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## List of Symbols and Abbreviations

## SYMBOLS

$!\quad$ Factorial
$\bar{P}_{S} \quad$ Average extension of a superficial flow
$C_{f} \quad$ Adjustment coefficient as a function of the return period
$C_{\text {mass }} \quad$ Coefficient of massiveness
$C_{o} \quad$ Orographic coefficient
$D_{h} \quad$ Stream frequency
$D_{m} \quad$ Average slope of a watercourse
$D_{r} \quad$ Drainage density
$\bar{H} \quad$ Average basin height
$I_{d} \quad$ Slope index
$K_{C} \quad$ Compactness coefficient
$K_{L} \quad$ Elongation ratio
$K_{f} \quad$ Form factor
$L_{D} \quad$ Directrix length
$L_{b} \quad$ Basin length
$L_{e} \quad$ Equivalent length
$L_{t} \quad$ Total length of watercourses
$\bar{P} \quad$ Average precipitation
$R_{h} \quad$ Hydraulic radius
$R_{b} \quad$ Bifurcation ratio
$R_{i} \quad$ Radius of Influence
$\bar{Z} \quad$ Average altitude of the basin
$Z_{e q} \quad$ Equivalent watercourse height
$i_{10-85} \quad$ Watercourse Slope 10-85
$i_{q} \quad$ Equivalent slope of a watercourse
$i_{\text {relevo }} \quad$ Relief index
$l_{e} \quad$ Equivalent width
$n_{r} \quad$ Porosity
$t_{c} \quad$ Time of concentration
$\bar{u} \quad$ Average speed
$\Delta h \quad$ Charge losses
$h \quad$ Height
A Area
$C \quad$ Coefficient of the rational formula that depends on the type and the occupation of the soil of the basin
D Useful rain
$E \quad$ Field capacity
$F \quad$ Infiltration capacity
Fr Froude Number
$H \quad$ Uniform height
I Slope; Precipitation intensity
$K \quad$ Coefficient of permeability
$L \quad$ Distance
$M \quad$ Ratio between the waterproof area of a basin and its total area
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| $N$ | Number of streams |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Q$ | Flow rate |
| $R e$ | Reynolds number |
| $S$ | Storage; Degree of saturation; Sinuosity |
| $T$ | Transmissivity |
| $U$ | Characteristic speed |
| $V$ | Volume |
| $Z$ | Elevation or height |
| $c$ | Hydraulic resistance |
| $g$ | Gravitational acceleration |
| $i$ | Slope |
| $m$ | Thickness of the aquifer; Mass |
| $q$ | Specific flow rate |
| $r$ | Distance until pumping hole |
| $s$ | Lowering |
| $t$ | Time |
| $v$ | Velocity |
| $x$ | Coordinate; variable |
| $y$ | Height; coordinate; variable |
| $z$ | Ghyben-Herzberg ratio |
| $\eta$ | Yield |
| $\theta$ | Volumetric amount |
| $\rho$ | Density |

## ABBREVIATIONS

| AMC | Antecedent Moisture Condition |
| :--- | :--- |
| ANPC | Autoridade Nacional de Proteção Civil |
| CN | Curve Number |
| DDF | Depth-Duration-Frequency |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| HU | Hidrograma Unitário |
| HUT | Hidrograma Unitário Triangular |
| IDF | Intensity-Duration-Frequency |
| IS | International System |
| NA | Nível da água |
| PMP | Precipitação Máxima Provável |
| RAM | Região Autónoma da Madeira |
| SCS | Soil Conservation Service |
| SIG | Sistema de Informação Geográfica |
| SNIRH | Sistema Nacional de Informação de Recursos Hídricos |
| US | United States |
| USA | Unite States of America |
| USBR | United States Bureau of Reclamation |
| USD | United States Dollar |

## EXERCISES

## Chapter 1 - Hydrologic Cycle

## EXERCISES

1.1. The total volume of freshwater available on Earth is around of $35 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}^{3}$. From that volume, approximately $30 \%$ remains approximately an average of 1400 years in aquifers and $0.006 \%$ remains approximately an average of 16 days in rivers. Calculate the average volume of annual renewal in both reservoirs (aquifers and rivers), and based in the obtained result, determinate which reservoir could be used permanently (most quantity of water).
1.2. The average annual flow of continents is about 316 mm . Knowing that the area of the continents is $150 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and that the flow of the Amazon river corresponds to about $12 \%$ of it, estimate the average annual flow rate of such river in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
1.3. In Portugal Mainland, with an area of approximately $89000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and a population of about 10000000 people, the public supply of water is an average of approximately $200 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hab} /$ day. Estimate in $\mathrm{mm} /$ year the supplied annual volume.

## Chapter 2 - Hydrographic Basins

## EXERCISES

2.1. In a specific basin were obtained the following data for a relief analysis:

Table 1 - Data from a basin.

| Height (m) | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area $\left(\boldsymbol{k m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | 23.05 | 22.84 | 16.81 | 9.32 | 2.07 | 0.57 | 0.00 |

Calculate the basin average height.
2.2. The area of a basin is $102 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and the sum of the lenght of its water courses is 300 km , in a certain cartographic scale. Estimate the average extension of a superficial flow.
2.3. The next table shows the number of watercourses segments by order according to Strahler's stream order.

Table 2 - Number of watercourses of order i.

| Order, $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number, $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | 139 | 46 | 11 | 3 | 1 |

Determinate the average bifurcation ratio.
2.4. In order to trace a longitudinal profile of a given water course, the following data was collected:

Table 3 - Data for a longitudinal profile.

| Distance to the reference section $(\mathbf{k m})$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | 103 | 110 | 130 | 205 |

Determinate the water course average slope and equivalent slope.

## Chapter 3 - Hydrologic balance of a basin

## EXERCISES

3.1. In a $100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ hydrographic basin, in which are transferred approximately $8 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ per month from a neighboring basin, the precipitation and flow of a certain hydrologic year were 1000 mm and 1300 mm , respectively. Estimate in mm , the value of real evapotranspiration of such year. Justify your answer.
3.2. It is pretended to transfer water from a $100 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ hydrographic basin to a neighboring basin. Knowing that the average annual precipitation and evapotranspiration of the basin of origin are, respectively, 1000 mm and 700 mm , estimate the maximum average flow rate available to transfer in $m^{3} / s$. Justify your answer.
3.3. The average annual values of precipitation and flow deficit in a $40 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ basin, were estimated as 1500 mm and 850 mm , respectively. Determine the average annual flow rate at the reference section in such basin $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$.

## Chapter 4 - Precipitation

## EXERCISES

4.1. The following figure shows a daily log of a siphon udograph. Knowing that the vertical scale corresponds to 10 mm of precipitation, estimate the precipitation in that day.


Figure 1 - Udograph.
4.2. The accumulated hyetograph of a given precipitation is represented in the following table:

Table 4 - Data of a hyetograph.

| $\boldsymbol{t}(\mathbf{m i n})$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{m m})$ | 0 | 15 | 35 | 41 | 45 | 47 | 47 |

Determine the maximum average intensity of precipitation, $I(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h})$, in half an hour.
4.3. In three udometric stations with areas of influence of 10,20 and $30 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in a given basin, it has been registered precipitations of $12 \mathrm{~mm}, 18 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 23 mm in a given interval of time, respectively. Estimate the precipitation over the basin in that span of time by using the Thiessen's Method.

## Chapter 5 - Superficial Flow

## THEORETICAL SUPPORT

### 5.1 Flow in an inclined plane

Consider an inclined plane (Figure 2), of undefined width and waterproofed surface, in which occurs a precipitation with intensity equal to $p$ (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).


Figure 2 - Example of an inclined plane.
Admit that the flow rate per unit of length, $q\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)$, is defined by

$$
q=\alpha h^{m}
$$

Where $h$ represents the flow height, and considering the formula of Manning-Strickler,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=K_{S} S_{f}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
m=\frac{5}{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

or, when considered the formula of Chézy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=C S_{f}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
m=\frac{3}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Although, flows on natural slopes are turbulent and very tortuous, for a laminar flow on an inclined plane, it would be obtained:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\frac{\gamma}{2 \mu} S_{f} \\
m=3
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\gamma$ represents the water volumetric weight, and $\mu$, its dynamic viscosity. It is verified that the exponent $m$, for a flow on an inclined plane, must vary between 1.5 and 3.0 (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011). $S_{f}$ represents the inclination.

It is called time of concentration $\left(t_{c}\right)$ to the time span from the beginning of a precipitation with constant intensity and undefined duration, until the created flow reaches the plane terminal section. It can be calculated by:

$$
t_{c}=\left(\frac{L}{\alpha p^{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \text { ou } t_{c}=\frac{h_{L}}{p}
$$

Where:
$L$ is the traveled distance $(m)$;
$p$ is the intensity of precipitation $(m / h)$;
$h_{L}=h\left(L, t_{c}\right)$ represents the maximum flow height in the plane terminal section.
The previous equation shows that the time of concentration for a constant intensity of precipitation is as greater as the roughness of the plane (lowers $K_{S}$ or $C$ ); as lower the slope of such plane, as greater as the length of such plane and smaller as the intensity of precipitation (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011). For a given flow height, the first two factors correspond to a minor average velocity:

$$
u=\frac{q}{h}=\alpha h^{m-1}
$$

The maximum flow rate is achieved when the duration of precipitation, with a constant intensity, is at least equal to the time of concentration:

$$
q_{\max }=p L
$$

### 5.2 Measurement of superficial flows

In water resources, the volume of water that cross a certain transversal section of a water course in a given time span it is called flow, occurring in that time span. Thus, the volume of water that flows in a day, month or year, in a given section it is called, respectively, daily, monthly or annual flow. Usually, the volume of water is divided by the area of the hydrographic basin of origin, being expressed as height of water uniformly distributed in that basin. Thereby, it can be compared or related to other magnitudes as precipitation or evaporation in the same time span, and of course, also expressed in height (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

It is called as average flow rate in a given time span, the ratio between the flow, expressed in volume, and the time span in which it occurs, obtaining respectively, and according to a daily, monthly or annual flow, a daily, monthly or annual average flow rate (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

## Thin-walled spillways

In small dimension channels as those used in watering and drainage of agriculture fields, or in small water courses, the flow rate is measured with some frequency using thin-walled spillways, rectangular or triangular, as shown in Figure 3 (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).


Figure 3 - Thin-walled spillways: a) rectangular; b) triangular; c) normal cut to notch edges.
The equation of a rectangular thin-walled spillways, working with free surface conditions and with guaranteed ventilation of the liquid vein in its fully contour, according to Kindsvater and Carter (ISO, 2008), is:

$$
Q=C \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2 g}\left(b+k_{b}\right)\left(h+k_{h}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Where:
$Q$ is the flow rate that crosses the spillway in permanent regime $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$;
$C$ is the flow coefficient of the spillway (-);
$g$ is the gravitational acceleration $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)$;
$b$ is the width of the rectangular notch $(m)$;
$h$ is the charge above the spillway crest $(m)$;
$k_{b}$ and $k_{h}(m)$ are compensation parameters for viscosity and superficial tension effects. The factors $b+k_{b}$ and $h+k_{h}$ are called effective width and effective charge, respectively.

The flow coefficient ( $C$ ), function of $b / B$ and $h / p$, where $B$ is the width of the rectangular channel at upstream of the spillway, and $p$ is the height of the thin wall from the bottom of the channel until the base of the notch. Such coefficient is defined as:

$$
C=a\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)+a^{\prime}\left(\frac{b}{B}\right) \frac{h}{p}
$$

where $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are functions of $b / B$ empirically determined, as the flow coefficient $C$ itself. Table 5 presents the values of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

Table 5 - Values of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ in function of $b / B$.

| $\frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | 0.602 | 0.075 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ | 0.598 | 0.064 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | 0.596 | 0.045 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ | 0.594 | 0.030 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 0.593 | 0.018 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | 0.592 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | 0.591 | 0.0058 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 0.589 | -0.0018 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 0.587 | -0.0023 |

For different values of $b / B$ from those presented in Table 5, the values of $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ can be found by interpolation (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011). Alternatively, it can be used the following polynomial expressions that results from an adjustment to the referred values in Table 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =0,0367\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{4}-0,0507\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{3}+0,0218\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{2}+0,0072\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)+0,587 \\
a^{\prime} & =-0,2252\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{4}+0,4608\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{3}-0,189\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{2}+0,0320\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)-0,0026
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 6 presents the values of $k_{b}$ in function of $b / B$ (ISSO, 2008) (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

Table 6 - Values of $k_{b}$ in fucntion of $b / B$.

| $\frac{\boldsymbol{b}}{\boldsymbol{B}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | -0.9 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | 4.2 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | 2.7 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | 2.4 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | 2.4 |

For values of $b / B$ different from those presented in Table 6, the values of $k_{b}$ can be found by interpolation. Alternatively, it can be used the following polynomial expression that results from an adjustment to the referred values in Table 6:

$$
k_{b}=-85,938\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{5}+140,63\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{4}-76,563\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{3}+20,625\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{2}-2,05\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)+2,4
$$

Where results $k_{b}$ in $m m$. According to the experimental results, $k_{h}$ has a constant value of 0.001 m (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

## Chemical tracers

When it is not possible to measure by any other method, because the flow height are is too low, or flow velocity or turbulence are too high, it is common to use chemical tracers to estimate the flow rate in a given section of a water course (Figure 4). In this method, at an upstream section is injected a solution with a known concentration of a given solute and it is monitored, at a downstream sampling section, the evolution of this solute concentration (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).


Figure 4 - Example of a section of a river used for chemical tracers.
The injection can be made abruptly or uniformly distributed over a time span and only at one point, central or marginal, or in the center of equal partitions of the width of the injection section (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

The monitoring of the solute concentration should be done in a section sufficiently distant from the injection point, so that the solute is already fully diluted in that cross section. To confirm this, three or more points of the sampling section may be monitored (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

The distance $L_{0}$ between two sections, which at least guarantees the complete dissolution of the solute, can be estimated by (Dingman, 1994):

$$
L_{0}=K \frac{C \bar{B}^{2}}{\sqrt{g} \bar{y}}
$$

Where:
$C$ represents the Chézy's coefficient ( $C=K_{S} R^{1 / 6}, \mathrm{em} \mathrm{m}^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{s}$ );
$\bar{B}$ is the average length of the section ( $m$ );
$\bar{y}$ is the average deepness of the section ( $m$ );
$g$ is the gravitational acceleration $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)$;
$K$ is a factor that depends on the number of points and local of injections at upstream section (Table 7).

| Number of points and local of injections | $\boldsymbol{K}(-)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 point in the center of the section | 0.500 |
| 2 points, one in the center of each half of width | 0.125 |
| 3 points, one in the center of each $\mathbf{1 / 3}$ of width | 0.055 |
| 1 point in the margin section | 2.000 |

The substance used as a tracer should be easily soluble, have zero or low concentration in the flow of the analyzed section, not be chemically reactive or physically absorbable by organic or mineral substances existing in the section, easy to detect even at reduced concentrations, be harmless to the observer and to aquatic life and must have a low cost. One of the most commonly used substances has been the common salt ( NaCl ), which can be easily detectable by calibration with the electrical conductivity of water (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

Assuming that the concentration of a tracer in the flow before the injection section is $C_{b}$, base concentration, and it is permanently injected a total flow rate $Q_{t}$ where the concentration of the tracer or solute is $C_{t}$, when in the sampling section the balance concentration is $C_{e}$, then, the flow rate at the sampling section, $Q$, is:

$$
Q=Q_{t} \frac{C_{t}-C_{e}}{C_{e}-C_{b}}
$$

## EXERCISES

5.1. On a certain inclined plane, with a slope of 0.05 , a development according to the greater slope lines of 20 m and a Strickler's coefficient of $45 \mathrm{~m}^{1 / 3} / \mathrm{s}$, occurs a precipitation with constant intensity of $40 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$. Determine the time of concentration for that precipitation and the maximum flow rate that flows by unit of width.
5.2. To measure the flow rate in a watering channel, a rectangular thin-walled spillway was installed, with $B=0.5 \mathrm{~m}, b=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$ and $p=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. Knowing that the load over the spillway crest was 0.35 m , determine the flow rate of that channel.
5.3. In a given segment of a river, with an average width of $4 m$, an average depth of 0.15 m and a Chézy's coefficient of $22 \mathrm{~m}^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{s}$, a salt ( NaCl ) dilution was used to estimate the flow rate in the segment. The salt initial or base concentration in the river was $0.1 \mathrm{~g} / L$, the salt concentration in the solution injected in the middle of the section in a continuous mode was $200 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, and the concentration measured in the sampling section was $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Knowing that the injected flow rate was $5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, estimate the flow rate in the segment and the amount of salt required to perform the measurement. Comment.

## Chapter 6 - Flow rates

## THEORETICAL SUPPORT

### 6.1 Study of Flow rates

Most of the major achievements in the field of hydraulic engineering relate to the exploitation of natural flow rates. Only for this reason, it is enough to make an idea of the importance of knowledge of flow rates

Absolute flow rate or caudal $(Q)$ is the volume of water that crosses a given section per unit of time ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ or $L / \mathrm{s}$ ).

Specific flow rate or caudal $(q)$, where $A$ is the area of the region that contributes to create an absolute flow rate in a given section of a hydrographic or drainage basin $\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{ha} ; \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{km}^{2} ; L / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$.

$$
q=\frac{Q}{A}
$$

Integral or accumulate flow rate is the volume that crosses in a given section in a given time span.

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} Q(t) \cdot d t=V
$$

### 6.2 Study of the flow rate curves

What is it measured? Height of water at a given section of the river (limnigraphs), knowing a flow rate curve (relates the height of water measured in a section of the river with the corresponding flow rates).


Figure 5 - Flow rate curve.
Gathering the readings in the limnigraphs with the flow curve, corresponding to that section of the river, it is obtained the instantaneous chronological flow rates curve.


Figure 6 - Chronological instantaneous flow rates curve.

## Average chronological flow rates curve (daily, monthly or annual)

For a given section it is defined as the average flow rate $\left(Q_{m}\right)$, at a given time span, the constant flow rate that generates the same volume of water in that same time interval:

$$
Q_{m}=\frac{\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} Q(t) \cdot d t}{t_{1}-t_{0}}
$$



Figure 7 - Average chronological flow rates curve.

The average flow rate is such that the area of the rectangle defined by it, equals the area implied by the instantaneous flow rates diagram.

## Classified flow rates curve

It is the curve that for a given period indicates the time interval in which in this period a given flow rate was exceeded or equaled. This curve is obtained from the chronological flow rates curve:


Figure 8 - Classified flow rates curve.

This curve allows to define some parameters of interest, such as the characteristic flow rates (well-defined values of the classified flow rates curve - Figure 8):

- Semi-permanent flow rate is the flow rate equaled or exceeded in 6 months of a given year $\left(q_{2}\right)$;
- Maximum characteristic flow rate is the flow rate equaled or exceed in 10 days of a given year $\left(q_{1}\right)$;
- Minimal characteristic flow rate is the flow rate that it is only not exceeded in 10 days of a given year $\left(q_{3}\right)$;
- Module of classified flow rates or modular flow rate is the flow rate that defines a rectangle with the same area and base as the classified flow rates curve $\left(q_{M}\right)$.


### 6.3 Harnessing energy (or other purposes)



Figure 9 - Hydraulic circuit.
Hydraulic circuit (example of hydroelectric energy production):

1. Water outlet;
2. Adduction works;
3. Central;
4. Restitution works;
5. Restitution nozzle.

## Types of harnessing:

- Water thread: There is a subjection to the natural flow rates, as they reach the section of the dam;
- Reservoir: it can be stored water in excess for a given time and use it later when necessary.


## Harnessing by water thread



Discharged volume - wasted volume of water, flowing in to the studied sectio, but it is not used or turbinated


Used or turbined volume of water

Loaned volume - volume that must be taken from another basin or watr line to guarantee a 100\% operability at full charge for maximum harnessing

Figure 10-Classified flow rates curve.

$$
V_{\text {used } / \text { turbined }}+V_{\text {discharged }}=V_{\text {flowed }}
$$

The operation at full load, that is, in which the used/turbined flow rate is the maximum usable/turbinable, occurs in $t_{1} \%$ of the year, period in which there is waste of water; in the remaining time, $100-t_{1} \%$ of the time, the operation is done with a flow rate below the maximum usable/turbinable, that is, there is a lack of water. If the harnessing worked at full load during the entire considered period in order to consume the same volume of water as previously, it would have to consider a constant flow rate $q_{t}$. This value is designated as a module of the usable/turbinable flow rates or average usable/turbinable flow rate and delimits a rectangle with an area equal to that defined by the classified flow rates curve, below the installed flow, also designated as the classified used/turbined flow rates curve.

To each value of the installed flow rate corresponds a module of used/turbined flow rates, which can be defined as a curve, which provides the average usable/turbinable flow rates, according to the installed flow rates, which is designated as, hydrological characteristic curve, which derives from the classified flow rates curve relative for a given section of the river in which it is installed a harnessing procedure without storage capacity with maximum usable/turbinable flow rate or installed flow rate equal to $Q_{t}^{\prime}$.


Figure 11 - Hydrological characteristic curve.
Legend:
AC - Modular flow rate;
AD - Installed flow rate;
$A B$ - Average usable/turbinable flow rate, proportional to the volume of water used/turbined;
BC - Proportional to the discharged volume, in other words, the volume of water wasted because of an installed flow rate less than $Q_{\text {max }}^{\prime}$;
BD - Proportional to a borrowed volume, that is, the volume of water that would be necessary to provide so that the harnessing at full load should always be possible.

Observation: Allows to make a study in terms of energy and costs.
It is a curve obtained from the classified flow rates curve, which is the concentration curve. Such curve results from the integration in order to time and from the end to the origin of the classified flow rates curve. This is an accumulated flow rates curve (Volumes) that always has the concavity facing upwards.


Figure 12 - Concentration curve.

In the previous graph, the marked points have the following meanings:
$\mathrm{PO}_{1}$ - Total volume of water attributed to the section;
$P M$ - Volume of water consumed for maximum turbinable flow rate $Q_{1}$;
$M O_{1}$ - Volume discharged, volume of water wasted by that installation;
$O M_{1}$ - Borrowing volume, volume of water that would need to be borrowed from another water line so that it can be used/turbined the flow rate $Q_{1}$, all the time.

## Yield or efficiency of an installation ( $\eta$ )

Despite of the method or curve used, the yield is always defined as the ratio between the used volume and the tributed volume. So, based in the concentration curve, it would be:

$$
\frac{P M}{P O_{1}}=\eta
$$

## Harnessing with reservoir

## Accumulated or integral flow rates curve

It is the integral curve of the instantaneous flow rates. It gives in a given section and at each instant the accumulated volume from the "origin of the times":

$$
V=\int_{0}^{t} Q(t) \cdot d t
$$

This curve gives an idea of the possible storage to be verified in a reservoir that controls the concerned section.


Figure 13 - Watercourse Regularization Study.

$$
C=a+b
$$

## EXERCISES

6.1. Consider a given section of a river characterized by a flow rate curve represented by the following ratio between water height, $h(m)$, and a flow rate, $Q\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right): Q=5$. $h^{2}$. Admit that, in a given hydrological year, the average height of water in the four trimesters of such year were successively 7, 4, 1 and 2 m ; represent the following curve graphically:
a) Chronological flow rates curve;
b) Integral or accumulated flow rates curve;
c) Classified flow rates curve;
d) Hydrological characteristic curve;
e) Concentration curve.
6.2. Consider the chronological flow rates curve, Figure 14:


Figure 14 - Chronologic flow rates curve.
a) Determine the value of $Q$ for an installed flow rate equal to $3 Q$ and a module of used flow rates of $22.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$;
b) Determine the yield of such installation using different procedures (classified flow rates curve, hydrological characteristic curve), and consider for a used/turbined volume a corresponding flow rate of $30.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
6.3. Consider the classified flow rates curve, Figure 15:


Figure 15-Classified flow rates curve.
a) Calculate the module. Calculate the value of the semipermanent flow rate:
b) Draw the hydrological characteristic curve;
c) What range of flow rates makes the installation more economical;
d) Trace the concentration curve;
e) Knowing that the installed flow rate is equal to the semipermanent and knowing the volume annually discharged, calculate the yield of the installation;
f) Which volume would have to be borrowed from another basin so that the harnessing works all year at full load.

## Chapter 7 - Study of floods

## THEORICAL SUPPORT

### 7.1 Flood hydrographs



Figure 16 - Flood hydrograph (flow associated to an intense precipitation in function of time).
There are different methods to determine the hydrograph corresponding to a given precipitation, which will be addressed and analyzed.

## Unitary hydrograph method

The principles used for this method only apply, exclusively, to the superficial flow fraction. The considered precipitation only represents a useful precipitation. A unitary hydrograph, to a given section of a watercourse, is the flood hydrograph produced by an effective uniform precipitation of unitary intensity and unitary precipitation time.


Figure 17- Unitary precipitation time.

The precipitation time must be equal or lower than a $1 / 3$ or $1 / 5$ of the time of concentration $t_{c}$, which occurs between the end of the rain and the restoration of the pre-existing flow rate.


Figure 18 - Unitary precipitation flow.


Figure 19 - Construction of a flood hydrograph based on the unitary hydrograph.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{1}=i_{1} \cdot y_{1} \\
q_{2}=i_{1} \cdot y_{2}+i_{2} \cdot y_{1} \\
q_{2}=i_{1} \cdot y_{3}+i_{2} \cdot y_{2}+i_{3} \cdot y_{1} \\
q_{4}=i_{1} \cdot y_{4}+i_{2} \cdot y_{3}+i_{3} \cdot y_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Conversion of a unitary hydrograph into a S hydrograph

The S hydrograph is the resulting hydrograph from a series of rainfalls, juxtaposed, each with unitary useful precipitation. Therefore, it is obtained from the sum of unitary hydrographs for the duration $D$ of the useful precipitation, each offset $D$ from the previous hydrograph (Figure 20):


Figure 20 - Construction of a S hydrograph.
The S hydrograph has a level corresponding to the equilibrium flow rate. The smallest number of unitary hydrographs that becomes necessary to sum in order to achieve the equilibrium flow rate of the S hydrograph is equal to $T / D$, being $T$ the time interval during which direct flow occurs in each unitary hydrograph.

The equilibrium flow rate of a $S$ hydrograph is the result of the contribution of the entire area of the hydrographic basin with the intensity of useful precipitation $\left(I_{u}\right)$, for which such hydrograph is defined as:

$$
Q_{e}=I_{u} \cdot A
$$

From the $S$ hydrograph, the corresponding unitary hydrograph can also be obtained.

## Giandotti's Method



Table 8 - Giandotti's method.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\hline \boldsymbol{t} & \boldsymbol{Q} \\
\hline \mathbf{0} & 0 \\
\hline \boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{a}} & Q_{\text {avg }} \\
\hline \boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{c}} & Q_{\max } \\
\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{b}} & Q_{\text {avg }} \\
\hline \boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{t}} & 0 \\
Q_{\max } & =\frac{277 \cdot \Psi \cdot \gamma \cdot P \cdot A}{\lambda \cdot t_{c}} \\
t_{c} & =\frac{4 \cdot \sqrt{A}+1.5 \cdot L}{0.8 \cdot \sqrt{h_{m}}} \\
t_{a} & =\left(1-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \cdot t_{c} \\
t_{b} & =\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\gamma}+1\right) \cdot t_{c}
\end{array}
$$

Where:
$P$ - precipitation ( $m$ );
$A$ - area of the basin $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$;
$t_{c}$ - time of concentration;
$L$ - length of the watercourse from upstream until the section of reference (km);
$h_{m}$ - average height of the basin $(m)$;
$\Psi$ - coefficient of flow (-);
$\gamma$-coefficient of peak rainfall (-):

$$
\gamma=\frac{Q_{\max }}{Q_{a v g}}
$$

$\lambda$ - coefficient of flood duration (-):

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda}=\frac{t_{c}}{t_{t}}
$$

$t_{t}$ - duration of flood.
Table 9 - Giandotti's method (coefficient).

| $\boldsymbol{A}\left(\mathbf{k m} \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | 10.0 | 4.0 | 0.50 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0 - 5 0 0}$ | 8.0 | 4.0 | 0.50 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 0 - 1 0 0 0}$ | 8.0 | 4.5 | 0.40 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 0 - 8 0 0 0}$ | 6.0 | 5.0 | 0.30 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 0 0}$ | 6.0 | 5.5 | 0.25 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 0 - 7 0 0 0 0}$ | 6.0 | 6.0 | 0.20 |

## EXERCISES

7.1. Consider the unitary hydrograph, Figure 22, corresponding to a useful precipitation of A mm.


Figure 22 - Unitary hydrograph.

For the unitary hydrograph presented, determine the flood peak flow rate for the following conditions of effective precipitation:
A) Precipitation with the same duration and twice the intensity;
b) Precipitation with the same intensity and twice the duration;
c) Precipitation with twice the intensity and lasting 4 hours;
D) Precipitation with the following temporal distribution:


Figure 23 - Temporal distribution of a precipitation.
7.2. Consider the unitary hydrograph shown in Figure 24 corresponding to an effective precipitation of A mm.

a) From the data given by the graph, design the flood hydrograph resulting from a precipitation B:


Figure 25 - Precipitation B.
b) What is the value of the peak flow rate $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ and the time of concentration ( $h$ );
c) Solve the previous two points considering the following precipitation C:


Figure 26 - Precipitation C.
7.3. Determine the unitary hydrograph corresponding to a flood hydrograph shown in Figure 27:

7.4. Design the $S$ hydrograph corresponding to the unitary hydrograph of Figure 27.
7.5. Consider the $S$ hydrograph shown in Figure 28, corresponding to a useful or effective precipitation of $A \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 28-S Hydrograph.
a) Design the corresponding unitary hydrograph for a unit of time;
b) Ascertain which flood peak corresponds to the unitary hydrograph.
7.6. Design the flood hydrograph by Giandotti's method, for a hydrographic basin with the following characteristics:

Table 10 - Characteristics of a hydrographic basin.

## Parameters

| Parameters |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Area ( $\left.\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | 362.0 |
| Length of the watercourse (km) | 33.8 |
| Average height of the basin $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | 240.0 |
| Maximum precipitation height $(\mathbf{m m})$ | 109.0 |

7.7. The application of Giandotti's method for a hydrographic basin with an area lower than $5000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, leads to a flood hydrograph which its growth stage has the following appearance shown in Figure 29. It is known that the flood volume is $21.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ and the precipitation that caused such flood has a value of 48 mm .


Figure 29 - Flood hydrograph
a) Complete the flood hydrograph;
b) Determine the area of the basin and the flow rate after 30 hours;
c) Calculate the maximum flow rate by using an empirical formula.
7.8. In a $54 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ basin, it was recorded a uniform precipitation with total intensity of $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$ and duration of 1 hour. The flood hydrograph is shown in Figure 30, knowing that it has a volume of $0.54 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. Also, the precipitation of Figure 31, leads to the presented hydrograph.


Figure 30 - Flood hydrograph.

a) Determine the flow coefficient of the basin and mention what is the valued of the maximum flow rate that can occur on it, based on a precipitation with total intensity of $20 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$ (justify your answer);
b) Calculate the values of $B$ and $C$.

## Chapter 8 - Regularization effect of a reservoir on flood peak damping

### 8.1 Effects of reservoirs on floods

The continuous rise of the level of water free surface, in a reservoir, means that the discharged flow rate (effluent) is inferior to the affluent. The difference between the two flows (affluent - effluent) equals the increase in volume stored in the reservoir. This implies that the discharged flood hydrograph may have a much lower peak than that of the affluent flood. The transformation of the flood hydrograph into a reservoir is referred to as flood damping.

## Damping interest:

- Decreases the flow rate of the discharge structures;
- Reduces the risk of downstream valley flooding;
- Facilitates defence works.


## Damping conditions:

- Requires higher dam height;
- MFL (Maximum Flood Level) > FSL (Full Storage Level).


Figure 32 - Analysis of the hydrograph.
The peak of the discharged hydrograph is located at its intersection with the affluent hydrograph on its descending section.

Areas between the two hydrographs equal the volume stored between the FSL and the MFL. MEL stands for Minimum Exploration Level.

### 8.2 Procedure

When precipitation occurs over a given hydrographic basin, the precipitated water flows naturally to the lowest points of the terrain, finally reaching the streams or rivers that lead them to their final destination. Naturally these precipitations cause variations in flow over time in a given section of the river.

The hydrograph of a flood wave is exactly the representation of the flow variation in a given section of the river, thus representing the effects of the hydrographic basin upstream of this section on the temporal distribution of rainfall.

A flood wave, when passing through a reservoir suffers a damping effect, that is, the volume of the reservoir when retaining part of the affluent flow rate causes the output flow rate to be smaller than the input flow rate, also being lagged in relation to the flow rate input.

For the calculus of the damping of a flood wave in reservoirs, the equation of continuity is used:

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} Q_{A} d t-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} Q_{E} d t=V_{2}-V_{1}
$$

The continuity equation basically expresses that the volume of water stored in a reservoir in a given time span is equal to the total amount of water that arrives at such reservoir $\left(Q_{A}\right)$ minus the total amount of water leaving the same reservoir $\left(Q_{E}\right)$, in that given time span.

In a simplified way, the phenomenon can be described by the following equation:

$$
Q_{A}-Q_{E}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}
$$

$Q_{A}$ represents the known hydrograph of affluent flow rates to the reservoir, $Q_{E}$ the hydrograph of effluent flow rates from the same reservoir and $\partial V / \partial t$ represent the variation of the volume stored in such reservoir due to the variation of its water level.

The reservoirs are generally deep and not extensive structures, being water velocity consequently low. Thus, without introducing major errors, the water surface can be considered horizontal. Thus, the volume stored in the reservoir is directly proportional to the water level, which facilitates the resolution of the equation.

### 8.3 Input data

For the calculation of the damping of a flood wave by a reservoir, data of flood hydrograph, reservoir, and upstream and downstream constraints are required in the case of dimensioning the width of a spillway.

The flood or affluent hydrograph to the reservoir may be the one defined by a flood study in the hydrographic basin (unitary hydrograph, rain-flow rate transformation model, etc.), in the case of spillway design. In the application of operating a reservoir, the hydrograph may be a hydrograph already observed (in post-operation analyses) or a predicted hydrograph, in the case of real-time operation.

Data concerning the reservoir are summarized to the knowledge of the height-volume curve of such reservoir and the discharge equations of the overflow structures of the dam.

The height-volume curve consists of the ratio between the water level of the reservoir and the corresponding volume. The capacity of a reservoir built on natural terrain is calculated through a topographic survey, using the same procedures used in the calculation of earthworks volumes. It is mapped by planimetry of the areas between the topographically surveyed level curves, the area-height curve of the reservoir. And by integrating the area-height curve, the volume-height curve of the reservoir is obtained.

Another curve needed to solve the equation is the spillway curve. The spillway is the overflow structure of the reservoir, that is, the structure through which the flow rate exits the reservoir. The spillway curve indicates the effluent flow for different water line heights above the spillway crest.

The following equations present the general forms of the discharge equations for various types of overflow structures:

- Free sill spillway:

$$
Q=C L H_{1}^{3 / 2}
$$

- Spillway with floodgate:

$$
Q=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2 g} c L\left(H_{1}^{3 / 2}-H_{2}^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

- Tulip spillway:

$$
Q=C_{0}\left(2 \pi R_{S}\right) H^{3 / 2}
$$

- Orifice:

$$
Q=C W D \sqrt{2 g H}
$$

Where:
$Q$ is the discharge flow rate;
$C$ is the discharge coefficient;
$L$ is the widdth of the spillway crest;
$H_{1}$ is the total charge at the cerst of the spillway;
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the total charge at the top of the oppening;
$C_{0}$ is a coefficient that relates $H_{1}$ and $R_{S}$;
$R_{S}$ is the opening radius of the spillway;
$D$ is the opening height;
$W$ is the nozzle width.

The external restrictions to the dam area are understood as the maximum flow rate capacity of the channel immediately at downstream of the dam and the maximum water level upstream of the spillway, limited by the height of the dam or the desired maximum floodable area.

### 8.4 Calculus

The problem of wave damping in the reservoir is solved using basically the continuity equation. The equation can be solved by numerical processes or graphs, as shown below.

## Graphical methods

With the current easiness to perform calculations, the graphic processes of solution of the continuity equation fell practically in disuse. Only as a reference to its generic form the Puls's method is presented below.

The continuity equation can be written as follows:

$$
\frac{Q_{A 1}+Q_{A 2}}{2} \cdot \Delta t+V_{1}-\frac{Q_{E 1}}{2} \cdot \Delta t=V_{2}+\frac{Q_{E 2}}{2} \cdot \Delta t
$$

Having:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\left(Q_{A 1}+Q_{A 2}\right) / 2\right] \cdot \Delta t \\
F_{1}=V_{1}-\left(Q_{E 1} / 2\right) \cdot \Delta t \\
F_{2}=V_{2}+\left(Q_{E 2} / 2\right) \cdot \Delta t
\end{gathered}
$$

Two curves are built, at first $\left(F_{1} \times Q_{E}\right)$, and secondly $\left(F_{2} \times Q_{E}\right)$ and by a given value of $Q_{E 1}$, introduced in the first curve and then $F_{1}$ is obtained. By introducing the obtained value in the upper equation (once $A$ is also known), the value of $F_{2}$ is obtained. And introducing the value obtained in the second curve, value of $Q_{E 2}$ is obtained.

## Iterative method

It is the most indicated method for its simplicity and speed of convergence.
And as previously mentioned, the height-volume and spillway curves are used in the solution of such problem. In the possession of these two curves and the input hydrograph of the reservoir, the resolution of the continuity equation can be easily obtained.

By reordering the terms of the equation $Q_{A}-Q_{E}=\partial V / \partial t$, it is obtained, that:

$$
\frac{Q_{A 1}}{2}+\frac{Q_{A 2}}{2}+\frac{V_{1}}{\Delta t}-\frac{Q_{E 1}}{2}-\frac{Q_{E 2}}{2}=\frac{V_{2}}{\Delta t}
$$

Or,

$$
Q_{A 1}+Q_{A 2}+\frac{V_{1}}{\Delta t / 2}-Q_{E 1}-Q_{E 2}=\frac{V_{2}}{\Delta t / 2}
$$

To simplify the resolution of the above equation, it is suggested to assemble a table where each of the terms of the equation is recorded in a column. So:

- First column: time interval $(\Delta t)$
- In this column, it is noted the initial and final instants of the time interval considered. It should be remembered that this time interval should be sufficiently small so that the flow variation can be considered linear.
- Second column: initial affluent flow $\left(Q_{A 1}\right)$
- In this column is noted the flow rate corresponding to the beginning of the interval. This value is obtained by reading the affluent hydrograph in the horizontal axis corresponding to the initial instant of the interval considered.
- Third column: final affluent flow $\left(Q_{A 2}\right)$
- In this column is noted the flow rate corresponding to the end of the interval. This value is obtained by reading the affluent hydrograph in the horizontal axis corresponding to the final instant of the interval considered. The $Q_{A 2}$ flow rate of an interval corresponds to the $Q_{A 1}$ flow of the subsequent interval.
- Fourth Column: volume stored in the reservoir at the beginning of the time interval divided by half of such time interval $\left[V_{1} /(\Delta t / 2)\right]$
- In this column is noted the value of $\left[V_{1} /(\Delta t / 2)\right]$, calculated by the reservoir's height-volume curve.
- Fifth Column: initial effluent flow $\left(Q_{E 1}\right)$
- In the first interval, it is adopted $Q_{E 1}$ corresponding to the initial water level, through the equation of the spillway. If the water level is less than or equal to the position of the crest of the spillway, then, it is imposed $Q_{E 1}=0$. For the remaining intervals, the value of $Q_{E 1}$ is always equal to the $Q_{E 2}$ value of the previous interval, that is, the output flow from the initial instant of an interval equals to the output flow of the final instant of the previous interval.
- Sixth Column: estimated height of the effluent water level at the end of the time interval ( $Y_{E S T 2}$ )
- Corresponds to the estimated height of the effluent water line at the end of a calculation interval. The initial estimate corresponds to the same
level as the beginning of the interval. For the other estimates, it corresponds to the value of the previous $Y_{C A L C 2}$
- Seventh column: final effluent flow $\left(Q_{E 2}\right)$
- Calculated using the spillway equation, with the water level obtained from the sixth column.
- Eighth Column: volume stored in the reservoir at the end of the time interval divided by half of such time interval [ $\left.V_{2} /(\Delta t / 2)\right]$
- This value is calculated by solving the continuity equation rearranged to the iterative method. In terms of the columns described: [8] = [2] + [3] + [4] - [5] - [7]
- Ninth Column: calculated height of effluent water level ( $Y_{C A L C 2}$ )
- Corresponds to the water level value calculated by the height-volume curve through the volume stored in the reservoir at the end of the time interval.

The calculation must be repeated until the value of $Y_{C A L C 2}$ minus the value of $Y_{E S T 2}$, become, in module, less than or equal to the desired precision. If the condition is not found, it is adopted as a new value of $Y_{E S T 2}$, the value obtained from $Y_{C A L C 2}$, repeating the calculations of columns six to nine until the condition is observed. In a generic way, two to three iterations ensure the convergence of the process within a reasonable precision.

### 8.5 Graphical properties

Analyzing the following figure, that shows the input and output hydrographs, overlapped, then:


Figure 33-Flood damping.

## First property

Since the integral of the input hydrograph corresponds to a volume that enters into the reservoir and the integral of the output hydrograph corresponds to the volume coming out of it, the A1 area, corresponds to the volume stored in the reservoir in the considered time span.

## Second property

Considering the water level as a function of time, the water level velocity is its first derivative. Between zero and $Q_{E, \max }$, such velocity is always positive (rising water level). Between zero and $Q_{A, \max }$, the difference between $Q_{A}$ and $Q_{0}$ is increasing, so the rising velocity increases. Between $Q_{E, \max }$ and $Q_{A, \max }$, the velocity remains positive, but decreases to zero (when $Q_{A}$ is equal to $Q_{E}$ ). Therefore, at instant $Q_{A, \max }$, the velocity variation in time changes signal, which characterizes an inflection point (P1) in the output hydrograph.

## Third property

The P2 point, which is the point where the two hydrographs intersect, corresponds to the maximum output flow rate, because the discharge curve is increasing with the water level (volume) and at this point the stored volume is maximum.

## EXERCISES

8.1. Study the damping of the peak flood shown in Figure 34, knowing that:

- The flow rate curve of the flood spillway is:

$$
Q=12.5 \cdot H^{\frac{3}{2}} \text {, where } H=Y-100
$$

- The storage volumes in such reservoir are:

Table 11 - Stored volumes.

| Height $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | Volume $\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} \times \mathbf{1 0}^{\mathbf{6}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | 1.060 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 5 0}$ | 1.430 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 0 0}$ | 1.820 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 5 0}$ | 2.240 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 0 0}$ | 2.650 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 5 0}$ | 3.060 |

- The spillway crest is at the same level as the full storage level (FSL) - 100.00.


Figure 34 - Flood damping.

## Chapter 9 - Evaporation and Evapotranspiration

## EXERCISES

9.1. Presented the values of the average monthly temperature ( $T$ ) and the average daily insolation $(n)$ in a given region at latitude $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

Table 12 - Average monthly temperature ( $T$ ) and average daily insolation (n).

| Month | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ | 5.1 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 18.6 | 21.7 | 21.0 | 18.0 | 13.6 | 8.0 | 5.1 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{h})$ | 3.9 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 3.2 |

Estimate by Thornthwaite's and Turc's method, the monthly and annual potential evapotranspiration in such region. Consider the Angstrom's coefficients as $a=0.23$ and $b=0.53$, and ignore the effect of relative moisture in Turc's formula.

## Chapter 10 - Water in Soils

## EXERCISES

10.1. At the beginning of a rainfall, the infiltration capacity is $38 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$, and after 6 hours, such capacity became $8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$, where Horton's recession constant is $1.11 h^{-1}$. Calculate the infiltration capacity after 3 hours from the beginning of such rainfall.
10.2. A sample of a soil occupies a cylinder, 5 cm in diameter and 10 cm high. Knowing that the wet mass and dry mass are respectively, 331.8 g and 302.4 g , and that the solids volumetric mass is $2650 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, determine the volumetric amount of moisture sample and the degree of saturation.
10.3. In a container with an orifice at the bottom there is $5 L$ of a soil with a volumetric amount of moisture of 0.15 . Knowing that the field capacity of such soil corresponds to a volumetric amount of moisture of 0.28 , calculate the amount of water that will be discharged by the orifice when is added $1 L$ of water in the container.
10.4. In a 1 ha plot, an agriculture crop is installed with a radial depth of 0.5 m . Knowing that the soil has a field capacity of 0.45 and that, the minimum volumetric amount of moisture usable for production is 0.24 , estimate the volume of irrigation required in order to go from such minimum to the field capacity. Knowing that the average evapotranspiration is $3 \mathrm{~mm} / d$, estimate also the time interval between two successive irrigations.

## Chapter 11 - Groundwater

## EXERCISES

11.1. Consider a subsoil with 5 layers:

- Layer 1: medium sand, $K=5 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, thickness 10 m ;
- Layer 2: clay, $K=0.01 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, thickness 5 m ;
- Layer 3: coarse sand, $K=20 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, thickness 15 m ;
- Layer 4: clay, $K=0.005 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, thickness 10 m ;
- Layer 5: thin sand, $K=1 \mathrm{~m} /$ day, thickness 30 m ;

The subsoil is 1 km long (horizontal gradient, $i_{\text {hor }}=0.001$ ). The total charge loss in the vertical direction is 1 m . Calculate for each layer:
a) charge loss $\Delta h$;
b) horizontal hydraulic resistance;
c) vertical hydraulic resistance;
d) transmissivity;
e) specific flow rate (horizontal);
f) equivalent permeability (horizontal).
11.2. A confined aquifer is being pumped from a hole at a constant flow rate of $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, verifying lowering of 10 m and 7 m in two observation wells, located at distances of 10 m and 30 m from the pumping hole. Knowing that the radius of the hole is 0.15 m and that the thickness of the aquifer is 40 m , determine the permeability of the aquifer, the theoretical lowering in the well, the distance from which lowering is less than 2 m and the radius of influence of such well.
11.3. The groundwater level of a coastal aquifer is 10 m above sea level, in a hole at a distance of 500 m from the coast. As the aquifer consists of coarse sand, estimate the flow rate per kilometer of coastline that flows into the sea.

## SOLUTIONS

## Chapter 1 -Hydrologic cycle

### 1.1. Answer:

The average annual renewal volume of aquifers is calculated as follows:

$$
V_{\text {aqus }}=\frac{30 \% \times V_{T}}{t_{\text {residence }}}=\frac{0.3 \times 35 \times 10^{6}}{1400}=7500 \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \text { year }
$$

And for rivers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\text {residence }}=x \\
& 1 \text { year - } 365 \text { days } \\
& x \text { year - } 16 \text { days } \\
& t_{\text {residence }}=16 / 365 \text { year } \\
& V_{\text {rivers }}=\frac{0.006 \% \times V_{T}}{t_{\text {residence }}}=\frac{0.00006 \times 35 \times 10^{6}}{16 / 365}=47906.25 \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \text { year }
\end{aligned}
$$

In comparison to rivers that have a renewal volume of $V_{\text {rivers }} / V_{\text {aqus }} \approx 6$ times superior than aquifers, being possible to use them permanently.

### 1.2. Answer:

The average annual flow of the Amazon river can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{\text {Amaz }}=12 \% \times Q_{\text {cont }}=0.12 \times\left(\frac{h_{\text {water }} \times A}{365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60}\right)=0.12 \times\left(\frac{316 \times 10^{-3} \times 150 \times 10^{6}}{365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60}\right) \\
Q_{\text {Amaz }} \cong 180365.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\left(\text { In comparison: } Q_{\text {Tejo }}=350 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

### 1.3. Answer:

Daily volume of water supply:

$$
V=200 \times 1 \times 10^{7}=200 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{day}=2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}
$$

In terms of water height:

$$
V=\frac{2 \times 10^{6}}{89000 \times 10^{6}}=\frac{2}{89000} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{d}
$$

In mm/year:

$$
V=\frac{2}{89000} \times 10^{3} \times 365=8.20 \mathrm{~mm} / \text { year }
$$

## Chapter 2 - Hydrographic basins

### 2.1. Answer:

Expression for the calculus of average height, $h_{\text {avg }}$ :

$$
h_{a v g}=Z_{a v g}-Z_{\min } ; Z_{a v g}=\frac{1}{A_{t}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{i}+Z_{i+1}\right) \cdot A_{i}^{\prime}
$$

Table 13- Organized data for the calculus of average height.

| Area above the altitude, $A_{i}$ ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Altitude, $Z_{i}(m)$ | Altitude interval (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { altitude, }\left(Z_{i}+\right. \\ \left.Z_{i+1}\right) / 2(m) \end{gathered}$ | Area between altitudes, $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Average altitude *Area between altitudes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 306 | 300;306 | 303 | 0.57 | 172.71 |
| 0.57 | 300 | 280;300 | 290 | 1.50 | 435.00 |
| 2.07 | 280 | 260;280 | 270 | 7.25 | 1957.50 |
| 9.32 | 260 | 240;260 | 250 | 7.49 | 1872.50 |
| 16.81 | 240 | 220;240 | 230 | 6.03 | 1386.90 |
| 22.84 | 220 | 204;220 | 212 | 0.21 | 44.52 |
| 23.05 | 204 | Sum |  | 23.05 | 5869.13 |

Procedure to fill Table 13:

- Sort altitudes in descending order;
- Organize altitudes in intervals;
- Calculate the average altitude for each interval: $\left(Z_{i}+Z_{i+1}\right) / 2$;
- Calculate the area between altitudes: $A_{i+1}-A_{i}$;
- Product of the average altitude and the area between altitudes.

Then:

$$
Z_{\text {avg }}=\frac{5869.13}{23.05} \approx 254.6 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow h_{\text {avg }}=254.6-204=50.6 \mathrm{~m}
$$

### 2.2. Answer:

Average extension of a superficial flow:

$$
\bar{P}_{S}=\frac{1}{4 D_{r}} ; D_{r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{A}
$$

Then:

$$
\bar{P}_{S}=\frac{1}{4 D_{r}}=\frac{1}{4 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{A}}=\frac{1 \times A}{4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}=\frac{102}{4 \times 300} \cong 0.085 \mathrm{~km}
$$

### 2.3. Answer:

Bifurcation ratio:

$$
R_{b}=\frac{N_{u}}{N_{u+1}}
$$

Average bifurcation ratio:

$$
\bar{R}_{b}=\sqrt[n-1]{\prod_{u=1}^{n-1} \frac{N_{u}}{N_{u+1}}}=\sqrt[n-1]{N_{1}}
$$

Table 14 - Calculus of the bifurcation ratio.

| Order, $\boldsymbol{u}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{u}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 139 | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 46 | 4.2 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 11 | 3.7 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 3 | 3.0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | - |

$$
\bar{R}_{b}=\sqrt[5-1]{3.0 \times 4.2 \times 3.7 \times 3.0}=\sqrt[5-1]{139} \cong 3.4
$$

### 2.4. Answer:

Expression for the calculus of average slope:

$$
i_{a v g}=\frac{Z_{\max }-Z_{\min }}{L}
$$

Expression for the calculus of the equivalent slope:

$$
i_{e q}=\frac{Z_{e q}-Z_{\min }}{L} ; Z_{e q}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(Z_{i}+Z_{i+1}\right) \cdot X_{i+1}^{\prime}-Z_{\min }
$$

Table 15 - Organized data for the calculus of the equivalent slope.

| Distance to <br> section, $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mathbf{k m})$ | Altitude, $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{m})$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}+\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i + 1}}, \mathbf{( \boldsymbol { m } )}$ | Distance between <br> altitudes, $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i + 1}}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{k m})$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i}}+\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i + 1}}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i + 1}}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 205 | 335 | 3 | 1005 |
| 4 | 130 | 240 | 2 | 480 |
| 2 | 110 | 213 | 2 | 426 |
| 0 | 103 | Sum | $\mathbf{7}$ | 1911 |

Procedure to fill Table 15:

- Sort altitudes in descending order;
- Calculate the summation of altitudes: $Z_{i}+Z_{i+1}$;
- Calculate the distance between altitudes: $X_{i}-X_{i+1}$;
- Product of the summation of altitudes and the distance between altitudes.

Then:

$$
i_{a v g}=\frac{205-103}{7 \times 10^{3}} \cong 0.015=1.5 \%
$$

And:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{e q}=\frac{1}{7} \times 1911-103=170 m \rightarrow i_{e q}=\frac{170-103}{7 \times 10^{3}} \cong 0.010=1.0 \% \\
& 220 \\
& 200 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 35 - Graphical representation of the longitudinal profile and the respective calculated slopes.

## Chapter 3 - Hydrologic balance of a basin

### 3.1. Answer:

General expression of hydrologic balance:

$$
P=H+E+\Delta S_{P}+\Delta S+\Delta S_{U}+E_{X}-R
$$

Where:
$P$ - Precipitation on the basin;
$H$ - Flow at the downstream section of the basin;
$E$ - Evapotranspiration of the basin;
$\Delta S_{P}$ - Variation of the amount of intersection water and storage in riverbeds;
$\Delta S$ - Variation of the amount of moisture in soil (water content in the un-saturated area);
$\Delta S_{U}$ - Variation of the amount of water in underground reservoirs;
$E_{X}$ - Amount of water extracted from the basin by human action;
$R$ - Amount of water inserted in the basin by human action.
Taking into account the given data, the expression becomes:

$$
P=H+E-R
$$

Then, to estimate the real evapotranspiration in the basin:

$$
E=P-H+R=1000-1300+\frac{8 \times 10^{15} \times 12}{100 \times 10^{12}}=660 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

### 3.2. Answer:

General expression of hydrologic balance:

$$
P=H+E+\Delta S_{P}+\Delta S+\Delta S_{U}+E_{X}-R
$$

Taking into account the given data, such expression becomes:

$$
P=H+E+E_{X}
$$

Then, the maximum amount of water available to extract by human action will be the same as the amount that results from a null flow at the downstream section of the basin:

$$
H=0 \rightarrow P=E+E_{X} \rightarrow E_{X}=P-E=1000-700=300 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

The maximum transferable flow rate is:

$$
Q_{E_{X}}=\frac{\left[\left(300 \times 10^{-3}\right) \times\left(100 \times 10^{6}\right)\right]}{365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60} \cong 0.951 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

### 3.3. Answer:

General expression for hydrologic balance:

$$
P=H+E+\Delta S_{P}+\Delta S+\Delta S_{U}+E_{X}-R
$$

Knowing that the difference between precipitation and flow, the flow deficit, equals to the loss of water by evapotranspiration:

$$
D=E=P-H
$$

Then:

$$
H=P-D=1500-850=650 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

The annual average flow rate at the reference section is:

$$
Q_{H}=\frac{\left[\left(650 \times 10^{-3}\right) \times\left(40 \times 10^{6}\right)\right]}{365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60} \cong 0.824 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

## Chapter 4 - Precipitation

### 4.1. Answer:

Knowing that the vertical scale corresponds to 10 mm of precipitation, then, after 3 complete cycles of loading/unloading (ascending/descending) reaching its maximum, 10 mm , plus 1 cycle of load up to the mark of 6 mm (approximately) results in a daily precipitation estimate of:

$$
P=3 \times 10+6=36 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

### 4.2. Answer:

Average precipitation intensity:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} \\
I_{30}=\frac{41-0}{30-0} \times 60=82 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h} \\
I_{40}=\frac{45-15}{40-10} \times 60=60 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h} \\
I_{50}=\frac{47-35}{50-20} \times 60=24 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h} \\
I_{60}=\frac{47-41}{60-30} \times 60=12 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 16 - Calculus of the average precipitation intensity in intervals of 30 minutes.

| $\boldsymbol{t}(\mathbf{m i n})$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbf{m m})$ | 0 | 15 | 35 | 41 | 45 | 47 | 47 |
| $\boldsymbol{I}(\mathbf{m m} / \boldsymbol{h})$ | - | - | - | 82 | 60 | 24 | 12 |

The maximum average precipitation intensity in half hour is $I_{30}=82 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$.

### 4.3. Answer:

Thiessen's method:

$$
\bar{P}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i} \cdot A_{i}}{A_{b}}=\frac{(12 \times 10)+(18 \times 20)+(23 \times 30)}{10+20+30}=19.5 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

## Chapter 5 - Superficial Flow

### 5.1. Answer:

The time of concentration is expressed as:

$$
t_{c}=\left(\frac{L}{\alpha p^{m-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}
$$

When it's considered Manning-Strickler's formula:

$$
\alpha=K_{S} S_{f}^{\frac{1}{2}}=45 \times 0.05^{1 / 2} \wedge m=\frac{5}{3}
$$

Then:

$$
t_{c}=\left(\frac{20}{45 \times 0.05^{1 / 2} \times\left(40 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{5 / 3-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{5 / 3}} \approx 5.472 \text { hours }
$$

And:

$$
q_{\max }=p L=\left(40 \times 10^{-3}\right) \times 20=0.8 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}
$$

### 5.2. Answer:

For a rectangular thin-plate weir, the flow rate becomes:

$$
Q=C \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2 g}\left(b+k_{b}\right)\left(h+k_{h}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

The coefficient $C$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
C=a\left(\frac{b}{B}\right)+a^{\prime}\left(\frac{b}{B}\right) \frac{h}{p}
$$

Using tables:

$$
\frac{b}{B}=\frac{0.3}{0.5}=0.6\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=0.593 \\
a^{\prime}=0.018
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, then:

$$
C=0.593 \times 0.6+0.018 \times 0.6 \times \frac{0.35}{0.5}=0.36336
$$

The coefficients $k_{b}$ and $k_{h}$ take the values of 3.6 (using tables) and 0.001 m , respectively.

Then:

$$
Q=0.36336 \times \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2 \times 10} \times(0.3+3.6)\left(0.35+k_{h}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cong 0.068 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

Note: Alternatively, the values of $a, a^{\prime}$ and $k_{b}$ could be calculated.

### 5.3. Answer:

$$
Q=Q_{t} \frac{C_{t}-C_{e}}{C_{e}-C_{b}}=5 \times \frac{200-2}{2-0.1} \cong 521 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}=0.521 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

In order to estimate the necessary quantity of salt for such procedure, it is necessary to know the distance $L_{0}$, velocity and the time interval for such route $L_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0}=K \frac{C \bar{B}^{2}}{\sqrt{g} \bar{y}}=0.5 \times \frac{22 \times 4^{2}}{\sqrt{10} \times 0.15} \approx 371 \mathrm{~m} \\
v=\frac{0.521}{4 \times 0.15} \approx 0.868 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
\Delta t=\frac{371}{0.868} \approx 427 \mathrm{~s}
\end{gathered}
$$

The amount of salt injected per second is $200 \times 5=1000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{s}=1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$. Thus:

$$
m_{\text {salt }}=427 \times 1=427 \mathrm{~kg}
$$

## Chapter 6 - Flow rates

### 6.1. Answer:

## SUPPORT CALCULATIONS

Table 17 - Chronological flow rates curve.

| Trimester | $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{m})$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 7 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{7}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{2 4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{4}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 2 | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{2 0}$ |

Table 18-Accumulated or integral flow rates curve.

| Trimester | $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{a c m}}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $Q_{1}=245$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{2 4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $Q_{2}=80$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3 2 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $Q_{3}=5$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{3 3 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $Q_{4}=20$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{1}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{2}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{3}}+\boldsymbol{Q}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{3 5 0}$ |

Table 19-Classified flow rates curve.

| Trimester | $Q_{\boldsymbol{c c}}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{3} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 245 |
| 2 | 80 |
| 3 | 20 |
| 4 | 5 |

Table 20 - Hydrologic characteristic curve.

| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{3} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}=\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \times ( \mathbf { 4 } - \mathbf { 0 } ) \times ( \mathbf { 5 } - \mathbf { 0 } ) = \mathbf { 5 }}$ |
| 2 | 20 | $q_{2}=\mathbf{5}+\mathbf{0 . 2 5} \times(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{1}) \times(\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{5})=\mathbf{1 6 . 2 5}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{1 6 . 2 5 + 0 . 2 5} \times(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{2}) \times(\mathbf{8 0}-\mathbf{2 0})=\mathbf{4 6 . 2 5}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 4 5}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{4}}=\mathbf{4 6 . 2 5}+\mathbf{0 . 2 5} \times(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{3}) \times(\mathbf{2 4 5}-\mathbf{8 0})=\mathbf{8 7 . 5}$ |

Table 21 - Concentration curve.

| $Q\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{c c}(\%)$ | $V\left(m^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $V_{0}=0$ |
| 5 | 25 | $V_{1}=0+5 \times 0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=3.942 \times 10^{7}$ |
| 20 | 50 | $V_{2}=3.942 \times 10^{7}+20 \times 0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=1.971 \times 10^{8}$ |
| 80 | 75 | $V_{3}=1.971 \times 10^{8}+80 \times 0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=8.2782 \times 10^{8}$ |
| 245 | 100 | $V_{4}=8.2782 \times 10^{8}+245 \times 0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=2.7594 \times 10^{9}$ |

## GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION

a)

Curve that expresses the flow rate variation in a given section over time:


Figure 36 - Chronological flow rates curve.
b)


Figure 37 - Accumulated or integral flow rates curve.
c)

The used flow rates can be arranged in ascending or descending order:


Figure 38 - Classified flow rates curve.
d)


Figure 39 - Hydrologic characteristic curve.
e)

It results from integration in relation to time:


Figure 40 - Concentration curve.

### 6.2. Answer:

a)

After the construction of the classified flow rates curve:
Table 22 - Construction of the classified flow rates curve.

| Trimester | $Q_{c c}\left(m^{3} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| 2 | $3 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| 3 | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| 4 | $Q$ |

It is calculated value of $Q$, based in the construction of the hydrologic characteristic curve:

Table 23-Calculus of $Q$.

| i | $Q\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{q}\left(m^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $Q_{\text {installed }}=0 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{q}_{0}=0$ |
| 1 | $Q$ | $Q_{\text {installed }}=Q \rightarrow q_{1}=0+0.25 \times(4-0) \times(Q-0)=Q$ |
| 2 | 2Q | $Q_{\text {installed }}=2 Q \rightarrow q_{2}=Q+0.25 \times(4-3) \times(2 Q-Q)=1.75 Q$ |
| 3 | 3Q | $Q_{\text {installed }}=3 Q \rightarrow q_{3}=1.75 Q+0.25 \times(4-2) \times(3 Q-2 Q)=2.25 Q$ |
| 4 | $4 Q$ | $Q_{\text {installed }}=4 Q \rightarrow q_{4}=2.25 Q+0.25 \times(4-3) \times(4 Q-3 Q)=2.5 Q$ |

So, for $Q_{\text {installed }}=3 Q$ :

$$
q_{3}=2.25 Q=22.5 \rightarrow Q=10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$



Figure 41 - Hydrologic characteristic curve.
b)

$$
\text { Efficiency or yield } \rightarrow \eta=\frac{\text { Used volume }(\text { or turbined volume })}{\text { Affluent volume }(\text { or installed volume })} \times 100
$$

Calculus of the used/turbined volume corresponding to a flow rate of $30.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Used volume }=0.25 \times t \times \sum_{i=1}^{n=3} Q_{i}=0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60) \times(10+20+30) \\
\text { Used volume }=473040000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}=4.7304 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Calculus of the affluent volume:

$$
\text { Affluent volume }=0.25 \times t \times \sum_{i=1}^{n=4} Q_{i}
$$

Affluent volume $=0.25 \times(365 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60) \times(10+20+30+40)$

$$
\text { Affluent volume }=788400000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}=7.884 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

Then:

$$
\text { Efficiency or yield } \rightarrow \eta=\frac{4.7304 \times 10^{8}}{7.884 \times 10^{8}} \times 100=60 \%
$$

### 6.3. Answer:

a)

Module - (Module of the classified flow rates) - flow rate that defines a rectangle with the same area of the diagram of classified flow rates:


Figure 42 - Area of the diagram of classified flow rates.

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{M}=|Q|=1 \times 100+0.1 \times(200-100)+\frac{(0.9-0.1) \times(200-100)}{2}+\frac{0.1 \times 500-200}{2} \\
q_{M}=|Q|=165 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Semi-permanent flow rate - flow rate equaled or exceeded at 50\% of the time:

$$
q_{2}=\frac{100-200}{0.9-0.1} \times 0.4+200=150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

b)

Table 24 - Calculus of $q$.

| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{q}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \boldsymbol{s}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{1}=\mathbf{1} \times \mathbf{1 0 0}=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1 0 0}+\mathbf{0 . 1} \times(\mathbf{2 0 0}-\mathbf{1 0 0})+(\mathbf{2 0 0}-\mathbf{1 0 0}) \times(\mathbf{0 . 9}-\mathbf{0 . 1}) / \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{3}=\mathbf{1 5 0}+\{[\mathbf{0 . 1}+(\mathbf{0 . 1} \times \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3})] \times(\mathbf{3 0 0}-\mathbf{2 0 0})\} / \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{1 5 8 . 3}(\mathbf{3})$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{4}=\mathbf{1 5 8 . 3}(\mathbf{3})+\{[(\mathbf{0 . 1} \times \mathbf{1} / \mathbf{3})+(\mathbf{0 . 1} \times \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{3})] \times(\mathbf{4 0 0}-\mathbf{3 0 0})\} / \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{1 6 3 . 3}(\mathbf{3})$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{4}=\mathbf{1 6 3 . 3}(\mathbf{3})+[(\mathbf{0 . 1} \times \mathbf{1} / \mathbf{3}) \times(\mathbf{5 0 0}-\mathbf{4 0 0})] / \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{1 6 5}$ |
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Figure 43 - Curve $q(Q)$.
c)


Figure 44 - Economical evaluation.
The hydrological characteristic curve relates the average used flow rates used the maximum turbinable. The closer the curve approaches the line at $45^{\circ}(q=Q)$, the more economical the installation will be, because lower will be the difference between the
average usable flow rates and the maximum turbinable. This curve allows to evaluate the economy of a facility.

The range of flow rates that makes the installation most economical is $[0 ; 100] \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
d)

Concentration curve - integrated curve in relation to time and to the extension between end and beginning of the classified flow rates curve:

Table 25-Calculus of $V$.

| $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{c}}(\%)$ | $V\left(m^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $V_{0}=0$ |
| 10 | $V_{1}=0+100 \times 0.1 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=3.1536 \times 10^{8}$ |
| 20 | $V_{2}=[(100 \times 0.2)+(1 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.1) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=6.5043 \times 10^{8}$ |
| 30 | $V_{3}=[(100 \times 0.3)+(2 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.2) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=1.02492 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 40 | $V_{4}=[(100 \times 0.4)+(3 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.3) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=1.43883 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 50 | $V_{5}=[(100 \times 0.5)+(4 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.4) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=1.89216 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 60 | $V_{6}=[(100 \times 0.6)+(5 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.5) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=2.38491 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 70 | $V_{7}=[(100 \times 0.7)+(6 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.6) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=2.91708 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 80 | $V_{8}=[(100 \times 0.8)+(7 / 8 \times 100 \times 0.7) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=3.48867 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 90 | $V_{9}=[(100 \times 0.9)+(100 \times 0.8) / 2] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=4.09968 \times 10^{9}$ |
| 100 | $V_{10}=\|Q\| \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=165 \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=5.20344 \times 10^{9}$ |



Figure 45 - Concentration curve.
e)

The yield or efficiency of a facility is given by:

$$
\eta=\frac{\text { Used volume }}{\text { Affluent volume }} \times 100=\frac{\text { Affluent volume }- \text { Discharged volume }}{\text { Affluent volume }} \times 100
$$

Knowing that the installed flow rate is equal to the semi-permanent flow rate, it is possible to trace a tangent line to the concentration curve at the point ( $t c c_{50 \%}, V_{50 \%}$ ), which express the real consumed volume by the facility:


Figure 46-Concentration curve and real consumed volume by the facility.
The yield calculated based in the such information at the upper graph, results in:

$$
\eta=\frac{\overline{P M}}{\overline{P O 1}} \times 100=\frac{\overline{P O 1}-\overline{M 01}}{\overline{P O 1}} \times 100
$$

Where $\overline{M 01}=\overline{P 01}-\overline{P M}$ is the difference between the total affluent volume $(\overline{P 01})$ at the section of the watercourse and the real consumed volume by the facility ( $\overline{P M}$ ). Corresponds to a discharged volume of such facility.

Total affluent volume:

$$
\overline{P O 1}=5.20344 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

The discharged volume $(\overline{M 01})$ results from the area of the classified flow rates curve that is above of the installed flow rate of $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (or Zone 1), as in the following figure:


Figure 47-Classified flow rates curve and installed flow rate.
Then, the discharged volume:

$$
\overline{M 01}=\left[\left(\frac{0.4 \times 50}{2}\right)+0.1 \times 50+\left(\frac{0.1 \times 300}{2}\right)\right] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=9.46 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

Finally, the yield of the facility is:

$$
\eta=\frac{5.20344 \times 10^{9}-9.46 \times 10^{8}}{5.20344 \times 10^{9}} \times 100 \cong 81.8 \%
$$

## f)

By consulting Figure 46, the volume to be obtained from another basin, so that the facility can work all year at full load corresponds to the section $\overline{O M 1}$, which represents the total volume deficit in the operation of such facility below the maximum flow rate. It is the volume of water that has to be borrowed from another river to be able to have all year $(100 \%)$ of harnessed flow rate.

The volume to borrow is ( $\overline{O M 1}$ ) and result from the area of the classified flow rates curve that is below the installed flow rate of $150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (or Zone 3), as in Figure 47. So:

$$
\overline{O M 1}=\left[0.1 \times 50+\left(\frac{0.4 \times 50}{2}\right)\right] \times(365 \times 24 \times 3600)=4.73 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

## Chapter 7 - Study of floods

### 7.1. Answer:

a)

For a rainfall with the same duration and twice the intensity, the resulting hydrograph is the sum of the unit hydrograph $(\mathrm{HU})$ with a hydrograph with the same duration and intensity of the first (to $t_{i}=t_{i, H U} \rightarrow Q_{i}=2 Q_{i, H U}$, :


Figure 48 - Rainfall with same duration and twice the intensity.
Peak flow rate is $400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
b)

For a rainfall with the same intensity and twice the duration, the resulting hydrograph is the sum of the HU with a hydrograph with the same intensity and lag of 1 hour from the first $\left(Q_{i}=Q_{i, H U}+Q_{i, H U+1}\right)$ :


Figure 49 - Rainfall with same intensity and twice the duration.
Peak flow rate is $300 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
c)

For a rainfall with twice the intensity and a duration of 4 hours, the resulting hydrograph is the sum of the HU with twice the original intensity with successive hydrographs with that same intensity, but lagged by 1, 2 and 3 hours from the first one ( $Q_{i}=2 Q_{i, H U}+$ $\left.2 Q_{i, H U+1}+2 Q_{i, H U+2}+2 Q_{i, H U+3}\right):$


Figure 50 - Rainfall with twice the intensity and duration of 4 hours.
Peak flow rate is $800 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
d)

For a rainfall with the following temporal distribution:


Figure 51 - Temporal distribution of a rainfall.


Figure 52 - Rainfall with temporal distribution of $3 A+A$.

The resulting hydrograph is the sum of the HU with the triple of the original intensity with a hydrograph with the same intensity of the original HU , but lagged by 1 hour from the first one ( $Q_{i}=3 Q_{i, H U}+Q_{i, H U+1}$ ). The peak flow rate is $700 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.

### 7.2. Answer:

a)

The resulting hydrograph is the sum of the HU with twice the original intensity with a hydrograph with the same intensity as the original HU , but lagged by 1 hour from the first one ( $Q_{i}=2 Q_{i, H U}+Q_{i, H U+1}$ ):


Figure 53 - Flood hydrograph resulting from precipitation B.
b)

The peak flow rate is $1600 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The time of concentration is [4,5] hours.
c)


Figure 54 - Flood hydrograph resulting from precipitation C.

The resulting hydrograph is the sum of the HU with successively lagged hydrographs by 1,2 and 3 hours, with intensity of $2,0.5$ and 3 times the original intensity of the HU , respectively ( $Q_{i}=Q_{i, H U}+2 Q_{i, H U+1}+0.5 Q_{i, H U+2}+3 Q_{i, H U+3}$ ). The peak flow rate is $2800 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The time of concentration is 6 hours.

### 7.3. Answer:

Base time of the unit hydrograph is $7-2=5$ hours.

$$
\begin{gathered}
t=0 \rightarrow Q=0 \\
t=1 \rightarrow Q=200=A_{1}+0+0 \rightarrow A_{1}=200 \\
t=2 \rightarrow Q=800=A_{2}+2 \times A_{1}+0 \rightarrow A_{2}=400 \\
t=3 \rightarrow Q=1200=A_{3}+2 \times A_{2}+0.5 \times A_{1} \rightarrow A_{3}=300 \\
t=4 \rightarrow Q=920=A_{4}+2 \times A_{3}+0.5 \times A_{2} \rightarrow A_{4}=120 \\
t=5 \rightarrow Q=390=A_{5}+2 \times A_{4}+0.5 \times A_{3} \rightarrow A_{5}=0
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 55-HU for the given flood hydrograph.

### 7.4. Answer:



The S hydrograph results from a series of rainfalls, juxtaposed, each with a unitary useful precipitation. Therefore, it is obtained from the sum of unitary hydrographs for a duration $D$ of the useful precipitation, each lagged by $D$ from the previous hydrograph.

### 7.5. Answer:

a)

Base time of the unit hydrograph is 5 hours.

$$
\begin{gathered}
t=0 \rightarrow Q=0=A_{0} \\
t=1 \rightarrow Q=25=A_{0}+A_{1} \rightarrow A_{1}=25 \\
t=2 \rightarrow Q=75=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2} \rightarrow A_{2}=50 \\
t=3 \rightarrow Q=150=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3} \rightarrow A_{3}=75 \\
t=4 \rightarrow Q=250=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4} \rightarrow A_{4}=100 \\
t=5 \rightarrow Q=250=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}+A_{5} \rightarrow A_{5}=0
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 57 - HU for the given "S" hydrograph.
b)

The peak flow that corresponds to a unitary hydrograph is $100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.

### 7.6. Answer:

To draw a flood hydrograph by Giandotti's method, the formulas presented in the theoretical support of Chapter 7 are used, using the following coefficients:

Table 26 - Coefficients.

| $\boldsymbol{A}\left(\mathbf{k m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{b}}=\mathbf{3 6 2} \rightarrow[\mathbf{3 0 0} ; \mathbf{5 0 0}]$ | 8.0 | 4.0 | 0.50 |

Table 27 - Points for the construction of a flood hydrograph.

| $t(h)$ | $Q\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t_{0}=0$ | $Q_{0}=0$ |
| $t_{a}=\left(\mathbf{1}-\frac{1}{8}\right) \times 10.23=8.95$ | $Q_{\text {avg }}=1068.41 / 8=133.55$ |
| $t_{c}=\frac{4 \sqrt{362}+1.5 \times 33.8}{0.8 \times \sqrt{240}}=10.23$ | $Q_{\text {max }}=\frac{277 \times 0.5 \times 8 \times 0.109 \times 362}{4 \times 10.23}=1068.41$ |
| $t_{b}=\left(\frac{4-1}{8}+1\right) \times 10.23=14.07$ | $Q_{\text {avg }}=1068.41 / 8=133.55$ |
| $t_{t}=4 \times 10.23=40.92$ | $Q_{t}=0$ |



Figure 58-Flood hydrograph by Giandotti's method.

### 7.7. Answer:

a)

Obtaining the coefficient:

$$
t_{a}=\left(1-\frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \times 12=10 \rightarrow(\gamma-1) \times 12=10 \gamma \rightarrow 12 \gamma-10 \gamma=12 \rightarrow \gamma=\frac{12}{2}=6
$$

Then, as $A_{b}<5000 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \rightarrow[1000 ; 8000]$ and $\gamma=6$, then, $\lambda=5$ and $\Psi=0.3$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{\text {flood }}=Q_{\text {avg }} \times t_{t} \rightarrow Q_{\text {avg }}=\frac{21.6 \times 10^{6}}{60 \times 3600}=100 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s} \\
\gamma=\frac{Q_{\max }}{Q_{\text {avg }}} \rightarrow Q_{\max }=6 \times 100=600 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 28 - Points for the construction of the flood hydrograph.

| $t(h)$ | $Q\left(m^{\mathbf{3}} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t_{0}=\mathbf{0}$ | $Q_{0}=\mathbf{0}$ |
| $t_{a}=\mathbf{1 0}$ | $Q_{\text {avg }}=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $t_{c}=\mathbf{1 2}$ | $Q_{\max }=\mathbf{6 0 0}$ |
| $t_{b}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{5 - 1}}{\mathbf{6}}+\mathbf{1}\right) \times \mathbf{1 2}=\mathbf{2 0}$ | $Q_{\text {avg }}=\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| $t_{t}=\mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{1 2}=\mathbf{6 0}$ | $Q_{t}=\mathbf{0}$ |



Figure 59 - Complete flood hydrograph.
b)

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{\max }=\frac{277 \times 0.3 \times 6 \times 0.048 \times A}{5 \times 12}=600 \rightarrow A=\frac{600 \times 5 \times 12}{277 \times 0.3 \times 6 \times 0.048} \cong 1504 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \\
\frac{t_{b}-t_{t}}{Q_{\text {avg }}-0}=\frac{t_{b}-30}{Q_{\text {avg }}-Q_{30}} \rightarrow\left(100-Q_{30}\right) \times(20-60)=100 \times(20-30) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow-4000+40 Q_{30}=-1000 \rightarrow Q_{30}=\frac{3000}{40}=75 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

c)

$$
\text { Giandotti: } Q_{P}=\frac{\lambda \times A \times h}{t_{c}}=\frac{0.1 \times 1504 \times 48}{12} \cong 602 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

Note:

- $A=1504 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \rightarrow \lambda=0.1$;
- $t_{c}=12 h ;$
- $h=48 \mathrm{~mm}$.


### 7.8. Answer:

a)

To determine the discharge coefficient:

$$
C=\frac{I_{\text {effective }}}{I_{\text {total }}}=\frac{I_{\text {effective }}}{25}
$$

Knowing that:

$$
V=Q \times t \rightarrow V=\text { area of the diagram }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0.54 \times 10^{6}=\left[\left(\frac{0.5 \times A}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{3 A+A}{2} \times 0.5\right)+\left(\frac{3 A+2 A}{2} \times 0.5\right)+\left(\frac{0.5 \times 2 A}{2}\right)\right] \times 3600 \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow 0.54 \times 10^{6}=(0.25 A+A+1.25 A+0.5 A) \times 3600 \rightarrow 0.54 \times 10^{6}=3 A \times 3600 \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow A=\frac{0.54 \times 10^{6}}{3 \times 3600}=50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then:

$$
Q_{\max }=3 A=150 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

And:

$$
Q_{\max }=I_{e f f e c t i v e} \times A_{b} \rightarrow I_{\text {effective }}=\frac{150}{54 \times 10^{6}} \times\left(3600 \times 10^{3}\right)=10 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}
$$

Then:

$$
C=\frac{10}{25}=0.4
$$

To determine the maximum flow rate for a rain of total intensity of $20 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$ and adopting $C=0.4$ (because the discharge coefficient of the basin is an intrinsic property of it):

$$
C=\frac{I_{\text {effective }}}{I_{\text {total }}} \rightarrow 0.4=\frac{I_{\text {effective }}}{20} \rightarrow I_{\text {effective }}=8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}
$$

Then:

$$
Q_{\max }=I_{e f f e c t i v e} \times A_{b}=8 \times\left(\frac{10^{-3}}{3600}\right) \times 54 \times 10^{6}=120 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

b)

The base time of the hydrographs B and C is $t=2-0.5=1.5$ hours, being the hydrograph C lagged by 0.5 h . The hydrograph of Figure 31 results from the sum of the hydrographs resulting from precipitations $B$ and $C$, so:

$$
t=1 \rightarrow 200=i_{B}+i_{C}
$$

Adopting $C=2 B$, lagged by 0.5 hours, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t=1 \rightarrow 200=i_{B}+2 i_{B}( & t=0.5) \rightarrow i_{B}=200-2 \times 75=50 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h} \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow i_{C}=150 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 29 - Hydrographs.

| $\boldsymbol{T}($ hours $)$ | $\boldsymbol{B}+\boldsymbol{C}(\mathbf{m m} / \boldsymbol{h})$ | $\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{m m} / \boldsymbol{h})$ | $\boldsymbol{C}(\boldsymbol{m m} / \boldsymbol{h})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | 75 | 75 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 200 | 50 | 150 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The precipitations B and C are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=i_{B}(t=0.5) \times 0.5=75 \times 0.5=37.5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
C=2 B=2 \times 37.5=75 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Chapter 8 - Regularization effect of a reservoir on flood peak damping

### 8.1. Answer:

For the study of flood damping, it is necessary to calculate the following parameters:

- $Q_{A}=$ Affluent flow rate $=$ Area of the hydrgraph until instant $t$;
- $V_{A}=Q_{A} \times 3600$;
- $Y_{E S T}=$ arbitrated value;
- $Q_{E}=$ discharged flow rate $=$ flow rate curve of the spillway;
- $\bar{Q}_{E}=$ average discharged flow rate $=\bar{Q}_{E, i}+\bar{Q}_{E, i-1} / 2$
- $V_{E}=\bar{Q}_{E} \times 3600$;
- $V_{\text {stored }}=V_{A}-V_{E}$;
- $V_{\text {stored }, \text { acm }}=V_{\text {stored, }, \text { acm }, i-1}+V_{\text {stored }, i} ;$
- $Y_{\text {CALC }}=$ interpolated value from the height - volume curve.

And define a value of accuracy/precision for the calculus:

$$
Y_{C A L C}-Y_{E S T} \leq 0.0005
$$

Table 30 - Study of peak flood damping.

| $\boldsymbol{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{t}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{E S T}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{E}}$ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}_{\boldsymbol{E}}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{E}}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{\text {stored }}$ | $\boldsymbol{V}_{\text {stored.acm }}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{C A L C}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | - | 10 | - | 100.0000 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 1060000.00 | 100.0000 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | 15 | 54000.00 | 100.1000 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 711.51 | 53288.49 | 1113288.49 | 100.0720 |
|  |  |  |  | 100.0720 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 434.80 | 53565.20 | 1113565.20 | 100.0724 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1 | 35 | 126000.00 | 100.2000 | 1.12 | 0.68 | 2447.26 | 123552.74 | 1237117.95 | 100.2393 |
|  |  |  |  | 100.2393 | 1.46 | 0.85 | 3069.48 | 122930.52 | 1236495.72 | 100.2385 |

For $Y_{C A L C}=100.0724$ :

$$
Y_{C A L C}-Y_{E S T}=100.0724-100.0720=0.0004 \leq 0.0005 \rightarrow \text { Verifies }!
$$

And, therefore, 100.0724 m is the value for the point where the two hydrographs (affluent and effluent) intersect, which corresponds to the maximum output flow rate, because the discharge curve increases with the water level (volume) and for which the stored volume is maxed.

## Chapter 9 - Evaporation and Evapotranspiration

### 9.1. Answer:

Table 31 - Estimation of monthly and annual potential evapotranspiration by Turc's method.

| Turc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $D_{m}$ <br> $($ days $)$ | $T$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $n$ <br> $(h)$ | $H_{0 m}$ <br> $(h)$ | $I_{0}$ <br> $\left(M J / m^{2} / d\right)$ | $r_{H}$ | $I_{g}^{\prime}$ <br> $\left(M J / m^{2} / d\right)$ | $E T P_{d}$ <br> $(m m)$ | ETP $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{m m})$ |
| Jan | 30 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 15.15 | 0.41053 | 6.78082 | 0.69746 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 9 2 3 9}$ |
| Feb | 30 | 6 | 5.2 | 10.5 | 20.15 | 0.49524 | 9.92340 | 1.06329 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 8 9 8 8}$ |
| Mar | 30 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 11.7 | 27.21 | 0.48718 | 13.2841 | 1.72233 | $\mathbf{5 1 . 6 6 9 8 7}$ |
| Apr | 30 | 10.6 | 7.8 | 13.1 | 34.32 | 0.59542 | 18.7241 | 2.66886 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 0 6 5 6 8}$ |
| May | 30 | 14.3 | 10 | 14.2 | 39.28 | 0.70423 | 23.6953 | 3.89675 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 . 9 0 2 4}$ |
| June | 30 | 18.6 | 11.6 | 14.8 | 41.29 | 0.78378 | 26.6488 | 4.92592 | $\mathbf{1 4 7 . 7 7 7 6}$ |
| July | 30 | 21.7 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 40.17 | 0.88276 | 28.0331 | 5.51483 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 . 4 4 4 8}$ |
| Aug | 30 | 21 | 12 | 13.5 | 35.99 | 0.88889 | 25.2330 | 4.93512 | $\mathbf{1 4 8 8 . 0 5 3 7}$ |
| Sept | 30 | 18 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 29.46 | 0.63934 | 16.7584 | 3.18389 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 5 1 6 5 4}$ |
| Oct | 30 | 13.6 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 22.08 | 0.56881 | 11.7348 | 2.03629 | $\mathbf{6 1 . 0 8 8 7 8}$ |
| Nov | 30 | 8 | 4.6 | 9.7 | 16.16 | 0.47423 | 7.77846 | 1.06352 | $\mathbf{3 1 . 9 0 5 5 8}$ |
| Dec | 30 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 9.2 | 13.61 | 0.34783 | 5.63927 | 0.60781 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 3 4 3 2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ |  |  |  |  | Annual | $\mathbf{9 6 9 . 4 8 1 9}$ |

Table 32 - Estimation of monthly and annual potential evapotranspiration by Thornthwaite's method.

| Thornthwaite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | $D_{m}$ <br> $($ dias $)$ | $T$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $n$ <br> $(h)$ | $H_{0 m}$ <br> $(h)$ | $N_{m}$ <br> $(-)$ | $i_{m}$ <br> $(-)$ | $\boldsymbol{E T P} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ <br> $(\boldsymbol{m m})$ |  |
| Jan | 30 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 9.5 | 0.791667 | 1.030150 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 4 9 8 5}$ |  |
| Feb | 30 | 6 | 5.2 | 10.5 | 0.875000 | 1.314534 | $\mathbf{1 7 . 0 1 3 3 3}$ |  |
| Mar | 30 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 11.7 | 0.975000 | 2.216529 | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 7 4 4 7}$ |  |
| Apr | 30 | 10.6 | 7.8 | 13.1 | 1.091667 | 3.086767 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 6 2 5 9 7}$ |  |
| May | 30 | 14.3 | 10 | 14.2 | 1.183333 | 4.836699 | $\mathbf{7 1 . 5 1 3 5 8}$ |  |
| June | 30 | 18.6 | 11.6 | 14.8 | 1.233333 | 7.174876 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 0 6 2 0}$ |  |
| July | 30 | 21.7 | 12.8 | 14.5 | 1.208333 | 9.041377 | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 8 8 2 7}$ |  |
| Aug | 30 | 21 | 12 | 13.5 | 1.125000 | 8.607439 | $\mathbf{1 1 2 . 2 8 9 2}$ |  |
| Sept | 30 | 18 | 7.8 | 12.2 | 1.016667 | 6.830520 | $\mathbf{8 2 . 9 7 5 4 7}$ |  |
| Oct | 30 | 13.6 | 6.2 | 10.9 | 0.908333 | 4.485939 | $\mathbf{5 1 . 4 1 2 1 3}$ |  |
| Nov | 30 | 8 | 4.6 | 9.7 | 0.808333 | 2.023858 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 8 2 7 2}$ |  |
| Dec | 30 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 9.2 | 0.766667 | 1.030150 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 5 6 7 0}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{I}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 3}$ |  |  | Annual | $\mathbf{6 8 8 . 0 3 8 1}$ |  |

According to some investigations, in Portugal, the Thornthwaite's formula seems to lead to estimates of potential evapotranspiration rather by defect and the Turc's formula, to estimates slightly by excess.

## Chapter 10 - Water in soil

### 10.1. Answer:

Infiltration capacity for a given instant:

$$
f(t)=f_{c}+\left(f_{0}-f_{c}\right) e^{-K t}
$$

Then for $t=3 h$,

$$
f(3)=8+(38-8) e^{-1.11 \times 3} \cong 9.1 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}
$$

### 10.2. Answer:

$$
\theta=\frac{V_{w}}{V_{t}}
$$

Volumetric amount of moisture in the sample:

$$
\theta=\frac{V_{w}}{V_{t}}=\frac{\frac{m_{w}}{\rho_{w}}}{V_{\text {cylinder }}}=\frac{\frac{(331.8-302.4)}{1000} \times \frac{10^{6}}{10^{3}}}{\pi \times\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{2} \times 10} \cong 0.15
$$

Degree of saturation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\frac{V_{w}}{V_{f}}=\frac{V_{w}}{V_{w}+V_{a}} \cong 0.36 \\
V_{a}=V_{t}-V_{w}-V_{s}=\left[\pi \times\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{2} \times 10\right]-\left[\frac{(331.8-302.4)}{1000} \times \frac{10^{6}}{10^{3}}\right]-\left[\frac{302.4}{2650} \times \frac{10^{6}}{10^{3}}\right] \\
V_{s}=\frac{m_{s}}{\rho_{s}}=\frac{302.4}{2650} \times \frac{10^{6}}{10^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 10.3. Answer:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{w, \text { out }}=V_{w, \text { in }}-V_{w, \text { retain }}=V_{w, \text { in }}-\left[\left(\theta_{c c}-\theta\right) \cdot V_{t}\right]= \\
V_{w, \text { out }}=1-[(0.28-0.15) \times 5]=0.35 L
\end{gathered}
$$

### 10.4. Answer:

Volume of irrigation required in order to go from minimum volumetric amount of moisture allowable to the field capacity:

$$
V_{w, \text { irrigation }}=V_{w, c c}-V_{w, \min }=\left[\left(\theta_{c c}-\theta\right) \cdot V_{t}\right]=\left[\left(\theta_{c c}-\theta\right) \cdot(A \times h)\right]
$$

$$
V_{w, \text { irrigation }}=\left[(0.45-0.24) \times\left(1 \times 10^{4} \times 0.5\right)\right]=1050 \mathrm{~m}^{3}
$$

To determine the time interval, it is used the following data:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{w, \text { irrigation }}=\frac{V_{w, \text { irrigation }}}{A}=\frac{1050}{1 \times 10^{4}}=0.105 \mathrm{~m} \\
E V T=3 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}=0.003 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{d}
\end{gathered}
$$

Knowing that the average evapotranspiration is $3 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$, the time interval between two successive irrigations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m- \text { days } \\
& E V T=0.003-1 \\
& H_{w, \text { irrigation }}=0.105-x \\
& x=\frac{0.105 \times 1}{0.003}=35 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chapter 11 - Groundwater

### 11.1. Answer:

a)

$$
\Delta h=i_{\text {hor }} \times h
$$

Table 33-Charge loss $\Delta h$.

| Layer | $\boldsymbol{\Delta h}(\boldsymbol{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.005 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.015 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.030 |

b)

$$
c_{h}=\frac{L}{K}
$$

Table 34 - Horizontal hydraulic resistance.

| Layer | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{h}}(\boldsymbol{d a y})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 200 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 100000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 50 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 200000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1000 |

c)

$$
c_{v}=\frac{h}{K}
$$

Table 35 - Vertical hydraulic resistance.

| Layer | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{v}}($ day $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 2 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 500 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.75 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 2000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 30 |

d)

$$
T=K \times h
$$

Table 36 - Transmissivity.

| Layer | T( day $\left.^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 50 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 300 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 30 |

e)

$$
q_{\text {hor }}=K \times h \times i_{\text {hor }}
$$

Table 37 - Specific flow rate (horizontal).

| Layer | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text {hor }}\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\mathbf{2}} /\right.$ day $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00005 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00005 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.03 |

f)

$$
K_{e q, h o r}=\frac{1}{h} \sum_{j}\left(K_{j} h_{j}\right)
$$

Table 38 - Equivalent permeability (horizontal).

| Layer | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\text {eq.hor }}(\boldsymbol{m} /$ day $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.71429 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00071 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 4.28571 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00071 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.42857 |
| Total | 5.43 |

### 11.2. Answer:

Expression for the permeability coefficient $(K)$ for an artesian aquifer:

$$
K=\frac{Q \cdot \ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)}{2 \pi m\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)}=\frac{0.1 \cdot \ln (30 / 10)}{2 \pi \times 40 \times(10-7)} \approx 0.000146 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \cong 12.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{day}
$$

Theorical lowering at the well $\left(s_{0}\right)$, from the Thiem's equation for artesian aquifers, with $r, s \rightarrow r_{1}, s_{1}$ :

$$
s_{0}=\frac{Q \cdot \ln \left(r_{1} / R_{0}\right)}{2 \pi K m}+s_{1}=\frac{0.1 \cdot \ln (10 / 0.15)}{2 \pi \times 0.000146 \times 40}+10 \cong 21.5 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Expression for the radius of influence, $R_{i}$ :

$$
R_{i}=R_{0} \times e^{\left(\frac{2 \pi K m}{Q} s_{0}\right)}=0.15 \times e^{\left(\frac{2 \pi \times 0.000146 \times 40}{0.1} \times 21.5\right)} \cong 389.4 \mathrm{~m}
$$

From the expression to the radius of influence, it is possible to calculate the distance from which the lowering is less than 2 meters, doing $R_{0}, s_{0} \rightarrow R, s$ :

$$
R_{i}=R \times e^{\left(\frac{2 \pi K m}{Q} s\right)} \rightarrow R=\frac{R_{i}}{e^{\left(\frac{2 \pi K m}{Q} s\right)}}=\frac{389.4}{e^{\left.\frac{(2 \pi \times 0.000146 \times 40}{0.1} \times 2\right)}} \cong 187.2 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Then, for a lowering lower than $2 m, R>187.2 m$.
Note: It is possible to calculate $R$, without calculating $R_{i}$ at first. For such procedure, the expression for the radius of influence is $R_{i}, s_{0} \rightarrow R, s_{0}-s$ :

$$
R=R_{0} \times e^{\left[\frac{2 \pi K m}{Q}\left(s_{0}-s\right)\right]}=0.15 \times e^{\left[\frac{2 \pi \times 0.000146 \times 40}{0.1} \times(21.5-2)\right]} \cong 187.2 \mathrm{~m}
$$

### 11.3. Answer:

The flow rate per kilometer of coastline that flows into the sea, based in the solution of Dupuit-Ghyben-Herzberg:

$$
\begin{gathered}
q=\frac{1}{2} \times K \times \frac{\rho_{s}-\rho_{w}}{\rho_{w}} \times \frac{z^{2}}{x}, \text { with } z \approx 40 \mathrm{~h} \\
\bar{K}=10^{-1} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}=86.4 \mathrm{~m} / \text { day } \\
\rho_{s}=1025 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}(\text { sea water }) \\
\rho_{w}=1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}(\text { fresh water }) \\
q=\frac{1}{2} \times 86.4 \times \frac{1025-1000}{1000} \times \frac{(40 \times 10)^{2}}{500}=345.6\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{day}\right) / \mathrm{m} \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow q=345600\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \text { day }\right) / \mathrm{km}
\end{gathered}
$$

## PRACTICAL WORKS

## Introduction

Aiming to cover some of the proposed program thematic areas and respecting the new norms of the curricular unit's functioning, the development of a general project is proposed in order to incorporate all the concepts addressed in the classes, theorical and practical aspects related to each theme.

Weekly time will be available relative to the theorical and practical classes for the execution of the practical work. Doubts can be clarified about the work of general interest of the whole class.

The development of weekly work during the theorical and practical classes will be taken into consideration in the final evaluation, being filled a weekly assessment sheet on practical work/student.

It is intended that each working group completely characterizes, according to the notes of the theorical and practical classes and bibliography to be consulted, the topics referred below (the presentation of the theme, chapters and sub-chapters must be respected):

1. Double Accumulation Method;
2. Average Annual Precipitation;
3. Intense Precipitations. DDF Curve;
4. Average Annual Flow and Duration Curve;
5. Evapotranspiration and Irrigation;
6. Infiltration;
7. Peak Flow Rate;
8. Pumping Tests.

They should be presented and structured as follows:

- Report (cover + text):
- Cover (group identification - full name and mechanographic number);
- Text (Index, Introduction, Answer to the points established in the objectives of the practical work, Bibliography);
- The body of the text must be printed in the Arial font, size of 11 points. The main headings must be printed in Arial, 12 points, using uppercase. The subtitles will also be in Arial, 11 points, with the first letter capitalized. The lower-order headings will be in Arial, Italic, 11 points, with the first letter capitalized;
- All margins must be set at 3 cm ;
- The paragraphs must be aligned with both margins (left and right). The headings must be aligned on the left;
- Use a 12-point line spacing. Leave a blank line between two successive paragraphs and after each title. Leave two blank lines between a paragraph and the next title;
- The text should be slightly adjusted in such a way that ensures that there are no isolated lines;
- Figures drawn in AutoCAD format;
- Spreadsheets in Microsoft Excel format;
- Deliver working folder, with identification of practical work and group number, example: "Practical Work Nr. 1 - Group I" (only with files ".doc, .xls and .dwg").


## Work Nr. 1: Double Accumulation Method

## I - Introduction

The breakdown of homogeneity or consistency of annual series can be detected in many cases by a double accumulated values test.

In order to verify the consistency of the series of annual precipitations in a given udometric station its marked, in a cartesian axis system, in one of the axis, the accumulated values of the annual precipitation at that station, and in the other axis the accumulated values of the sum (or the arithmetic average) of annual precipitation in a group of neighboring stations.

If the annual precipitation values series in a given station are consistent, its obtained points that are sensitively aligned according to a straight line.

If the series in a given station are inconsistent, it is generally obtained two straight segments with a break at the point corresponding to the year in which a significant change was found in the operating conditions of the station.

## II-Objective

Table 39 holds the annual precipitation values at a station $A$ and the average annual precipitation in 12 stations of the same region:

1. Verify the consistency of station A records and, in case of inconsistency, present a table with its corrected values.

## III - Structure

1. INTRODUCTION
2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS
3. CONSISTENCY VERIFICATION OF STATION A RECORDS
3.1. Station A double mass curve
3.2. Correction of annual precipitations for station A
4. CONCLUSION
5. BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES
6. APPENDICES

## IV - Bibliographic references (suggested) and appendices

Table 39 - Annual precipitation (mm) at station A and the average of precipitation.

| Year | Station A | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41 / 42$ | 710 | 768 |
| $42 / 43$ | 518 | 623 |
| $43 / 44$ | 527 | 705 |
| $44 / 45$ | 563 | 631 |
| $45 / 46$ | 549 | 698 |
| $46 / 47$ | 523 | 512 |
| $47 / 48$ | 668 | 785 |
| $48 / 49$ | 750 | 676 |
| $49 / 50$ | 554 | 614 |
| $50 / 51$ | 470 | 609 |
| $51 / 52$ | 549 | 631 |
| $52 / 53$ | 829 | 807 |
| $53 / 54$ | 489 | 638 |
| $54 / 55$ | 422 | 561 |
| $55 / 56$ | 374 | 527 |
| $56 / 57$ | 484 | 745 |
| $57 / 58$ | 297 | 524 |
| $58 / 59$ | 383 | 807 |
| $59 / 60$ | 489 | 698 |
| $60 / 61$ | 414 | 517 |
| $61 / 62$ | 462 | 524 |
| $62 / 63$ | 506 | 745 |
| $63 / 64$ | 489 | 591 |
| $64 / 65$ | 348 | 549 |
| $65 / 66$ | 384 | 631 |
| $66 / 67$ | 371 | 648 |
| $67 / 68$ | 393 | 524 |
| $68 / 69$ | 523 | 830 |
| $69 / 70$ | 314 | 527 |
| $70 / 71$ | 492 | 785 |
| $71 / 72$ | 357 | 638 |
| $72 / 73$ | 506 | 663 |
| $73 / 74$ | 532 | 862 |
| $74 / 75$ | 317 | 512 |
| $75 / 76$ | 323 | 591 |
| $76 / 77$ | 395 | 522 |
|  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |

## Work Nr. 2: Average Annual Precipitation

## I - Introduction

Knowledge of punctual precipitations alone has little interest. It is generally important to know the weighted precipitation (weighted average) over a given zone (a hydrographic basin for example, or an irrigation perimeter).

There are 2 main methods to obtain the weighted precipitation on a given zone from precipitation records of udometric stations:

- Thiessen's method or areas of influence;
- Isolines method.


## II - Objective

1. Determine the average annual precipitation on a hydrographic basin (plant provided by the professor) using the following methods:
1.1. Thiessen's method or areas of influence;
1.2. Isolines method (Table 41).

Table 40 presents the values of the average annual precipitation at the udometric stations indicated and located on the hydrographic basin (plant provided by the professor) or in its vicinity.

## III - Structure

1. INTRODUCTION
2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS
3. ANNUAL AVERAGE PRECIPITATION
3.1. Thiessen's method or areas of influence
3.2. Isolines method
4. CONCLUSION
5. BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES
6. APPENDICES

## IV - Bibliographic references (suggested) and appendices

Table 40 - Annual average precipitation for each station.

| Station | Precipitation $(\mathbf{m m})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | 831 |
| B | 976 |
| C | 1172 |
| D | 864 |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 927 |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | 1053 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 1225 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | 1119 |

Table 41 - Isolines

| Precipitation (mm) |
| :---: |
| $800-850$ |
| $850-900$ |
| $900-950$ |
| $950-1000$ |
| $1000-1050$ |
| $1050-1100$ |
| $1100-1150$ |
| $1150-1200$ |
| $1200-1250$ |

Work Nr. 3: Intense Precipitations. DDF Curve

## I - Introduction

Intense precipitations play a key role in determining a flood peak flow rate, which is essential in the structural sizing of hydraulic works such as flood defence works. The study of the characteristics of intense precipitations comprises:

- Statistical analysis of precipitations for a given duration;
- The establishment of ratios between precipitation and its duration (in which the probability of occurrence is considered as a parameter), better known as DDF curves.


## II - Objective

1. Determine, for the hydrographic basin studied in Work Nr. 2, the maximum daily precipitation corresponding to return periods of 20 and 100 years, based on the average and standard deviation of the maximum annual daily precipitation at the nearest udometric station to the centre of the basin (Table 42).
2. Using the quotients between the maximum short duration precipitation and the maximum daily precipitation provided by the professor (Table 43), present a graph on a logarithmic scale relating the maximum precipitation with its duration, for the return periods of 20 and 100 years, and determine the parameters of the corresponding DDF curves, for durations between 1 and 6 h .
3. Present in the same graph the lines corresponding to the IDF curves proposed by R. Matos and M. Silva, LNEC, 1986, for the same return periods (Figure 60).

## III - Structure

1. INTRODUCTION
2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS
3. BASE DATA
3.1. Calculation of maximum daily precipitation for return period of $T=100$ years and T=20 years
3.2. Estimate of short duration intense precipitation
4. PARAMETERS OF THE DDF CURVES FOR DURATIONS BETWEEN 1 AND 6 HOURS
5. CONCLUSION
6. BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES
7. APPENDICES

## IV - Bibliographic references (recommendations) and appendices

Table 42 - Annual maximum daily precipitation.

| Date | Annual maximum daily precipitation (mm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15-10-1979 09:00 | 80.4 |
| 07-11-1980 09:00 | 50.2 |
| 26-12-1981 09:00 | 35.0 |
| 21-04-1983 09:00 | 38.9 |
| 16-12-1983 09:00 | 32.0 |
| 10-02-1985 09:00 | 32.1 |
| 14-02-1986 09:00 | 46.1 |
| 06-01-1987 09:00 | 40.0 |
| 15-10-1987 09:00 | 50.0 |
| 24-05-1989 09:00 | 40.2 |
| 23-10-1989 09:00 | 33.1 |
| 07-03-1991 09:00 | 38.1 |
| 09-01-1992 09:00 | 42.1 |
| 05-12-1992 09:00 | 35.1 |
| 29-11-1993 09:00 | 29.8 |
| 05-11-1994 09:00 | 26.3 |
| 26-12-1995 09:00 | 43.5 |
| 27-12-1997 09:00 | 30.5 |
| 18-09-1999 09:00 | 20.1 |
| 10-12-1999 09:00 | 31.0 |
| 31-12-2000 09:00 | 41.2 |
| 17-09-2002 09:00 | 36.5 |
| 02-12-2005 09:00 | 61.2 |
| 31-01-2009 09:00 | 24.0 |

Table 43 - Quotients between short duration maximum precipitations and maximum daily precipitation.

| Duration $(\boldsymbol{n})$, in minutes | Quotient $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{n}} / \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{2 4}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | 0.7700 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.4300 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.3397 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0.2451 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.1935 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.1247 |



Figure 60 - Pluviometric regions and parameters of the IDF curves (adapted from R. Matos and M. Silva, 1986).

# Work Nr. 4: Average Annual Flow and Duration Curve 

## I - Introduction

A flow is the amount of water that crosses a section of a watercourse in a given time interval. Being expressed in volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ or in water height $(\mathrm{mm})$ uniformly distributed over the area, in plant, of the corresponding hydrographic basin.

The volume of flow in a given time interval is obtained by integrating the flow rates values observed over such time interval. Being more intuitive, it is current to use, instead of the flow in a given time interval, the corresponding average flow rate: fictional flow rate, uniform, that in the same time interval produces a flow volume equal to the actual succession of the flow rate.

In a section of a river, for each hydrological year, the respective average daily flow rate curve is defined and, for an interval of several years, the average annual duration of the average daily flow rate.

By "duration of a given average daily flow rate in a hydrological year", is meant as the number of days in which the flow rate was equalled or exceeded and by "average annual duration of a given average daily flow rate, in an interval of several years", is meant the average number of days per year in which this flow was equalled or exceeded (total number of days in the time interval considered divided by the number of years).

A duration curve is obtained by marking the flow rate values at $y$-axis, and at $x$-axis the number of days of the year in which these values were equalled or exceeded.

## II-Objective

1. Determine the annual average flow and the module in the hydrographic basin from Work Nr. 2, based in:
1.1. Isolines chart of the annual average flow (Figure 61);
1.2. Annual precipitation at the closest meteorological station to the centre of the basin (Table 44);
2. Determine the annual average duration curve of the average daily flow rate for the flow value obtained in 1.2., and determine the median flow rate.

## III - Structure

1. INTRODUCTION
2. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS
3. LOCATION OF THE STUDIED HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN
4. ANNUAL AVERAGE FLOW AND MODULE IN THE HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN
4.1. Isolines chart of the annual average flow in Portugal
4.2. Annual precipitation at the closest meteorological station to the centre of the basin and Quintela's regional relations
5. ANNUAL AVERAGE DURATION CURVE OF THE DAILY AVERAGE FLOW RATE AND MEDIAN FLOW RATE
6. CONCLUSION
7. BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES
8. APPENDICES

## IV - Bibliographic references (recommendations) and appendices



Figure 61 - Isolines chart of annual average flow.


Figure 62 - Soils chart.


Figure 63-Temperature distribution chart.

Figure 64 - Regional curves.


Figure 65 - Annual average duration curves of flows for Portuguese rivers.

Table 44 - Annual average precipitation in the nearest meteorological station to centre of the basin.

| Date | Annual precipitation (mm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 01/10/1979 09:00 | 954.0 |
| 01/10/1980 09:00 | 739.3 |
| 01/10/1981 09:00 | 796.1 |
| 01/10/1982 09:00 | 778.3 |
| 01/10/1983 09:00 | 1163.1 |
| 01/10/1984 09:00 | 1178.4 |
| 01/10/1985 09:00 | 1020.1 |
| 01/10/1986 09:00 | 955.4 |
| 01/10/1987 09:00 | 1277.7 |
| 01/10/1988 09:00 | 691.8 |
| 01/10/1989 09:00 | 887.6 |
| 01/10/1990 09:00 | 973.1 |
| 01/10/1991 09:00 | 625.6 |
| 01/10/1992 09:00 | 898.4 |
| 01/10/1993 09:00 | 804.6 |
| 01/10/1994 09:00 | 553.3 |
| 01/10/1995 09:00 | 1312.3 |
| 01/10/1996 09:00 | 1093.3 |
| 01/10/1997 09:00 | 1576.9 |
| 01/10/1998 09:00 | 376.5 |
| 01/10/1999 09:00 | 1049.5 |
| 01/10/2000 09:00 | 1828.1 |
| 01/10/2001 09:00 | 601.4 |
| 01/10/2005 09:00 | 862.8 |
| 01/10/2008 09:00 | 722.2 |

## Work Nr. 5: Evapotranspiration and Irrigation

## I - Introduction

Evapotranspiration is the aggregation of evaporation and transpiration processes. It includes transpiration of plants and evaporation of water from surfaces and moist soils, vegetation and other obstacles that intercept water.

The passage of water from liquid to gas state is made, in hydrological phenomena, with energy consumption of solar origin.

Irrigation is, at the planet level and in most continents and countries, the main consumer of the water resource. According to Gleick (2007), the abstractions of water for irrigation correspond to about 70\% of the total abstractions and in Asia it corresponds to about 20\% of the average annual flow. Due to the massive impact that this particular water use exerts on hydric resources, the adequate study of irrigation is essential.

This study comprises the principles of hydrology from the following subjects: hydrologic cycle, hydrologic balance, precipitation, evaporation and evapotranspiration.

## II - Objective

A farm located at latitude $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ has the characteristics indicated in Table 45. Table 46 presents the monthly precipitation values and the average monthly temperature in the exploration area for a given year:

1. Determine the monthly evapotranspiration of such year, calculated using the formulas of Thornthwaite and Blaney-Criddle.
2. Calculate the monthly volume of water needed for irrigation, in despite of the storage of water in such soil and adopting the monthly evapotranspiration given by the Blaney-Criddle formula.
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Table 45 - Farm at latitude $42 \div N$.

| Crop | Area (ha) | Months | Crop Coef. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lucerne | 20 | Feb. - Apr. | 0.70 |
|  |  | May- Sept. | 0.85 |
|  |  | Oct. - Nov. | 0.70 |
| Soy | 15 | June - Aug. | 0.70 |
| Barley | 30 | Apr. - June | 0.75 |

Table 46 - Monthly average temperature and precipitation.

| Month | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{m m})$ | 150 | 100 | 120 | 80 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 50 | 100 | 130 | 200 |
| $\boldsymbol{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{C}\right)$ | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 10 |

Table 47 - Daily average astronomic insolation (h) (Hipólito \& Vaz, 2011).

| Lat | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 6.5 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 14.3 | 16.9 | 18.3 | 17.6 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 9.6 | 7.1 | 5.6 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 7.6 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 14.6 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 8.4 | 9.8 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 14.2 | 12.3 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 7.9 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 9.0 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 13.3 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 8.6 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 9.5 | 10.5 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 12.2 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 9.2 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 9.9 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 10.1 | 9.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 10.5 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 10.9 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 11.6 | 11.3 | 11.1 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11.5 | 11.7 | 11.9 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.7 |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| $\mathbf{- 5}$ | 12.3 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.2 | 12.3 |
| $\mathbf{- 1 0}$ | 12.5 | 12.3 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.5 | 12.6 |
| $\mathbf{- 1 5}$ | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 12.4 | 12.7 | 12.9 |
| $\mathbf{- 2 0}$ | 13.1 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| $\mathbf{- 2 5}$ | 13.4 | 12.8 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 13.2 | 13.5 |
| $\mathbf{- 3 0}$ | 13.7 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 11.0 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 13.5 | 13.9 |
| $\mathbf{- 3 5}$ | 14.1 | 13.3 | 12.2 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 13.9 | 14.3 |
| $\mathbf{- 4 0}$ | 14.5 | 13.5 | 12.3 | 10.9 | 9.8 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 11.8 | 13.1 | 14.3 | 14.8 |
| $\mathbf{- 4 5}$ | 15.0 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 13.4 | 14.7 | 15.4 |
| $\mathbf{- 5 0}$ | 15.6 | 14.2 | 12.4 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 15.3 | 16.1 |
| $\mathbf{- 5 5}$ | 16.4 | 14.6 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 16.0 | 17.0 |
| $\mathbf{- 6 0}$ | 17.5 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 8.8 | 11.6 | 14.4 | 16.9 | 18.4 |

## Work Nr. 6: Infiltration

## I - Introduction

The admission of water into a soil by its surface constitutes a phenomenon that is generically referred to as infiltration.

The infiltration is by far the most significant process of precipitation losses for a flow:

- Transformation of total precipitation in effective precipitation responsible for the superficial flow (by deducting the losses of precipitation for the flow due to infiltration);
- Establishment of irrigation allocation in order to find the amount of water that could be stored in the soil (at roots depth);
- Analysis of aquifer recharge.


## II-Objective

Table 48 presents the values of an experience done with a ring infiltrometer with a diameter of 35 cm :

1. Present the graph of infiltration capacity as a function of time.
2. Determine the parameters of the Horton formula and illustrate it in the previous graph.
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Table 48 - Experience results.

| Total elapsed time $\mathbf{( m m})$ | Total volume added $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 278 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 658 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1173 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 1924 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 2500 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 3345 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 3879 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 4595 |

## Work Nr. 7: Peak Flow Rate

## I - Introduction

From a hydrological point of view, a given flood is known from its hydrograph, where the ascending segment is distinguished, the maximum or peak flow rate and the descending segment. However, for various applications such as the structural sizing of bridges flow sections, aqueducts or rainwater collectors, it is sufficient just to know the peak flow rate.

## II - Objective

1. Estimate the time of concentration of the hydrographic basin studied in the Work Nr. 2, using Giandotti's formula;
2. Determine the average intensities of maximum precipitation with duration equal to the time of concentration, for the return periods of 20 and 100 years, based on the DDF curves presented in Work Nr. 3;
3. Calculate the peak flow rate for the return periods of 20 and 100 years, using the rational formula.
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Table 49 - Average values of the flow coefficient for urban areas (adapted from Chow, 1964).

| Urban areas |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ground Cover | C |
| Green areas: |  |
| Lawns in sandy soils | 0.05-0.20 |
| Lawns in heavy soils | 0.15-0.35 |
| Parks and cemeteries | 0.10-0.35 |
| Sports camps | 0.20-0.35 |
| Commercial areas: |  |
| City centre | 0.70-0.95 |
| Suburb | 0.50-0.70 |
| Residential areas: |  |
| Villas in the city centre | 0.30-0.50 |
| Villas in suburb | 0.25-0.40 |
| Apartment | 0.50-0.70 |
| Industrial areas: |  |
| Disperse | 0.50-0.80 |
| Concentrated | 0.60-0.90 |
| Railways | 0.20-0.40 |
| Roads and streets: |  |
| Asphalt | 0.70-0.90 |
| Concrete | 0.80-0.95 |
| Brick | 0.70-0.85 |
| Sidewalks | 0.85-0.85 |
| Roofs | 0.75-0.95 |
| Vacant lot | 0.10-0.30 |

Table 50 - Annual values of the flow coefficient for rural areas (adapted from Chow, 1964).

| Rural areas |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Types of soils | Coverage of the basin |  |  |
|  | Crops | Grasslands | Forests |
| Infiltration capacity above <br> sandy. | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 |
| Average infiltration capacity; without layers of <br> clay; light soils or similar. | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.30 |
| Infiltration capacity below average; heavy clay <br> soil or with a clayey layer close to the surface; <br> slender soils on waterproof rock. | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.40 |

The coefficient $C$ does not correspond necessarialy to a "flow coefficient" (relation between volumes of flow and the precipitation that cause it). The objective is to demonstrate the effects in the peak flow rate, due to superficial retention, infiltration and storage in waterbeds. So, it depends on the hydrologic type of soil, its occupation and return period.

The values of a flow coefficient, previously presented, correspond to a return period between 5 and 10 years; for precipitations of not so frequent intensity, it will be necessary to correct the coefficient by using an adjustment coefficient, $C_{f}$, (WrightMcLaughlin, 1969). To refer that the product of the flow coefficient by adjustment coefficient cannot exceed a unit. So, the rational formula is defined as:

$$
Q_{p}=C \cdot C_{f} \cdot I \cdot A_{b}
$$

Where $C_{f}$ is the adjustment coefficient defined as follows:
Table 51 - Adjustment coefficient as function of the return period (Wright-McLaughlin, 1969).

| Return period, $\boldsymbol{T}$ (years) | Adjustment coefficient, $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 1.10 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 1.20 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1.25 |

## Work Nr. 8: Pumping Tests

## I - Introduction

The pumping tests are made in order to support the determination of hydraulic parameters of an aquifer, the definition of the exploitation regime and the procedure of testing the state of a catchment. The test is developed in three phases:

- Start the pumping in the hole, for a given time, with a given flow;
- Lowerings are measured either in the extraction hole itself or in piezometers located nearby;
- The hydrodynamic parameters are determined from the pumped flow rate, the measured lowerings and the respective distances or time using appropriate formulas.


## II - Objective

1. It was performed a pumping test at constant flow rate of $10 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, in a confined aquifer, with 12 m of thickness, during 14 hours, in which at the end of the test the levels where stabilized. The lowerings were measured in 5 piezometers and the distances to the pumping hole are presented in Table 52. Calculate by Thiem's method, the transmissivity of the aquifer $(T)$, the hydraulic conductivity $(K)$ and the radius of influence $\left(R_{i}\right)$;
2. A pumping test was performed at constant flow rate of $150 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$, in a sandy and confined aquifer. The lowerings were measured in a piezometer, located at 100 m from the pumping hole, and are presented by Table 53. Calculate by Jacob's method, the transmissivity of the aquifer ( $T$ ) and the coefficient of storage ( $S$ ).
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Table 52 - Pumping test 1.

| Piezometer | Distance to the pumping hole, $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{m})$ | Lowerings, $\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | 10 | 3.10 |
| P2 | 30 | 2.35 |
| P3 | 60 | 1.70 |
| P4 | 120 | 1.32 |
| P5 | 400 | 0.59 |

Table 53 - Pumping test 2.

| $\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{m i n})$ | $\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | - |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 0.20 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 0.40 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 0.60 |
| 80 | 0.85 |
| 90 | 1.00 |
| 100 | 1.40 |
| 120 | 1.95 |
| 150 | 2.80 |
| 200 | 4.20 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | 6.60 |
| 400 | 8.85 |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | 11.80 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 14.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0 0}$ | 17.90 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | 22.60 |
| $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ | 26.50 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ | 29.00 |
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