# Mindi Rhoades & Vittoria S. Daiello

## losting + founding poetry:

Sub/versive Academic Love Letters

## losting + founding poetry: sub/versive academic love letters

### accidental poesis

pretty happy poets pawing through pop culture petty trash heap sculptors crashing Duchamp's bicycles into Warhol's soup doubling back to do it again and again, then riding the silvery wind in A.V. Janssens' exhibit riveting chrome cycles revolving in infinite circles crosscruising like queers used to do slicing through the light and air around us, you delightful skimming through golden scraps and diamond chaff collaging jetsam flotsam crap nothing borrowed nothing gained nothing doubled, no remains fragments decontextualized to meaninglessness, chiasma lies so close to chiaroscuro so far from Dillard's polar duos so ignorant of craft inattentive maybe daft uninformed rank novices don't understand in media res should start at the beginning a very fine place to start an article or at least conclude to answer someone else's questions (without being rude) so much missing misses missed so subversive, since we insist we'd be remiss not to revisit

to ask ourselves what is and isn't beyond and below and between the words unseen unruly and unheard wobbling bobbling wandering verbs disturb us but we do not ask what is it we just go and make our visits our plundering processes disguised unclarified searching for graceful shimmering unhinged unremembering meaning doubled, unraveling pretty happy poets, plowing, plagiarizing babbling, yapping in this article that's all that happens

This is an unconventional introduction. This is an unconventional undertaking. This is an experiment. This is unfinished. This is *in media res*.

Found(ing) poetry is a sub/versive artmakingwriting process. Found(ing) poetry, as we are using it, is about mining other people's texts, or verses, looking for meaning beyond and below and between the words on the page, then sharing and responding to these texts in an ongoing dialogue. These are poietic endeavors, ontological entanglements (Rosiek, 2017); these are love letters that validate a different kind of making and knowing in academe. What follows is a brief explanation of our collaborative artmakingwriting process (so far), a process that engages with key concepts we are beginning to imagine and explore, theories we are using to guide our exploration, several sub/versive poems we have created, and speculations on further directions for this work.

We are interested in *losting and founding*, and we see it as a poetic, reflective, dialogic, curatorial practice emerging around the edges and in the interstices of our ongoing arts practices, research collaborations, and conversations about what it means to teach others about art and education these days What can you learn when educational objectives are unclear or unknown? What happens when you just explore? As artist-writer-researchers and university educators, we've engaged in arts-based research writing processes (Daiello, Bruner, & Casey, 2017; Stout & Daiello, 2017), pedagogical exploration of texts through dramatic inquiry (Rhoades & Daiello, 2016), and poetry as research method (Rhoades, 2016, 2018). We acknowledge this method also has roots in Richardson's (2003) writing as inquiry, Goldsmith's (2011) writing as conceptual artistry, Iser's (1978) reader response theory, Perloff's (1991, 2005) writings on postmodern poetics, and Retallack's (2003) study of Cage and poethics. In essence, losting and founding is an exercise in patient attunement and empathic wandering; sustained by belief in a language of feeling and association.

Currently, our losting and founding centers on creating poetic dialogues from academic texts. We have each separately selected and shared articles and chapters and books and poems by other authors. Using these texts (Berlant, 2008; Stein, 1914; Winterson, 1995) as raw material, we have distilled the words and work of others, sending the emergent free verse poetry back and forth to one another in a call and response conversation to see what results. We are engaging in found(ing) poetry as a sub/versive artmakingwriting process, opening texts and thoughts to more intimate and interactive encounters.

There is something undeniably pleasurable, and subversive, about playing around with others' words, wondering our way through the resonance that some texts have for one or both of us, or wandering for no reason at all other than to experience the jolt of joy that springs forth when a particularly graceful phrase shimmers its way out of a thick layer of language. There is inspiration to be found in the spaces between signifier and signified; interesting questions to be explored outside/against the rules of a disciplinary practice; and there is a distinctive kind of energy that grows from making room for the "waifs and strays" (Gross, 2010, p. 33) that linger around what we think of as our focal work.

There is also something political about making these things matter in academic scholarship about finding the poetry in the theory and exploring it, about examining the margins and subtexts. What might we learn from wandering and speculating, not seeking familiar forms, but tuning our senses to respond to (and create from) the resonance of the work?

The losting and founding process establishes a space of unruliness, where familiar, disciplined academic writing is unhinged from routinized forms of expression (Michael, 2016) and released to the potential of voluptuous validity (Lather, 1993) and pedagogical uncertainty (Britzman, 2003). Lingering in the evocative spaces between knowing and not knowing, sense and nonsense, is a kind of unproductive productivity that holds no promise other than the certainty that there will be a phenomenological experience of being lost. Not knowing when, if, or even how, founding will yield meaning is the beauty of the process and the point of the endeavor. There is no end, no clear beginning. Only middle.

As a dialogic invention process, losting and founding differs from the practice of creating found poetry. Where poet Annie Dillard (1996) describes her found poems in Mornings Like This as "(e)diting to the extreme: writing without

composing" (p. x), we view foundings as a composition of attunements. Our process of "moving information" (Perloff, 2005, p. 85) to pursue the movement of affect through writing has more in common with Goldsmith's (2011) treatise on conceptual writing in the digital age than with the goals of found poetry or free verse, traditionally defined. With a shared reverence for witnessing (Katz, 2003) and an interest in the construction of knowledge in relational contexts (Raider-Roth, 2005), we pursue the idiosyncratic resonances that we experience in one another's words by working with a small group of source texts that we selected together based on our shared affinity for the authors, subjects, and genres. Prying open our source texts, we detach sentences from their original contexts and arrange them in new configurations. With every iteration of making, sharing, and responding to one another, a dialogic composition grows and expands as authorial primacy or artistic selfwill unravels further (Richardson, 2015). This approach to composition strives for relational complexity; "a messier and baggier" (Lynch, 2012, p. 465) envelope of signification where the locus of meaning and meaning-making are dynamic intersubjective pathways, calling for an investment of time in learning to read a once-familiar text now differently familiar.

Taking the time to attend to another person's way of engaging with the world, to witness and linger with the intricate ways in which another makes sense of the world, is to cultivate an "ethos of openness" and "presumptive generosity" (McCormack, 2008, p. 8). Being witnessed while taking risks and being responded to generously, especially when one is venturing forth in an uncertain language, builds creative capacities of patience, humility, openness to otherness. Believing that one's audience will approach the experimental text with curiosity and affection contributes to a context that nurtures play and risk-taking in the construction

of meaning. For us, to engage wholeheartedly in losting and founding is to take love seriously in academia (Laura, 2013).

The articles/essays we have chosen so far are explicit about including things like love, passion, desire, sinuousness, and sensuousness within their academic analysis. They are not only demanding but constructing and occupying space for these subjective feelings and experiences and emotions, even when they are slippery and fluid. They form a kind of slow-moving, extended conversation. They open spaces. As Black & Loch (2014) note

This communion of uncertainty brings something certain – connection, resonance, authenticity, awareness. We are sharing a language, of gaps, transition, ache, hope, dread, troubling, not knowing. It is real, it is a balm. This writing space is a healing space for me. Resonance. Vulnerability. Imperfect lives connecting and inhabiting each other's stories. (p. 72)

What follows are several selected poems from our process.

[from Mindi to Vicki]

art objects my heart flooded away what was I to do?

I have fallen in love
I have no language
I have nothing to say
(but) I desperately want to speak
of desire and despair
make a clearing in the silence
deceive ourselves

the sublimities indifferent to time: rapture, transformation, joy

the paradox of active surrender: a lemniscate of back and forth

art opens the heart

we are not very good at looking in deep difficult

the gaze too insistent

we canonize so what was wild is tamed what was objecting, reclaimed in reciprocal inventions we call memory

every day, you and I convince ourselves about ourselves we do still fall in love at first sight

there's no good red, with green as bad red, Rukeyser said there is what they are, what they are not and our hearts

a revolution daub(ing) bright color against bright color, ungraded by chiaroscuro a rapture of light diluted by how to make a thing accessible, desirable (reproducible)

the artist, the painting, and me the triangle of exchange fluid, subtle, unverifiable a living line of movement a wave that repercusses in my body coloring the new present, the future, even the past which cannot now be considered outside the painting changes the meaning of the thought and the past

this refusal of finality sets art apart

the universe is infinite, expanding, strangely complete the message colored through time is not lack, but abundance not silence, but many voices sublimity made visible

even those from whom art has been stolen begin to make it again

out of dust and mud filling walls with new light

(Found in Jeanette Winterson's (1995) Art Objects: Essays on Ecstasy and Effrontery Chapter 1)

[from Vicki to Mindi] Berlant:

Jean-Luc Nancy's version of love: I may desire to break my own heart open(ing) to pressure in my body an/other way of tracking affective intensities.

Of course

(We) may reinvent the ordinariness of quotidian intensitiesa situation that provokes the need to think and adjust slow things down gather things up find things out and wonder and ponder.

(Yet, I always wonder):

What the fuck is going on?

(I can't form the sounds. But I am certain that) -

To think is not especially joyful or rational here – (instead, there is):

skimming, browsing, distraction, apathy, coolness, counter-absorption,

and so on. (This) lower case drama.

**Pulsations** 

habituated patterning make possible getting through the day (the relationships, the job, the life) (As) the brain chatters on assessing things in focused and unfocused procedures (This is) living?

Not thinking in the precise sense not just thinking, but a stream of perceptions, flaneurlike collections, an idiomatic shift.

But when I think about Stopping to think stopping to think about fucking and war and the world (and) kisses and kinship and political everything, including the "the waning of affect," there is grief the lost ordinary; the default.

(Found in Lauren Berlant's 2008 article "Thinking about feeling historical" in Emotion, Space and Society, 1, pp. 4–9.)

### [from Mindi to Vicki]

artists + writers are liars + sooths

Stein had the personality for success she loved it and it loved her she packed halls wherever she went she was not on the map she was the topography of her own country

Plato called the artist a liar Matisse called Stein a liar after she redefined reality, breaking autobiography from a rigid mold into which facts must be poured

the word the word that is both form and substance the moving word uncaught smuggled across the borders of complacency smuggled alive past the checkpoints of propriety

Stein made all the people around her into characters in her own fiction a splendid blow to verisimo

nothing sacred except the word

poor Matisse made into a fiction determined to behave like a fact

the riskiness of art is not the riskiness of its subject matter Stein trespassed made fiction masquerade as memoir

I prefer myself as a character in my own fiction

the most important thing not wit nor warmth but a new way with words a writer is a raider the past gathered up melted down re-formed becoming a stepping stone (between) what will follow and the past we claim to love the circuit between past, present, and future energies we call art

an eighteenth-century robustness and raciness kaleidoscopic fragmentation to give precisely the giddy out-of-focus feel enlarging what is small, reducing what is large twisting and turning material to misrepresent it the truth of fiction (is) not the truth of railway timetables undermining our usual way of seeing the author remains in complete control making the characters completely plausible until the end a bridge with the past both conscious and liminal the link we need

Wordsworth was his own epic hero disrespecting a well-worn form charming the reader bringing back to us an emotional rapture at once fire and distant the shock of memory after concussion the emotions returned recharged re-drawn the balance of an ordinary day overturned art alters consciousness

Stein more flagrant less apologetic no attempt to clothe herself in a thin veil of fiction she became the fiction

poetic emotion raised up out of the best we are passion, love, sex, ecstasy compassion, grief, death an operatic largeness art is cellular art releases to us realities otherwise hidden recalls us to possible sublimity art finds (us)

it is necessary to have a story an alibi that gets us through the day what happens when the story becomes a scripture conflicting storylines dismissed, diluted

struggling against the limitations we place ourselves an inner life often at odds with external figurings

what Wordsworth called 'the real solid world of images' to understand ourselves as fictions is to understand ourselves as fully as we can

(Found in Jeanette Winterson's (1995) Art Objects: Essays on Ecstasy and Effrontery Chapter 3)

### [from Vicki to Mindi]

what Wordsworth called 'the real solid world of images' to understand ourselves as fictions is to understand ourselves as fully as we can," a welcome dislocation: a truer fiction wherein we play along, act so that there is no use in a centre, knowing all, along, that a wide action is not a width.

Nor a with.

Struggling against the limitations, we play "and," locating an inner life, oddly askew against our external figurings.

This preparation is given to the ones preparing (t)here: an occupation, and then the spreading; that was not accomplishing that needed standing and yet the time was not so difficult as they were not all in place.

[A distillation of "artists + writers are liars + sooths" with Tender Buttons, in Search of a Parallel Universe]

This is a response to the call for "subversive" papers in art education, for scholarship that involves "overturning conventional knowing through a process of "(un)knowing and (re)contextualizing" (see the Journal of Social Theory in Art Education's Call for Papers for Volume 39 at https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/jstae/JSTAE\_39\_CFP.pdf). We are "mining and undermining" familiar poetry and scholarly writing

methods, subverting the concept of what academic writing is and can be and what we should be doing with it. We are subverting traditional academic notions of propriety by focusing on our intellectual as well as our subjective and affective reactions, recognitions, and resonances to engage places we can find love, passion, and connection in these texts, or spaces, pockets, disruptions, margins, gaps, wobbles. We are dialogically curating our knowledge, exploring wildly and ravenously – in academic texts and literature and art—and sharing the poetic bits and intensities, trying to understand them and use them to propel us further. We understand Massumi's (1992) insistence that

A thing has as many meanings as there are forces capable of seizing it...The presence of the sign is not an identity but an envelopment of difference, of a multiplicity of actions, materials, and levels. In a broader sense, meaning even includes the paths not taken. It is also all the forces that could have seized the thing but did not. It is an infinity of processes. (pp. 10-11)

We are creating and exploring other paths. We are enacting a process of wholly engaged learning/inquiry—finding and making poetry in these academic contexts—taking the words of others and churning turning heating them, alchemically creating something new.

When asked why we engage in losting and founding, we summon the sentiments of poet Joan Retallack (2003) who says that she writes "to stay warm and active and realistically messy" (p. 5). In an education milieu where generalizable, replicable knowledge and intended learning outcomes are a prized form of academic currency, losting and founding secures a place for mundane processes and humble becomings; time for lingering within the unruly potentialities that are all around; and capacity for playing toward becomings.

We are continuing to look for ways to create spaces for knowing, not knowing, unknowing; for exploration, without any clear direction or endpoint in mind, just the love of looking and losting and founding and loving. Together. Always in media res.

Correspondence regarding this article may be sent to the authors:

Mindi Rhoades The Ohio State University rhoades.89@osu.edu

Vittoria Daiello University of Cincinnati daiellvi@ucmail.uc.edu From: Daiello <xxxxxxx>

Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 5:59:43 PM

To: Rhoades

Subject: Re: found academic poetry: losting as subversive reading – CFP for JSTAE

Darling,

This losting/founding/loving topic feels so timely ...But, it's sort of sad and sort of funny, isn't it, that academic love resides in the "sub/versive?" But it's true. The kind of wanton aliveness that academic "losting and loving" evokes for me is a force of insurgent desire so fierce, so powerful that it must be muffled beneath method and procedure.

Anyway....

A recent poem by Doug Anderson, I Am Always in Love (2018) appeared in my Vox Populi email feed the day I received your email about the CFP. The first line of the poem could've been lifted right from my aching heart: "I am always in love because that is what we are here to do." I connected with the idea of love as an overwhelming force that is always seeking its object ~ an unmoored abstraction in search of a landing place.

Anderson's words got me thinking about our losting and found(ing) poetry, wondering how the act of loving someone else's beautiful words into a state of unraveling and reweaving is constitutive of love? Is this process an act of loving, liberatory intimacy—a desire to undress, unwind, and unpack the beloved, setting it free? Are we, as Doug Anderson says, simply "water going downhill, pooling in rocks, overflowing, moving on beneath vines, in the gutters of cities" taking words with us as we go? I am intrigued by the potential meaning(s) of what we are doing. However, I am also wary of meanings that become tools for disciplining difference, subduing unruliness.

V

From: Rhoades <xxxxxxx>

Date: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 at 10:01 AM

To: Daiello <xxxxxxx>

Subject: Re: found academic poetry: losting as subversive reading – CFP for JSTAE

you, my dear, are turning up the sub/versive vocabulary and concept we need to ground this 'becoming-together' together. and we are finding ways to center people and love pedagogically through the use of words and beyond-words or more-than-words or somehow un/word/ing un/wor(l)d/ing.

i love stumbling through these complexities, roaming through other people's words and thoughts and trying to deliberately approach them poetically, in an attempt to read them for other layers of potential depth and meaning, for the aesthetic pleasure of academic interpretation into a more formalized art form. for the love and pleasure of working with the words of others as the material for finding unexpected beauty, poetic intensities. for the pure love of exchanging these ideas with someone else who loves these things terribly and fantastically too.

I'm getting back to our readings and hoping to make some progress in the next couple of weeks. I'm going to try to work through another Winterson chapter in the next few days, too.

so much love to you, my wonderful friend and adventurer!

M

### References

- Anderson, D. (2018). I am always in love. *Vox Populi*. Retrieved from https://voxpopulisphere. com/2018/09/21/doug-anderson-i-am-always-in-love/
- Berlant, L. (2008). Thinking about feeling historical. *Emotion, Space and Society*, 1,4-9.
- Black, A. L, & Loch, S. (2014). Called to respond: The potential of unveiling hiddens. *Reconceptualizing Educational Research Methodology*, 5(2), 60–75.
- Britzman, D. P. (2003). *Practice makes practice: A critical study of learning to teach.* Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Daiello, V. S., Bruner, O., & D. (2017). Writing into the unknown: Speculative, arts-based writing as creative composition and design research method. *Proceedings of the 7th International Congress of the International Association of Societies of Design Research (IASDR)*. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.7945/C20X12
- Dillard, A. (1996). Mornings like this: Found poems. New York: Harper Perennial.
- Goldsmith, K. (2011). *Uncreative writing: Managing language in the digital age.* New York: Columbia University Press.
- Gross, P. (2010). Giving houseroom to our waifs and strays: Questions for the writing workshop and the writing self. *Creative Writing: Teaching Theory and Practice*, 2(1), 33 40.
- Iser, W. (1978). The act of reading: A theory of aesthetic response. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Katz, C. (2003). Witnessing education. *Studies in Practical Philosophy: A Journal of Ethical and Political Philosophy*, 3(2), 107–131.
- Lather, P. (1993). Fertile obsession: Validity after poststructuralism. *Sociological Quarterly*, 34(4), 673-693.
- Laura, C. T. (2013). Intimate inquiry: Love as "data" in qualitative research. *Cultural Studies*<=>*Critical Methodologies*, 13(4), 289–292.
- Lynch, P. (2012). Composition's new thing: Bruno Latour and the apocalyptic turn. *College English*, 74(5), 458-476.
- Massumi, B. (1992). A user's guide to Capitalism and Schizophrenia: Deviations from Deleuze and Guattari. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Michael, M. (2016). Notes toward a speculative methodology of everyday life. *Qualitative Research*, 16(6), 646–660.
- McCormack, D. (2008). Thinking-Spaces for Research Creation. *Inflexions*, 1(1),1-16, Retrieved from www.inflexions.org
- Perloff, M. (1991). *Radical artifice: Writing poetry in the age of media*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Perloff, M. (2005). Moving information: On Kenneth Goldsmith's *The Weather, Open Letter*, 7, 85–95.
- Raider-Roth, M. (2005). Trusting what you know: Negotiating the relational context of classroom life. *Teachers College Record*, 107(4), 587–628.
- Retallack, J. (2003). *The poethical wager*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Rhoades, M. (2016). imprints: a collection of poems about arts. *Art/Research International: A Transdisciplinary Journal*, 1(1), 53-64.
- Rhoades, M. (2018). earthquakes + tsunamis. Journal of Social Theory in Art Education, 38, 16-24.
- Rhoades, M., & Daiello, V. S. (2016). Introducing dramatic inquiry as visual art education. *Journal of Curriculum and Pedagogy*, 13(3), 206-226.

- Richardson (Eisenhauer), J. (2015). The women lament: Unraveling the "I" in arts research. Studies in Art Education, 57(1), 63-75.
- Richardson, L. (2003). Writing: A method of inquiry. In Y. S. Lincoln & N. K. Denzin (Eds.), Turning points in qualitative research: Tying knots in a handkerchief (pp. 379–414). Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Rosiek, J. (2017). Art, agency, and ethics in research: How the new materialisms will require and transform arts-based research. In P. Leavy (Ed.), Handbook of arts-based research (pp. 632-648). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Stein, G. (1914). *Tender buttons*. New York: New York: Claire Marie.
- Stout, C.J., & Daiello, V. S. (2017). Arts-based writing: The performance of our lives. In P. Leavy (Ed.), Handbook of arts-based research (pp. 608-629). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Winterson, J. (1995). Art objects: Essays on ecstasy and effrontery. New York: Vintage International.