However, this situation does not exist in our database. For the second record of the previous figure, the shortfall layover time is not indicated.
- the deviation, because buses can follow different paths for the same line, for example for a special time of the day. For the second record of the previous figure, the deviation is not indicated, which means that the bus follows the classic path.
- the direction, which can be one of the four cardinal directions. For the second record of the previous figure, the deviation "South".

The layover is not always indicated because if an HLP follows a regular trip, the layover will be transferred at the end of the HLP. The minimum layover time comes from the $95 \%$ percentile method, which means that if the minimum layover is satisfied, at least $95 \%$ of the trips would start on-time. However, this method is not very robust.

One trip is unique for each day, and its primary key is the permanent number. During the project, it was slightly modified and called OTN. A primary key composed of the day number aggregated to the permanent number was created to identify each trip in our database uniquely. The id created was named Unique Original Trip Number (UOTN).

### 3.2 APTS Data

The APTS data provided by the STM data center are a mix of AVL and APC data. They were recorded via a system called SCAD. In the data furnished, manually collected data were present but were removed.

### 3.2.1 Raw data

The gathered data were transferred upon a CSV format. One record gave the information of a bus making a halt at a bus stop, and various precise details such as the actual time of arrival and the number of people boarding and leaving the bus. Figure 3.2 is an example of a file provided :

| 7 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SYSRECNO | SYSACCESS | MEA_DATE | ORIGINAL_TR | ROUTE_ID | DIRECTION | BLOCK_ID | STOP_RANK | STOP_ID | SCHEDULED_ | MEA_ARR_T | MEA_DEP_T | NB_BOA | NB_DEB | \| PASSENGER_l |
| 2 | 139814965 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 135388586 | 139 | 0.0 | 139-44 | 9 | 120036 | 1109400 | 1109740.0 | 1111070.0 | 97.0 | 15.0 | 106.0 |
| 3 | 132232020 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 26206424 | 215 | 2.0 | 460-52 | 0 | 3102750 | 981000 |  | 981000.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 4 | 132232036 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 26208181 | 206 | 2.0 | 215-32 | 0 | 3102204 | 995400 |  | 995400.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 5 | 132231492 | 2 | 28/08/2017 | 168057848 | 51 | 3.0 | 51-14 | 0 | 131622 | 694800 |  | 694800.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 6 | 132231495 | 2 | 28/08/2017 | 129389298 | 51 | 3.0 | 51-42 | 0 | 131622 | 703200 |  | 703200.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 7 | 139756100 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 109337754 | 495 | 2.0 | 495-41 | 0 | 3001719 | 857400 | 847820.0 | 857660.0 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 7.0 |
| 8 | 139718048 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 26206807 | 121 | 3.0 | 121-44 | 38 | 1903987 | 1278600 | 1279850.0 | 1280300.0 | 9.0 | 54.0 | 12.0 |
| 9 | 139740369 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 111165221 | 80 | 1.0 | 80-12 | 16 | 111583 | 1012200 | 1013300.0 | 1013700.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 |
| 10 | 139750932 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 96798819 | 191 | 3.0 | 191-16 | 0 | 144848 | 864000 | 854070.0 | 863710.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 11 | 139758338 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 25281273 | 28 | 1.0 | 28-01 | 31 | 126214 | 821400 | 823010.0 | 823540.0 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 12 | 139719205 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 139181523 | 129 | 1.0 | 129-35 | 6 | 106454 | 1005000 | 1005250.0 | 1005640.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 |
| 13 | 139738321 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 87335978 |  | 1.0 | 67-31 | 9 | 106783 | 928800 | 928450.0 | 928800.0 | 9.0 | 3.0 | 37.0 |
| 14 | 139748836 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 25283978 | 165 | 1.0 | 165-18 | 16 | 108623 | 928200 | 929000.0 | 929520.0 | 9.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 |
| 15 | 139758429 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 157761764 | 30 | 0.0 | 30-03 | 0 | 141025 | 964200 | 956360.0 | 964200.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 |
| 16 | 139762400 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 25244007 | 56 | 1.0 | 56-09 | 8 | 105153 | 1022400 |  |  | 9.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 |
| 17 | 139710799 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 110891265 | 64 | 1.0 | 170-34 | 4 | 102613 | 789000 | 789990.0 | 790250.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 |
| 18 | 139720341 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 25287551 | 139 | 1.0 | 139-24 | 1 | 100139 | 1179600 | 1180230.0 | 1180360.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 |
| 19 | 139733065 | 1 | 28/08/2017 | 135937529 |  | 1.0 | 30-03 | 9 | 105533 | 934200 | 934320.0 | 934730.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 |
| n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.2 Example of APTS file

The different details present for one record are :

- System Record Number, which is the id of the record. The first record of the example has a system record number of "139814965".
- System Access. The first record of the example has an access system Id of "1".
- Date. The first record of the example has a record data of "28/08/2017".
- Original Trip Number. The first record of the example has an original trip number of "135388586".
- Route id. The first record of the example has a route id of "139".
- Direction, which is an integer between 0 and 3. North is associated with 0 , South to 1 , East to 2 and West to 3 . If a record has no direction associated, it is because the record is related to an HLP. The first record of the example has a direction of "0", which means "North".
- Block Id. The first record of the example has a block id of "139-44".
- Stop Rank. The first record of the example has a stop rank of " 9 ", which means that the record corresponds to the 9th stops of a line.
- Stop id. The first record of the example has a stop id of "120036".
- Scheduled time. The first record of the example has a scheduled time of "1109400" in the STM time convention.
- Arrival Time. The first record of the example has an arrival time of " 1109740 " in the STM time convention.
- Departure Time. The first record of the example has a departure time of "1111070" in the STM time convention.
- Number of people boarding. The first record of the example has 97 people boarding.
- Number of people debarking. The first record of the example has 15 people debarking.
- Number of the passengers on the bus. The first record of the example has 106 passengers on the bus.
- The source of the record. The first record of the example has "SCAD" as a source, which means it was recorded via the APTS system.
- The location of the record. The first record of the example has a location of "120036", which is the same as the stop id.

The format of some columns was modified in order to simplify further analysis. Moreover, some features were added to the database : the day of the week (from Monday to Friday), the part of the day or the UOTN associated to the record to link it later to planning data. The part of the day was decided as follow : "P" to describe peak hours, from 6 am to 9 am and from 3 pm to 6.30 pm , " T " for transition hours which are the 30 minutes before and after each peak hours, and finally " N " for the other period.

Also, the time was transformed in order to appear in a second format. The STM time convention gives the time tenth of second, with a day starting at 432000 . The first record which has a scheduled time of 1109400 could be converted in second :

$$
T(s)=\frac{1109400-432000}{10}=67740
$$

Thus, 1109400 in STM time convention is 67740 seconds, or $18: 49: 00$, or 6.49 p.m.
The figure 3.3 is an example of the APTS file cleaned.


Figure 3.3 Example of APTS file cleaned

### 3.2.2 Extracting trip info

The topic of the research is to estimate end-trip delay for buses. Hence only one record for each trip was relevant : the last one. The last record of each trip was extracted via a Macro VBA. As the interest of the research is to work with planning, real-time data such as the number of people in the buses were not collected. The figure 3.4 shows a file treated with a Macro VBA. Lots of information were redundant with data present in the planning file, thus only the scheduled time and the arrival time were extracted, as well as the UOTN and the OTN in order to link the data. The times are in second.

| 1 | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | OTN | UOTN | S_time | Arr_time |
| 2 | ON10951369 | 1ON1095136 | 99780 | 99779 |
| 3 | ON25248346 | 1ON2524834t | 107580 | 107091 |
| 4 | ON12835945 | 1ON1283594. | 102840 | 102882 |
| 5 | ON25248323 | 1ON25248323 | 103440 | 103453 |
| 6 | ON25248329 | 1ON2524832 | 100740 | 100203 |
| 7 | ON25248325 | 1ON2524832: | 94920 | 94671 |
| 8 | ON11107116: | 1ON1110711t | 80700 | 80741 |
| 9 | ON66097828 | 1ON66097828 | 21660 | 21399 |

Figure 3.4 Example of information extracted from APTS data

All the 43 files were treated with this method. Finally, 139452 trips were collected. Moreover, as explained before, 19935 were scheduled each day, which implies that 831405 trips could have been recorded. The difference is explained by the fact that only $20 \%$ of the buses were equipped with SCAD systems in 2017 [3]. $20 \%$ of the 831405 scheduled trips is 166281. Thus the number of trips collected is coherent.

### 3.3 External information

Once the planning and APTS data were gathered, other sources of information were analyzed to add features to our model. New data had to be off-line information, as the objective of the project is to work with planning data and not in real-time. GIRO provided additional information involving stops information, driver schedules and layover time.

### 3.3.1 Stop info

The objective of having stop information was dual : on one hand to have geographic information for the terminus, and on the other hand to know the road taken by the different lines.

The file received was a CSV file composed of the stops id and their characteristics, as shown in the figure 3.5. The information used was in the columns districts and coordinate X and coordinate Y. A change in the format had to be done to normalize it. In order to link this data with the planning data, the place Id was used. The range of the value goes from 2,69 to 3.06 for X coordinates, and from 5,029 to 5,062 for the Y coordinates.

| 4 | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SYSACCESS | STOP_NO | DISTRICT_ID | PLACE_ID | INTER_DISTAI | IADDRESS_SID | D DESCRIPTION | _ID | STOP_REGIOI | NODE_DISTAI | ISTREET_SIDE | STREET_DIST/ | COORD_X | COORD_Y | INFO_PHONE |
| 2 | 191 | 150 | ahu | B04601 | -117 |  | 1 de l'Acadie / 0 | 4606 | 375 | 1908 | 1 |  | 2898176 | 50444108 | 50242 |
| 3 | 187 | 151 | AHU | B04602 | 160 | 0 | 0 del'Acadie / c | 4610 | 375 | 160 | 0 |  | 2897748 | 50444023 | 50243 |
| 4 | 144 | 158 | ahu |  |  |  | Dudemaine / d | is-de-Boi | 375 |  |  |  | 2901944 | 50445115 | 58613 |
| 5 | 206 | 159 | ahu | B04101 | -358 |  | 1 Dudemaine / | 119142 | 375 | 394 | 1 |  | 2901707 | 50444972 | 50250 |
| 6 | 190 | 163 | AHU | B07201 | 75 |  | 0 Gouin / Tangu | 116694 | 395 | 75 | 0 |  | 2904461 | 50452963 | 50254 |
| 7 | 185 | 165 | AHU |  | 140 |  | 0 du Bois-de-Bc | 130050 | 376 | 140 | 0 |  | 2904819 | 50443974 | 50256 |
| 8 | 185 | 166 | ahu |  | -135 |  | 1 Gouin / Jeann | 116689 | 395 | 639 | 1 |  | 2907015 | 50453590 | 50257 |
| 9 | 185 | 167 | AHU |  | 146 |  | 0 Gouin / Jeann | 116691 | 395 | 146 | 0 |  | 2906672 | 50453340 | 50258 |
| 10 | 188 | 169 | AHU | B018 | -221 |  | Henri-Bourass | 8585 | 376 | 397 | - 1 |  | 2906691 | 50438710 | 50260 |
| 11 | 186 | 170 | ahu | B018 | 163 |  | 0 de l'Acadie / + | 4633 | 376 | 163 | 0 |  | 2906455 | 50438366 | 50261 |
| 12 | 189 | 171 | AHU | B01802 | 199 |  | Henri-Bourass | 8567 | 376 | 199 | 0 |  | 2906737 | 50438029 | 50262 |
| 13 | 190 | 172 | AHU | B01801 | -312 |  | Henri-Bourass | 8565 | 376 | 316 | 0 |  | 2907011 | 50438648 | 50263 |
| 14 | 188 | 173 | AHU | в03001 | -111 |  | Henri-Bourass | 8583 | 376 | 1810 | 1 |  | 2907642 | 50440813 | 50264 |
| 15 | 185 | 176 | AHU |  | 132 |  | 0 Gouin / Meun | 116688 | 395 | 132 | 0 |  | 2908394 | 50454154 | 50267 |
| 16 | 188 | 177 | AHU | B01901 | -218 |  | Henri-Bourass | 8582 | 376 | 4058 | 1 |  | 2908465 | 50442667 | 50268 |
| 17 | 185 | 181 | ahu |  | -130 |  | 1 Gouin / Wave | 116670 | 395 | 729 | 1 |  | 2909938 | 50455480 | 50271 |
| 18 | 186 | 183 | aHU |  | -607 |  | 0 de l'Acadie / F | 4626 | 376 | 705 | 0 |  | 2912161 | 50438124 | 50273 |
| 19 | 185 | 184 | AHU |  | -249 |  | 1 Gouin / Clark | 116696 | 395 | 925 | 1 |  | 2911198 | 50456712 | 50274 |
| 20 | 192 | 188 | AHU | B02001 | 815 |  | S SAAQ (Henri-E | 8561 | 376 | 815 | 0 |  | 2910936 | 50447628 | 50278 |
| 21 | 185 | 189 | ahu |  | -139 |  | 1 Henri-Bourass | 8578 | 395 | 573 | 1 |  | 2911663 | 50449865 | 50279 |
| 22 | 188 | 190 | AHU |  | 139 |  | 0 Henri-Bourass | 8559 | 395 | 139 | 0 |  | 2911816 | 50449498 | 50280 |
| 23 | 187 | 192 | AHU |  | -351 |  | 0 Saint-Laurent | 12390 | 395 | 1892 | 0 |  | 2912144 | 50457103 | 50282 |
| 24 | 185 | 193 | aHU |  | -156 |  | 1 Henri-Bourass | 8576 | 395 | 539 | 1 |  | 2912241 | 50451158 | 50283 |
| 25 | 186 | 196 | ahu |  | 136 |  | 0 Henri-Bourass | 8555 | 395 | 136 | 0 |  | 2912977 | 50452109 | 50286 |
| 26 | 185 | 197 | AHU |  | -130 |  | 1 Henri-Bourass | 8572 | 395 | 654 | - 1 |  | 2913439 | 50453926 | 50287 |
| 27 | 185 | 200 | ahu |  | 121 |  | O Hogue / Prieu | 116259 | 376 | 121 | 0 |  | 2913633 | 50448019 | 50290 |
| 28 | 187 |  | AHU |  | 116 |  | 0 Saint-Laurent | 12390 | 395 | 116 | 0 |  | 2913775 | 50456399 | 50291 |

Figure 3.5 Example of Stop file

### 3.3.2 Driver change

Driver schedules could be decided during the planning phase, and so could be integrated into the features. One driver can do several blocks in a working day, and several drivers could operate one block. In the figure 3.6, the id in the column "journée" means that a unique operator was operating all the trips having this id in characteristic. Having a change of driver during a block could imply delays if the new driver is late for different reasons.

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Voiture | De | Début | Fin |  | Journée | Voiture1 | De1 | À | Lieu | DurDábut | Durfin | NoPermanent |
| 2 | 470-03 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:10 | 01/01/2000 10:52 | M22214 1 |  | 470-03 | 01/01/2000 04:10 |  | 50 |  |  | 168901591 |
| 3 | 470-03 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:10 | 01/01/2000 10:52 | M22214 1 |  | 470-03 | 01/01/2000 10:52 |  | M22214 |  |  | 129143537 |
| 4 | 105-20 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:54 | 01/01/2000 11:53 | M24202 2 |  | 105-20 | 01/01/2000 04:54 |  | 50 |  |  | 170632720 |
| 5 | 105-20 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:54 | 01/01/2000 11:53 | M24202 ${ }^{\prime 2}$ |  | 105-20 | 01/01/2000 11:53 | 01/01/2000 11:55 | M24202 |  |  | 168248930 |
| 6 | 165-23 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:40 | 01/01/2000 11:54 | M534 |  | 165-23 | 01/01/2000 04:40 |  | 50 |  |  | 168901593 |
| 7 | 165-23 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:40 | 01/01/2000 11:54 | M534 | 3 | 165-23 | 01/01/2000 11:54 |  | M534 |  |  | 138973582 |
| 8 | 16-01 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:47 | 01/01/2000 11:54 | U03101 4 |  | 16-01 | 01/01/2000 04:47 |  | 50 |  |  | 170631627 |
| 9 | 16-01 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:47 | 01/01/2000 11:54 | U03101 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 15-01 | 01/01/2000 11:54 |  | 403101 |  |  | 26205950 |
| 10 | 80-01 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:47 | 01/01/2000 12:01 | M54403 '5 |  | 80-01 | 01/01/2000 04:47 |  | 50 |  |  | 168901595 |
| 11 | 80-01 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:47 | 01/01/2000 12:01 | M54403 5 |  | 80-01 | 01/01/2000 12:01 |  | M54403 |  |  | 31409242 |
| 12 | 64-02 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:07 | 01/01/2000 12:02 | M22212 6 |  | 64-02 | 01/01/2000 05:07 |  | 50 |  |  | 170631821 |
| 13 | 64- | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:07 | 01/01/2000 12:02 | M22212 6 |  | 64-02 | 01/01/2000 12:02 |  | M22212 |  |  | 89312367 |
| 14 | -56 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:56 | 01/01/2000 12:04 | M22210 7 |  | 468-5 | 01/01/2000 04:56 |  | 50 |  |  | 170634938 |
| 15 | 468 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:56 | 01/01/2000 12:04 | M22210 7 |  | 468-56 | 01/01/2000 12:06 |  | M22210 |  |  | 135481108 |
| 16 | 196-42 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:45 | 01/01/2000 12:12 | M22215 ${ }^{\text {8 }} 8$ |  | 196-42 | 01/01/2000 04:45 |  | 50 |  |  | 170634476 |
| 17 | 196-42 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:45 | 01/01/2000 12:12 | M22215 8 |  | 196-42 | 01/01/2000 12:12 |  | M22215 |  |  | 115510651 |
| 18 | 468-55 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:51 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | M22211 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 468-55 | 01/01/2000 04:51 |  | 50 |  |  | 170634814 |
| 19 | 468-55 | 50 | 01/01/2000 04:51 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | M22211 '9 |  | 468-55 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | 01/01/2000 12:35 | M22211 |  |  | 87616017 |
| 20 | 105-23 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:00 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | M24202 1 |  | 105-23 | 01/01/2000 05:00 |  | 50 |  |  | 170632860 |
| 21 | 105-23 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:00 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | M24202 1 |  | 105-23 | 01/01/2000 12:13 | 01/01/2000 12:15 | M24202 |  |  | 54448383 |
| 22 | 165-24 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:03 | 01/01/2000 12:26 | M534 | 11 | 165-24 | 01/01/2000 05:03 |  | 50 |  |  | 168901597 |
| 23 | 165-24 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:03 | 01/01/2000 12:26 | M534 1 | 11 | 165-24 | 01/01/2000 12:26 |  | M534 |  |  | 168138669 |
| 24 | 70-10 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:21 | 01/01/2000 12:28 | M22213 1 |  | 70-10 | 01/01/2000 05:21 |  | 50 |  |  | 170631423 |
| 25 | 70-10 | 50 | 01/01/2000 05:21 | 01/01/2000 12:28 | M22213 1 |  | 70-10 | 01/01/2000 12:28 | 01/01/2000 12:33 | M22213 |  |  | 26206477 |

Figure 3.6 Example of Driver Schedule

### 3.3.3 Mean Layover Prev

The layover time is a buffer time which can prevent the delay propagation. Hence it is interesting to have information about all the previous layovers. Thus the mean layover of the previous trip was calculated. A sum of all the layovers could have been done, but the information would have been correlated to the block rank. When the trip was the first of the block, instead of letting a blank, a decision was made to choose 20 minutes, which is a high value, similar to a one which would prevent delay propagation. This choice emphasizes the fact that there is no delay propagation for the first trip of a block.

### 3.3.4 Day of the week

The information about the day of the week was also extracted. Only the weekdays are present.

### 3.3.5 Creating the final database

Finally, all the information were gathered in a final database, and the followinf figure gives an overview.

|  | A | C | D | E | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | UOTN Day | OTN | BlockıId | Line | Via_Mod | Direction | Last_Stop_ID | Stop_X | Stop_Y | Planning ET | Mean Layove | Block_Rank | Arr_Time | S_Arr_Time | Arr_Delay | Arr_Late |
| 2 | 30N2620749\% | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94909 | 94560 | 349 | 1 |
| 3 | 60N2620749:6 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94743 | 94560 | 183 | 1 |
| 4 | 100N262074 10 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94742 | 94560 | 182 | 1 |
| 5 | 120N262074!12 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R37 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94795 | 4560 | 236 | 1 |
| 6 | 160N262074!16 | N26207 | 70 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | 13402 | 2,982434 | 5,038871 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 458 | 9456 | 27 | 0 |
| 7 | 330N262074!33 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R37 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94750 | 94560 | 190 | 1 |
| 8 | 380N262074!38 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94909 | 94560 | 349 | 1 |
| 9 | 400N262074!40 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94827 | 94560 | 267 | 1 |
| 10 | 410N262074 41 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94762 | 94560 | 202 | 1 |
| 11 | 420N262074!42 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 17 | 94681 | 94560 | 121 | 0 |
| 2 | 430N262074!43 | ON26207493 | 70-06 | R3 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 94560 | 7,4375 | 7 | 95049 | 94560 | 489 | 1 |
| 13 | 30N1180091/3 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98990 | 98220 | 770 | 1 |
| 14 | 100N118009:10 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98467 | 98220 | 247 | 1 |
| 15 | 120N118009:12 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R3 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98675 | 98220 | 455 | 1 |
| 16 | 160N118009:16 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R3 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98660 | 98220 | 440 | 1 |
| 17 | 300N118009:30 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R37 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98584 | 98220 | 364 | 1 |
| 18 | 330N118009.33 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98608 | 98220 | 388 | 1 |
| 19 | 380N118009:38 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98695 | 98220 | 475 | 1 |
| 20 | 430N118009:43 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 1 Nord | U103 | 2,865316 | 5,0404086 | 98220 | 7,82352941 | 18 | 98205 | 98220 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 30N1180091:3 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 101580 | 8,11111111 | 19 | 101624 | 101580 | 44 | 0 |
| 22 | 60N1180091\%6 | ON11800917! | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 101580 | 8,11111111 | 19 | 101782 | 101580 | 202 | 1 |
| 23 | 120N118009: 12 | ON11800917 | 70-06 | R371 |  | 0 Sud | M13402 | 2,982434 | 5,0388717 | 101580 | 8,11111111 | 19 | 101629 | 101580 | 49 | 0 |

Figure 3.7 Example of Final Database file

### 3.4 Preprocessing

Once the database is created, a preprocessing work was done to prevent unreliable information to be part of the database. Indeed, it is essential to have all the information for each record to afford machine learning models to find more accurate patterns between the data.

### 3.4.1 Missing data

When the stop information was linked to the database, the coordinates of ten different stops present in the database were missing. These stops were extracted and analyzed by the routes they come from. It appears that because of the deviation of some routes, the end stop has a slightly different id and thus cannot be linked to the stop database. The id replacement was made, and the missing data were incorporated.

Also, 26 records from APTS data had UOTN which could not be linked with data from the planning. It represented four different OTN. Therefore these data were removed from the database. It was supposed that these trips were not planned initially and were added for special events or in support of public transport malfunction.

At this step, the database was composed of 139426 records.

### 3.4.2 Database cleaning

To be sure the data from the APTS sources match precisely the planning data, the scheduled time for the last stop was also extracted with the macro VBA. It was found that 5176 extracted data had a scheduled arrival time which did not match with the one presents in the planning database. The explanation was possible malfunctions of the APTS devices, which could have stopped working at some points and thus not recording the last stops of a trip. As it represented less than $5 \%$ of the data, it was decided to remove these records from the database.

At this step, the database was composed of 134250 records.

### 3.4.3 Remove the lines of less than 100 occurrences

In order to avoid to have a too unbalanced database, a decision was made to remove the records concerning lines with too few occurrences. Indeed, even if some methods had been developed in order to struggle with this issue, it is always better to have a balanced database. Figure 3.8 shows the number of occurrences for each line.


Figure 3.8 Number of records for each line

It was decided arbitrarily that trip describing lines with less than 100 occurrences would be removed from the database. Then, 3769 records coming from 119 lines were removed. The remaining data reflected 184 lines. As it will be explained later in this thesis, the line feature is preprocessed with the one-hot method before being incorporated in machine learning models, and so decreasing the number of lines will improve the accuracy of the models and decrease the training time.

At this step, the database was composed of 130481 records.

### 3.4.4 Conclusion of preprocessing

After these three steps, on the database of 139452 records, 130481 were used, which means that $9.4 \%$ of the data were removed. The table 3.1 synthesis the different steps.

Table 3.1 Synthesis of preprocessing

| Step | Comments | Number of records in the database |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | Database initial | 139452 |
| 1 | Missing Data | 139426 |
| 2 | Unmatched Data | 134250 |
| 3 | Lines with few occurrences | 130481 |

### 3.5 Database Analysis

Once the different features incorporated in the database, an analysis of the database was made.

### 3.5.1 Features Analysis

The different features of the database are synthesized in the table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Synthesis of the features

| Planning Data | Other Data |
| :---: | :---: |
| Line (integer) | Last Stop X (continuous) |
| Block Rank (integer) | Last Stop Y (continuous) |
| Mean Layover Prev (continuous) | Driver Change (binary) |
| Scheduled Time (integer) | Week Day (integer) |
| Via (binary) |  |
| Direction (integer) |  |

The next sections will describe more precisely each feature.

## Line feature

Figure 3.9 shows the partition after the preprocessing explained in the previous section.


Figure 3.9 Number of records by line after preprocessing

The partition function is similar to a Pareto one.

## Block Rank Feature



Figure 3.10 Number of records by block rank

The number of observation decreases when the block rank gets higher because if a trip is recorded, all the previous trips of the same block are also recorded.

## Mean of the Previous Layovers feature

For each trip, the mean of the previous layovers of its block was calculated, except for the first trip of the block whose value was set to 20 . The feature is continuous, and so to describe it, the different values were integrated into a class, calculated by making a superior rounding. For example, if a value was calculated at 3.2 , the value was incorporated in class 4 .


Figure 3.11 Number of records by Mean Layover Prev

The majority of the records have a mean layover prev included between 5 and 10 minutes. A large number of records with a 20 mean previous layover is explained by the fact that the mean layover previous feature had been set at 20 for the first trip of the blocks.

## Scheduled time feature

As the feature is continuous, the values were gathered by time slots, which symbolize periods of 30 minutes. However, the values were integrated with a normalizing preprocessor in the machine learning models.


Figure 3.12 Number of records by time slot

They are two peak-time periods by day : one from 6 am to 9 am and the other from 3 pm to 6.30 pm . They correspond to the time slot from 12 to 18 and from 30 to 37 . These time slots are effectively the most represented.

## Via feature

The feature is one if the line does not take the usual road, and 0 if it takes the usual one.

Table 3.3 Number of records Via

| Via | Number of records |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 117230 |
| 1 | 13251 |

Around $10 \%$ of the trips recorded have taken a via road.

## Direction feature

The possible directions are the different cardinal point.

Table 3.4 Number of records Direction

| Direction | Number of records |
| :---: | :---: |
| East | 44128 |
| North | 19488 |
| West | 46513 |
| South | 20350 |

The majority of the trips are made in the east/west axis. The number of trip north and south have roughly the same number of records, as for the east and west direction.

## Stop feature

The easiest way of representing the stop features was not to use the stop coordinates but the stop id.


Figure 3.13 Number of records by Stop Id

The distribution is similar to the line distribution. There is less than twice the number of lines because several lines use the same terminus.

## Driver change feature

The feature is one if the driver changes at the beginning of the trip inside a block. A driver can't be changed in the middle of a trip.

Table 3.5 Number of records Driver change

| Driver Change | Number of records |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 128833 |
| 1 | 1648 |

The feature take the value of 1 when the driver change within the block, which means that the feature does not show that a new driver starts a block.

## Day of the week

Table 3.6 Number of records by days

| Day | Number of records |
| :---: | :---: |
| Monday | 20808 |
| Tuesday | 27474 |
| Wednesday | 27468 |
| Thursday | 26850 |
| Friday | 27881 |

Each day is present nine times in the database, except for Monday which is present only seven times. Indeed the two public holidays removed from the database took place on Mondays. All the days have around 27000 occurrences, and seven-ninth correspond to 21000 , which is coherent with the data.

## Conclusion

For some features, the data can be heavily unbalanced, which explains the importance of oversampling during the building of the Machine learning algorithm. This part of the thesis discussed the general characteristics of the features but not about their selection and their importance. These choices were made later by analyzing the results of the different Machine learning algorithms.

### 3.5.2 Features Correlation

The correlation method analyzes the relation between the pair of variables. Features should not be correlated to each other because it biases machine learning models. Figure 3.14 show the correlation matrix between the features. A negative correlation is shown in green and an absence of correlation in white and high correlation in red.


Figure 3.14 Correlation between the features

Stop X and Stop Y are correlated because they represent the same feature : the stop id. However, using the coordinates displays more information about the stops rather than using the id. It affords the models to find geographic patterns in the models.

However, the Stop coordinates and the direction are not correlated, and mainly the Y coordinate because most trips are made in the East-West direction. Moreover, the block rank and the mean layover prev are not correlated, and it can be explained because of the high variability of the mean value for the first trips of a block.

Most of the variables are not or little correlated to each other. That is why all the data were kept for the models to improve its performance. Normalization and auto-encoders will be used to regularise the data.

### 3.5.3 Objectives Analysis

This section will focus on the analysis of the objective : the bus end trip delays. This information was calculated by subtracting the actual measured time by APTS systems with the
scheduled arrival time.

## Objectives Partition

It was decided that a trip was considered late if it ends more than three minutes of delay or 180 seconds. Asserting that a bus is late when the delay is larger than 3 minutes is a standard in public transportation, and was confirmed by GIRO. The partition is as shown in 3.7.

Table 3.7 Number of records Late

| End Status | Number of records | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On-time | 94339 | 72.3 |
| Late | 36142 | 27.7 |

However, a more detailed report can be produced, adding more delay category : buses which ended before the scheduled time, delays less than three minutes, delays between three and ten minutes and the other. The partition would the following one :

Table 3.8 Number of records Late by category

| Delay $<0$ | $0 \leq$ Delay $<180$ | $180 \leq$ Delay $<600$ | $600 \leq$ Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61610 | 32608 | 27432 | 8710 |
| $47 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

When the previous trip ends late, the number of the bus arriving late rises to $44 \%$. The propagation of the delay explains it. Moreover, the mean delay for the buses which end their trip late is 498 seconds in general, and it rises to 563 seconds when the previous trip has ended late. For these analyses the first trip of a block in order to have the information on the previous trip. The conclusion is that there are two main reasons for a bus ending late : either it had problems on its trip or it results from the previous trips which ended late.

### 3.6 Autumn 2016 database

As announced during the introduction of this section, the Autumn 2016 database will not be explicitly described. However, it will be compared to the Autumn 2017 database.

### 3.6.1 General description

For the Autumn 2016 database, 124732 records were extracted from the APTS systems for the weekdays from the 29th August 2016 to the 28th October 2016, except Monday 5th September and Monday 10th October. The data were processed similarly to Autumn 2017, and only the lines present in Autumn 2017 were kept. After these steps, 114092 records left for the machine learning models : 9.1\% of the data were removed.

During Autumn 2016, $27.8 \%$ of the trips ended late, very similar to the percentage of Autumn 2017.

### 3.6.2 Comparison to the Autumn 2017 database

The three lines with the most occurrences are the same in both databases: "R51", "R105" and "R24".

The driver change feature was not available for this period. Moreover, three lines were present in Autumn 2017 and not in Autumn 2016.

### 3.7 Data Analysis conclusion

This section explained how the data was collected, gathered and processed. It was also explained how the problems of missing data or data format were treated.

An analysis of the features and the objectives showed that the features are mainly not correlated to each other, but correlated to the objective. The selection and integration of the data in the machine learning models will be treated in the next section.

## CHAPTER 4 MODELLING BUS END TRIP DELAY

This section introduces the building of end-trip delay prediction models and their optimization. The first model predicts if a trip is going to end late, which means it would have more than three minutes of delay. The second one estimates the probability for each trip to end within different slots of delays. For both models, only offline data were used. Finally, the Autumn 2016 database is aggregated and the potential to estimate end trip delay on several periods is evaluated.

During the whole project, Python 3.7 was used for this work. The packages Numpy and Panda were used for respectively numeric applications and data treatment. Machine learning models were built with the SKlearn package. All the models had been created on the server RossoVerde of the chair with :
— 2X Intel Xeon E5-2650V4 @ 2.2GHZ, 12 cores

- 256GB RAM
- GPU Nvidia Titan Xp Pascal 12Gb Gddr5 G


### 4.1 General Overview

This first section will describe the framework of the modeling, describing the general structure of the algorithms used : the preprocessing of the data, the machine learning algorithms, and the output results. The metrics used during the project are defined in this section as well.

### 4.1.1 Methodology

The different algorithms follow the same different steps, which are described in this section.

## Reading Data

The first step of the algorithm is to transfer the data from the original files to the scripts. The original database had been put under a JSON format to speed up this step.

## Preprocessing

The data are therefore preprocessed. First, a label encoder transforms the data which are not numerical : the lines, the weekday and the direction are affected to numbers. Then through the use of a pipeline, the numerical data are standardized. The categorical features which are not
binary are transformed via a one-hot method. The one-hot methods transform a categorical feature in several features equivalent to the different state of the primordial feature. Then for each record, it affects 1 to the corresponding feature and 0 to the other. It is often used when the different stated have not an order relation between them.

For example, for the feature weekday, the different states are Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. After the label encoder step, the different states are 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. For example, a record occurring a Wednesday would have the one-hot method transforms it in a vector $[0,0,1,0,0]$.

## Splitting the data

The data are then split into different sets. Ten percent of the whole database is put apart for the test results, and the ninety percent are for the training set. Then ten percent of the training set is extracted for the validation set, and the rest are kept for the training. The module train_test_split was used. The concern of this step is to provide general results for the models because sets tested have not participated in the training phases.

## Oversampling the data

The train set is unbalanced, as discussed in the data analysis section. In order to improve the accuracy of models with an unbalanced database, it is essential to oversample [64] the train set in order to recall more the underrepresented categories. Different techniques of oversampling were tried and are discussed later.

## Tuning the hyper-parameters and training the model

The algorithms can be tuned with different parameters. In this step, models with different hyper-parameters are created and trained on the train set. Then they are tested on the validation test and compared to all the other. The metrics within which they are compared are discussed in the next section. The model which got the best score on the validation set is kept.

## Results

The model with the best hyper-parameters is tested on the test set.
Finally and depending on the analysis, output files are produced : confusion matrices or excel files for example.

### 4.1.2 Metrics

The choice of metrics to evaluate a machine learning algorithm is decisive. Different metrics are used to compare the different aspects of the performance of the algorithms. Thus, it influences a lot the final results.

Before presenting different metrics, the definition of the concepts needs to be done. P is the set of the positive elements and N the set of the negative ones. A True Positive (TP) is a positive classified as a positive, a True Negative (TN) is a negative correctly classified, a False Positive (FP) is a negative classified as positive, and a False Negative (FN) is a positive classified as negative [65].

## Accuracy

The accuracy A is simply the fraction of all the instances rightfully labeled. It is the easiest way of assessing a machine learning model. A perfect model would have an accuracy of 1.

$$
A=\frac{T P+T N}{N+P}
$$

However, for an unbalanced database, the accuracy can be very high by just predicting everything on the dominant class. Thus accuracy is excellent even if the model did not learn anything. However, the objective of some problems, especially in the medical field, is to detect anomalies.

## Precision

The precision P is the proportion of true positives over all the predicted positives.

$$
P=\frac{T P}{\text { PredictedPositive }}
$$

## Recall

The recall R metric, also called positive predictive value, is the ratio of the positive value classified as is over the number of positive value to be classified.

$$
R=\frac{T P}{P}
$$

The recall metric can be relevant in the case of the unbalanced database. Both accuracy and
recall should be taken into account ; hence metrics combining them both were studied.

## ROC

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) is a metric used for binary classification algorithms and represents the faculty of a model to choose between negative and positive classes. It plots the recall for positive and for negative class depending on different thresholds ${ }^{1}$. The ROC value is the area under the ROC curve and can be up to 1 .

The first experiments were done with this metric, but a model with a best ROC value did not imply that it will be the best at a fixed threshold. Also, in our experiments, the threshold was set at 0.5 .

## F1 Score

The F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, and thus combines precision and recall.

$$
F 1=2 \frac{P * R}{P+R}=2 \frac{2 * T P}{2 * T P+F P+F N}
$$

This measure is the one used to compare the different algorithms. For the binary classifier algorithms, the f 1 score was the one calculated with the accuracy and the recall of the delay class. For multi-label classifier, the F1 score was the weighted average of the f1 score.

## Confusion Matrix

A confusion matrix is not directly a performance metric, but a convenient way of visualizing the results of a binary classification algorithm. It shows the number of TP, TN, FP and FN in a matrix with a variation of colors depending on the importance of the number.
Normalized confusion matrices can also be displayed, in which of instead of having the number of occurrences for each part of the matrix, it has the ratio of the occurrences of the number of total positive or total negative depending on the category.

[^0]
### 4.1.3 Building the different algorithms

As presented in the previous sections, three different machine learning models will be tested through this work : random forest, gradient boosted tree and artificial neural network. The following sections will describe for each algorithm the different hyperparameters which could be tuned and the used range of the tuning. Moreover, these models were compared to logistic regression.

For all of these models, a random state was applied in order to get the same results for different runs of the script. The stopping criteria was set by default with a toll of $10 \mathrm{e}-4$ for the last ten iterations.

## Decision Tree

As the gradient boosted tree and the random forest algorithms are based on decision trees, these algorithms should be detailed. A decision tree is a tree-like model composed of nodes (at which different paths are offered depending on the value of some feature) and of leaves (which indicate for each class its probability). The trees build themselves in order to minimize the entropy of the leaves.

## Random Forest

A random forest is an estimator which fits a decided number of trees with sub-portion of the database and make them vote for the final results in order to limit the over-fitting and improve precision. Random forest classifiers were used because of their speed of training and the great results they produced. The number of estimators was set to 500, and the only parameter which was tuned was the maximum depth of the forests [66].

## Gradient Boosted Tree

Gradient Boosted tree is a new estimator which used decision trees in order to make the prediction. For each stage, several regression trees equivalent to the number of classes are fit on the errors made by the previous decision trees. However, this model has a high training time. For this model, the only parameters which were tuned were the learning rate, which was tried with 0.1 and 0.01, and the maximum depth of the tree within a range of 5 to 500 [67].

## Artificial Neural Network

One axiom of Machine Learning is that large multi-layer perceptron can describe any mathematical function. Artificial neural networks are composed of layers of perceptron interconnected to each other, and whose weight adjust through the learning. Even if this algorithm is the most suitable to have the best result, it is also the most difficult to tune. The artificial neural network tested is the multi-layer perceptron. The ANN have multiple parameters to tune [68] :

- hidden layer size : a range from the half to the double of the number of features were tested
- the activation function was chosen between 'identity' which returns x , 'logistic' which returns the sigmoid function and 'relu', which returns the rectified linear unit function The chosen solver was 'Adam', which a stochastic gradient descent optimizer which usually gets good results on a large dataset. The maximum number of iteration was also set to 500 instead of 200. The batch size was set to 'auto', the alpha regularization term set to a default of $10 \mathrm{E}-4$ and the learning rate set to $10 \mathrm{E}-4$, except on some specifics analysis.


## Logistic regression

Logistic models are broadly used in a statistical model and are one of the common ways to practice classification. It modifies the parameters of a logistic function to model a binary dependent variable. For the analysis on sklearn, all the parameters were chosen by default except that penalization (both 11 and 12 penalizations were tried) and the strength of the penalization [69].

Logistic models were used because they are widely used to represent statistical models, and they are very fast to train.

### 4.2 Binary classification

In this section, the Autumn 2017 database was used for the analysis. The positive class qualified the class which represents "late".

For every experience, the following information will be given for each algorithm :

- Accuracy score for all the test set
- Recall score for the positive class
- F1 score for the positive class
- Training time

The models were run without optimization, and the results are presented in the table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Scores of the different algorithms before optimization

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.50 | 0.64 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.48 |
| Training time (s) | 143 | 1964 | 5846 | 3 |

Random forest, gradient boosted trees, and artificial neural networks get similar results which outperform the logistic regression. However, the training times for GBT and ANN are significant compared to RF.

The artificial neural network achieves a better recall score with a F1 score similar to the two other models.

A random method based on the proportionality of the database would have produced an accuracy of 0.72 and a recall of 0.28 . The models outperform these results. A conclusion is that the models have learned.

### 4.2.1 Feature Optimization

The topic of this section is to determine the relevancy of the features and to check if the models would perform better without some features. The analysis was run with the Random Forest (because of the training time of the model), and the conclusions were tested with all the models.

## Features removal

In this section, each feature was removed one at a time, and the difference in the results with the standard version was analyzed. If removing some features improves the model, a combination of removal of the features will be tested.

Table 4.2 Results with different categorical features removed

| Feature removed | Driver Change | Direction | Via | Line | Week day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.74 |
| Recall | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.56 |

Table 4.3 Results with different numerical features removed

| Feature removed | Block Rank | Mean Layover Prev | Schedule time | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Recall | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.50 | 0.57 |
| F1 Score | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.53 |

From the results of 4.3 and 4.2, three categories of features can be identified :

- positive features, without which the performances of the algorithms decrease : block rank, mean layover prev, schedule time
- neutral features, without which the performances of the algorithms do not change : stops, driver change, direction and via
- negative features, without which the performances of the algorithms increase : the weekdays
For the follow up of the project, only the positive and neutral features were kept.


## Features treatment

For various features, various ways of integrating them in the models were tested :

- for the binary features, 'via' and 'driver change' were turned in the numerical features
- for the time scheduled, the feature was either split into the different parts of the day (peak hour) or grouped by half hours (called time slots). The time slots were tested as a numerical feature and categorical feature.
- the block-rank feature was processed into its relative rank in the block. For example, a trip which was the second one of a block composed of four trips was attributed to the value of 0.5 .
- all the features were treated as a numerical one, except for the line, direction and weekday (because of the lack of meaning)

Table 4.4 Results with 'via' and 'driver change' features processed as numerical features

| Feature removed | Standard | Numerical |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.54 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.53 |

The table 4.4 shows that the accuracy improves but the recall decreases, the f1 score remaining the same. As a result, it was decided not to change the treatment of these features. The
explication of these results could be that this feature does not impact in general the results, and thus the way of integrating them does not impact either.

Table 4.5 Time feature

| Time features | Scheduled time | Time slot numeric | Time slot category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.45 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.52 | 0.48 |

The table 4.5 shows that splitting the scheduled time into half-hour category does not improve the result. Moreover, if it is considered as a categorical feature, information is lost, and thus it depreciates the model.

Table 4.6 Block Rank features

| Feature removed | Block Rank | Block Rank Norm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.53 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.52 |

Normalizing the block rank feature does not change the results of the model, as displayed in 4.6.

Table 4.7 Block Rank features

| Feature removed | All features | Numerical features | Numerical and Binary features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.72 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.64 | 0.64 |
| F1 Score | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.56 |

The table 4.7 shows that removing the categorical features improves the model accuracy and recall, and moreover, it decreases its training time. However, this analysis is valid for the random forest model and should be tested on the other models.

## Conclusion of feature optimization

Once the analyses were done on random forest, the combination of features which outperformed the first model had to be tested with the other models to generalize the conclusions. Table 4.8 shows the results when only the numerical features were tested.

Table 4.8 Scores with all the features in numeric categories

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.51 |
| Recall | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| F1 Score | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.38 |
| Training time (s) | 50 | 19922 | 3919 | 0.5 |

Results were better for the machine learning models but not for the logistic model. Moreover, the training time has reduced a lot except for the ANN. The ANN model has an extended training time because it went through 500 iterations, which was the set limit.

Then the second experiment was done and all the features except the weekdays were kept. The results are presented in table 4.9.

Table 4.9 Scores with all the features except Week-days

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| Recall | 0.59 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.64 |
| F1 Score | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.48 |
| Training time (s) | 190 | 4590 | 3893 | 21 |

The training time is longer because the number of features as input is substantial. However, the results have improved compared to the first model, especially for the logistic regression.

The two combinations of features outperformed the first machine learning model, but only when the weekday feature was removed that the results improved for the logistic regression. Thus it was decided to keep the second combination (without the weekday) to allow the logistic regression to perform better and to keep a maximum of information. Once again, it can be noted that the artificial neural network has a more important recall score than the other models but the same f1 score.

### 4.2.2 Oversampling

Oversampling the data is very important for building machine learning on an unbalanced dataset. The original method used was the SMOTE oversampling method : Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique. This technique creates new data from existing ones and generally outperforms the other over-sampling methods [70].

Two other over-sampling methods were tested : the naive one and the ADASYN, short for Adaptive Synthetic. The naive method duplicates random data from the original dataset, whereas the ADASYN generates new data as the SMOTE method, but focuses more on the data which are difficult to learn [71].

The analysis for comparing the different oversampling methods was made with the four machine learning models. For each over-sampling model, the ratio is set to 1 : for the learning phase, both classes are represented equally.

The four following tables present the results for the models tested, using the various oversampling methods described.

Table 4.10 Scores with RF depending on the Over-sampling Method

| Oversampling Methods | NONE | SMOTE | Random | ADASYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.46 | 0.59 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| F1 Score | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |

Table 4.11 Scores with ANN depending on the Over-sampling Methods

| Oversampling Methods | NONE | SMOTE | Random | ADASYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.69 |
| Recall | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| F1 Score | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.55 |

Table 4.12 Scores with GBT depending the Over-sampling Methods

| Oversampling Methods | NONE | SMOTE | Random | ADASYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| Recall | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.58 |
| F1 Score | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.56 |

Table 4.13 Scores with logistic regression depending on the Over-sampling Methods

| Oversampling Methods | NONE | SMOTE | Random | ADASYN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.60 |
| Recall | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.67 |
| F1 Score | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |

In all the models, the results are improved by the presence of over-sampling. The results decrease a lot when there is no oversampling. All the oversampling methods perform similarly. Then the Smote method was kept for the rest of the analysis.

### 4.2.3 Dimensionality reduction

The categorical features are processed and transformed via the 'one-hot' method. It increases a lot the number of features, and thus increase the training time and the difficulty to tune the hyper-parameters. The dimensionality reduction is a method which decreases the number of features by gathering them into a reduced number of featured which is supposed to give more meaning to the dataset [72].

The dimensionality reduction method used was the most classical one : the principal component analysis, which decomposes the data via their singular value in order to project into lower dimensional space [73].

For the three models, three number of components were tried. Out of the 198 original features, it was reduced to 20,50 and 100 . The following tables display the results for the various algorithms.

Table 4.14 Scores with RF depending on the Dimensionality Reduction factor

| Number of inputs | 198 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.74 | 0.71 | 0.72 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| F1 Score | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.57 |

Table 4.15 Scores with ANN depending on the Dimensionality Reduction factor

| Number of inputs | 198 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| Recall | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| F1 Score | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.55 |

Table 4.16 Scores with GBT depending on the Dimensionality Reduction factor

| Number of inputs | 198 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 |
| Recall | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| F1 Score | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 |

Table 4.17 Scores with Logisitic regression depending on the Dimensionality Reduction factor

| Number of inputs | 198 | 20 | 50 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.62 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.59 |
| Recall | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.60 |
| F1 Score | 0.48 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.45 |

The dimensionality reduction method does not improve the results for the different algorithms relevantly. Thus this method was not used for the other analysis.

### 4.2.4 Removing the first trips

The "mean layover previous" was set to 20 for the first stops of the trips. Moreover, as discussed in section 3, there are two possibilities for a bus to be late at the end of a trip. The first one is the inherent probability of being late. The second one is because it is the cause of delay propagation. So for the first stops, only the first reason is possible.

Then, the first trip of each rank was removed from the database and the results are presented in table 4.18 :

Table 4.18 Scores of the different models with the first trips removed

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.62 |
| Recall | 0.61 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.65 |
| F1 score | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.48 |
| Training time (s) | 165 | 12170 | 5128 | 10 |

Without the first trips, the models improve a little and the training time decreases a little. It could be explained by the mean layover previous being more accurate. However, the improvement is not significant enough compared to the number of data removed from the database. Then all the lines will be kept for further analysis.

### 4.2.5 Models on one line

The models are always trained on the whole database. Even if the line affected is taken into account, it is interesting to see if the results would be better with the models fit on one database. In order to get enough data for the training phase, the line tested was the one with the most occurrences on the database, which were line 51 and its 3220 occurrences in the database.

As only one line was taken into account, some features were deleted such as the stop coordinates and the line feature. Indeed, their information indicate the difference between the lines, and thus they lack relevance when the tests are made on only one line. The results are displayed on table 4.19.

Table 4.19 Scores of the different models on the line 51

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.56 |
| Recall | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
| F1 score | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.49 |
| Training time (s) | 0.5 | 19 | 4 | 0.01 |

Training the model on only one line has two main issues : the lack of data and the impossibility for the model to find a larger pattern to the task, such as the links between the time of the day and the geography of the city. Hence the models with the whole database outperform model with only one line.

### 4.2.6 Overfitting

In machine learning, overfitting describes the over learning of the models, which represent too closely the set of training and thus may be unsuccessful to estimate other observations [74]. In order to check the overfitting of the models, the accuracy and recall score on the training set can be produced. They are presented in table 4.20.

Table 4.20 Scores of the training and the test set for the different models

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy on test set | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.62 |
| Recall on test set | 0.59 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.64 |
| Accuracy on training set | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.63 |
| Recall on training set | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.64 |

Hence the models perform better on the training set, but this analysis cannot prove the overfitting.

The overfitting can be properly analysed by drawing learning curves on the ANN. For different learning rate, the learning curves for accuracy and recall are presented on the following figure :


Figure 4.1 Accuracy and Recall Score depending the learning rate (continued)


Figure 4.2 Accuracy and Recall Score depending the learning rate (continued and end)

The training results are better than the test results. However, no overfitting could be detected. It can be noted that too much learning during the learning phase can cause problems in the learning, and an insufficient one can lead to important training time. During the analysis, the learning rate of $10 \mathrm{E}-4$ was used.

It can also be noted that with a maximum of 500 iterations, the maximum is almost achieved.

### 4.2.7 Comments on the results

The model with optimized features has finally not been modified with all the proposed optimization methods. Indeed, the improvement found in the different steps of this section was not relevant enough to be integrated into the model. The set of test data could have caused the difference with the initial models.

In order to visualize better the results, confusion matrices were produced for each model. The normalized confusion matrices are displayed :

As noted previously, the artificial neural network obtains a better recall score but a worse accuracy than the other machine learning models. The three machine learning models outperforms the logistic regression


Figure 4.3 Normalized confusion matrix for Random Forest


Figure 4.5 Normalized confusion matrix for Gradient boosted tree


Figure 4.4 Normalized confusion matrix for Artificial neural network


Figure 4.6 Normalized confusion matrix for Logistic regression

### 4.3 Multi-label classification

The multi-label classifiers aim at predicting in which time slot delay each trip would end. It estimates probabilities for being into each slot and returns the class which has the highest chance.

The time slots were first chosen as displayed in the section which described the database : the first class means the trip end before its scheduled time, the second one means that the trip has less than three minutes of delay, the third one less than ten minutes of delay and the last class is for the buses ending with more than ten minutes of delay. As shown in the figure 3.8 , the data are unbalanced. That is the reason why the oversampling method was also needed for this classifier.

The same three machine learning algorithms were tested, with the features and the parameters optimal from the binary model. The multi-linear logistic regression was also tried in comparison.

The metric used was the weighted mean of the f 1 score for each one of the classes. The result produced was a classification report which displays for each class the accuracy, recall and f1 score. The data come from the Autumn 2017 database.

### 4.3.1 First results

## Random Forest

Table 4.21 Classification report for the Random forest algorithm

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 6053 |
| Class 2 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 3354 |
| Class 3 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 2784 |
| Class 4 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 858 |
| Avg weighted | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 154 seconds.

## Gradient boosted tree

Table 4.22 Classification report for the Gradient boosted tree algorithm

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 6053 |
| Class 2 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 3354 |
| Class 3 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 2784 |
| Class 4 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 858 |
| Avg weighted | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 19803 seconds.

## Artificial Neural Network

Table 4.23 Classification report for the artificial neural network algorithm

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 6053 |
| Class 2 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 3354 |
| Class 3 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 2784 |
| Class 4 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 858 |
| Avg weighted | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 43546 seconds.

## Multi-linear regression

Table 4.24 Classification report for the multi-linear logistic regression

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.62 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 6053 |
| Class 2 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 3354 |
| Class 3 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 2784 |
| Class 4 | 0.13 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 858 |
| Avg weighted | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 9 seconds.
synthesis

Table 4.25 Multi-label classification

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy Mean | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.44 |
| Recall Mean | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.36 |
| F1 score Mean | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.38 |
| Training time(s) | 154 | 43546 | 19803 | 9 |

The random forest and the gradient boosted tree algorithms outperform the other algorithms. However, artificial neural networks have a better recall score for the classes representing high delay. The difference is however not significant.

A random model predicting the delays based on the proportion of the samples in each class would have obtained a weighted f1 score of 0.33 . Then the models trained are better than a random model.

### 4.3.2 Unsupervised for classes

The different classes were chosen arbitrarily and to represent significant delay. One way of selecting the classes would be to use unsupervised learning on the delay arrival times to get different classes. Indeed, one of the objectives of unsupervised learning is to find patterns between the data.

The unsupervised algorithms used was a k-means algorithm, which creates clusters from one data series. For a given number of clusters, it decides the center of the clusters and then affects data to the cluster [75]. The algorithm was used through sklearn [76].

The metric decided was the silhouette score which calculates for each distance the intracluster distance and the closest-cluster distance. The number of clusters chosen will depend on the silhouette score and the possible meaning of the different borders. The range value of the silhouette score is between -1 and 1,1 meaning that the clusters are perfect. The number of clusters tested was from two to six.

The table 4.26 displays the silhouette score and the inferior border of each cluster.

Table 4.26 Unsupervised clustering using k-means on Autumn 2017

| Number of clusters | silouhette score | borders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=2$ | 0.63 | $[-1929,268]$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | 0.56 | $[-1929,55,556]$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=4$ | 0.53 | $[-1929,-49,267,788]$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | 0.52 | $[-1929,-120,118,433,958]$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=6$ | 0.51 | $[-1929,-194,12,240,557,1076]$ |

The silhouette score is superior to 0.5 , which means that the cluster is relevant. The silhouette score decreases when the number of cluster increases.

For binary classification, unsupervised learning indicates that the borders between the two classes should have been at 268 seconds. However, this choice would not have been relevant because it would have separated trips which ended with four minutes and a half of delay, which has no practical meaning.

Models with three, four and five classes from the unsupervised learning are tested in the following section.

### 4.3.3 Multi-label classification with optimized classes

For each algorithm, the classification report for multi-label classification with three, four and five classes coming from the unsupervised learning is displayed. For each algorithm, several hyper-parameters were tried, and the results presented come from the test of the best models on the test set.

## Random Forest

Table 4.27 Classification report for the Random forest algorithm and 3 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 7302 |
| Class 2 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 4764 |
| Class 3 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 983 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 225 seconds.

Table 4.28 Classification report for the Random forest algorithm and 4 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 4917 |
| Class 2 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 5468 |
| Class 3 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 2163 |
| Class 4 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 501 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 187 seconds.

Table 4.29 Classification report for the Random forest algorithm and 5 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 3263 |
| Class 2 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 5239 |
| Class 3 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 3058 |
| Class 4 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 1184 |
| Class 5 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 305 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 211 seconds.

## Gradient boosted tree

Table 4.30 Classification report for the Gradient boosted tree algorithm and 3 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 7302 |
| Class 2 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 4764 |
| Class 3 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 983 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 14809 seconds.

Table 4.31 Classification report for the Gradient boosted tree algorithm and 4 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.61 | 4917 |
| Class 2 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 5468 |
| Class 3 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 2163 |
| Class 4 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 501 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 19603 seconds.

Table 4.32 Classification report for the Gradient boosted tree algorithm and 5 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 3263 |
| Class 2 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 5239 |
| Class 3 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 3058 |
| Class 4 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 1184 |
| Class 5 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 305 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 32053 seconds.

## Artificial Neural Network

Table 4.33 Classification report for the Artificial Neural Network algorithm and 3 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.70 | 7302 |
| Class 2 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 4764 |
| Class 3 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.38 | 983 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 53155 seconds.

Table 4.34 Classification report for the Artificial Neural Network algorithm and 4 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 4917 |
| Class 2 | 0.57 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 5468 |
| Class 3 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 2163 |
| Class 4 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.31 | 501 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 41248 seconds.

Table 4.35 Classification report for the Artificial Neural Network algorithm and 5 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 3263 |
| Class 2 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5239 |
| Class 3 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 3058 |
| Class 4 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 1184 |
| Class 5 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.27 | 305 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 52352 seconds.

## Logistic regression

Table 4.36 Classification report for the Logistic regression algorithm and 3 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 7302 |
| Class 2 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 4764 |
| Class 3 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 0.23 | 983 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 115 seconds.

Table 4.37 Classification report for the Logistic regression algorithm and 4 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 4917 |
| Class 2 | 0.54 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 5468 |
| Class 3 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 2163 |
| Class 4 | 0.09 | 0.58 | 0.15 | 501 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.47 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 71 seconds.

Table 4.38 Classification report for the Logistic regression algorithm and 5 optimized classes

| Model | Accuracy | Recall | f1 score | Nb of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class 1 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 3263 |
| Class 2 | 0.52 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 5239 |
| Class 3 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 3058 |
| Class 4 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 1184 |
| Class 5 | 0.07 | 0.57 | 0.12 | 305 |
| Weighted Avg | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 13049 |

The training time for the best model was 24 seconds.

## Synthesis

Table 4.45 synthesis the results for a four labels classification for the different algorithms. The four labels were chosen to compare the results with the previous analysis with classes chosen arbitrarily.

Table 4.39 Multi-label classification with 4 optimized classes

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy Mean | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.47 |
| Recall Mean | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.36 |
| F1 score Mean | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.36 |
| Training time (s) | 188 | 41248 | 19603 | 71 |

Once again, random forest and gradient boosted trees performs better than the other models, even if the artificial neural network has similar results. It could be explained by the difficulty to tune the parameters of the artificial neural network.

The artificial neural network and for the gradient boosted models are training for a longer time than the other models.

Moreover, the results have improved with the use of unsupervised classes. There is a potential to predict time slot delays for end trip using planning data.

### 4.3.4 Characterisation of the errors

The errors can be described as under-evaluating or over-evaluating the mistake. To compare that, for each optimized multi-label classifier models the difference between the predictions and the real results were analyzed on the test set. They are going to be classified into several categories. If a prediction is over-estimating a delay, it will go in the group " + " and the number of differences in the forecast.

For example, if a delay should be labeled in the second class (between - 49 and 267 seconds) but the trips end before the scheduled time (first class), it would go to the category " -1 ". As there are 4 categories, six different categories for the errors exist: "-3", "-2", "-1", " +1 ", " +2 " and " +3 ". The information about the correct predictions is also displayed.

The analysis is made with the four classes from the unsupervised learning in the autumn 2017 database.

## Random Forest

Table 4.40 Errors classification for Random Forest

| Class | neg3 | neg2 | neg1 | Correct | pos1 | pos2 | pos3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 92 | 587 | 2441 | 6981 | 2433 | 453 | 62 |
| Percentage | 1 | 4 | 19 | 53 | 19 | 3 | 0.5 |

## Gradient Boosted Tree

Table 4.41 Errors classification for GBT

| Class | neg3 | neg2 | neg1 | Correct | pos1 | pos2 | pos3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 98 | 625 | 2455 | 7002 | 2342 | 468 | 59 |
| Percentage | 1 | 5 | 19 | 54 | 18 | 4 | 0.5 |

## Artificial Neural Network

Table 4.42 Errors classification for ANN

| Class | neg3 | neg2 | neg1 | Correct | pos1 | pos2 | pos3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 171 | 910 | 2738 | 6525 | 2246 | 403 | 56 |
| Percentage | 1 | 7 | 21 | 50 | 17 | 3 | 0.4 |

## Logistic regression

Table 4.43 Errors classification for logistic regression

| Class | neg3 | neg2 | neg1 | Correct | pos1 | pos2 | pos3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | 992 | 2066 | 2833 | 4713 | 1901 | 491 | 53 |
| Percentage | 8 | 16 | 22 | 36 | 15 | 4 | 0.4 |

## Conclusion

The results are synthesized under a graph presented in figure 4.7


Figure 4.7 Occurences for each classes

The logistic regression presents more mistakes than the other models. As predicted, random forest and gradient boosted have similar repartition of their mistakes. Artificial neural networks present more negative mistakes because it tends more to predict high delay categories than the other machine learning models.

### 4.4 Binary model on several periods

The idea of the project is to build machine learning models to predict future planning. In the previous section, it has been demonstrated that it is possible to learn from schedule data to predict end-trip bus delay in one period.

However, the objective of GIRO is to predict reliability for future schedules. Then, tests should be made to assess the possibility to learn on a period and to test it on another.

Firstly, models are tried with database composed of the aggregation of the autumn 2016 and the autumn 2017 databases. These analyses assess the possibility of mixing different databases. Then the models are trained on the autumn 2016 database and tested on the autumn 2017 database.

### 4.4.1 Problems linked to linking different databases

The data from Autumn 2016 were treated as the one from Autumn 2017. However, two lines were not present in autumn 2016. Thus, the data containing these lines were removed.

Moreover, other problems appeared : how to manage the difference in input data, especially for the preprocessing of the one-hot and the regularisation.

It was decided that all the data would be preprocessed together then split for the different step.

### 4.4.2 Bilinear model with both database

First, binary classifier models were trained with the new database. The preprocessing method, the oversampling method and the features chosen were the same used for the binary classification on one period. The four usual algorithms were tried.

Table 4.44 Binary classification with Autumn2016 and Autumn 2017 databases

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| Recall | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.62 |
| F1 score | 0.56 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.47 |
| Training time(s) | 316 | 19903 | 9298 | 23 |

The results are similar to the one found with the binary classifier models : the f 1 score is the same, and artificial neural networks achieve better to find delays but have a worse overall accuracy.

The training time is longer than in the model with one database because more data were present in the training set. The training time for an artificial neural network does not change because the maximum number of iterations stopped the training.

### 4.4.3 Fitting on 2016 to predict on 2017

Then it was tried to fit a model on Autumn 2016 and test it on Autumn 2017. The four usual algorithms were tried.

Table 4.45 Binary classification fit on Autumn2016 test on Autumn 2017

| Model | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accuracy | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.64 | 0.59 |
| Recall | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 0.53 |
| F1 score | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.43 | 0.41 |

The results are not as good as the one obtained when trained and tested on both databases. The models are still better than random models. The logistic regression has the same performance as the machine learning models.

However, the results of the previous section have shown that the models can learn on several databases. Analyses should be done to find a way of improving the transfer of learning over several periods.

### 4.4.4 Unsupervised analysis

Unsupervised learning has been tested on the autumn 2016 period and compared to the autumn 2017 unsupervised learning to assess the possibility of using this method to find clusters for multi-label classification. As a recall, the clusters are formed by the end trip delays and are in seconds. Indeed, using unsupervised learning for choosing the classes improve the results for multi-label classification, as it was shown in the previous section.

The following figures display for several numbers of clusters the results of unsupervised learning for autumn 2016 and autumn 2017. The range of the clusters and the percentage of occurrences present in each cluster are presented for each period.

Table 4.46 Comparison of Unsupervised learning with 2 clusters

| $\mathrm{n}=2$ | Autumn 2017 |  | Autumn 2016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Range (s) | \% of occurrences | Range (s) | \% of occurrences |
| Class 0 | $[-1929 ; 268]$ | $80 \%$ | $[-1664 ; 255]$ | $78 \%$ |
| Class 1 | $[268 ;+\inf [$ | $20 \%$ | $[255 ;+\inf [$ | $22 \%$ |

Table 4.47 Comparison of Unsupervised learning with 3 clusters

| $\mathrm{n}=3$ | Autumn 2017 |  | Autumn 2016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Range (s) | \% of occurrences | Range (s) | \% of occurrences |
| Class 0 | $[-1929 ; 55]$ | $57 \%$ | $[-1664 ; 44]$ | $55 \%$ |
| Class 1 | $[55 ; 556]$ | $36 \%$ | $[44 ; 543]$ | $36 \%$ |
| Class 2 | $[556 ;+\inf [$ | $8 \%$ | $[543 ;+\inf [$ | $8 \%$ |

Table 4.48 Comparison of Unsupervised learning with 4 clusters

| $\mathrm{n}=4$ | Autumn 2017 |  | Autumn 2016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Range (s) | \% of occurrences | Range (s) | \% of occurrences |
| Class 0 | $[-1929 ;-49]$ | $38 \%$ | $[-1664 ;-53]$ | $39 \%$ |
| Class 1 | $[-49 ; 267]$ | $41 \%$ | $[-53 ; 265]$ | $40 \%$ |
| Class 2 | $[267 ; 788[$ | $17 \%$ | $[265 ; 774]$ | $17 \%$ |
| Class 3 | $[788 ;+\inf [$ | $4 \%$ | $[774 ;+\inf [$ | $4 \%$ |

Table 4.49 Comparison of Unsupervised learning with 5 clusters

| $\mathrm{n}=5$ | Autumn 2017 |  | Autumn 2016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Range (s) | \% of occurrences | Range (s) | \% of occurrences |
| Class 0 | $[-1929 ;-120]$ | $25 \%$ | $[-1664 ;-128]$ | $25 \%$ |
| Class 1 | $[-120 ; 118]$ | $40 \%$ | $[-128 ; 112]$ | $39 \%$ |
| Class 2 | $[118 ; 433]$ | $23 \%$ | $[112 ; 424]$ | $23 \%$ |
| Class 3 | $[433 ; 958]$ | $9 \%$ | $[424 ; 923]$ | $10 \%$ |
| Class 4 | $[958 ;+$ inf $[$ | $2 \%$ | $[923 ;+$ inf $[$ | $3 \%$ |

For each analysis, the border and the percentage of occurrences are similar for each cluster in both periods. Unsupervised learning to determine classes could be integrated in the future for improving the learning on multiple periods.

### 4.5 Conclusion

In this section, it has been proven that the three machine learning models can model end trip delays using offline data, for both binary and multi-label classification. The machine learning models perform better than logistic regression. Random forest and gradient boosted trees outperform artificial neural network in term of the f1 score, but get a higher recall score the critical delays.

Building unsupervised machine learning models can improve the results for multi-label classification. Moreover, the comparison of the results of unsupervised learning in the autumn 2016 and 2017 databases have shown that the classes created are similar. Further analysis to identify the pattern for delays should be done.

Models can learn on several periods; however, the results from learning on one database and testing on others are not relevant yet. Analyses should be done to understand and then solve this issue.

## CHAPTER 5 EVALUATION OF THE SCHEDULE RELIABILITY

The objective of the research is to model the bus trip arrival delay to assess schedule reliability. The interest for GIRO was to estimate the delay probabilities for the different trips of a schedule.

Moreover, it is interesting to compare the results with statistics methods. Indeed, a normal distribution is easy to fit and is commonly used to represent reality.

Working with the probability of being in each time slot delays could assess the quality of the model and could be used by companies to improve their service reliability.

In this section, the analysis will be made with the optimized multi-labels models from Autumn 2017.

### 5.1 Method for assessing schedule reliability

A method for assessing schedule reliability which could be generalized over the time had to be found. Indeed, the trips are not the same over the different periods.

Estimating for the different time slot of the day the probability for each trip to end late would allow assessing the reliability of the service. Moreover, comparing the estimated distribution to the real one would permit to compare the prediction models to each other.

### 5.1.1 Methodology

Different trips are planned over various periods. In order to compare them, it is interesting to introduce the notion of Time Slot (TS). The day would be split in TS, which could be of various sizes depending on the part of the day. For the various analyses, TS could vary between 1 minute and 30 minutes.

For the rest of the work, a combination of TS, line, and direction will be called TSLD.
Once the day has been split into TS, they are attributed to the different trips. Then the trips are gathered by line, direction, and TS. Then for each of the instances (TS/line/direction) created, a probability distribution of being in each delay classes is created by averaging all the different distributions within it.

For example, if the TS value is 15 minutes, and four trips are leaving the line " L " in direction " D " between 8.15 a.m. and $8.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. (the time slot associated is then 33, because $8.15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.
is the 33 rd quarter of 15 minutes of the day). The probability of ending in class 0 for the aggregated 33LD is the mean of the probability of being in class 0 of the four trips.

Then, the estimated probability is compared to real behavior. The real behavior is estimated by counting for each TSLD the occurrences of being in each delay class, and the frequencies are calculated. Therefore, the distance between reality and the estimation are calculated through different metrics presented later.

The normal distribution used as a comparison was created by calculating the mean and the standard deviation of the delays end trip for each TSLD.

### 5.1.2 Comparing to probabilistic laws

The model is compared to probabilistic laws because they are the ones which could reproduce the reality and are easy to set up. The most common and used one is the normal distribution. It is characterized by its mean $\mu$ and by its standard deviation $\sigma$.

$$
\phi(x \mid \mu, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}
$$

In practice, for all databases, trips were gathered by TSLD, and the delay means and the standard deviations were calculated. Then a normal distribution probability density was computed with the two parameters, and the probability of being in each time slot was calculated. It gave a distribution of probability which could be compared to the probabilities from the machine learning models.

With the example quoted before with the four trips of the line L in direction D for the TS 33 , if the mean of delays for the four trips is 120 and the standard deviation of 130 , a normal distribution law is associated with these values. Then the probability distribution of having a delay between -47 and 268 seconds is given by the formula:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(-47 \leq x \leq 268)=\int_{-47}^{268} \phi(x \mid 120,130) d x \\
P(-47 \leq x \leq 268)=0.77
\end{gathered}
$$

### 5.1.3 Metrics for comparing distribution

Two distances were used to compare for each TSLD the probability distribution from the different methods and reality given by the frequencies.

The estimated probability for being in the class c for a TLSD is noted $p_{c} T L S D$. The frequency
for the class c of a TLSD is noted $f_{c} T L S D$.
The first distance calculated is the Euclidean distance. It was used because this distance is often used to compare distance. For each combination, the difference between the classes estimated and the frequency was calculated, and then the Euclidean distance was calculated :

$$
D_{E}(T L S D)=\sqrt{\sum_{c=1}^{4}\left(p_{c}(T L S D)-f_{c}(T L S D)\right)^{2}}
$$

The second distance is the Bhattacharyya distance. It was used because it measures the similarity between two discrete distribution probabilities. The Bhattacharyya distance for a TSLD is defined as :

$$
D_{B}(T L S D)=-\ln (B C(T L S D)
$$

where :

$$
B C(T L S D)=\sum_{c=1}^{4} \sqrt{p_{c}(T L S D) * f_{c}(T L S D)}
$$

Once the distance calculated for each TSLD, the gap was multiplied by the number of occurrences of the TLSD in reality, in order to weight each TSLD. All the multiplications were summed to get final results. The distance was weighted because the most frequent TSLD needs to have more impact on the final results. Moreover, multiplying by the number of occurrences permits to compare the models with different TS between them.

### 5.2 Assessing the service reliability

The model was assessed according to the methodology described in the previous section. The results will be displayed under tables presenting the total weighted sum with Euclidean and Bhattacharyya distances.

### 5.2.1 Presentation of the results

The analyses were made by gathering the line and direction with a different time slot. The time slot of one minute, five minutes, fifteen and thirty minutes were tested. The results are displayed in the following tables

Table 5.1 Comparison for the distance for the different models with TS of 1 minute

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean Distance | 21449 | 22676 | 29742 | 35570 | 61757 |
| Bhattacharyya distance | 4607 | 6519 | 6568 | 14844 | 32051 |

Table 5.2 Comparison for the distance for the different models with TS of 5 minutes

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean Distance | 21382 | 22589 | 29530 | 35373 | 61420 |
| Bhattacharyya distance | 4564 | 6449 | 6428 | 14674 | 31720 |

Table 5.3 Comparison for the distance for the different models with TS of 15 minutes

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean distance | 20498 | 21601 | 27697 | 33531 | 58279 |
| Bhattacharyya Distance | 3975 | 5660 | 5343 | 12942 | 29375 |

Table 5.4 Comparison for the distance for the different models with TS of 30 minutes

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean Distance | 19488 | 20223 | 25690 | 31428 | 54841 |
| Bhattacharyya distance | 3388 | 4754 | 4370 | 11062 | 24743 |

The Bhattacharyya distance shows more difference between the results, and so seem more relevant for this kind of analysis.

With the TS increasing, the distance decreases. Indeed, with more data to estimate the probability distribution, the results are more accurate.

The artificial neural network and the random forest models perform better than the gradient boosted tree and the logistic regression. Normal distribution performs better than all the models, but the results are biased because the distribution created is directly related to the occurrences.

### 5.2.2 Analysis on peak hour periods

The analysis could be detailed on the most critical part of the day : the peak hours. For this peak hour, the analysis is done with time slots of 15 minutes. The aggregations with the time slots included in the peak hours were extracted and compared.

Table 5.5 Comparison for the distance for the different models with TS of 15 minutes in peak-hour periods

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean Distance | 8562 | 8982 | 12231 | 13992 | 23455 |
| Bhattacharyya distance | 1592 | 2199 | 2344 | 5155 | 10699 |

The results are similar to the analysis of the overall database. Random forests and artificial neural networks outperform gradient boosted trees.

### 5.2.3 Explaination of the difference between the models

During the building and the analysis of the ML models, gradient boosted trees obtained the same score in metrics as the random forests, but the random is more precise for service reliability. To understand this, the standard deviation has been calculated for all the TSLD with a TS of 30 minutes. Then the mean of all the standard deviation has been calculated, and results are displayed in table 5.6

Table 5.6 Comparison for the Mean Standard Deviation with TS of 30 minutes

| Model | Normal Distribution | RF | ANN | GBT | Log |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean Standard Deviation | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.13 |

The mean, standard deviation is more important with the normal distribution, random forest and artificial neural networks rather than in the other models. It explains that gradient boosted trees produce probability distribution more flattered and then the distance with frequency is more important.

In all these models, the artificial neural network model has the most significant mean standard deviation, which shows the potential of this model to estimate accurately service reliability.

### 5.3 Conclusion

A document that GIRO could directly use was proposed. Figure 5.7 is an example of data GIRO could integrate into their optimization algorithms. For the different lines and directions at the different parts of the day, the probability of the trip ending in the different delay slot would be displayed.

Table 5.7 Example of a possible Output with a Random Forest algorithm

| TSDL | Prob_Class0 | Prob_Class1 | Prob_Class2 | Prob_Class3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| R100Est10 | $16 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| R100Est11 | $29 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| R100Est13 | $61 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| R100Est14 | $29 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| R100Est15 | $71 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| R100Est16 | $13 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| R100Est17 | $22 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| R100Est18 | $33 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| R100Est19 | $15 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| R100Est20 | $43 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| R100Est21 | $13 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| R100Est22 | $15 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

Random forest and artificial neural networks are more suitable algorithms to assess service reliability. Even if the random forest algorithm is faster and easy to train, the artificial neural network model has the potential to achieve better results.

Normal distribution assesses better service reliability in one period. However the model is built from the mean and the standard deviation of the delay, so the results are biased.

It would be interesting to predict the probability distribution with the model trained in previous periods. The results would be more relevant.

However, the method proposed to assess the service reliability, which consists in aggregating lines with direction and time slots could be generalized. Indeed, it affords to display results which could be used directly by the companies.

## CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this research project was to use machine learning in a public transportation context. The main difficulty of the project was the exploratory phase. The conclusion of this step was the subject of the thesis : using scheduling data to assess service reliability. During the whole project, the idea of implementing the project was fundamental because the work was done in cooperation with a company: GIRO. Hence using offline data and the training time were essential aspects of the project. The main database was composed of data from Montreal for autumn 2017.

### 6.1 Summary of Works

First, the data were extracted and treated from different databases and aggregated all together. This work was done by integrating a primary key which was the UOTN. Then the database was processed to fit in a machine learning model. As the data come from a schedule, they were not correlated to each other, and they all could be integrated into machine learning models.

Then different machine learning models were implemented : Random Forest, Gradient Boosted tree and Artificial Neural Network. The three models were tested at the same time as a logistic regression, which was used as a reference. The models were first about binary classification and aimed at predicting the status of late of the bus end-trip. The models were optimized through the oversampling method, the dimensionality reduction and the choice of the parameters. In the end, the f 1 score for the models at the end of the optimization was about 0.57.

Therefore, the models went to a multi-label classification, which aims at deciding in slot time of delay for bus end trips. The classes of delay were selected at first arbitrarily but were then optimized through unsupervised learning. A multi-label classifier with four classes determined by unsupervised learning obtained an f 1 score of 0.51 .

Moreover, using unsupervised learning to determine classes showed that the results are similar on different periods, and thus this method could be generalized. Indeed, deciding of the classes of delay with this method improved the results subsequently.

Then the autumn 2016 data were integrated into the database. Tests showed that machine learning could model end trip delay but the learning on one period and testing on other periods should be improved.

The schedule reliability was assessed by displaying the probability prediction for trips aggregated by line, direction, and time slot. The models were compared by calculating the probability distribution between reality and the probabilities distribution. A normal distribution is better when it is on one period, but experiments should be done on the future to check if this result is still valid when it is to predict future periods.

The model could not be compared because no other works try to predict the end trip delay using only the planning data. Indeed the prediction models tend nowadays to use real-time data in order to estimate the end trip delay. However, the model is better than the theory because it outperforms normal distribution and the supposed behavior of the models, which predict that $95 \%$ of the trips would end on time.

A summary of the different analysis is presented in figure 6.1


Figure 6.1 Synthesis of the analysis

Random forests and gradient boosted trees achieve a higher f 1 score for the models, and random forest needs less time for training. The artificial neural network obtained a smaller f1 score but achieved better to find delays. Artificial neural networks are supposed to achieve better, but the tuning of its hyper-parameters is difficult. Random forests could be used to predict models quickly and accurately, but if the time is not a constraint, for both the tuning of the hyper-parameters and for the training time, the artificial neural network should get better results.

Moreover, the method proposed in the service reliability assessment could be generalized.
Finally, this project has given GIRO an overview of the potential of the data they have for different machine learning projects.

### 6.2 Limitations

The model had some limitation, and the main one found in the problem was the fact of not using real-time data. It prevented the models from learning more. Indeed, weather condition
or event if accidents could have helped to estimate the probability of delays.
There was the inherent problem of machine learning, which is the tuning of the hyperparameters to improve the accuracy of the models. A compromised had to be found.

Moreover, the lack of data of the different years had prevented a total assessment of the model.

### 6.3 Future Research

Future research possibilities should solve the current limitations. Moreover, other theoretical and practical improvements could be suggested.

The first one could be the improvement of the models. It could be done by adding real-time data and by finding better hyper-parameters for the models. A new sklearn functionality had been developed and is AutoSklearn classifier.

Further analysis should be run to explain the difference of results when the model is trained on one period and tested on the other one. Finding a way of translating the input of one period to others is a real challenge. The method for assessing schedule reliability should be tested with a probability distribution and normal distribution trained on previous periods.

Moreover, the models could be tested in another city, to check that the models could be generalized. Other features could be found by doing this. With the output file of the models, a robustness index could be developed, giving to public transport planners an idea of the robustness of their schedules. In a more extended period, machine learning models could be directly included in scheduling algorithms and could be part of the objective function.
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[^0]:    1. A threshold is a numeric limit at which a probability for being into a class is above this limit, it is decided to assign this class to this input. By default, a threshold is set at 0.5
