

Eye & Contact Lens

Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ECL-17-219R2
Full Title:	Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear
Article Type:	Original Study
Keywords:	contact lenses; multifocal; presbyopia; osmolarity.
Corresponding Author:	Edouard Lafosse, MSc Universitat de Valencia Burjassot, Valencia SPAIN
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universitat de Valencia
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Edouard Lafosse, MSc
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Edouard Lafosse, MSc Noelia Martínez-Albert, MSc James SW Wolffsohn, Ph.D Alejandro Cerviño, Ph.D Santiago García-Lázaro, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>Objectives: To investigate the ocular surface of an aged population wearing a daily disposable contact lens over their first day of wear.</p> <p>Methods: Forty eyes from forty presbyopic subjects were fitted a daily CL (Delefilcon A). Tear osmolarity, tear meniscus area (TMA) and ocular surface aberrations (total higher order root means square (RMS)) were assessed at baseline (t0), at 20 minutes (t1) and after 8 hours (t2) of wear. Fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining and tear break up time (TBUT) were performed at t0 and t2.</p> <p>Results: No statistically significant changes were found between t0, t1 and t2 for TMA, and between t0 and t2 for fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining. TBUT worsened by the end of the day from 10.4±0.4 seconds t0 to 9.0±0.3 seconds t2 (P < 0.05). Osmolarity showed significant changes between t0 306.9±2.3 mOsm/L and t1 312.4±2.4 mOsm/L (P = 0.02), but returned to baseline values at 8 hours (310.40±2.26 mOsm/L; P = 0.09). Total higher order root means square (RMS) showed significant changes between t0 0.38±0.02 μm and t1 0.61±0.04 μm (P ≤ 0.001) and between t0 and t2 0.64±0.41 μm (P ≤ 0.001).</p> <p>Conclusions: Delefilcon A may induce measures changes (osmolarity and TBUT values) in a presbyopic population, however TMA and vital staining were maintained at the baseline level over the day.</p> <p>Keywords: Contact lenses, multifocal, presbyopia, osmolarity</p>

Response of the ageing eye to first day of modern material contact lens wear

Authors: Lafosse E^{1,2}, M.Sc., Martínez-Albert N¹, M.Sc., Wolffsohn JS², Ph.D., Cerviño A¹, Ph.D., García-Lázaro S¹, Ph.D.

¹Optometry Research Group. Department of Optics & Optometry & Vision Sciences. University of Valencia. Valencia, Spain.

²Ophthalmic Research Group, School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University. Birmingham, UK.

Corresponding Author:

Edouard Lafosse

Department of Optics & Optometry & Vision Sciences

University of Valencia

Dr. Moliner, 50, 46100 Burjassot-Valencia Spain

Tel: 963 86 41 00

e-mail: edouard.lafosse@uv.es

One table, three figures

Paper submitted on 27/11/2017

Acknowledgements and Disclosure: The authors have no proprietary interest in any of the materials mentioned in this article. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 642760 EDEN ITN-EJD Project Horizon 2020 and 'Atracció de Talent' research scholarship UV-INV-PREDOC16F1-385061 (University of Valencia) awarded to Noelia Martínez.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2

3 **Objectives:** To investigate the ocular surface of an aged population wearing a daily
4 disposable contact lens over their first day of wear.

5 **Methods:** Forty eyes from forty presbyopic subjects were fitted a daily CL (Delefilcon A).

6 Tear osmolarity, tear meniscus area (TMA) and ocular surface aberrations (total higher
7 order root means square (RMS) were assessed at baseline (t_0), at 20 minutes (t_1) and
8 after 8 hours (t_2) of wear. Fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining and tear break
9 up time (TBUT) were performed at t_0 and t_2 .

10 **Results:** No statistically significant changes were found between t_0 , t_1 and t_2 for TMA,
11 and between t_0 and t_2 for fluorescein corneal and conjunctival staining. TBUT worsened
12 by the end of the day from 10.4 ± 0.4 seconds t_0 to 9.0 ± 0.3 seconds t_2 ($P < 0.05$).
13 Osmolarity showed significant changes between t_0 306.9 ± 2.3 mOsm/L and t_1 312.4 ± 2.4
14 mOsmol/L ($P = 0.02$), but returned to baseline values at 8 hours (310.40 ± 2.26 mOsm/L;
15 $P = 0.09$). Total higher order root means square (RMS) showed significant changes
16 between t_0 0.38 ± 0.02 μm and t_1 0.61 ± 0.04 μm ($P \leq 0.001$) and between t_0 and t_2
17 0.64 ± 0.41 μm ($P \leq 0.001$).

18 **Conclusions:** Delefilcon A **may induce measures changes** (osmolarity and TBUT values)
19 in a presbyopic population, however TMA and vital staining were maintained at the
20 baseline level over the day.

21 **Keywords:** Contact lenses, multifocal, presbyopia, osmolarity

22

23

24 INTRODUCTION

25 The lacrimal functional unit is a system composed of the ocular surface, its secretory
26 glands (lacrymal glands, meibomian glands, conjunctival goblet cells), the nerves that
27 connect them¹ and the nasolacrimal passage². A healthy ocular surface is maintained by
28 proper tear production and drainage; any perturbation in this balance may lead to
29 dryness of the ocular surface and eventually to Dry Eye Disease (DED)³.

30 Increasing age leads to several changes to the tear film (TF) and the ocular
31 surface⁴, which include: a reduced tear volume^{4,5} (lacrimal gland dysfunction, decrease
32 in lacrimal gland mass) which is thought to increase tear osmolarity and compromise TF
33 stability⁵; reduced reflex tear secretion and breakup time (TBUT)⁶; and to decline the
34 function of goblet and meibomian glands cells⁷.

35 Given the increase in life expectancy, an increase in the prevalence of dry eye in
36 the population is also expected⁴. Nonetheless, information regarding the prevalence of
37 DED in the elderly is quite equivocal⁸⁻¹¹. Several consequences of the normal aging
38 process could explain why the elderly population could be more prone to dry eye; this
39 includes raised oxidative stress, hormonal changes, inflammatory systemic conditions⁴,
40 lid laxity and the use of systemic and topical medication^{4,12}. DED has been considered
41 as a significant concern in the aging contact lens (CL) wearing population^{1,13}. Bennet et
42 al. highlighted that a comprehensive anterior segment exam is an essential prerequisite
43 to CL fitting, due to the higher prevalence of the anterior segment conjunctival
44 degenerative processes that may disrupt the TF layer¹⁴. When a CL is fitted on a patient's
45 eye, the TF is separated into pre- and post-lens TF. In addition to the changes in

46 composition, pre-lens TF (PLTF) stability is reduced due to the thinning of the lipid layer
47 and the tear volume on the anterior surface of the CL is also diminished, both events
48 leading to an increased evaporation rate and dewetting compared to normal TF¹⁵.
49 CL discomfort has been identified as the primary reason for CL discontinuation^{15,16,17,18}.
50 CL material (silicone hydrogel¹⁹), parameters (lower sphere power¹⁶) and wearing
51 schedule (daily disposable¹⁹) have been reported as the main aspects associated with CL
52 dropouts¹⁹. According to a recent survey¹⁹, increased age is the main factor impacting
53 retention rate, with multifocal CL fittings presenting the lowest continuation of use
54 (57%) in comparison with other CL designs for the same age range population; poor
55 achieved vision was identified as a key factor in multifocal CL wearers that stopped
56 wearing contact lenses. Besides, Patel et al. suggest that the presbyopic population
57 might be more susceptible to dryness-related comfort problems²⁰, mainly due to
58 decreased TF stability, eventually leading to CL discomfort and dropout.

59 The purpose of this study was to assess the performance of a new daily
60 disposable CL material on the ocular surface of a presbyopic population. To the best of
61 our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the clinical outcomes of a water gradient
62 daily CL material in a presbyopic population over their first day of CL wear. To achieve
63 that goal, TF and ocular surface parameters were investigated along a day of CL wear.

64

65

66 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

67 Forty subjects, neophyte CL wearers, were recruited. This prospective, nonrandomized
68 study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the University of Valencia.
69 Informed consent was obtained for all subjects enrolled in the study. The clinical study
70 adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

71 Each of the subjects underwent a comprehensive ophthalmic examination,
72 which included (in the following sequence): visual acuity, monocular and binocular
73 refraction, anterior segment slit lamp biomicroscopy, osmolarity, measurement of the
74 inferior tear meniscus area (TMA), topographic examination and TBUT assessment using
75 fluorescein.

76 The room temperature was controlled and maintained between 20 and 25 degrees
77 Celsius; the room humidity was maintained between 35 to 40%. The same investigator
78 carried out all measurements and subsequent data analysis. Inclusion criteria were
79 spherical equivalent refractive error between +6.00 to -10.00D, astigmatism $\leq 0.75D$,
80 monocular corrected distance visual acuity of 0.0 logMAR or better and normal
81 binocularity. Patients who experienced any anterior segment pathology, previous
82 corneal surgery, corneal abnormalities, DED or any general health condition were
83 excluded from the study.

84

85 *Slit Lamp Examination*

86 Anterior ocular assessment was performed by biomicroscopy and included bulbar
87 conjunctiva and cornea evaluation at a magnification of 10x to 32x for the presence of
88 active inflammation and structural changes/abnormalities of the corneal layers.

89 Anterior chamber and iris were evaluated for inflammation, eyelids for crusts and/or
90 collarettes. Fifteen minutes after material insertion, contact lens fit quality was
91 evaluated for centration, coverage, movement as well as push-up recovery speed.

92

93 *Tear Osmolarity*

94 Tear film osmolarity was measured using a laboratory-on-a-chip system (TearLab™
95 Corp, San Diego, CA) in order to collect (using passive capillary action) and analyze the
96 electrical impedance of a minimal (50 nL) tear sample from the infero-lateral tear
97 meniscus. According to Foulks and al. osmolarity values below 308mOsm/L should be
98 considered as normal²¹. Readings between 308 and 325 mOsm/L are representative of
99 mild-to-moderate dry eye, and values above 325mOsm/L indicate the severe state of
100 the disease²¹.

101

102 *Inferior Tear Meniscus Area*

103 Details of the anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) imaging
104 technology have been described previously^{22,23}. The SL SCAN-1 (Topcon, Japan) is a
105 spectral-domain OCT integrated into a slit lamp which uses an 840 nm superluminescent
106 diode and provides 5000 A-scans/s with an axial resolution of 8-9 μm and a lateral
107 resolution <20 μm. This device allows images of the inferior tear meniscus to be
108 obtained using the B-scan mode by scanning at the 6 o'clock ocular position with a cross
109 line centered on the inferior lid edge. Measurements of the inferior tear meniscus area

110 (TMA), defined as the triangular area formed by the anterior corneal boundary, anterior
111 boundary of the lower eyelid and anterior borderline of the tear meniscus, were
112 performed manually using image analysis software imageJ (<http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).

113 114 *Aberrations Analysis*

115 The corneal front surface wavefront aberrations derived from the Placido-based
116 corneal topographer Atlas 9000 (software v3.0.0.39; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany)
117 over a 6 mm central zone was assessed with a non-dilated pupil and repeated three
118 times between 4-6 seconds after a blink^{24,25}. The choice not to control pupil diameter
119 was deliberate, as this study intended to assess the effect of this multifocal CL material
120 in normal conditions of illumination, under the condition patients are usually assessed.
121 Since the device used to quantify aberrometry is a Placido disk-based topographer, it
122 uses the first Purkinje image which is formed on the PLTF, to calculate topographic and
123 aberrometric values. Image capture was timed for the same time post blink for each
124 subject, as it has been found that TF stability is achieved approximately 6 seconds after
125 a blink and overall aberrations tend to rise for about 10 seconds after a blink²⁵.

126

127 *Tear Film Breakup Time and Corneal-Conjunctival Staining Score*

128 TBUT was measured **subjectively** with a slit lamp (equipped with a blue filter) by
129 inserting into the lower fornix a fluorescein strip moistened with one drop of a non-
130 preserved saline solution. The strip was then removed and the patient asked to blink
131 three times and look forward during the procedure. The average of three consecutive
132 TBUT measurements (time between the last blink and the appearance of the first
133 random dry spot on the corneal surface, manually timed) was then calculated. Corneal

134 staining was evaluated after TBUT under blue illumination, 3.0 minutes after fluorescein
135 instillation. Corneal and conjunctival **subjective** assessment followed the grading
136 scheme from Efron's scale (grades from 0-4) observed with 16x slit lamp magnification.

137 Eligible patients (based on inclusion and exclusion criteria) were fitted
138 binocularly with multifocal CLs (Delefilcon A, Dailies Total1® Multifocal). According to
139 manufacturers' information, Delefilcon A is a silicone-hydrogel material with a silicone
140 core water content of 33% and a hydrogel surface water content above 80 %. Its Dk/t is
141 159 @ -3.00D at a central thickness of 0.09 mm. Power ranges from +6.00 to -10.00 (in
142 0.25 steps) with a base curve of 8.5 mm. All baseline measures were repeated at 20
143 minutes and 8 hours after CL insertion.

144

145 *Statistical Analysis*

146 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science
147 software (Version 17.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Only right eye data was analyzed to
148 avoid bias due to the similarities between the eyes of an individual. Friedman's
149 nonparametric statistical test was used to detect differences over time of TMA,
150 osmolarity and aberrations as they were not normally distributed. The Sign test was
151 used to compare related intergroups for ordinal parameters (conjunctival and corneal
152 staining), whereas a related samples average *t* test was used in the intergroup
153 parameters with normal distribution (TBUT). Differences were considered statistically
154 significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

155 **RESULTS**

156 The average age of the participants was 50.0 ± 4.4 years, ranging between 41 and 60
157 years old. Mean spherical equivalent refractive error was $+1.11 \pm 0.35$ D and ranged from
158 -4.25 to $+2.50$ D. From the 40 eyes included, 18 were myopic (mean spherical equivalent
159 error -2.80 ± 0.72 D) and 22 hypermetropic ($+0.90 \pm 0.24$ D). Mean values and standard
160 deviations of the parameters assessed at each visit over the day are presented in table
161 1. Osmolarity showed significant changes between baseline (306.93 ± 2.32 mOsm/L) and
162 20 minutes (312.43 ± 2.42 mOsm/L) ($P=0.02$) (Figure 1). No statistically significant
163 changes were found between baseline (306.93 ± 2.32 mOsm/L) and 8 hours (310.40 ± 2.26
164 mOsm/L) ($P=0.09$). TMA values diminished across the day (from 0.020 ± 0.003 mm² to
165 0.017 ± 0.03 mm²) ($P=0.061$), but was not statistically significant.

166 Figure 2 displays aberrometric root mean square (RMS) data before CL adaptation at 20
167 minutes and 8 hours after CL insertion. Ocular surface higher order RMS aberrations
168 showed a statistically significant increase between baseline (0.38 ± 0.21 μ m) and 20
169 minutes (0.61 ± 0.44 μ m) ($P \leq 0.001$) and between baseline and 8 hours (0.64 ± 0.41 μ m)
170 ($P \leq 0.001$). No statistically significant changes were found between 20 minutes
171 (0.61 ± 0.44 μ m) and 8 hours (0.64 ± 0.41 μ m) ($P=0.711$). TBUT worsened by the end of the
172 day from 10.4 ± 0.4 seconds at baseline to 9.0 ± 0.3 seconds after 8 hours of CL wear
173 ($P < 0.05$) (Figure3). No statistically significant differences were found between the
174 measurements at baseline, and after 8 hours of CL wear regarding fluorescein corneal
175 ($P=0.727$) and conjunctival staining ($P=0.092$).

176

177

178 **DISCUSSION**

179 A healthy tear film is a key factor in order to maintain a functional and efficient
180 ocular surface. Ocular dryness and discomfort represent the main complaints in CL
181 wearers^{16-18,26}; CL discomfort (CLD) (24%) and dryness (20%) being the primary reasons
182 for discontinuation^{16,17,19}. According to Dumbleton et al., “discomfort” is the most
183 frequently cited reason for CL dropout¹⁷, but its precise meaning to the individuals is
184 more complex to assess. Indeed, the terms dry eye and CL discomfort closely interlace,
185 since a patient that presents signs and symptoms of dry eye has more propensity to
186 have CL discomfort when fitted with CLs²⁷.

187 Tear hyperosmolarity is a key mechanism of ocular surface inflammation leading
188 to dry eye clinical features^{28,29}. Environment, CL materials and parameters, and TF
189 factors such as stability have been described as triggers for the rise of osmolarity³⁰⁻³². TF
190 stability is altered by CL wear regardless of the lens type as CLs induce changes in TF
191 structure, creating a PLTF and a postlens TF, that is, new interfaces within the ocular
192 environment¹⁵. PLTF is mainly responsible for the hydration and wettability of the CL
193 front surface, facilitating the interaction with palpebral conjunctiva, by reducing friction
194 forces and hence providing a smooth optical surface^{33,34}. PLTF instability can be found in
195 hydrogel high water content and thin CLs, leading to a rise of osmolarity, since it has
196 been suggested that this type of lens can dehydrate easily partly due to its elevated
197 water content^{31,35}.

198 Previous studies demonstrated that refractive index (RI) of a CL material and its
199 water content are closely related, showing the interest of evaluating RI to assess lens
200 water content³⁶. Delefilcon A provides a water gradient and a surface water content

201 corresponding to a high-water content hydrogel material, and as such, it may be
202 expected to induce a rise in osmolarity values when fitted, due to partial dehydration of
203 the outermost part of the CL material. This hypothesis seems robust since in Schafer et
204 al. study, an index change was found to occur on the CL surface after 15 minutes of lens
205 wear, shifting from a high-water content RI to a level compatible with a low water
206 content material RI³⁷. However, Iskander et al.³⁸ found that this water gradient material
207 provided a better end of the day TF surface quality (TFSQ) than a high-water content
208 hydrogel material. This finding implies that the rate of superficial dehydration of this
209 material is lower than other CLs³⁸.

210 Previous studies of existing, largely young, CL wearers reported significant rises
211 in tear osmolarity in CL wearers during the time of use³⁹⁻⁴¹. Iskeleli et al. found that
212 monthly hydrogel soft CLs induced a raise in osmolarity values between 1-2 hours after
213 insertion⁴⁰. Sarac et al. evaluated osmolarity with daily wear silicone-hydrogel CLs over
214 the course of a day and found a rise in tear osmolarity after 4 hours of CL wear, followed
215 by an insignificant reduction in osmolarity values at the end of the day⁴¹. These results
216 are in agreement with the present study. Indeed, statistically significant differences have
217 been found between baseline and 20 minutes showing that an increase in osmolarity
218 values occurs even sooner than evaluated before; while over the course of the day a
219 reduction in tear osmolarity values could be observed, although not statistically
220 significant, but consistent with the findings of Sarac and al⁴¹.

221 According to Nichols et al. the on-eye CL sits in and not on the TF³⁴; CLs are many
222 times thicker than the TF so its insertion is expected to induce perturbation to the ocular
223 surface as noted earlier⁴². Furthermore, CL interaction with the eyelid and cornea can

224 modify tear composition and electrolytes levels, as shown by Tighe and al.⁴³. The
225 hypothesis explored in the present study was that CL initially disturbs the newly formed
226 PLTF (by inducing reflex tearing), leading to decreased TF stability and increased
227 evaporation, resulting in elevated tear osmolarity values at 20 minutes. Besides, it is
228 speculated that increases in osmolarity at 20 minutes might also be partly due to both
229 an ocular surface response to CL insertion, and an individual tear interaction with the CL
230 material.

231 At the end of the day (i.e after 8 hours of CL wear), osmolarity values were lower
232 than those obtained at 20 minutes, but did not reach the baseline level. Furthermore,
233 both values obtained at 20 minutes and after 8 hours of CL wear were higher than the
234 cut-off value of 308mOsm/L, which, according to Foulks, can be considered as a mild
235 form of dry eye²¹.

236 It is important to emphasize that no significant changes were found regarding
237 corneal or conjunctival staining by the end of the day, which means that even if
238 osmolarity was above cut-off values, it was not clinically significant since there was no
239 significant cellular damage. Osmolarity values did not change over the time of wear,
240 which may imply that CL surface properties remain rather stable during the 8 hours of
241 CL wear and provide enough oxygen transmission and lubrication to the ocular surface
242 in order not to induce any additional staining. However, if the osmolarity changes occur
243 in a similar pattern over longer-term wear, corneal integrity could well be compromised.

244 It is known that tear hyperosmolarity induces epithelial cell hyperosmolarity⁴⁴⁻
245 ⁴⁶, leading to intracellular activation involving MAP Kinase and NFκB pathways and
246 therefore liberation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which eventually induce epithelial

247 cell apoptosis⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. Further investigation is needed in order to assess the rise in
248 osmolarity values from baseline and the duration of this elevation that could trigger an
249 inflammatory response from the ocular surface, leading to cellular apoptosis and the
250 corresponding positive vital dye staining.

251 Tear meniscus can be defined as the accumulation of tears between the lid
252 margin and the bulbar conjunctiva; it is present on both superior and inferior eyelids^{47,48}.
253 It is believed that tear meniscus contains 75%-90% of the total volume of the TF⁴⁷, which
254 makes it a useful clinical parameter to assess TF volume and its possible changes over
255 time. AS-OCT is a useful device for *in vivo* non-invasive quantification of tear meniscus
256 parameters, with^{48,49} or without CLs^{50,51}. Czajkowski et al. showed that AS-OCT presents
257 sensitivity and specificity for dry eye diagnosis of 86.1% and 85.3% for TMA and a strong
258 positive correlation to tear meniscus height ($r=0.763$, $p<0.0001$), making this device a
259 valuable tool for diagnosis and follow-up of patients with dry eye disease⁵².

260 In the present study, TMA values did not show significant changes across the day.
261 It suggests that short-term CL wear may have limited impact on tear meniscus
262 parameters in a non-dry eye presbyopic population, which is in agreement with Wang
263 et al. work on the influence of CL wear on upper and lower meniscus in a normal young
264 adult population⁵³. Chen et al. evaluated CL wearers with self-reported dryness,
265 asymptomatic wearers and asymptomatic non lens wearers⁵¹. No significant statistical
266 changes were found between baseline and after 30 minutes for the asymptomatic
267 wearers, which is in agreement with the results obtained in this study. According to our
268 results, it seems very likely that CL insertion induces reflex lacrimation responsible for
269 an immediate increase of tear volume and decreased TF stability, but it tends to return

270 back to normal values by 20 minutes after CL lens insertion. PLTF quality mainly relies
271 on surface wettability and the water content of CL materials^{54,55}. In this study, no
272 difference was found at the end of the day in comparison to baseline, even if TMA
273 diminished over the day, which suggests that PLTF surface quality remained stable over
274 time. Higher-order aberrations are believed to contribute up to seven percent of retinal
275 image quality^{56,57}. The main difference between a perfect wavefront and the one
276 displayed by the human eye mainly is due to higher order aberrations, more precisely
277 third order coma-like and fourth-order spherical aberrations⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. It is known that the
278 effect of coma and spherical aberrations is pupil dependent, the greater the pupil size,
279 the greater the aberrations and the depreciation of the final retinal image⁶¹.

280 In this study, the CL geometric characteristics were a front and back surface
281 aspheric center-near multifocal design, which is expected to induce a certain amount of
282 spherical aberration⁶². Moreover, decentration of a CL on the eye due to eye movement
283 or to the lag in the replacement of the CL after blink are expected to induce coma-like
284 aberrations proportional to the amount of decentration from the visual axis^{61,63,64}. For
285 these reasons it was decided to only assess ocular surface high order RMS of coma-like
286 and spherical aberration in this study. Data were converted into RMS values for spherical
287 aberrations and coma combined^{61,65} in order to follow-up changes of the total RMS over
288 time and to assess the influence of the CL insertion over this parameter.

289 A statistical significant increase in ocular surface higher order RMS was found
290 between baseline and 20 minutes, i.e from the CL insertion. In the majority of
291 participants, the set of ocular surface higher order RMS increased 20 minutes after CL
292 insertion, but remained stable over the day; no significant difference was found

293 between 20 minutes and 8 hours of CL wear. This could be explained by the behaviour
294 of the lens on the eye, remaining stable throughout the day, and the time the lens took
295 to centre after a blink, which was approximately the same at 20 minutes and 8 hours,
296 thus obtaining similar aberrations values for all participants.

297 Tear quality, stability and dynamics play a key role in optical performance of CLs
298 ^{25,66,67}. Indeed, local variation of PLTF thickness influences the amount of ocular
299 aberrations being measured⁶⁸. DED, according to its severity, is also known to induce a
300 significant rise in aberrations, so the fact that corneal high order RMS remained rather
301 stable during the day may imply that the pre-lens TFSQ and dynamics were minimally
302 impacted over the course of the day.

303 TBUT is one of the clinical methods used to assess compromised tear film stability
304 ⁶⁹. In the present study, a significant decrease in TBUT was found between baseline and
305 8 hours of wear. This decrease in TF stability was an expected outcome, since TF
306 structure is altered by CL (increased evaporation and perturbation in TF spreading¹⁵⁻¹⁸).
307 Since measurement was carried out just after CL removal, it was expected that complete
308 recovery of the TF would not yet have been achieved at that moment. So, even if a
309 statistical decrease in TBUT was evidenced, it is unlikely to have any clinical significance.
310 Fluorescein dye is not the first option to assess TF stability (since its efficiency relies on
311 a controlled amount of fluorescein instilled and on the practitioner's experience to
312 detect the first dry spot on corneal surface), as objective, non-invasive methods are now
313 available⁷⁰. The topographer used in the current study was the Atlas 9000, even if based
314 on Placido disks, does not include in the software an automatic delimitation of the BUT.

315 Instead the TFOS DEWS II standardized methodology for use of fluorescein to assess
316 **subjectively** tear film stability was adopted⁷⁰ using a single investigator applying the
317 strip onto the inferior conjunctiva to ensure minimal variability and give reproducible
318 results. **The subjective assessment of TBUT and vital staining, as discussed before, could**
319 **be limitations of the study along with the time between visits that was not masked to**
320 **the investigator and could have influenced the results.** Duration of wear might be
321 **another** limitation of the current study as previous works reported a longer average time
322 of wear with up to 25% of the patients wearing their lenses up to 16 hours^{71,72}. The
323 duration evaluated in this study is more in agreement with a recreational wear including
324 hobbies or social activities^{73,74}, which gives valuable information, but does not represent
325 a typical day for usual CL wearers.

326

327 **CONCLUSIONS**

328 This study reports the clinical performance of a water gradient daily disposable soft CL
329 on the ocular surface and the TF in a neophyte presbyopic population over their first 8
330 hours of wear. CL insertion induces an initial decrease in TF stability observed by
331 osmolarity values rising after 20 minutes of wear, but it did not impact tear meniscus
332 metrics and seemed to be transitory, as a decrease, without reaching baseline values,
333 occurred by the end of the wearing period. Ocular surface aberrations remained largely
334 stable from CL insertion, demonstrating an even repartition of TF over the CL material
335 surface.

336

337 **REFERENCES**

- 338 1.- Stern ME, Gao J, Siemasko KF et al. The role of the lacrimal functional unit in the
339 pathophysiology of dry eye. *Exp Eye Res* 2004 ;78 :409-16.
- 340 2.- Paulsen F. The human nasolacrimal ducts. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol* 2003 ;170 (III XI)
341 :1-106.
- 342 3.- Bron AJ, de Paiva CS, Chauhan, SK, et al. TFOS DEWS II pathophysiology report. *The*
343 *ocular surface* 2017; 15:438-510.
- 344 4.- Chao W, Belmonte C, Benitez del Castillo JM, et al. Report of the Inaugural Meeting
345 of the TFOS i2 = initiating innovation Series: Targeting the Unmet Need for Dry Eye
346 Treatment. *The Ocular Surface* 2016;14:264-316.
- 347 5.- Rocha EM, Alves M, Rios JD et al. The aging lacrimal gland: changes in structure and
348 function. *The Ocular Surface* 2008; 6:162-174.
- 349 6.- Patel S, Farrell JC. Age-related changes in precorneal tear film stability. *Optom Vis Sci*
350 1989; 66:175–8.
- 351 7.- Zhu W, Hong J, Zheng T, et al. Age-related changes of human conjunctiva on in vivo
352 confocal microscopy. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010; 94: 1448–53.
- 353 8.- Schein OD, Munoz B, Tielsch JM, et al. Prevalence of dry eye among the elderly. *Am*
354 *J Ophtalmol* 1997; 124:723-8.
- 355 9.- McCarty CA, Bansal AK, Livingston PM, et al. The epidemiology of dry eye in
356 Melbourne, Australia. *Ophtalmology* 1998; 105:1114-9.

- 357 10.- Doughty MJ, Fonn D, Richter D, et al. A patient questionnaire approach to estimating
358 the prevalence of dry eye symptoms in patients presenting to optometric practices
359 across Canada. *Optom Vis Sci* 1997; 74:624-31.
- 360 11.- Stapleton F, Alves M, Bunya VY, et al. TFOS DEWS II Epidemiology Report. The ocular
361 surface 2017; 15:334-365.
- 362 12.- Sharma A, Hindman HB. Aging: a predisposition to dry eyes. *J Ophthalmol* 2014;
363 2014:781683.
- 364 13.- Du Toit R, Situ P, Simpson T et al. The effects of six months of contact lens wear on
365 the tear film, ocular surfaces, and symptoms of presbyopes. *Optom. Vis. Sci* 2001;
366 78:455–462.
- 367 14.- Bennett ES. Contact lens correction of presbyopia. *Clin Exp Optom* 2008; 91:265-
368 278.
- 369 15.- Glasson MJ, Stapleton F, Keay L et al. The effect of short term contact lens wear on
370 the tear film and ocular surface characteristics of tolerant and intolerant wearers. *Cont*
371 *Lens Ant Eye* 2006; 29:41-47.
- 372 16.- Pritchard N, Fonn D, Brazeau D. Discontinuation of contact lens wear: a survey. *Int*
373 *Contact Lens Clin* 1999; 26:157-162.
- 374 17.- Dumbleton K, Woods CA, Jones LW, et al. The impact of contemporary contact
375 lenses on contact lens discontinuation. *Eye Contact Lens* 2013; 39: 92–98.
- 376 18.- Richdale K, Sinnott LT, Skadahl E, et al. Frequency of and factors associated with
377 contact lens dissatisfaction and discontinuation. *Cornea* 2007; 26:168–74.

378 19.- Sulley A, Young G, Hunt C. Factors in the success of new contact lens wearers.
379 Contact Lens and Anterior Eye 2017; 40:15-24.

380 20.- Patel S, Boyd KE, Burns J. Age, stability of the precorneal tear film and the refractive
381 index of tears. Cont Lens Anterior Eye 2000; 23: 44–7.

382 21.- Foulks GN, Lemp MA, Berg M, et al. TearLab osmolarity as a biomarker for disease
383 severity in mild to moderate dry eye disease. American Academy of Ophthalmology
384 PO382, 2009.

385 22.- Izatt JA, Hee MR, Swanson EA, et al. Micrometer-scale resolution imaging of the
386 anterior eye in vivo with optical coherence tomography. Arch Ophthalmol 1994;
387 112:1584-9 11.

388 23.- Radhakrishnan S, Rollins AM, Roth JE, et al. Real-time optical coherence tomography
389 of the anterior segment at 1310 nm. Arch Ophthalmol 2001; 119:1179-85.

390 24.- Montés-Micó R, Alió JL, Muñoz G, et al. Postblink changes in total and corneal ocular
391 aberrations. Ophthalmology 2004; 111:758–767.

392 25.- Montés-Micó R, Alió JL, Muñoz G, et al. Temporal changes in optical quality of air
393 tear film interface at anterior cornea after blink. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2004; 45:
394 1752–1757.

395 26.- Young G, Veys J, Pritchard N, et al. A multi-centre study of lapsed contact lens
396 wearers. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 2002; 22: 516–527.

397 27.- Begley CG, Chalmers RL, Mitchell GL. Characterization of ocular surface symptoms
398 from optometric practices in North America. Cornea 2001; 20: 610–618.

399 28.- Suzuki M, Massingale ML, Ye F, et al. Tear osmolarity as a biomarker for dry eye
400 disease severity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51: 4557–4561.

401 29.- Sullivan BD, Whitmer D, Nichols KK, et al. An objective approach to dry eye disease
402 severity. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; 51:6125– 6130.

403 30.- Nichols JJ, Sinnott LT. Tear film, contact lens, and patient-related factors associated
404 with contact lens-related dry eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006; 47:1319–1328.

405 31.- González-Méijome JM, López-Aleman A, Almeida JB, et al. Dynamic in vitro
406 dehydration patterns of unworn and worn silicone hydrogel contact lenses. *J Biomed*
407 *Mater Res B: Appl Biomater* 2009; 90:250-258.

408 32.- Gilbard JP, Gray KL, Rossi SR. A proposed mechanism for increased tear-film
409 osmolarity in contact lens wearers. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986; 102:505-507.

410 33.- Koh S, Higashiura R, Maeda N. Overview of Objective Methods for Assessing
411 Dynamic Changes in Optical Quality. *Eye Contact Lens* 2016; 42: 333-338.

412 34.- Nichols JJ, King-Smith PE. Thickness of the pre- and post-contact lens tear film
413 measured in vivo by interferometry. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2003; 44:68–77.

414 35.- Ramamoorthy P Sinnott LT, Nichols JJ. Contact lens material characteristics
415 associated with hydrogel lens dehydration. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2010; 30: 160–166.

416 36.- Brennan NA. A simple instrument for measuring the water content of hydrogel
417 lenses. *Int Contact Lens Clin* 1983; 10:357–361.

418 37.- Schafer J, Steffen R, Reindel W, et al. Evaluation of surface water characteristics of
419 novel daily disposable contact lenses using refractive index shifts after wear. *Clin*
420 *Ophthalmol* 2015; 9:1973-9.

421 38.- Szczesna-Iskander D. Comparison of tear film surface quality measured in vivo on
422 water gradient silicone hydrogel and hydrogel contact lenses. *Eye Contact Lens* 2014;40:
423 23–7.

424 39.- Farris RL. Tear analysis in contact lens wearers. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1985;
425 83:501–545.

426 40.- Iskeleli G, Karakoc Y, Aydin O, et al. Comparison of tear-film osmolarity in different
427 types of contact lenses. *CLAO J* 2002; 28:174–176.

428 41.- Sarac O, Gurdal C, Bostanci-Ceran B, Can I. Comparison of tear osmolarity and ocular
429 comfort between daily disposable contact lenses: hilafilcon B hydrogel versus narafilcon
430 A silicone hydrogel. *Int Ophthalmol* 2012; 32: 229–233.

431 42.- Mann A, Tighe BJ. Contact lens interactions with the tear film. *Exp. Eye Res* 2013,
432 117, 88–98.

433 43.- Tighe BJ. A decade of silicone hydrogel development: surface properties,
434 mechanical properties, and ocular compatibility. *Eye Contact Lens* 2013; 39:4–12.

435 44.- Downie LE, Keller PR. A pragmatic approach to dry eye diagnosis: evidence into
436 practice. *Optom Vis Sci* 2015; 92:1189-97.

437 45.- Li DQ, Chen Z, Song XJ. Stimulation of matrix metalloproteinases by hyperosmolarity
438 via a JNK pathway in human corneal epithelial cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004; 45:
439 4302-11.

440 46.- Luo L, Li DQ, Pflugfelder SC. Hyperosmolarity –induced apoptosis in human corneal
441 epithelial cells is mediated by cytochrome c and MAPK pathways. *Cornea* 2007; 26:452-
442 60.

443 47.- Holly FJ. Physical chemistry of the normal and disordered tear film. *Trans Ophthalmol*
444 *Soc UK* 1985; 104:374-80.

445 48.- Garcia-Lázaro S, Madrid-Costa D, Ferrer-Blasco T, et al. OCT for assessing artificial
446 tears effectiveness in contact lens wearers. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012; 89:E62-9.

447 49.- Del Águila-Carrasco AJ, Ferrer-Blasco T, García-Lázaro S, et al. Assessment of corneal
448 thickness and tear meniscus during contact-lens wear. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2015;
449 38:185-93.

450 50.- Wang J, Aquavella J, Palakuru J, et al. Relationships between central tear film
451 thickness and tear menisci of the upper and lower eyelids. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*
452 2006; 47:4349-4355.

453 51.- Chen Q, Wang J, Shen M, et al. Lower volumes of tear menisci in contact lens wearers
454 with dry eye symptoms. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:3159-3163.

455 52.- Czajkowski G, Kaluzny BJ, Laudenska A, et al. Tear meniscus measurement by spectral
456 optical coherence tomography. *Optom Vis Sci* 2012; 89: 336– 342.

457 53.- Wang J, Cox I, Reindel WT. Upper and lower tear menisci on contact lenses. *Invest*
458 *Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50:1106-1111.

459 54.- Tonge S, Jones L, Goodall S, et al. The ex vivo wettability of soft contact lenses. *Curr*
460 *Eye Res* 2001; 23:51-59.

461 55.- Jones L, May C, Nazar L. In vitro evaluation of the dehydration characteristics of
462 silicone hydrogel and conventional hydrogel contact lens materials. *Cont Lens Ant Eye*
463 2002; 25:147-156.

464 56.- Porter J, Guirao A, Cox IG, et al. Monochromatic aberrations of the human eye in a
465 large population. *J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2001; 18: 1793–1803

466 57.- Guirao A, Porter J, Williams DR, et al. Calculated impact of higher-order
467 monochromatic aberrations on retinal image quality in a population of human eye. *J Opt*
468 *Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2002; 19: 620–628.

469 58.- Charman WN. Aberrations and myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2005; 25: 285–301.

470 59.- Thibos LN, Bradley A, Hong X. A statistical model of the aberration structure of
471 normal, well-corrected eyes. *J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis* 2002; 19: 2329–2348.

472 60.- Thibos LN, Hong X, Bradley A, et al. Statistical variation of aberration structure and
473 image quality in a normal population of healthy eyes. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2002; 22:
474 427–433.

475 61.- Patel S, Fakhry M, Alió JM. Objective assessment of aberrations induced by
476 multifocal contact lenses in vivo. *Eye & Contact Lens*, 2002;28:196-201.

477 62.- Peyre C, Fumery L, Gatinel D. Comparison of high-order optical aberrations induced
478 by different multifocal contact lens geometries. *Journal Francais d'Ophtalmologie*.2005;
479 28: 599-604.

480 63.- Kollbaum PS, Jansen ME, Rickert ME. Comparison of patient-reported visual
481 outcome methods to quantify the perceptual effects of defocus. *Contact Lens and*
482 *Anterior Eye* 2011.

483 64.- Gatti RF, Lipener C. Optical performance of different soft contact lenses based on
484 wavefront analysis. *Arq Bras Oftalmol* 2008; 71:42–6.

485 65.- Gatinel D. Aberrations monochromatiques de haut degré : définition et
486 conséquence sur la fonction visuelle. In: Gatinel D, Hoang-Xuan T: « Le LASIK, de la
487 théorie à la pratique », 2003, pp151-159, Elsevier, Paris.

488 66.- Erdélyi B, Csákány B, Rödönyi G, et al. Dynamics of ocular surface topography in
489 healthy subjects. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2006; 26: 419–425.

490 67.- Zhu M, Collins MJ, Iskander DR. Dynamics of ocular surface topography. *Eye* 2007;
491 21:624–632.

492 68.- Rae SM, Price HC. The effect of soft contact lens wear and time from blink on
493 wavefront aberration measurement variation. *Clin Exp Optom* 2009; 92:274–282.

494 69.- Pflugfelder SC, Tseng SCG, Sanabria O, et al. Evaluation of subjective assessments
495 and objective diagnostic tests for diagnosing tear-film disorders known to cause ocular
496 irritation. *Cornea* 1998; 17: 38–56.

497 70.- Wolffsohn JS., Arita R, Chalmers R, et al. TFOS DEWS II diagnostic methodology
498 report. *The ocular surface* 2017; 15:539-574

499 71.- Riley C, Young G, Chalmers R. Prevalence of ocular surface symptoms, signs, and
500 uncomfortable hours of wear in contact lens wearers: the effect of refitting with
501 dailywear silicone hydrogel lenses (senofilcon A). *Eye Contact Lens* 2006; 32:281Y6

502 72.- Long B, McNally J. The clinical performance of a silicone hydrogel lens for daily wear
503 in an Asian population. *Eye Contact Lens* 2006; 32:65Y71

504 73.- Riley C, Chalmers RL, Pence N. The impact of lens choice in the relief of contact lens
505 related symptoms and ocular surface findings. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye* 2005; 28:13Y9 75.

506 74.- Wolffsohn JS, Mroczkowska S, Hunt, OA. Crossover evaluation of silicone hydrogel
507 daily disposable contact lenses. Optometry & Vision Science, 2015 ;92:1063-1068.

508

509

510 **Table 1:** Comparison of the objective measurements of the non-previous CL wearers at
511 the initial visit (t_0), 20 minutes (t_1) and 8 hours (t_2) after CL insertion (mean \pm SD). TMA:
512 tear meniscus area; TBUT: tear break-up time.

513

514 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

515 **Figure 1.** Box-plot of osmolarity at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians
516 are shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers
517 as dots.

518

519 **Figure 2.** Box-plot of RMS at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians are
520 shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers as
521 dots.

522

523 **Figure 3.** Box-plot of TBUT at baseline, 20 minutes and 8 hours of CI wear. Medians are
524 shown for each plot, quartiles are shown as boxes, ranges as whiskers and outliers as
525 dots.

526

TABLES

Table 1: Comparison of the objective measurements of the non-previous CL wearers at the initial visit (t_0), 20 minutes (t_1) and 8 hours (t_2) after CL insertion (mean \pm SD). TMA: tear meniscus area; TBUT: tear break-up time.

	Baseline (t_0)	At 20 minutes (t_1)	At 8 hours (t_2)	P value
Aberrations (μm)	0.38 \pm 0.21	0.61 \pm 0.04	0.64 \pm 0.41	(t_0)/ (t_1) P< 0.01 (t_0)/(t_2) P< 0.01 (t_1)/(t_2) P=0.71
Osmolarity (mOsm/L)	306.93 \pm 2.32	312.43 \pm 2.42	310.40 \pm 2.26	(t_0)/ (t_1) P=0.02 (t_0)/(t_2) P=0.09 (t_1)/(t_2) P=0.71
TMA (mm^2)	0.020 \pm 0.003	0.019 \pm 0.002	0.017 \pm 0.003	P=0.061
TBUT (s)	10.4 \pm 0.4	-	9.0 \pm 0.3	P <0.01





