

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A Proposal for Modest Revision of the Definition of Type 1 and Type 2 Myocardial Infarction

Citation for published version:

de Lemos, JÁ, Newby, LK & Mills, N 2019, 'A Proposal for Modest Revision of the Definition of Type 1 and Type 2 Myocardial Infarction', Circulation. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.042157

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.042157

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Circulation

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



A Proposal for Modest Revision of the Definition of Type 1 and Type 2 Myocardial Infarction

Running Title: de Lemos et al.; Proposal for Modest Revision of the MI Definition

James A. de Lemos, MD¹; L. Kristin Newby, MD, MHS²; Nicholas L. Mills, MD, PhD³

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical

Center, Dallas, TX; ²Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Duke Clinical Research

Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; ³British Heart Foundation Centre for

Cardiovascular Science and Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics,

University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Address for Correspondence: James A. de Lemos, MD UT Southwestern Medical Center Cardiology 5909 Harry Hines Blvd, E5.7528 Dallas, Texas 75390-8830 Tel: 214-645-7528 Fax: 214-645-2480 Email: james.delemos@utsouthwestern.edu The Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (UDMI)¹ classifies myocardial infarction (MI) into 5 subtypes, of which type 1 and type 2 MI are the most common and relevant to practicing clinicians. Type 1 MI is defined as MI caused by acute atherothrombotic mechanisms, with type 2 MI defined as MI resulting from myocardial oxygen supply/demand mismatch without acute atherothrombosis. The UDMI recognizes multiple potential causes of type 2 MI, including "demand side" abnormalities such as tachyarrhythmia or severe hypertension, and "supply side" issues such as severe anemia, hypoxemia or hypotension. Type 2 MI may occur with or without obstructive coronary disease, with the threshold for type 2 MI lower in patients with fixed obstructive CAD.

Type 2 MI is common and is associated with substantial risk for cardiac (and noncardiac) death and major adverse cardiac events.² Research to date has been limited largely to observational studies that have used varying definitions and adjudication criteria for type 2 MI, focusing on prevalence, risk factors, and prognosis, with almost no data on treatment.² Recently, an ICD-10 code was introduced for type 2 MI, and it is hoped that this will facilitate research using administrative data.³ However, we believe that the current definition for type 2 MI is too phenotypically heterogeneous to permit adequate study or reliable coding by hospital administrators.

Importantly, the UDMI includes under the umbrella of type 2 MI several acute coronary processes that obstruct blood flow, including spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD), coronary embolism and coronary vasospasm. We believe that these specific diagnoses are a poor fit in the category of type 2 MI: from both a pathophysiological and clinical perspective, they are more closely aligned with type 1 MI. SCAD, coronary embolism, and vasospasm are acute "supply side" obstructive processes that have clinical presentations and initial diagnostic and

management approaches that are similar to type 1 MI. They are usually spontaneous presentations, without an obvious precipitating event. These conditions typically are initially triaged as suspected acute coronary syndromes (ACS), treated with guideline-recommended therapies for ACS, and evaluated with early coronary imaging. The diagnosis is usually made in the cardiac catheterization laboratory, with subsequent treatment determined by findings from coronary imaging. In contrast, most other etiologies causing type 2 MI, including severe tachycardia and hypertension, anemia, and hypoxemia, are apparent at the time of clinical presentation, and diagnosed based on clinical criteria, with coronary angiography delayed or deferred.

Applying the same diagnosis of type 2 MI to such phenotypically distinct patients has Accordition. clear disadvantages for clinical management, and negatively impacts the quality of research into type 2 MI. Reporting the epidemiology, outcomes and treatment responses of type 2 MI, as currently defined, is of little value other than making sure that these diagnoses do not "muddy" the interpretation of type 1 MI. On the other hand, including patients without acute atherothrombosis in the type 1 MI category also creates problems. Clinical trials and guideline recommendations for management of ACS are only applicable to type 1 MI. For example, applying therapies tested in atherothrombosis, such as parenteral anticoagulation and intracoronary stenting, to patients with SCAD, may be harmful.⁴ Evidenced-based therapies exist for coronary vasospasm and are emerging for SCAD, with coronary embolism typically managed empirically based on the source of embolism. However, such therapies are clearly distinct from those used to treat acute atherothrombosis.

We propose consideration of a modest redefinition of type 1 and type 2 MI (**figure**), with type 1 MI defined by acute coronary obstruction or reduction in coronary blood flow rather than

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on October 10, 2019

by atherothrombosis. This would move SCAD, coronary embolism and coronary vasomotor abnormalities (including epicardial vasospasm and microvascular dysfunction) into the type 1 MI category. We further propose subclassifying type 1 MI based on the underlying pathophysiology, with type 1A MI being the typical atherothrombosis category and the other etiologies having separate subclassifications (**figure**). Type 2 MI would be defined as MI due to acute supply/demand mismatch *without acute coronary obstruction*. We propose further subclassifying type 2 MI into those with or without obstructive CAD (**figure**), as the subsequent management approaches differ substantially based on the presence of severe CAD. As an important corollary, modification of ICD codes to improve specificity would be an important step forward for research and quality improvement in patients with MI caused by factors other than atherothrombosis.

We believe that this redefinition would better align with modern approaches to diagnosis and management of the spectrum of patients with MI. It would facilitate research into specific diagnostic subcategories and identification of optimal treatment approaches. This designation would also eliminate the need for a separate classification scheme for myocardial infarction with no obstructive coronary disease (MINOCA).⁵ Each of the categories of MINOCA would fit within the new MI definition construct. Also, the creation of subcategories (Type 1A, 1B, etc) would allow room for additional MI phenotypes, as new information on pathophysiology becomes available, without altering the fundamental structure of the classification.

Although some may view differences between the UDMI and our proposed revision as little more than administrative detail, we would argue that alignment of diagnosis with clinical presentation, pathophysiology, and diagnostic approach is an essential step to address current knowledge gaps. Moreover, accurate diagnosis has direct implications for quality reporting, as

evidence based standards exist only for MI due to atherothrombosis. Clinicians should be held accountable only for adhering to process and performance measures for those patients in whom the measures apply. Finally, and arguably most importantly, as we enter the precision medicine era, it is imperative that our diagnoses be as precise as possible.

Disclosures

Dr. de Lemos has received grant support from Roche Diagnostics and Abbott Diagnostics and consulting income from Roche Diagnostics, Abbott Diagnostics, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Siemen's Health Care Diagnostics, Radiometer and Quidel Cardiovascular, Inc. Dr. Newby has received consulting and/or Advisory Board fees from Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Roche de Cardiovascular, and Metanomics, outside the submitted work; Dr. Mills has received honoraria or consulted for Abbott Diagnostics, Siemens Healthineers, Singulex, and LumiraDx and has received research support from the British Heart Foundation through the Butler Senior Clinical Research Fellowship (FS/16/14/32023). The University of Edinburgh has received research grant funding from Abbott Diagnostics and Siemens Healthineers.

References

1. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, White HD and Executive Group on behalf of the Joint European Society of Cardiology /American College of Cardiology /American Heart Association /World Heart Federation Task Force for the Universal Definition of Myocardial I. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). *Circulation*. 2018;138:e618-e651.

2. DeFilppis AP, Chapman AR, Mills NL, de Lemos JA, Arbab-Zadeh A, Newby LK and Morrow DA. Assessment and treatment of patients with type 2 myocardial infarction and acute non-ischemic myocardial injury. *Circulation*. 2019 (in press).

3. Goyal A, Gluckman TJ and Tcheng JE. What's in a Name? The New ICD-10 (10th Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems) Codes and Type 2 Myocardial Infarction. *Circulation*. 2017;136:1180-1182.

4. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, Adlam D, Arslanian-Engoren C, Economy KE, Ganesh SK, Gulati R, Lindsay ME, Mieres JH, Naderi S, Shah S, Thaler DE, Tweet MS, Wood MJ, American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular D, Council on Clinical C, Council on C, Stroke N, Council on G, Precision M and Stroke C. Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection: Current State of the Science: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;137:e523-e557.

5. Tamis-Holland JE, Jneid H, Reynolds HR, Agewall S, Brilakis ES, Brown TM, Lerman A, Cushman M, Kumbhani DJ, Arslanian-Engoren C, Bolger AF, Beltrame JF, American Heart Association Interventional Cardiovascular Care Committee of the Council on Clinical C, Council on C, Stroke N, Council on E, Prevention, Council on Quality of C and Outcomes R. Contemporary Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Myocardial Infarction in the Absence of Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139:e891-e908.

Circulation

Figure Legend

Figure. Proposed revision to Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (UDMI). The proposal redefines type 1 and type 2 MI based on the presence or absence of acute coronary obstruction, with subclassifications based on underlying pathophysiology. This differs from the current UDMI by categorizing spontaneous coronary dissection, coronary embolism and vasospasm as type 1 MI, and subclassifying type 2 MI based on the presence or absence of fixed obstructive coronary disease.

Heart Association

Circulation

