

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Detection of human influences on temperature seasonality from the 19th century

Citation for published version:

Duan, J, Ma, Z, Wu, P, Xoplaki, E, Hegerl, G, Li, L, Schurer, A, Guan, D, Chen, L, Duan, Y & Luterbacher, J 2019, 'Detection of human influences on temperature seasonality from the 19th century', Nature Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0276-4

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/s41893-019-0276-4

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature Sustainability

Publisher Rights Statement:

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2019

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Download date: 11. Nov. 2019

1 Detection of human influences on temperature seasonality from the

2 19th century

3

- 4 Jianping Duan¹★, Zhuguo Ma¹, Peili Wu², Elena Xoplaki³, Gabriele Hegerl⁴, Lun Li⁵,
- 5 Andrew Schurer⁴, Dabo Guan^{6,7}, Liang Chen¹, Yawen Duan¹ & Jürg Luterbacher^{3,8}

6

- ¹Key Laboratory of Regional Climate-Environment for Temperate East Asia, Institute
- 8 of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100029 Beijing, China. ²Met
- 9 Office Hadley Centre, Exeter EX1 3PB, UK. ³Department of Geography, Justus
- 10 Liebig University of Giessen, 35390 Giessen, Germany. ⁴School of GeoSciences,
- 11 University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, Alexander Crum Brown Road, Edinburgh
- 12 EH9 3FF, UK. ⁵Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, 100081 Beijing, China.
- ⁶Water Security Research Centre, Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research,
- School of International Development, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ,
- 15 UK. ⁷Department of Earth System Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100080,
- 16 China. ⁸Centre of International Development and Environmental Research, Justus
- Liebig University of Giessen, 35390 Giessen, Germany.
- te-mail: duanjp@tea.ac.cn

It has been widely reported that anthropogenic warming is detectable with high confidence after the 1950s. However, current palaeoclimate records suggest an earlier onset of industrial-era warming. Here, we combine observational data, multi-proxy palaeo records and climate model simulations for a formal detection and attribution study. Instead of the traditional approach to the annual mean temperature change, we focus on changes in temperature seasonality (i.e., the summer-minus-winter temperature difference) from the regional to whole Northern Hemisphere scales. We show that the detectable weakening of temperature seasonality, which started synchronously over the northern mid-high latitudes since the late 19th century, can be attributed to anthropogenic forcing. Increased greenhouse gas concentrations are the main contributors over northern high-latitudes, while sulphate aerosols are the major contributors over northern mid-latitudes. A reduction in greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution is expected to mitigate the weakening of temperature seasonality and its potential ecological effects.

It is now common knowledge that human activities have a profound influence on the Earth's climate¹; the most evident influence is the trend of continuing warming in the surface air temperature and the increased occurrence of climate extremes since the 1950s¹⁻³. In addition to changes in the mean and extremes, the warming climate will, as a consequence, affect organisms and ecological systems, such as species physiology⁴, ecological stability⁵ and ecological functions⁶. One of the primary drivers of these ecological effects is the change in the magnitude of the annual temperature cycle (ATC), which is calculated as the summer-minus-winter temperature difference⁷⁻⁸. Emerging evidence has shown prominent ATC weakening in the northern mid-high latitudes during the past several decades⁸⁻¹⁰. Extensions in

the growing season¹¹ and spatial and temporal adaptations of several plants¹² have 45 occurred either regionally or globally as a consequence of the weakened ATC. Based 46 on climate model simulations, the recent weakening of temperature seasonality has 47 been attributed to anthropogenic forcing¹³. 48 It has long been suspected that the human influence on the climate may have started 49 much earlier than that in the recent data-rich period¹⁴. Because of the limitations of 50 early instrumental observations and temporal variations in the strength of 51 52 anthropogenic influence combined with internal climate variability and changes in natural external forcing factors, the detection and attribution of human influences on 53 earlier climate changes have always been difficult to perform. Based on palaeoclimate 54 records, a recent study reported that the onset of industrial-era warming across the 55 oceans and continents occurred earlier than the 20th century, suggesting that the 56 greenhouse forcing of industrial-era warming commenced as early as the 57 mid-nineteenth century¹⁵. Moreover, a tree-ring-based study from the Tibetan Plateau 58 (TP) extended the records of the magnitude of the ATC back to the year 1700¹⁶; this 59 extended record shows that the onset of weakening temperature seasonality may have 60 61 occurred as early as the 1870s, coinciding with an increase in human-induced atmospheric sulphate concentrations recorded in an ice core from the Dasuopu glacier 62 (28°23'N, 85°43'E; 7200 m asl)¹⁷. However, as shown in Fig. 1, both the seasonal 63 warming rates and the trends in the magnitude of the ATC show strong spatial 64 variability. Therefore, it is important to explore the detectability of earlier human 65 influences on temperature change, as broadly as historical records allow, to determine 66

67 whether these recent findings bear any global implications.

Here, we examine changes in the magnitude of the ATC based on available proxy records and instrumental observations in four regions that show prominent weakening in the magnitude of the ATC (marked by the boxes shown in Fig. 1), as well as in the northern mid-high latitudes. Well-validated proxy data from Europe (1500-2004)¹⁸ and the TP (1700-2011)¹⁶ are used to explore the changes in the magnitude of the ATC from the pre- to post-industrial period; then, the CRU4.6 land surface air temperature since 1850¹⁹ is used to examine broader spatial patterns (Methods). Historical ensemble simulations from the fifth Coupled Model Intercomparsion Project (CMIP5) driven by all forcings and separate external forcings²⁰ are used for detection and attribution (D&A hereafter).

Changes in the trend of the magnitude of the ATC

A change-point analysis shows that the sustained and significant weakening in the magnitude of the ATC in Europe started in 1865 (Fig. 2a). Based on a 312-year reconstruction of the magnitude of the ATC¹⁶, the change-point analysis reveals that the TP has experienced persistent and significant ATC weakening since 1872, while a weak and insignificant strengthening occurred during 1700-1873 (Fig. 2b). There is no ATC proxy evidence available that is long enough to identify when the sustained and significant ATC weakening started in northeastern Asia (NEA), North America (NA), the northern mid-latitudes (NHM), the northern high-latitudes (NHH) and the northern mid-high-latitudes (NH). However, observations starting in 1851 show

- discernible weakening in the magnitude of the ATC in all of these regions (Fig. 2c-g).
- These results indicate that although the specific year when the magnitude of the ATC
- 90 began weakening might not be identical among all regions, prominent ATC
- weakening has occurred widely since the late 19th century.

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

Changes in the magnitude of the ATC related to different forcings

Climate model simulations driven by all historical forcings (i.e., natural and anthropogenic, ALL) can generally reproduce the observed changes in temperature seasonality since 1851 (Fig. 1). However, the simulated trends in the magnitude of the ATC driven by separate forcings appear to be different (Fig. 3). The spatial patterns of the trends in the magnitude of the ATC in the ALL simulations and the anthropogenic forcing only simulations (ANT) are very similar and both are consistent with the observations. Both the spatial pattern and the significant regions of the weakening in the magnitude of the ATC are different from those of indicated by the observations when only natural forcings (NAT) are applied. Interestingly, greenhouse gas (GHG)-induced ATC weakening mainly occurs in the northern high latitudes (north of 60°N), while the anthropogenic aerosol (AA)-triggered ATC weakening occurs in the northern mid-latitudes (30-60°N). Thus, there are two critical anthropogenic factors that contribute to the weakening in the magnitudes of the ATC: GHG concentrations and AA loadings (Supplementary Figure 1). Due to their different radiative properties, GHGs and AAs have different effects on the local ATC. Increased GHG concentrations reduce outgoing long wave

radiation from the surface and prevent the surface temperature from falling. This pattern is most effective over the high-altitude²¹ and high-latitude regions^{22,23} in winter. AAs dominated by sulphate aerosols^{24,25}, on the other hand, act to reflect/scatter incoming solar radiation and prevent the surface temperature from rising. This pattern is, therefore, most effective over the subtropical/mid-latitude regions, which have the largest AA loadings²⁶ during the summer when sunlight is the strongest. In addition to their direct effect, the indirect effect of aerosols on clouds amplifies their influence on short wave scattering, causing net cooling, which is most effective in summer²⁷.

As shown in Fig. 2, the temporal evolution of the magnitude of the ATC approximately follows those of the GHG emissions²⁸ and the sulphate aerosol concentration levels recorded in Greenland ice cores over the past half millennium²⁹ (i.e., a small change preceding the 1860s with a prominent increase thereafter resulting from human emissions) (Fig. 2a). This consistency indicates a potential linkage between human emissions and the weakened ATC. Moreover, a millennial record of atmospheric sulphate concentrations from a TP ice core confirms that human-induced atmospheric sulphate concentrations increase after 1870¹⁷ (Fig. 2b).

Detection and attribution analysis of the change in the magnitude of the ATC

Further D&A analyses based on simulations derived from 45 Earth system models (Methods) are utilized to distinguish anthropogenic signals from natural forcing over different spatial regions (Fig. 4). The D&A analysis period is 1872-2001 for the TP

and 1865-2004 for the other six regions (for details on the analysis period selection, please see the Methods). Based on one-, two- and three-signal D&A analyses, scaling factors and their 90% confidence intervals are obtained for different forcings in all regions. In all cases, the residual consistency test (RCT) does not indicate inconsistency between the regression residuals and the model-simulated variability (i.e., RCT > 0.1 in all cases). Detection is confirmed if the 90% confidence interval of the scaling factor is above zero, and attribution is claimed by the analysis if this confidence interval also includes one. The one-signal D&A analysis shows that the ALL and ANT response patterns are fully detectable in the analysed regions, except for the high northern latitudes (Fig. 4a). Conversely, NAT forcing is detectable only at high northern latitudes. The failed detection of the ALL and ANT forcings in the high northern latitudes may be related to the scarce observation data available representing large spatial scales (Supplementary Figure 2) and, thus, a large amount of noise was produced. The ALL forcing is attributable in Europe and North America, while the ANT forcing is attributable in Europe, the TP, North America, and the northern mid-high-latitudes. However, the model simulations underestimate both the ALL and ANT responses in northeastern Asia and the northern mid-latitudes. These underestimations are also present in the linear trends in the magnitude of the ATC between the observations and simulations (Fig. 1e, f); the observations show the greatest weakening in the magnitude of the ATC in the NEA (Supplementary Figure 3). An additional two-signal D&A analysis shows that ANT can be distinguished successfully from NAT in six out of seven regions but fails over the high northern

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

latitudes. This is consistent with the results from the one-signal D&A analysis. There is also a generally better agreement between the simulated and observed magnitude of the ATC in the other six regions, compared to that over the high northern latitudes, although there is a tendency for the simulated magnitude of the ATC to be smaller than the observed trends (Supplementary Figure 4). Based on the results presented in Fig. 3, the three-signal D&A analysis (i.e., GHG, NAT and AA) is used to examine whether the latitude-dependent forcings of GHGs or AAs on the weakened magnitude of the ATC can be detected and distinguished from the other two forcings. The results show that the AA forcing can be distinguished from the GHG and the NAT forcings over the northern mid-latitudes, but the GHG forcing cannot be distinguished from the AA and NAT forcings over the high northern latitudes. Consistent with the results presented in Fig. 3, the weakening of the ATC in the northern mid-latitudes can be attributed to AAs, which are dominated by sulphate aerosols, but not to GHGs and NAT. Specifically, GHG and NAT forcings present an obvious underestimation; the underestimation derived from the NAT forcing is much more greater than that derived from the GHG forcing (the scaling factors of GHGs and NAT are approximately 5 and 10, respectively). For the northern mid-high-latitudes, although AAs, GHGs and NAT are detected in the weakened ATC, AAs and GHGs more attributable than NAT (i.e., the scaling factors of GHGs and AAs are closer 1 than that of NAT). These results indicate that AAs are the most important factor for northern mid-latitude ATC weakening, while AAs and GHGs show a greater possibility of contributing to ATC weakening in the northern mid-high-latitudes. All of the D&A analyses fail over the

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

high northern latitudes, possibly due to the small amount of data available to represent large spatial scales (Supplementary Figure 2).

In conclusion, our study indicates that the regime shift in temperature seasonality in approximately the 1870s identified over the TP also occurred in Europe, indicating a broad weakening of the magnitude of the ATC since the late 19th century. Although different magnitudes of weakening in the temperature seasonality exist between regions, the D&A analyses demonstrate that anthropogenic signals are detectable in the long-term, with a widespread weakening of temperature seasonality since the late 19th century. In addition to the increased concentrations of GHGs and atmospheric sulphate loadings, which are identified as critical contributors to long-term temperature seasonality weakening, latitude-dependent effects of these two factors on temperature seasonality are found; GHGs are mainly responsible for the weakening in the temperature seasonality in the northern high latitudes, while AAs are the key cause of weakening in the northern mid-latitudes. These results imply that a policy of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution can mitigate the anthropogenic weakening of the temperature seasonality.

Methods

Climatic and environmental data. Summer and winter temperatures are defined as the mean temperature of June-August and the mean temperature of the previous December-February, respectively. The amplitude of the ATC is calculated as the difference between the summer temperature and the winter temperature. Gridded data

of CRUTEM4.6 land surface air temperature at a spatial resolution of 5° by 5° starting in 1850¹⁹ (https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/hadobs/crutem4/data/download.html) were used to show the trends in the seasonal warming rates and the magnitude of the ATC at a global scale (Fig. 1) and the D&A analyses in the five regions (Supplementary Table 2). The reconstructed magnitude of the ATC for Europe (EU) is the reconstructed summer temperature minus the reconstructed winter temperature derived from reference 18, which covers the period 1500-2004 and has a high consistency with the regionally averaged magnitude of the ATC obtained from the CRUTEM4.6 grid data ($r_{1851-2004} = 0.92$) (Supplementary Figure 5). The ATC proxy series for the TP is derived from reference 16 and covers the period 1700-2011. Although the ATC proxy series from the TP was used to reflect the temperature difference in the mean temperature of July-September minus that of the previous November-February in the original study 16, it is also a good proxy for the temperature difference between the mean temperature of June-August and that of the previous December-February, as the two seasonal temperature difference series are almost identical ($r_{1952-2013} = 0.84$) (Supplementary Figure 6). Additional comparisons between the magnitude of the ATC proxy series from the TP and the observed ATC magnitude of the series from northeastern India (http://www.tropmet.res.in/static page.php?page id=54) in the common period 1902-2007 also indicate that the magnitude of the ATC proxy series from the TP is representative of the temperature difference between the mean temperature of June-August and that of the previous December-February. Although large

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

tree-ring-based summer temperature reconstructions have been performed for high-latitude North America, there is no corresponding winter temperature reconstruction available. Therefore, an analysis of the summer-minus-winter temperature difference in this region is not currently feasible. The magnitudes of the ATC in North America (NA), northeastern Asia (NEA), the northern mid-latitudes (NHM), the northern high-latitudes (NHH) and the northern mid-high latitudes (NH) are calculated to be the gridded regional average of the CRUTEM4.6 land surface air temperature difference between the mean temperature of June-August and that of the previous December-February over the period 1851-2005. For definitions of the seven geographical regions used in this study, please see Supplementary Table 2. The following approaches were applied in each grid box and to all the regions analysed (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2) to calculate the summer-minus-winter temperature difference and to treat the missing data. The summer-minus-winter temperature difference was calculated for each grid box for every year based on the criterion that at least one month of data was available for both summer and winter; otherwise, the year was treated as having missing data. For the summer-minus-winter temperature difference series calculated in each grid box, only time series with at least 52 years of data (i.e., one-third of the length of the full period of 1851-2005) were defined as valid grid boxes and were used for further analysis. The percentage of valid grid boxes for each region analysed in this study is shown in Supplementary Table 2. Moreover, the grid boxes were used for trend analyses; for example, Figs.1a, c and e have data lengths of at least 52 consecutive years. The

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

series of the regional magnitude of the ATC was produced by averaging all valid grid boxes in the corresponding regions (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 2). Because the numbers of available valid grid boxes decreases for the regional series in the early time period, we test the influence of this decrease in the number of grid boxes on both the long-term trend and the non-overlapping 10-year-averaged series used for the D&A analyses (Supplementary Figures. 7-11). The results show that although the series of changes in the magnitude of ATC (with data coverage reduced to a minimum) can trigger changes in variance, little change occurred in the trend of the full-period and the non-overlapping 10-year-averaged series, both in the data rich period and in the full period. These results demonstrate that the decrease in number of valid grid boxes in the early period has little influence on the long-term trend of the magnitudes of the ATC and the D&A analyses conducted in this study. Atmospheric sulphate concentrations recorded in the TP ice core¹⁷ and five Greenland ice cores (i.e., D20, GISP2, B16, B18 and B21; detailed in reference 29)²⁹ are used to indicate the sulphate emission strength caused by human activity.

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

Change-point analysis. We identified the change points in the trend of the reconstructed magnitude of the ATC in Europe and the TP using the SiZer (SIgnificant ZERo crossings of derivatives) method³⁰. SiZer determines the change point and the significance of trends in time series data by performing an analysis across different smoothing bandwidths. For the bandwidths, the range of 15-50 years was considered suitable to reduce the influence of interannual to decadal climate variability on the detection of a sustained trend^{15,30}. Therefore, we assess the change points of the

magnitude of the ATC from the SiZer output by determining the median year of initiation for the most recent significant (P < 0.1) and sustained trends across the bandwidth range (in integer years from 15 to 50). The adaptability and stability of the SiZer method in addressing the climate changes that characterized industrial-era climate trends have been tested in reference 15, and a detailed description of the SiZer method is available in references 30 and 15. The code for performing the change-point analysis in this study is derived from reference 15.

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

Model simulations. Monthly mean land near-surface temperature (tas) simulations from 45 fully-coupled Earth system models (ESMs) participating in the CMIP5 project²⁰ (Supplementary Table 1) are used to perform the D&A analyses on the magnitude of the ATC over a long period. The ESMs comprise a set of simulations: ALL, with historical anthropogenic and natural forcings (i.e., solar variability; volcanic aerosols; well-mixed greenhouse gases; other anthropogenic factors, such as aerosols, land use/land cover change and/or ozone); GHG, with greenhouse gases forcing only (anthropogenic well-mixed greenhouse gases); NAT, with natural forcings only (solar variability and volcanic aerosols); ANT, with well-mixed greenhouse gases plus other anthropogenic factors (such as aerosols, land use/land cover change and/or ozone); AA, with anthropogenic aerosol forcings dominated by sulphate aerosols^{24,25}; and internal climate variability (i.e., preindustrial control simulations, PiControl). Supplementary Table 1 shows the number of simulations runs used for each external forcing (i.e., ALL, NAT, ANT, GHG and AA) and model. Because climate models might overestimate the indirect effect of aerosol cooling³¹, an

alternative estimate of AA forcing was calculated as AA=ALL-NAT-GHG. Most of the external forcing simulations end in 2005. Monthly anomalies of the external forcing simulations are calculated for each grid box point and simulations based on the base period of 1961–1990. The PiControl simulations are treated as a time series, with an ending year of 2005, and monthly anomalies are calculated in the same way as the external forcing simulations. The anomalies are then re-gridded to a common grid of $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ and are masked to the corresponding range (Supplementary Table 2) to obtain the regionally averaged series. The multi-model ensemble means of the external forcing simulations are obtained by first computing the individual model ensemble mean and then averaging across all available models. This calculation gives equal weights to the different models and thus avoids models with larger numbers of ensemble members dominating the statistics of the multi-model mean.

Detection and attribution (D&A) analysis. Beyond the standard comparison of time series and trend patterns, one formal optimal fingerprint method^{32,33} was applied to detect and attribute changes in the observed/reconstructed magnitude of the ATC in seven geographical areas (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Figure 12) since the late 19th century. The optimal fingerprint method is based on the generalized linear regression of the observed or reconstructed magnitude of the ATC as a combination of climate responses to external forcing plus internal variability. To detect and attribute the changes in the magnitude of the ATC (i.e., ATC_{OBS}) to different external forcings (i.e., ATC_{ALL}, ATC_{ANT}, ATC_{NAT}, ATC_{GHG} and ATC_{AA}), we regressed the observed magnitude of the ATC onto different signal patterns under

- one-signal, two-signal and three-signal settings, respectively. The specific regression
- settings for the one-signal D&A analysis are as follows:
- 307 ATC_{OBS} = β_{ALL} (ATC_{ALL} ϑ_{ALL}) + ε or ATC_{OBS} = β_{ANT} (ATC_{ANT} ϑ_{ANT}) + ε or
- 308 ATC_{OBS} = β_{NAT} (ATC_{NAT} ϑ_{NAT}) + ε .
- The specific regression settings for the two-signal D&A analysis are as follows:
- 310 ATC_{OBS} = β_{ANT} (ATC_{ANT} ϑ_{ANT}) + β_{NAT} (ATC_{NAT} ϑ_{NAT}) + ε
- The specific regression settings for the three-signal analysis are as follows:
- 312 ATC_{OBS} = β_{NAT} (ATC_{NAT} ϑ_{NAT}) + β_{GHG} (ATC_{GHG} ϑ_{GHG}) + β_{AA} (ATC_{AA} ϑ_{AA}) + ε .
- 313 where ATC_{OBS} represents a vector of the observational or reconstructed magnitude of
- the ATC. ATC_{ALL}, ATC_{ANT}, ATC_{NAT}, ATC_{GHG} and ATC_{AA} (i.e., signal patterns) are
- calculated using the mean of a large ensemble of simulations from all available model
- simulations (Supplementary Figure 1). ϑ_{ALL} , ϑ_{NAT} , ϑ_{ANT} , ϑ_{GHG} and ϑ_{AA} represent noise
- from internal variability in the corresponding signal patterns; β_{ALL} , β_{NAT} , β_{ANT} , β_{GHG}
- and β_{AA} represent the corresponding scaling factors; and ϵ represents the regression
- residual. The scaling factor and its uncertainty were estimated using the total least
- 320 squares method^{32,33}. The covariance structure of the noise terms is estimated from a
- long-term control simulation of the unforced climate (i.e., PiControl) with the model
- used in each analysis, and the estimates of the intra-ensemble variability are computed
- with the same model. The consistency of the unexplained signal (i.e., ε , which
- 324 represents the residual of the regression) with internal variability was also assessed
- using a residual consistency test (RCT). The RCT implementation uses a
- 326 non-parametric estimation of the null distribution through Monte Carlo simulations

(see reference 32 for details).

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

The observational vector, ATC, which describes the space-time evolution of the ATC, is calculated with consecutive 10-year mean magnitude of the ATC over the analysis period for all seven regions. The purpose of 10-year averages is to suppress natural variability, particularly at interannual timescales^{32,33}. According to the results of the change-point analyses of the reconstructed magnitude of the ATC in Europe and the TP (arrows in Fig. 2a, b) and the end year of the model simulations (2005), the periods 1865-2004 for Europe and 1872-2005 for the TP can be used for the long-term D&A analysis. The European ATC proxy series ends in 2005¹⁸. Because there is not long enough ATC proxy evidence available to identify the year in which the sustained and significant ATC weakening began for northeastern Asia (NEA), North America (NA), the northern mid-latitudes (NHM), the northern high-latitudes (NHH) and the northern mid-high latitudes (NH), the earlier year identified in the proxies in Europe and the TP (i.e., 1865) is used as the beginning year of the ATC weakening for these regions. Thus, the available D&A analysis period for these five regions (i.e., NEA, NA, NHM, NHH and NH) can be from 1865 to 2004. Considering that as long as possible periods are used for dimension reduction (i.e., consecutive 10-year mean), the final selected period for the D&A analysis for the TP is 1872-2001 (13×10 yr) and for the other six regions is 1865-2004 (14×10 yr). Correspondingly, the PiControl simulations are divided into multiple non-overlapping 130-yr segments for the TP and 140-yr segments for the other six regions, with the last segments discarded if they are shorter than 130 years or 140 years (Supplementary Table 1).

- The one-signal and two-signal D&A analyses were conducted in all seven regions

 (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Figure 12), while the three-signal D&A

 analysis was conducted in three regions (i.e., NHM, NHH and NH) based on the

 latitude-dependent effects of GHGs and AAs on the change of the magnitude of the

 ATC identified in Fig. 3. All of the D&A analyses were performed using the code

 provided in reference 32.
- Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

- 1. IPCC 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
- 359 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
- on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J.
- Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge
- University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
- 363 (2013).
- 2. Stott, P. Attribution: Weather risks in a warming world. Nat Clim Change 5,
- 365 516-517 (2015).
- 3. Christidis, N., Stott, P. A., Brown, S., Hegerl, G. C. & Caesar, J. Detection of
- changes in temperature extremes during the second half of the 20th century.
- 368 *Geophys Res Lett* **32** (2005).

- 4. Hughes, L. Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already
- apparent? *Trends Ecol Evol* **15**, 56-61 (2000).
- 5. Fussmann, K. E., Schwarzmuller, F., Brose, U., Jousset, A. & Rall, B. C.
- Ecological stability in response to warming. *Nat Clim Change* **4**, 206-210 (2014).
- 6. Soh, W. K., Wright, I. J., Bacon, K. L., Lenz, T. I., Steinthorsdottir, M., Parnell, A.
- C., & McElwain, J. C. A New Paleo-Leaf Economic Proxy Reveals a Shift in
- Ecosystem Function in Response to Global Warming at the Onset of the Triassic
- 376 Period. *Nat Plants* 3, 17104, (2017).
- 7. Wang, G. & Dillon, M. E. Recent geographic convergence in diurnal and annual
- temperature cycling flattens global thermal profiles. *Nat Clim Change* 4, 988-992,
- 379 (2014).
- 8. Stine, A. R., Huybers, P. & Fung, I. Y. Changes in the phase of the annual cycle
- of surface temperature. *Nature* **457**, 435-440, (2009)
- 9. Mann, M. E. & Park, J. Greenhouse warming and changes in the seasonal cycle of
- temperature: Model versus observations. *Geophys Res Lett* **23**, 1111-1114 (1996).
- 10. Wallace, C. J. & Osborn, T. J. Recent and future modulation of the annual cycle.
- 385 *Climate Res* **22**, 1-11 (2002).
- 386 11. Walther, G. R. et al. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416,
- 389-395 (2002).
- 388 12. Li, Y., Huang, Y., Bergelson, J., Nordborg, M. & Borevitz, J. O. Association
- mapping of local climate-sensitive quantitative trait loci in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
- 390 *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **197**, 201007431 (2010)

- 391 13. Qian, C. & Zhang, X. B. Human Influences on Changes in the Temperature
- Seasonality in Mid- to High-Latitude Land Areas. J Climate 28, 5908-5921,
- 393 (2015).
- 14. Ruddiman, W. F. et al. Late Holocene climate: Natural or anthropogenic? Rev
- 395 *Geophys* **54**, 93-118 (2016).
- 15. Abram, N. J. et al. Early onset of industrial-era warming across the oceans and
- 397 continents. *Nature* **536**, 411-418 (2016).
- 16. Duan, J. et al. Weakening of annual temperature cycle over the Tibetan Plateau
- since the 1870s. *Nat. Commun.* **8,** 14008 doi: 10.1038/ncomms14008 (2017).
- 400 17. Duan, K. Q., Thompson, L. G., Yao, T., Davis, M. E. & Mosley-Thompson, E. A
- 401 1000 year history of atmospheric sulfate concentrations in southern Asia as
- recorded by a Himalayan ice core. *Geophys Res Lett* **34**, (2007).
- 403 18. Luterbacher, J., Dietrich, D., Xoplaki, E., Grosjean, M. & Wanner, H. European
- seasonal and annual temperature variability, trends, and extremes since 1500.
- 405 Science **303**, 1499-1503, doi:DOI 10.1126/science.1093877 (2004).
- 406 19. Jones, P. D. et al. Hemispheric and large-scale land-surface air temperature
- 407 variations: An extensive revision and an update to 2010. J Geophys Res-Atmos
- 408 **117**, D05127 (2012).
- 20. Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J. and Meehl, G. A. An overview of CMIP5 and the
- 410 experiment design. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.* **93**, 485–498 (2012).

- 21. Rangwala, I., Sinsky, E. & Miller, J. R. Amplified warming projections for high
- altitude regions of the northern hemisphere mid-latitudes from CMIP5 models.
- 413 *Environ Res Lett* **8** (2013).
- 414 22. Wang, H. J., Zeng, Q. C. & Zhang, X. H. The Numerical-simulation of the
- climatic-change caused by CO₂ doubling. Sci China Ser B **36**, 451-462 (1993).
- 23. Shindell, D. T., Miller, R. L., Schmidt, G. A. & Pandolfo, L. Simulation of recent
- northern winter climate trends by greenhouse-gas forcing. *Nature* **399**, 452-455
- 418 (1999).
- 24. Mitchell, J. F. B., Johns, T. C., Gregory, J. M. & Tett, S. F. B. Climate response to
- increasing levels of greenhouse gases and sulfate aerosols. *Nature* **376**, 501-504
- 421 (1995).
- 422 25. Bindoff, N. L. et al. in IPCC Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis
- 423 (eds Stocker, T. F. et al.) 867-931 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013).
- 424 26. Smith, S. J. et al. Anthropogenic sulfur dioxide emissions: 1850-2005. Atmos
- 425 *Chem Phys* **11**, 1101-1116 (2011).
- 426 27. Hunter, D. E., Schwartz, S. E., Wagener, R. & Benkovitz, C. M. Seasonal,
- latitudinal, and secular variations in temperature trend evidence for influence of
- anthropogenic sulfate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **20**, 2455–2458 (1993).
- 28. Meinshausen, M. et al. Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate
- 430 modelling (CMIP6). Geosci Model Dev **10**, 2057-2116 (2017).

- 29. Fischer, H., Wagenbach, D. & Kipfstuhl, J. Sulfate and nitrate firn concentrations
- on the Greenland ice sheet 2. Temporal anthropogenic deposition changes. J
- 433 *Geophys Res-Atmos* **103**, 21935-21942, (1998)
- 30. Hannig, J. & Marron, J. S. Advanced distribution theory for SiZer. J. Am. Stat.
- 435 *Assoc.* **101,** 484–499 (2006).
- 436 31. Sato, Y. et al. Aerosol effects on cloud water amounts were successfully
- simulated by a global cloud-system resolving model. *Nat Commun* **9** (2018).
- 438 32. Ribes, A., Planton, S. & Terray, L. Application of regularised optimal
- fingerprinting to attribution. Part I: method, properties and idealised analysis.
- 440 *Climate Dynamics* **41**, 2817-2836, (2013).
- 33. Allen, M. R. & Stott, P. A. Estimating signal amplitudes in optimal fingerprinting,
- part I: theory. *Climate Dynamics* **21**, 477-491, (2003).

443 Additional information

444 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.D.

445 Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

447 Acknowledgements

- 448 This research was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China
- 449 (2016YFA0600404), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41875113
- and 41471035). Peili Wu was supported by the UK-China Research & Innovation

Partnership Fund through the Met Office Climate Science for Service Partnership (CSSP) China as part of the Newton Fund. Jürg Luterbacher is supported by the Belmont Forum and JPI-Climate, Collaborative Research Action "INTEGRATE, An integrated data-model study of interactions between tropical monsoons and extratropical climate variability and extremes". Andrew Schurer and Gabriele Hegerl were supported by the ERC-funded project TITAN (EC-320691) and NERC under the Belmont forum, grant PacMedy (NE/P006752/1). Jianping Duan acknowledges support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. We are very grateful to Prof. Keqin Duan and Prof. Hubertus Fischer for making their ice-core sulphate concentrations data available. We thank Dr. Aurélien Ribes for comments on the early manuscript. We are very grateful to the three anonymous reviewers who provided invaluable comments and suggestions that helped to improve our manuscript.

Author contributions

J.D. designed the study and performed most of the analyses with support from Z.M. and L.L.. J.D., L. J. and X. E. collected data. J.D. drafted and P.W. revised the manuscript. J. L., S. A., G. H., D. G. and X. E. also contributed to the revision and improvement of the manuscript. Y.D and L.C improved the figures presentations. All authors contributed interpreting the results and discussions.

Figure captions

Figure 1 | Linear trends (°C/100 yr) in the surface temperature seasonality for the period 1851-2005 calculated from observational records (CRUTEM4.6) (a, c, e) and the ensemble mean of the simulations from 45 ESMs driven by all forcings (b, d, f) for boreal winter (DJF) (a, b), boreal summer (JJA) (c, d) and the difference between summer and winter (e, f), with decreasing trends in the magnitude of the annual temperature cycle. The black dots indicate a trend significance level of 0.05. The four boxes in (e) and (f) mark the regions of interest: the Tibetan Plateau, northeastern Asia, Europe and North America. Data derived from the ensemble mean of the simulations were masked to mimic the data availability of the CRUTEM4.6.

Figure 2 | Time series of the magnitude of the regional annual temperature cycle (ATC) (grey) in comparison with CO₂ emissions (thick black line, increasing downward) and sulphate concentrations recorded in ice cores (thin coloured lines, increasing downward) for (a) Europe (EU), with five Greenland ice cores over the period 1500-2004; (b) the Tibetan Plateau (TP), with one TP ice core over the period 1700-2011; and (c-g) North America (NA), northeastern Asia (NEA), the northern mid-latitudes (NHM), the northern high-latitudes (NHH) and the northern mid-high latitudes (NH) over 1865-2005. The solid and dotted magenta lines represent 15-yr and 50-yr Gaussian smoothing of the magnitude of the ATC, respectively. The magenta arrow in (a) points to the year 1865, and that in (b) points to the year 1872. These arrows represent the median time of the onset of sustained, significant ATC weakening assessed across the 15-50-yr filter widths

(Methods). The black triangle in (**b**) indicates the starting year (1870) of the human-induced sulphate concentration increase identified from the Dasuopu glacier located in the southern TP¹⁷ and the dashed lines represent the mean magnitudes of the regional annual temperature cycle in the period. For the specific definition of the seven geographical regions used in this study, please see Supplementary Table 2.

Figure 3 | Linear treads (°C/100 yr) in the simulated magnitude of the ATC over the period 1851-2005 driven by separate forcings for (a) ALL, (B) NAT, (c) ANT, (d) GHG, (e) OANT, (f) AA. For the number of simulations and ESMs used for each forcing, please see supplementary Table 1. The black dots indicate a significance level of 0.05 for the trends. The black lines represent the 60°N and 30°N lines, respectively. The calculation for OANT is OANT=ALL-Nat-GHG, which stands for the other anthropogenic forcing derived mainly from anthropogenic aerosols (i.e., AA) but also from ozone and land use changes. The other forcings were calculated as the ensemble mean of multiple ESMs.

Figure 4 | **Results of the detection and attribution analyses applied to the magnitude of the ATC in seven regions.** Scaling factors and the residual consistency test (RCT) derived from the one-signal analysis (**a**, **b**), two-signal analysis (**c**, **d**) and three-signal analysis (**e**, **f**) (Methods). The confidence interval for the scaling factors is 90%. The analysis period for Europe (EU), North America (NA), northeastern Asia (NEA), the northern mid-latitudes (NHM), the northern high-latitudes (NHH) and the northern mid-high latitudes (NH) is from 1865-2004 and that for the Tibetan Plateau (TP) is from 1872-2001 (Methods).







