## University of Nebraska - Lincoln [DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/)

[Mechanical & Materials Engineering Faculty](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub)  **Publications** 

[Mechanical & Materials Engineering,](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengineer)  [Department of](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengineer) 

3-3-2015

# Methods and Systems for Handling or Delivering Materials for Natural Orifice Surgery

Carl Nelson Lincoln, NE, cnelson5@unl.edu

Jeff Midday

Dimitry Oleynikov doleynikov@unomaha.edu

Alan Goyzueta

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

Part of the [Mechanics of Materials Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/283?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/313?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Other](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/284?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Engineering Science and Materials Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/284?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Other Mechanical Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/304?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

Nelson, Carl; Midday, Jeff; Oleynikov, Dimitry; and Goyzueta, Alan, "Methods and Systems for Handling or Delivering Materials for Natural Orifice Surgery" (2015). Mechanical & Materials Engineering Faculty Publications. 406.

[https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub/406](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mechengfacpub/406?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fmechengfacpub%2F406&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mechanical & Materials Engineering, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mechanical & Materials Engineering Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.



USOO8968267B2

# (12) United States Patent (10) Patent No.: US 8,968,267 B2<br>
Nelson et al. (45) Date of Patent: Mar. 3, 2015

# (54) METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR HANDLING (58) Field of Classification Search<br>OR DELIVERING MATERIALS FOR CPC .................... A61B 17/00.

- Midday, Lake Zurich, IL (US); Dimitry See application file for complete search history.<br>Oleynikov, Lincoln, NE (US); Alan Goyzueta, Longview, TX (US)
- (73) Assignee: Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE (US) U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
- $(*)$  Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C.  $154(b)$  by 431 days. (Continued)
- 
- 

## (65) Prior Publication Data (Continued)

US 2012/OO35582 A1 Feb. 9, 2012 OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- (60) Provisional application No. 61/371.361, filed on Aug. (Continued)<br>6, 2010. (Continued)
- 



(2013.01); A61B 17/50 (2013.01); A61B 17/221 (2013.01); A61B 2017/00287 (2013.01); A61B 2017/00685 (2013.01); A61B 2017/22034 (2013.01); A61B 2017/320064 (2013.01); A61B

2017/320775 (2013.01) USPC ............................ 604/500; 604/264; 604/131 18 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets

## $(45)$  Date of Patent:

ORDELIVERING MATERALS FOR CPC ................... A61B 17/00234: A61B 17/22031; A61B 2017/00685; A61B 2017/22034; A61B 2017/320064; A61B 2017/320775 (75) Inventors: Carl A Nelson, Lincoln, NE (US); Jeff USPC .. 604/500, 131, 264

#### (56) References Cited



## (21) Appl. No.: 13/204,231 FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS



Related U.S. Application Data Patronik et al., "Crawling on the Heart: A Mobile Robotic Device for<br>Minimally Invasive Cardiac Interventions," MICCAI, 2004, pp. 9-16.

(51) Int. Cl.  $\frac{461M \cdot 31}{461M \cdot 31/00}$  (2006.01) Primary Examiner — Edelmira Bosques

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Davis, Brown, Koehn, Shors & Roberts, P.C.; Sean D. Solberg

## $(2006.01)$   $(57)$  **ABSTRACT** (Continued)

The embodiments disclosed herein relate to various medical systems, including systems that can be used in conjunction CPC .....  $A6IB$  17/00234 (2013.01);  $A6IB$  17/22031 systems, including systems that can be used in conjunction CPC ......  $A6IB$  17/00234 (2013.01);  $A6IB$  17/22031 with medical devices used in endoscopic surgery. Certain embodiments include various material handling devices that can transport materials between the inside and the outside of an endoscopic Surgery patient.



(51) **Int. Cl.**  $A6IB17/32$  (2006.01)  $A61B17/32$  $A61B17/3207$ 



## (56) References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS





#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS





#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS





#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS



#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS



#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Patroniket al., "Preliminary evaluation of a mobile robotic device for navigation and intervention on the beating heart." Computer Aided Surgery, 10(4): 225-232, Jul. 2005.

Peirs et al., "A miniature manipulator for integration in a self-propel ling endoscope." Sensors and Actuators A, 2001, 92: 343-349.

Peters, "Minimally Invasive Colectomy: Are the Potential Benefits Realized?" Dis Colon Rectum 1993; 36: 751-756.

Phee et al., "Analysis and Development of Locomotion Devices for the Gastrointestinal Tract," IEEE Transaction on Biomedical Engineering, vol. 49, No. 6, Jun. 2002, pp. 613-616.

Phee et al., "Development of Microrobotic Devices for Locomotion in the Human Gastrointestinal Tract." International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Robotics and Autonomous Systems (CIRAS 2001), Nov. 28-30, (2001), Singapore.

bility During Laparoscopic Surgery," in the Proceedings of the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES)

Preliminary Amendment filed Apr. 11, 2007, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/403,756, 7 pp.

Preliminary Amendment filed Jul.30, 2008, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 12/171.413, 4 pp.

RCE and Amendment filed Jun. 13, 2007, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/403,756, 8 pp.

Rentschler et al., "Mobile InVivo Biopsy and Camera Robot." Stud ies in Health and Infonnatics Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, vol. 119. pp. 449-454. IOS Press, Long Beach, CA, 2006e.

Rentschler et al., "Mobile InVivo Biopsy Robot." IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Orlando, Florida, May 2006, pp. 4155-4160.

Rentschler et al., "Miniature in vivo Robots for Remote and Harsh Environments." IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, Jan. 2006; 12(1): 66-75.

Rentschler et al., "An In Vivo Mobile Robot for Surgical Vision and Task Assistance, Journal of Medical Devices, Mar. 2007, Vol. 1: 23-29.

Rentschler et al., "In vivo Robotics during the NEEMO 9 Mission." Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, Feb. 2007. I pg.

Rentschler et al., "In Vivo Robots for Laparoscopic Surgery." Studies in Health Technology and Infonnatics—Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, ISO Press, Newport Beach, CA, 2004a, 98: 316-322.

Rentschler et al., "Mechanical Design of Robotic In Vivo Wheeled Mobility." ASME Journal of Mechanical Design, 2006a, pp. I-II.

Rentschler et al., "Mobile In Vivo Camera Robots Provide Sole Visual Feedback for Abdominal Exploration and Cholecystectomy." Journal of Surgical Endoscopy, 20-I: 135-138, 2006b.

Rentschler et al., "Mobile In Vivo Robots Can Assist in Abdominal Exploration." from the Proceedings of the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES) Scientific Confer ence, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, Apr. 13-16, 2005b.

Rentschler et al., "Modeling, Analysis, and Experimental Study of In Vivo Wheeled Robotic Mobility." IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 22 (2): 308-321, 2005c.

Rentschler et al., "Natural Orifice Surgery with an Endoluminal Mobile Robot." The Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons, Dallas, TX, Apr. 2006d, 14 pp.

Rentschler et al., "Theoretical and Experimental Analysis of InVivo Wheeled Mobility." ASME Design Engineering Technical Confer ences: 28th Biennial Mechanisms and Robotics Conference, Salt Lake City, Utah, Sep. 28-Oct. 2, 2004, pp. 1-9.

Rentschler et al., "Toward InVivo Mobility." Studies in Health Tech nology and Informatics—Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, ISO Press, Long Beach, CA, 2005a, III: 397-403.

Response to Rule 312 Amendment in related case U.S. Appl. No.

Riviere et al., "Toward Active Tremor Canceling in Handheld MicroSurgical Instruments." IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, Oct. 2003, 19(5): 793-800.

Rosen et al., "Force Controlled and Teleoperated Endoscopic, Grasper for Minimally Invasive Surgery—Experimental Perfor mance Evaluation." IEEE Transactions of Biomedical Engineering, Oct. 1999: 46(10): 1212-1221.

Rosen et al., "Objective Laparoscopic Skills Assessments of Surgical Residents Using Hidden Markov Models Based on Haptic Information and Tool/Tissue Interactions." Studies in Health Technology and Informatics—Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, Jan. 2001, 7 pp.

Rosen et al., "Spherical Mechanism Analysis of a Surgical Robot for Minimally Invasive Surgery—Analytical and Experimental Approaches." Studies in Health Technology and Informatics—Medi cine Meets Virtual Reality, pp. 442-448, Jan. 2005.

Rosen et al., "Task Decomposition of Laparoscopic Surgery for Objective Evaluation of Surgical Residents' Learning Curve Using Hidden Markov Model." Computer Aided Surgery, vol. 7, pp. 49-61. 2002.

Rosen et al., "The Blue DRAGON.—A System of Measuring the Kinematics and the Dynamics of Minimally Invasive Surgical Tools In-Vivo," Proc. of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Washington, DC, pp. 1876-1881, May 2002.

Ruurda et al., "Robot-Assisted Surgical systems: a new era in laparoscopic surgery," Ann R. Coll Surg Engl., 2002; 84: 223-226.<br>Ruurda et al., "Feasibility of Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Surgery,"

Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques, 2002; 12(1):41-45.

Sackier et al., "Robotically assisted laparoscopic surgery," Surgical Endoscopy, 1994; 8: 63-66.

Salky, "What is the Penetration of Endoscopic Techniques into Sur gical Practice'?" Digestive Surgery, 2000; 17:422-426.

Satava, "Surgical Robotics: The Early Chronicles." Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques, 2002; 12(1): 6-16.

Schippers et al., (1996) "Requirements and Possibilities of Com puter-Assisted Endoscopic Surgery." In: Computer Integrated Sur gery: Technology and Clinical Applications, pp. 561-565.

Schurr et al., "Robotics and Telemanipulation Technologies for Endoscopic Surgery." Surgical Endoscopy, 2000; 14:375-381.

Schwartz, "In the Lab: Robots that Slink and Squirm," The New York<br>Times, Mar. 27, 2007, 4 pp.

Sharp LL-151-3D, http://www.sharp3d.com, 2006, 2 pp.

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Slatkin et al., "The Development of a Robotic Endoscope." Proceed ings of the 1995 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 162-171, 1995.<br>Smart Pill "Fastastic Voyage: Smart Pill to Expand Testing," http://

www.smartpilldiagnostics.com, Apr. 13, 2005, 1 pg.

Southern Surgeons Club (1991), "A prospective analysis of 1518 laparoscopic cholecystectomies." N. Eng. 1 Med. 324 (16): 1073 1078.

Stefanini et al., "Modeling and Experiments on a Legged Microrobot Locomoting in a Tubular Compliant and Slippery Environment." Int. Journal of Robotics Research, vol. 25, No. 5-6, pp. 551-560, May Jun. 2006.

Stiffetal.., "Long-term Pain: Less Common. After Laparoscopic than Open Cholecystectomy." British Journal of Surgery, 1994; 81: 1368 1370.

Strong, et al., "Efficacy of Novel Robotic Camera vs. a Standard Laproscopic Camera." Surgical Innovation vol. 12, No. 4. Dec. 2005, Westminster Publications, Inc., pp. 315-318.

Suzumori et al., "Development of Flexible Microactuator and its Applications to Robotics Mechanisms." Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 1991: 1622 1627.

Taylor et al., "A Telerobotic Assistant for Laparoscopic Surgery." IEEE Eng Med Biol, 1995; 279-287.

Tendick et al. (1993), "Sensing and Manipulation Problems in Endoscopic Surgery: Experiment, Analysis, and Observation." Pres ence 2(1): 66-81.

Abbott et al., "Design of an Endoluminal NOTES Robotic System." from the Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE/RSJ Int'l Conf. on Intelligent Robot Systems, San Diego, CA, Oct. 29-Nov. 2, 2007, pp. 410-416. Allendorf et al., "Postoperative Immune Function Varies Inversely with the Degree of Surgical Trauma in a Murine Model." Surgical Endoscopy 1997; 11:427-430.

Ang, "Active Tremor Compensation in Handheld Instrument for MicroSurgery." Doctoral Dissertation, tech report CMU-RI-TR-04 28, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon Unviersity, May 2004, 167pp.

Applicant Amendment after Notice of Allowance under Rule 312, filed Aug. 25, 2008, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/695,944, 6pp. Applicant Response to Office Action dated Apr. 17, 2007, in related

Applicant Response to Office Action dated Aug. 18, 2006, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/398, 174, filed Nov. 7, 2006, 8pp.

Applicant Response to Office Action dated Aug. 21, 2006, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/403,756, filed Nov. 21, 2006, 52pp.

Applicant Response to Office Action dated Oct. 29, 2007, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/695,944, filed Jan. 22, 2008, 6pp.

Atmel 80C5X2 Core, http://www.atmel.com, 2006, 186pp.<br>Bailey et al., "Complications of Laparoscopic Surgery," Quality<br>Medical Publishers, Inc., 1995, 25pp.

Ballantyne, "Robotic Surgery, Telerobotic Surgery, Telepresence, and Telementoring." Surgical Endoscopy, 2002; 16: 1389-1402.

Bauer et al., "Case Report: Remote Percutaneous Renal Percutane ous Renal Access Using a New Automated Telesurgical Robotic System." Telemedicine Journal and e-Health 2001; (4): 341-347.

Begos et al., "Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: From Gimmick to Gold Standard." J Clin Gastroenterol, 1994; 19(4): 325-330.

Berg et al., "Surgery with Cooperative Robots." Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, Feb. 2007, 1 pg.

Breda et al., "Future developments and perspectives in laparoscopy." Eur, Urology 2001; 40(1): 84-91.

Breedveld et al., "Design of Steerable Endoscopes to Improve the Visual Perception of Depth During Laparoscopic Surgery." ASME, Jan. 2004; vol. 126, pp. 1-5.

Breedveld et al., "Locomotion through the Intestine by means of Rolling Stents." Proceedings of the ASME Design Engineering Tech nical Conferences, 2004, pp. 1-7.

Calafiore et al., Multiple Arterial Conduits Without Cardiopulmonary Bypass: Early Angiographic Results,: Ann Thorac Surg, 1999: 67: 450-456.

Camarillo et al., "Robotic Technology in Surgery: Past, Present and Future." The American Journal of Surgery, 2004; 188: 2S-15.

Cavusoglu et al, "Telesurgery and Surgical Simulation: Haptic Interfaces to Real and Virtual Surgical Environments." in McLaughlin, M.L., Hespanha, J.P., and Sukhatime, G., editors. Touch in virtual environments, IMSC Series in Multimedia 2001, 28pp.

Cavusoglu et al., "Robotics for Telesurgery: Second Generation Berkeley/UCSF Laparoscopic Telesurgical Workstation and Looking Towards the Future Applications." Industrial Robot: An International Journal, 2003; 30(1): 22-29.

Chanthasopeephan et al., (2003), "Measuring Forces in Liver Cut ting: New Equipment and Experimenal Results." Annals of Biomedi cal Engineering 31: 1372-1382.

Choi et al., "Flexure-based Manipulator for Active Handheld Microsurgical Instrument," Proceedings of the 27th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBS), Sep. 2005, 4pp.

Cuschieri, "Technology for Minimal Access Surgery." BMJ, 1999, 319: 1-6.

Dakin et al., "Comparison of laparoscopic skills performance between standard instruments and two surgical robotic systems," Surg Endosc., 2003; 17: 574-579.

Dumpert et al., "Improving in Vivo Robot Visioin Quality." from the Proceedings of Medicine Meets Virtual Realtiy, Long Beach, CA, Jan. 26-29, 2005. 1 pg.

Dumpert et al., "Stereoscopic InVivo Surgical Robots." IEEE Sen Examiner Interview Summary dated Aug. 6 and Aug. 12, 2008, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/695,944, 1 pg.

Examiner Interview Summary dated May 9, 2008, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/695,944, 1 pg.

Examiner Interview Summary dated Nov. 30, 2006, in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/398,174, 2pp.

Falcone et al., "Robotic Surgery." Clin. Obstet. Gynecol. 2003, 46(1): 37-43.

Faraz et al., "Engineering Approaches to Mechanical and Robotic Design for Minimaly Invasive Surgery (MIS)." Kluwer Academic

Fearing et al., "Wing Transmission for a Micromechanical Flying Insect." Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE International Conference to Robotics & Automation, Apr. 2000; 1509-1516.

Fireman et al., "Diagnosing small bowel Crohn's desease with wire less capsule endoscopy." Gut 2003; 52: 390-392.

Franklin et al., "Prospective Comparison of Open vs. Laparoscopic Colon Surgery for Carcinoma: Five-Year Results." Dis Colon Rec tum, 1996; 39: S35-S46.

Franzino, "The Laprotek Surgical Systemand the Next Generation of Robotics." Surg Clin North Am. 2003 83(6).

Fraulob et al., "Miniature assistance module for robot-assisted heart Surgery." Biomed. Tech. 2002, 47 Suppl. 1, Pt. 1:12-15.

Fukuda et al., "Mechanism and Swimming Experiment of Micro Mobile Robot in Water." Proceedings of the 1994 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 1994: 814-819.

Fukuda et al. "Micro Active Catheter System with Multi Degrees of Freedom." Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, May 1994, pp. 2290-2295.

Fuller et al., "Laparoscopic Trocar Injuries: A Report from a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Devices and Radio logical Health (CDRH) Systematic Technology Assessment of Medi cal Products (STAMP) Committe." U.S. Food and Drug Adminstra tion, available at http://www.fdaJ:?;ov, Finalized: Nov. 7, 2003; Updated: Jun. 24, 2005, 11 pp.

Grady, "Doctors Try New Surgery for Gallbladder Removal." The

New York Times, Apr. 20, 2007, 3 pp.<br>Guber et al., "Miniaturized Instrumetn Systems for Minimally Invasive Diagnosis and Therapy," Biomedizinishe Technic. 2002, Band 47, Erganmingsband 1, Teil 1.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability from related case PCT/US20071014567, mailed Jan. 8, 2009, 11 pp.

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search report and Written Opinion from international application No. PCT/US2012/41911, mailed Mar. 13, 2013.

International Search Report and Written Opinion from international application No. PCT/US 12/46274, mailed Sep. 25, 2012.

International Search Report and Written Opinion from international application No. PCT/US2007/089191, mailed Nov. 10, 2008, 20 pp. "International Search Report and Written Opinion from international application No. PCT/US07/14567, mailed Apr. 28, 2008, 19 pp."

International Search Report and Written Opinion of international application No. PCT/US2008/069822, mailed Aug. 5, 2009, 12 pp. International Search Report and Written Opinion of international application No. PCT/US2008/073334, mailed Jan. 12, 2009, 11 pp. International Search Report and Written Opinion of international application No. PCT/US2008/073369, mailed Nov. 12, 2008, 12 pp. International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT/ US 1 1/46809, mailed Dec. 8, 2011.

Ishiyama et al., "Spiral-type Micro-machine for Medical Applica tions." 2000 International Symposium on Micromechatronics and Human Science, 2000: 65-69.

Jagannath et al., "Peroral transgastric endoscopic ligation of fallopian tubes with long-term survival in a porcine model," Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2005; 61(3): 449-453.

Kallooet al., "Flexible transgastric peritoneoscopy: a novel approach to diagnostic and therapeutic interventions in the peritoneal cavity." Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2004; 60(1): 114-117.

Kang et al., "Robotic Assistants Aid Surgeons During Minimally Invasive Procedures." IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology, Jan.-Feb. 2001; pp. 94-104.

Kantsevoy et al., "Endoscopic gastrojejunostomy with survival in a porcine model." Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2005; 62(2): 287-292. Kantsevoy et al., "Transgastric endoscopic splenectomy." Surgical Endoscopy, 2006; 20:522-525.

Kazemier et al. (1998), "Vascular Injuries During Laparoscopy," J. Am. Coli. Surg. 186(5): 604-5.

Kim. "Early Experience with Telemanipulative Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Using da Vinci," Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques, 2002; 12(1):33-40.

Ko et al., "Per-Oral transgastric abdominal Surgery." Chinese Journal of Digestive Diseases, 2006; 7: 67-70.

Lafullarde et al., "Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication: Five-year Results and Beyond." Arch/Surg, Feb. 2001; 136:180-184.

Leggett et al. (2002), "Aortic injury during laparoscopic fundoplication." Surg. Endoscopy 16(2): 362.

Li et al. (2000), "Microvascular Anastomoses Performed in Rats Using a Microsurgical Telemanipulator," Comp. Aid. Surg. 5: 326-332.

Liem et al., "Comparison of Conventional Anterior Surgery and Laparoscopic Surgery for Inguinal-hernia Repair." New England Journal of Medicine, 1997; 336 (22): 1541-1547.

MacFarlane et al., "Force-Feedback Grasper Helps Restore the Sense of Touch in Minimally Invasive Surgery," Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, 1999; 3: 278-285.

Mack et al., "Present Role of Thoracoscopy in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Diseases of the Chest." Ann Thorac Surgery, 1992; 54. 403-409.

Mack, "Minimally Invasive and Robotic Surgery." JAMA, Feb. 2001; 285(5): 568-572.

Meiet al., "Wireless Drive and Control of a Swimming Microrobot." Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, May 2002: 1131-1136.

Melvin et al., "Computer-Enhanced vs. Standard Laparoscopic Antireflux Surgery." J. Gastrointest Surg 2002; 6: 11-16.

Menciassi et al., "Locomotion of a Leffed Capsule in the Gastrointestinal Tract: Theoretical Study and Preliminary Techno logical Results." IEEE Int. Conf. on Engineering in Medicine and Biology, San Francisco, CA, pp. 2767-2770, Sep. 2004.

Menciassi et al., "Robotic Solutions and Mechanisms for a Semi Autonomous Endoscope." Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE/RSJ Intl. Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Oct. 2002; 1379 1384.

Menciassi et al., "Shape memory alloy clamping devices of a capsule for monitoring tasks in the gastrointestinal tract." J. Micromech. Microeng, 2005, 15: 2045-2055.

Meron, "The development of the swallowable video capsule (M2A)," Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2000; 526: 817-819.

Micron, http://www.micron.com, 2006, I/4-inch VGA NTSC/PAL CMOS Digital Image Sensor, 98 pp.

Midday Jeff et al., "Material Handling System for Robotic natural Orifice Surgery. Proceedings of the 2011 Design of medical Devices Conference, Apr. 12-14, 2011, Minneapolis, MN, 4 pages.

Munro (2002), "Laparoscopic access: complications, technologies, and techniques." Curro Opin. Obstet. Gynecol., 14(4): 365-74.

Nio et al., "Efficiency of manual vs robotical (Zeus) assisted laparoscopic Surgery in the performance of standardized tasks." Surg Endosc, 2002; 16:412-415.

Office Action dated Apr. 17, 2007, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/552,379, 5 pp.

Office Action dated Apr. 3, 2009, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 11/932,516, 43 pp.

Office Action dated Aug. 18, 2006, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/398,174, 6 pp.

Office Action dated Aug. 21, 2006, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/403,756, 6 pp.

Office Action dated Oct. 29, 2007, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/695,944, 6 pp.

Office Action dated Oct. 9, 2008, received in related case U.S. Appl. No. 1 1/932,441, 4 pp.

Oleynikov et al., "In Vivo Camera Robots Provide Improved Vision for Laparoscopic Surgery," Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery (CARS), Chicago, IL, Jun. 23-26, 2004b.

Oleynikov et al., "In Vivo Robotic Laparoscopy." Surgical Innova tion, Jun. 2005, 12(2): 177-181.

Oleynikov et al., "Miniature Robots Can Assist in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy," Journal of Surgical Endoscopy, 19-4: 473-476, 2005.

<sup>O</sup>'Neill, "Surgeon takes new route to gallbladder." The Oregonian, Jun. 2007, 2 pp.

Orlando et al., (2003), "Needle and Trocar Injuries in Diagnostic Laparoscopy under Local Anesthesia: What Is the True Incidence of These Complications?" Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques 13(3): 181-184.

Park et al., "Trocar-less Instrumentation for Laparoscopy: Magnetic Positioning of Intra-abdominal Camera and Retractor." Ann Surg, Mar. 2007; 245(3): 379-384.

Park et al., "Experimental studies of transgastric gallbladder surgery: cholecystectomy and cholecystogastric anastomosis (videos). Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2005; 61(4): 601-606.

Tendick et al., "Applications of Micromechatronics in Minimally Invasive Surgery." IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, 1998; 3(1): 34-42.

Thomann et al., "The Design of a new type of Micro Robot for the Intestinal Inspection." Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Intl. Confer ence on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Oct. 2002: 1385-1390.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/180,960, filed Feb. 2000.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/956,032, filed Aug. 15, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/983,445, filed Oct. 29, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/990,062, filed Nov. 26, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/990,076, filed Nov. 26, 2007. U.S. Appl. No. 60/990,086, filed Nov. 26, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/990,106, filed Nov. 26, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 60/990,470, filed Nov. 27, 2007.

U.S. Appl. No. 61/025.346, filed Feb. 1, 2008.

U.S. Appl. No. 61/030,588, filed Feb. 22, 2008.

U.S. Appl. No. 61/030,617, filed Feb. 22, 2008.

Way et al., (editors), "Fundamentals of Laparoscopic Surgery."

Churchill Livingstone Inc., 1995, 14 pp.

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wolfe et al., "Endoscopic Cholecystectomy: an analysis of Compli cations." Arch. Surg. Oct. 1991; 126: 1192-1196.

Wornet al., "Espirit Project No. 33915: Miniaturised Robot for Micro Manipulation (MINIMAN)", Nov. 1998; http://www.ipr.ira.ujka. def-microbot/miniman.

Yu et al., "Microrobotic Cell Injection." Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, May 2001; 620-625.

International Search Report and Written Opinion of international application No. PCT/US2010/061137, mailed Feb. 11, 2011, 10 pp.

Abbou et al., "Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy with a Remote Controlled Robot." The Journal of Urology, Jun. 2001, 165: 1964 1966.

Glukhovsky et al., "The development and application of wireless capsule endoscopy." Int. J. Med. Robot. Comput. Assist. Surgery, 2004; I(1): 114-123.

Gong et al., Wireless endoscopy, Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2000; 51(6): 725-729.

Hanly et al., "Value of the SAGES Learning Center in introducing new technology." Surgical Endoscopy, 2004; 19 (4): 477-483.

Palm, William, "Rapid Prototyping Primer' May 1998 (revised Jul. 30, 2002) (htlp://www.me.psu.edu/lamancusa/rapidpro/primer/ chapter2.htm).

\* cited by examiner



 $FIG. 1$ 



 $FIG. 2$ 



**FIG. 3** 





FIG. 5A



 $FIG. 5B$ 





FG, 7

 $\overline{5}$ 

40

#### **METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR HANDLING** OR DELIVERING MATERIALS FOR NATURAL, ORIFICE SURGERY

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims priority to Provisional Application No. 61/371.361, filed Aug. 6, 2010, which is herein incorpo rated by reference in its entirety.

#### STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government Support under Grant No. 2611120123004 awarded by the Department of Defense Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center (TATRC). Accordingly, the government may have certain rights in the invention.

#### TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to various material handling and/or delivery systems and related components, including  $_{25}$ material handling and/or delivery systems for performing surgical procedures through a natural orifice or a single incision. Certain embodiments include various material handling and/or systems for performing surgical procedures in the peritoneal cavity of a patient.

#### BACKGROUND

Endoscopic medical procedures have been in use for nearly a century, and are used for a number of procedures such as 35 foreign object removal, ultrasonic imaging, injection therapy, and perhaps most recently for surgical procedures. Endoluminal endoscopic Surgery traditionally uses flexible instru ments introduced through canals (working channels) in an endoscope to perform a number of procedures, mainly in the peritoneal cavity.

Natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) is a surgical procedure done endoscopically through an inci- $\frac{1}{45}$  sion in tissue (e.g., stomach, colon, vagina, or bladder) accessible via a natural orifice (e.g., mouth, anus, urethra, or vagina) and can be done without external incisions, reducing the risk of infection and speeding recovery. The natural orifice access point, while having many patient benefits, presents challenges for introducing instrumentation through a restric-  $\,$  50  $\,$ tive lumen size, and when using multiple tools, as typical in many laparoscopic procedures for example, tool triangulation can be difficult.

An alternative to traditional endoscope based techniques is using in vivo robots via a natural orifice approach. These in 55 vivo robots can be fully introduced into the peritoneal cavity. Once inserted, these robots have much more freedom and flexibility, as space constraints and tool triangulation issues are greatly reduced in the insufflated abdominal cavity.

Fully inserting in vivo robots into the body introduces a 60 limitation as they are physically isolated from the medical professionals performing the procedure. Without external incisions, there is also a need for additional functional fea tures, such as workspace lighting, a method of irrigating and evacuating fluids produced during procedures, and any other 65 functionalities commonly associated with traditional endo scopic procedures.

There is a need for an improved material handling system for use with surgical procedures.

#### **SUMMARY**

One embodiment disclosed herein relates to a system for handling and/or delivering materials during endoscopic surgery, the system including a compliant overtube, a material capture device, and a drive member.

10 15 In one embodiment, a system provided herein is configured to transport a material between the outside of an endoscopic surgery patient and the inside of the endoscopic surgery patient, the system comprising a compliant overtube having a primary lumen and a proximal end and a distal end; a material capture device including a retaining mechanism disposed within the primary lumen; and a drive member configured to shuttle the material capture device between the proximal end and the distal end. The drive member can be a helical drive  $_{20}$  member disposed within the primary lumen. The capture device can further include a tab that can be disposed between adjoining coils of the helical drive member and the slot fur ther can be disposed into a slot defined in the wall of the primary lumen. The slot can constrain the orientation of the material capture device within the primary lumen.

In another embodiment, the system can have a drive mem ber that is a hydraulic or pneumatic system.

30 In some embodiments, the retaining mechanism comprises a passive spring-type grasper, which, in some embodiments, can comprise a shape memory alloy. A passive spring-type grasper retaining mechanism can be shaped into a plateau like profile.

In some embodiments, the system can include a motor that drives the drive member housed within an electronic housing. Motor controls can be disposed on or within the electronic housing, or the motor can be controlled using components remote from the electronic housing.

In some embodiments, the system is configured for use in transgastric endoscopic Surgery.

In some embodiments, the system includes a compliant overtube comprising silicone.

In one embodiment, a method for transporting a material between the outside of an endoscopic Surgery patient and the inside of the endoscopic Surgery patient is provided. The method comprises inserting through an incision in the endo scopic surgery patient a distal end of a compliant overtube having: a primary lumen; a material capture device comprising a retaining mechanism disposed within the primary lumen; and a drive member configured to shuttle the material capture device between the proximal end and the distal end. The method further comprises retaining the material in the retaining mechanism of the material capture device and actu ating the drive member to advance the material capture device and the retained material from the inside of the patient to the outside of the patient or from the outside of the patient to the inside of the patient. The drive member can be a helical drive member disposed within the primary lumen, or the drive member can be a hydraulic or pneumatic system.

In some embodiments, the distal end of the compliant overtube is inserted through an incision that is in a tissue that is accessible through a natural orifice.

In some embodiments, the retaining mechanism comprises a passive spring-type grasper, which, in some embodiments, can comprise a shape memory alloy.

While multiple embodiments are disclosed, still other embodiments of the present invention will become apparent 10

5

25

to those skilled in the art from the following detailed descrip tion, which shows and describes illustrative embodiments of the invention.

Accordingly, the drawings and detailed description are to be regarded as illustrative in nature and not restrictive.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an isometric view of the material handling system, according to one embodiment.

FIG. 2 is a cross sectional view of a distal portion of a material handling system, according to one embodiment.

FIG. 3 is a side view of a material capture device of a material handling system, according to one embodiment.

FIG. 4 is a view of a portion of a material handling system 15 with the exterior shown transparently to reveal inner compo nents, according to one embodiment.<br>FIG. 5A is a cross sectional view of a material capture

FIG. 5A is a cross sectional view of a material handling system,<br>FIG. 5B is a cross sectional view of a material capture

device orientation mechanism for a material handling system, according to another embodiment.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of a distal portion of the material handling system, according to one embodiment.

FIG. 7 is a top view of a motor assembly, a micro-control unit, and a power source for a material handling system, according to one embodiment.

It is to be understood that the figures are illustrated for simplicity and clarity and are not necessarily drawn to scale. 30 For example, the dimensions of some of the elements in the figures may be exaggerated, relative to other elements, to improve the understanding of aspects and sample embodi ments of the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The various systems and devices disclosed herein relate to devices for use in medical procedures and systems. More specifically, the various embodiments relate to systems that 40 can be used to handle and/or deliver materials in endoscopic surgery, such as natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES). Various embodiments of the disclosed sys tems and devices can be used to handle and/or deliver or transport one or more materials between the outside of an 45 endoscopic surgery patient and the inside of the endoscopic surgery patient. In some embodiments, the provided systems improve the ability of a medical professional to perform surgical procedures in the peritoneal cavity of a patient, executed through a natural orifice or other access point in 50 conjunction with other surgical equipment.

Certain embodiments disclosed herein relate to devices for use in endoscopic Surgery, including certain embodiments for use in natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES). FIG. 1 depicts one embodiment of a material han 55 dling and/or delivery system 100 having a proximal end 10 and a distal end 20. In the material handling system 100 depicted in FIG. 1, the system includes an electronics housing 30 and a material handling component 50.

As best shown in FIGS. 1 and  $2$ , the material handling  $60$ component 50 comprises a compliant overtube 200 having a proximal end 60 and a distal end 70 and including a primary lumen 210 disposed therethrough. In some embodiments, one or more additional lumens 230, 240 are also disposed within and along the length of overtube 200, as best shown in FIG. 2. The compliant overtube 200 comprises one or more materials that impart flexibility and frictional properties that are con 65

4

ducive to abrasion resistance, while reducing the amount of trauma caused to a patient by the overtube 200 during a surgical procedure. In one embodiment, the overtube 200 is capable of bending to the contours of a natural orifice. Such as the esophagus, as well as the peritoneal cavity.

Materials suitable for use in the overtube 200 include, for example, silicone, PTFE, or vinyl. The type of material selected for use in the overtube 200 may depend on the specific use. For example, silicone may be used in an overtube 200 for use in transgastric NOTES in order to provide suffi cient flexibility along the length of the esophagus. In addition, in some embodiments, the properties of the material used for the overtube 200 may be modified using known techniques to provide the desired flexibility, frictional properties, and/or abrasion resistance. For example, the coefficient of friction of materials (e.g., silicone and other rubbery materials) can be decreased by the addition of wet and/or dry lubricants, or permanently bonded coatings.

Overtube 200 is shaped and dimensioned as appropriate for the desired use. For example, an overtube 200 for use in transgastric NOTES can have an outer surface of any shape to accommodate the primary lumen 210 and any other lumens, so long as the overall diameter allows the overtube 200 to traverse an esophagus having an average bend radius of about 7.5 cm.

35 alloy (e.g., nickel-titanium, copper-zinc-aluminum-nickel, or As best shown in FIGS. 2-4, in one embodiment, a material capture device 220 is slidably housed within the primary lumen 210 of the overtube 200. A retaining mechanism 208 is coupled with the material capture device 220 to releasably secure one or more materials (e.g., sutures, excised tissue, tool tips, waste bags, diagnostic sensors, or the like) to the material capture device 220. As best shown in FIG. 3, in one embodiment, the retaining mechanism  $208$  is a passive spring-type grasper comprising, for example a shape memory copper-aluminum-nickel). In this embodiment, the retaining mechanism 208 is a thin ribbon of super-elastic shape memory alloy shaped into a plateau-like profile in its resting state (shown as dark heavy lines in FIG. 3). The spring-type grasper retaining mechanism 208 shown in FIG. 3 deforms (shown in light lines  $208a$ ) to accommodate the insertion of a material 600. In some embodiments, the retaining mechanism 208 can comprise a plurality of passive spring-type graspers tion, such as a multipronged grasper (e.g., 3- or 4-pronged grasper, not shown). Alternatively, the retaining mechanism 208 can be an actively actuated grasper, Such as in a hinged jaw configuration (not shown). In some embodiments, the retaining mechanism 208 is actuated using a linear, rotary, hydraulic, or pneumatic actuator (not shown).

Material capture device 220 and retaining mechanism 208 are configured such that, as the material capture device 220 slides between the proximal and distal ends 60, 70 of the compliant overtube 200, the one or more materials are trans ported between the proximal and distal ends 60, 70 of com pliant overtube 200. The material capture device 220 is dimensioned and shaped as appropriate to accommodate a desired retaining mechanism 208 and to allow access to the retaining mechanism 208 at both the proximal 60 and distal 70 ends of the compliant overtube. In the embodiment shown in FIG. 3, the material capture device 220 is shaped as a hollow section of tube within which a retaining mechanism 208 can be inserted and affixed.

As best shown in FIG. 4, a rotating helical drive member 206 is disposed within the primary lumen 210 of compliant overtube 200. In one embodiment, as best shown in FIGS. 4 and 5A, the material capture device 220 is dimensioned to fit within drive member 206 and is operably associated with the drive member 206 via an attached tab  $204a$  that can be disposed between adjoining coils of the helical drive member 206. Slot 204b defined in the wall of primary lumen 210 constrains the orientation of tab  $204a$ , and thereby the orien- $\rightarrow$ tation of material capture device 220, such that rotation of drive member 206 causes translation of the material capture device 220 axially along the compliant overtube 200.

Alternatively, as best shown in FIG. 5B, the overtube 200 comprises offset tabs 500a disposed along the length of the 10 interior of primary lumen 210 and material capture device 220 comprises shuttle tabs 500b to orient the material capture device 220 with respect to the compliant overtube 200.

In a further alternative, the material capture device 220 can have any known structure for allowing the device 220 to be 15 urged along the length of the overtube 200.

As best shown in FIGS. 1 and 6, the drive member 206 of FIG. 4 is retained within the compliant overtube 200 by an overtube cap 202 on the distal end 70 of compliant overtube 200 and with an overtube coupling 42 on the proximal end 60. 20 In one embodiment the overtube cap 202 is configured to provide an access point to interface with other surgical equipment (not shown). Such as an in vivo robot, while minimizing the overall diameter of the end of the material delivery system 100 that may be inserted into a patient, thus reducing proce 25 dural trauma on surrounding tissues. In some embodiments, the overtube cap 202 is configured to retain the drive member 206 while allowing medical professionals to access the mate rial capture device 220. In another embodiment, the overtube coupling 42 is configured to provide access to the material 30 capture device 220 and provide a location to couple the com pliant overtube 200 to the rest of the material handling system 1OO.

As best shown in FIGS. 1 and 7, drive member 206 of FIG. 4 is coupled to motor 400 via a motor coupling 40. The drive 35 member 206 can be releasably coupled to the motor coupling 40, such that the material handling component 50 is detach able from the electronic housing 30. The motor coupling 40 can be a two-piece design, with one halfrigidly attached to the motor 400, and the other rigidly attached to the drive member 40 206, allowing for detachment of the drive member 206 from the motor 400 such that a medical professional can access the material capture device 220. To ensure proper alignment of the compliant overtube 200 and drive member 206 to the motor 400, an overtube coupling 42 as shown in FIG. 1 can be 45 attached near the proximal end of the overtube 200 and coupled to the base plate 32 via an overtube mount 406 located on the base plate 32 (FIG. 7). In one embodiment, the overtube mount 406 may utilize permanent magnets, embed ded both in the base plate 32 and in the overtube coupling 42, 50 enabling positive coupling and quick removal and re-attach ment of the material handling component 50 to the electronic housing 30 when a medical professional accesses the material capture device 220.

In another embodiment (not shown), the drive member 55 may be a hydraulic or pneumatic system where a secondary lumen 230 or tertiary lumen 240 as shown in FIG. 2 may be used as a hydraulic or pneumatic channel to drive the material capture device 220. In this embodiment, the motor 400 may be substituted with a hydraulic or pneumatic apparatus.

Continuing with FIGS. 1 and 7, in some embodiments, the motor 400 is housed in an electronic housing 30 comprising a baseplate 32 and a top plate 34 that are removably attached to one another. In some embodiments, the motor 400 is attached to the baseplate  $32$  and/or the top plate  $34$ . The electronic  $65$ housing 30 can house additional components such as a micro control unit 402 and/or a power supply 404 for motor 400.

The micro-control unit 402 and/or the power supply 404 can be attached to the base plate 32 and/or top plate 34 similarly to the motor 400. The top plate 34 and base plate 32 can be made of materials suitable for protecting electronic components from damage. In some embodiments, the base plate 32 and/or top plate 34 may be omitted from the material handling system 100.

In one embodiment, as best shown in FIG. 1, the top plate supports operational controls 36. Operational controls 36 can include, for example, momentary contact pushbuttons for automatic and/or manual control of the motor 400, which would propel the drive member 206. Alternatively, opera tional controls 36 can be located on a separate component (e.g., a computer) that is in electronic communication with one or more components housed in the electronic housing 30.

In one embodiment, the motor 400 may operate using an open-loop logic from a micro-control unit 402. The motor speed and the number of coils per unit length of the drive member 206 can be adjusted to control the rate of speed at which the material capture device 220 traverses the length of the overtube 200. For example, a rotation rate of 650 rpm with a drive member 206 having 3 coils per inch will allow the material capture device 220 to traverse an overtube 200 with a length of about 1 meter in 10 seconds. The motor speed and number of coils per unit length of the drive member 206 can additionally be adjusted to control the level of friction between the material capture device 220 and the drive mem ber 206 and/or the overtube 200.

The micro-control unit 402 can include a motor position sensor (not shown) that can be used to calculate the position of the material capture device 220 within compliant overtube 200 based on the rotation count of the motor 400 and the coil configuration of the drive member 206. In one embodiment, as best shown in FIG. 1, the top plate houses one or more location indicators 38 that can be used to display the position of the material capture device 220 within compliant overtube 2OO.

The provided material handling system 100 can be used to provide the bridge between other surgical instrumentation, such as in vivo robots, and medical professionals. To facilitate this function, the compliant overtube 200 may contain a sec ondary lumen 230 and/or a tertiary lumen 240, as best shown in FIG. 2. These lumens may provide additional functionality for a medical professional, for example a lighting port, a video port and/or a port for suction and/or irrigation. In one embodiment, the secondary lumen 230 allows for insertion of a flexible fiberscope that has integrated lighting and video capabilities, and the tertiary lumen 240 has the structural integrity to sustain pressures from a suction/irrigation pump. Further lumens may also be provided.

In use, the material handling system 100 may be inserted into a person by a medical professional in a number of ways. In one embodiment, the compliant overtube 200 and the com ponents located within may be passed through a natural ori fice without active steering, relying only on the compliance in the system to guide the device into the peritoneal cavity. In another embodiment, an articulated fiberscope may be inserted into a secondary lumen 230, after which the compli ant overtube 200 and the components located within may be passed through a natural orifice, as a medical professional actively steers the device with the articulated fiberscope. In yet another embodiment, the drive member 206, material capture device 200, and overtube coupling 42 may be removed from the compliant overtube 200, an articulated endoscope may be inserted into the lumen 210 where the removed components were located, after which the compliant overtube 200 may be passed through a natural orifice, as a

60

5

40

medical professional actively steers the device using the articulated endoscope. The endoscope would then be removed, and the drive member 206, material capture device 220, and overtube coupling 42 may be reinstalled on the compliant overtube 200.

Various modifications and additions can be made to the exemplary embodiments discussed without departing from the scope of the present invention. For example, while the embodiments described above refer to particular features, the scope of this invention also includes embodiments having 10 different combinations of features and embodiments that do not include all of the above described features.

The invention claimed is:

1. A system configured to transport a material between the outside and the inside of a patient undergoing laparoscopic 15 surgery and an insufflated abdominal cavity, the system comprising:

- a. a compliant overtube further comprising at least a pri mary lumen and a proximal end and a distal end;
- b. a material capture device comprising a retaining mecha nism disposed within the primary lumen; and
- c. a helical drive member disposed within the primary lumen and configured to repeatedly shuttle the material capture device between the proximal end and the distal end and into the insufflated abdominal cavity of the 25 patient,
	- wherein the material capture device further comprises a tab that can be disposed between adjoining coils of the helical drive member and further can be disposed into a slot defined in the wall of the primary lumen, 30 wherein the slot constrains the orientation of the material capture device within the primary lumen.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the retaining mechanism comprises a passive spring-type grasper.

3. The system of claim 2, wherein the retaining mechanism 35 comprises a shape memory alloy.

4. The system of claim 2, wherein the retaining mechanism is shaped into a plateau-like profile.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein a motor that drives the drive member is housed within an electronic housing.

6. The system of claim 5, further comprising motor con trols disposed on or within the electronic housing.

7. The system of claim 5, wherein the motor is controlled using components remote from the electronic housing.

8. The system of claim 1, wherein the compliant overtube 45 comprises silicone.

9. The system of claim 1, further comprising at least a secondary lumen.

8

10. The system of claim 9, wherein the at least secondary lumen is capable of providing at least one port selected from the group consisting of: a lighting port, a video port, a suction and an irrigation port.

11. A method for transporting a material between the out side and the inside of a natural orifice translumenal endo scopic surgery patient having an insufflated abdomen, comprising:

- a. inserting through an incision in the natural orifice trans lumenal endoscopic Surgery patient a distal end of a compliant overtube having:
	- i. a primary lumen;
	- ii. a material capture device comprising a retaining mechanism disposed within the primary lumen wherein the retaining mechanism further comprises a passive spring-type grasper and a shape memory alloy; and
	- iii. a drive member configured to repeatedly shuttle the material capture device between the proximal end and the distal end;
- b. retaining the material in the retaining mechanism of the material capture device; and
- c. actuating the drive member to advance the material cap ture device and the retained material from the insufflated abdomen of the patient to the outside of the patient or from the outside of the patient to the insufflated abdo men of the patient.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the incision is in a tissue that is accessible through a natural orifice.

13. The method of claim 11, wherein the drive member is a helical drive member disposed within the primary lumen.

14. The method of claim 11, wherein the drive member is a hydraulic or pneumatic system.

15. The method of claim 11, wherein the material is selected from the group consisting of: sutures, excised tissue, tool tips, waste bags, and diagnostic sensors.

16. The method of claim 11, further comprising at least a secondary lumen.

17. The method of claim 15, wherein the at least secondary lumen is capable of providing at least one port selected from the group consisting of: a lighting port, a video port, a suction and an irrigation port.

18. The method of claim 11, wherein the material is selected from the group consisting of: sutures, excised tissue, tool tips, waste bags, and diagnostic sensors.<br> $\frac{1}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$