

A Comparison of Statistical and Geometric Reconstruction Techniques: Guidelines for Correcting Fossil Hominin Crania Errata

Rudolph Neeser

October 21, 2008

At the date this errata was published, the thesis in its original form was available from:

- <http://pubs.cs.uct.ac.za/archive/00000413/>
- <http://rudyneeser.wordpress.com/research/msc>

1 Errata to Chapter 6

A general problem with this chapter is that while all the results are reported in *cm*, many of the grand mean residuals have their units incorrectly reported to be *mm*.

1.1 pg 93

- “ $\bar{X} = 0.815mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.815cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{X} = 0.812mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.812cm$ ”.

1.2 pg 94

- “ $\bar{X} = 0.789mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.789cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{X} = 0.779mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.779cm$ ”.

1.3 pg 95

- “ $\bar{X} = 0.957mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.957cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{X} = 0.988mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{X} = 0.988cm$ ”.

1.4 pg 99-100

The graphs on these pages should have the x -axis labeled, “Number of missing landmarks”.

1.5 pg 103

“ $n = 128$ ” should read, “ $n = 178$ ”.

1.6 pg 104

- “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.47mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.47cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.35mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.35cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.41mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}} = 0.41cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_F = 0.41mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_F = 0.41cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.51mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.51cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_F = 0.29mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_F = 0.29cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.39mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.39cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_F = 0.31mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.31cm$ ”.
- “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.49mm$ ” should read “ $\bar{\bar{X}}_{NF} = 0.49cm$ ”.