Copyright © 2016, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

1	In vitro Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Staphylococcus pseudintermedius isolates of human
2	and animal origin
3	Romney M. Humphries ^{1*} , Max T. Wu ² , Lars F. Westblade ³ , Amy E. Robertson ⁴ , Carey-Ann D.
4	Burnham ⁵ , Meghan A. Wallace ⁵ , Eileen M. Burd ^{6,7} , Sara Lawhon ⁸ , and Janet A. Hindler ¹
5	¹ UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA
6	² Landstuhl Regional Medical Center, Landstuhl, Deutschland
7	³ Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY
8	⁴ New-York Presbyterian Hospital, Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY
9	⁵ Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO
10	⁶ Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA
11	⁷ Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Atlanta, GA
12	⁷ Vetrinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Texas A&M University, TX
13	
14	*Corresponding author
15	Mailing address: 10833 Le Conte Avenue. Brentwood Annex, Los Angeles, CA 90095.
16	Email: rhumphries@mednet.ucla.edu
17	
18	

Accepted Manuscript Po<u>sted Onl</u>

19 ABSTRACT

20 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) results for 115 Staphylococcus 21 intermedius group isolates are presented. 33% were methicillin resistant, among which 51.4% were susceptible to doxycycline, 29.7% to clindamycin and 21.6% to trimethoprim-22 23 sulfamethoxazole. All isolates were susceptible to ceftaroline, daptomycin, linezolid, 24 nitrofurantoin, quinupristin-dalfopristin, rifampin, tigecycline, and vancomycin. 82.6%, 25 67.8% and 23.5% of all isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, erythromycin, and 26 penicillin. No isolates harbored mupA or qacA/B genes, suggestive of no resistance to 27 mupirocin or chlorhexidine.

28 TEXT

29 The Staphylococcus intermedius group (SIG) is comprised of Staphylococcus 30 intermedius, Staphylococcus pseudintermedius, and Staphylococcus delphini. These Gram-31 positive cocci are tube coagulase positive and slide coagulase negative (except S. 32 intermedius), and may be misidentified as *Staphylococcus aureus* by clinical laboratories that 33 test human specimens (1). A colonizer of the nares and anal mucosa of cats and dogs, the 34 presence of S. pseudintermedius is increasingly being recognized in human diagnostic 35 specimens (2). This may in part be due to improved diagnostic technologies, such as matrix-36 assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) now 37 being used in many clinical laboratories. S. pseudintermedius have been documented to 38 cause invasive infections in humans, including brain abscesses, endocarditis, and 39 bacteremia (3). Methicillin resistance among S. pseudintermedius isolated from dogs is increasing (4), with rates of up to 47% in some regions of the world (5). This resistance is 40 41 predominantly due to the dissemination of the ST71 clonal lineage in Europe and ST68 clonal lineage in North America (4). Methicillin resistant (MR) isolates often display 42

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

JCM

43 resistance to other classes of antimicrobials used in veterinary medicine, including 44 aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, lincosamides, macrolides, tetracyclines and also to 45 chloramphenicol and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) (6). However, there are limited susceptibility data available for *S. pseudintermedius* with antimicrobials used for humans. 46 47 We recently conducted a study to evaluate oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) results as predictors of methicillin resistance (encoded by 48 49 mecA) in a collection of 115 SIG isolated from human and veterinary specimens associated with clinical infections. This study documented that cefoxitin testing, which is 50 51 recommended by the Clinical and Laboratories Standards Institute (CLSI) to predict methicillin resistance for other species of staphylococci, is a poor predictor of mecA in SIG, 52 53 whereas both oxacillin disk and MIC tests accurately detect mecA-mediated oxacillin resistance in these isolates (7). As a result of our study, CLSI published S. pseudintermedius-54 55 specific oxacillin breakpoints in the 26th edition of the M100S standard (8). The present 56 study documents the results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) for this collection 57 of 115 SIG isolates, including 111 isolates of S. pseudintermedius (45 from human, 56 from canine, 7 from feline, 2 from avian and 1 from porcine sources) and 4 isolates of S. delphini 58 59 (3 from equine and 1 from avian sources).

60 Bacterial isolates were described in our previous article (7). AST was performed 61 according to the CLSI reference broth microdilution MIC method (8), using panels prepared in-house with cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB). MHB was supplemented with 62 50 mg/L CaCl₂ for daptomycin testing and 2% NaCl for oxacillin testing (9). Fifteen 63 64 antimicrobial agents were tested (Table 1). BMD tests were read following 16-20 hours 65 incubation at 35°C in ambient air for all antimicrobials except oxacillin and vancomycin, where the final reading was done following 24 hours' incubation. MIC results were 66 interpreted according to Staphylococcus spp. breakpoints listed in CLSI M100S 26th edition, 67

68 including use of the new oxacillin S. pseudintermedius breakpoints and ceftaroline and vancomycin breakpoints for S. aureus (8). Because there are no CLSI tigecycline 69 breakpoints, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) breakpoint for S. aureus was used. All 70 71 isolates with penicillin-susceptible MICs ($\leq 0.12 \mu g/ml$) were also tested by penicillin disk 72 diffusion using the standard CLSI method and examined for beta-lactamase production 73 using a BBL Cefinase[™] disk (BD, Sparks MD). In addition to taking zone measurements, the zone edges were evaluated for sharp versus fuzzy borders around the penicillin disks. Beta-74 75 lactamase testing was performed using growth taken from the zone margin surrounding a 76 penicillin disk test on BBL Mueller Hinton agar (MHA, BD) after 16-18 hours' incubation. mecA PCR and SCCmec typing was performed as described in our previous article (7). 77 78 Mupirocin resistance was determined by PCR for the *mupA* gene and chlorhexidine 79 resistance by PCR for the *qacA/B* gene, as described elsewhere (10).

80 MIC results obtained for the 115 isolates are shown in Table 1. Thirty-seven isolates 81 (32.2%) harbored the *mecA* gene, including 4 of human origin and 33 of veterinary origin. Using the CLSI M100S 26th edition *Staphylococcus* spp. interpretive criteria, 33 of the 78 82 (42.3%) *mecA*-negative isolates had penicillin susceptible MICs of $\leq 0.12 \mu g/mL$ (Table 1). 83 84 For 27/33 isolates, MICs were $\leq 0.06 \ \mu g/ml$, penicillin zone measurements were susceptible at \geq 29 mm and induced nitrocefin tests were negative. 6/33 (18.2%) yielded a positive 85 86 induced nitrocefin test, indicating the presence of a beta-lactamase, including 5 human isolates and 1 animal isolate. Six isolates demonstrated penicillin zones ≤28 mm (resistant) 87 88 and all had "sharp" zone edges. Five of these isolates had penicillin MICs of 0.12 µg/mL and 89 1 isolate had a penicillin MIC of $\leq 0.03 \ \mu g/mL$. Repeat testing in two laboratories confirmed 90 results. When the nitrocefin tests were performed using un-induced colonies (i.e. not from a 91 penicillin zone margin), variable results were obtained, with 0-4 of the 6 isolates yielding a 92 positive result in different laboratories, on different days when testing colonies grown on

Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on September 12, 2018 by gues:

BAP or on MHA. As such, a test for beta-lactamase production should be performed for all
penicillin-susceptible *S. pseudintermedius* isolates, as is done for other *Staphylococcus* spp.
Whether a penicillin zone edge test is sufficient for this purpose, or if an induced nitrocefinbased test is needed, remains to be determined. However, in our limited analysis, the
penicillin zone edge test was 100% concordant with nitrocefin results obtained when
testing induced colonies. All isolates were susceptible to ceftaroline, the cephalosporin with
high affinity binding to PBP2a expressed by *mecA*.

100 With regards to the non-beta-lactam agents, significant differences were noted in 101 the percentage of methicillin-resistant isolates susceptible to doxycycline, SXT, and 102 clindamycin, as compared to what has been documented with contemporary isolates of S. 103 aureus (11). This constellation of multi-drug resistance is consistent with the multi-drug 104 resistant (MDR) S. pseudintermedius clones, ST68 and ST71, which harbor mutations within 105 gyrA and grlA (conferring resistance to fluoroquinolones), as well as a TN5404-like 106 transposon element that harbors the dfrG (sulfamethoxazole resistance) and ermB107 (clindamycin and erythromycin resistance) genes (4). Interestingly, differences were noted 108 in our collection based on the SCCmec type. Isolates with SCCmec V were more commonly 109 resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin (10/11 isolates, 90.9%), SXT (10/11 isolates, 110 90.9%), doxycycline (8/11 isolates, 72.7%) and ciprofloxacin (9/11 isolates, 81.8%) as compared to those with SCCmec types IV or III. For SCCmec type IV, 4/8 (50.0%), 8/8 111 112 (100%), 1/8 (12.5%), and 0/8 (0.0%) isolates were resistant to these antimicrobials, 113 respectively. For isolates with SCCmec type III, 4/9 (44.4%), 2/9 (22.2%), 4/9 (44.4%) and 114 0/9 (0.0%) were resistant. Isolates of the MDR North American ST68 lineage harbor 115 SCCmec V, similar to the more resistant isolates in our collection (4).

lournal of Clinica Microbiology 5

116 Doxycycline susceptibility was 89.7% among mecA-negative isolates and only 51.4% among *mecA*-positive isolates (Table 1). This is in striking contrast to doxycycline 117 118 susceptibility rates among human isolates of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), which 119 were 96% among a collection of >4,000 isolates recovered from human diagnostic 120 specimens in 2010 (12). Doxycycline susceptibility rates were similarly high among 121 methicillin-resistant CoNS, at 94.1% in one study of 1,473 isolates (13). Our data are 122 consistent with previous studies that documented 31-38% doxycycline susceptibility 123 among methicillin-resistant S. pseudintermedius (MRSP) isolates from canine sources (14, 124 15). No difference was noted in susceptibility to doxycycline between human (n=5, 40.0% susceptible) and veterinary (n=32, 53.1% susceptible) MRSP isolates in the present study. 125

126 Of note, canine-specific breakpoints for doxycycline have been proposed to 127 accommodate the pharmacokinetics of doxycycline doses used for dogs. The canine 128 breakpoints are $\leq 0.125 \ \mu g/mL$ (susceptible), 0.25 $\mu g/mL$ (intermediate) and $\geq 0.5 \ \mu g/mL$ 129 (resistant), but these have yet to be published in the CLSI VET antimicrobial susceptibility 130 testing document (16). The lowest concentration of doxycycline tested in our study was 1 μ g/mL, and as such we cannot estimate the effect these breakpoints would have on our 131 132 collection of isolates. However, 35% of mecA-positive and 10.2% of mecA-negative isolates 133 had MICs of 2 – 4 μ g/mL, which are resistant by the canine breakpoints but susceptible by 134 the human breakpoints. Resistance to the tetracyclines is mediated through acquisition of 135 tetracycline resistance genes (tet genes), four of which have been identified among S. 136 *pseudintermedius* isolates. These are tet(M) and tet(O), which mediate ribosomal protection, 137 and *tet*(K) and *tet*(L), which encode efflux pumps. The most commonly occurring of these 138 are tet(M) and tet(K) in S. pseudintermedius (16, 17). Isolates that harbor none of these 139 genes typically have MICs $\leq 0.125 \ \mu g/mL$ to doxycycline, whereas acquisition of the *tet*(M) 140 gene can be associated with MICs that are elevated, but below the $4 \mu g/mL$ CLSI M100S 26th

ournal of Clinica Microbioloav 141 edition susceptible breakpoint. Clinically, it is unclear whether such isolates that are susceptible by the CLSI M100S 26th edition breakpoint and harbor a tet gene are associated 142 143 with treatment failures, but these isolates would be considered resistant by the proposed 144 veterinary breakpoint (16). The EUCAST susceptible breakpoint for doxycycline is ≤ 1 µg/mL for human isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. (www.eucast.org) and when applying this 145 146 breakpoint, only 18.1% of methicillin-resistant and 79.5% of methicillin-susceptible isolates 147 in our study would be doxycycline susceptible. Regardless, the tet genes are carried on 148 Tn5801 and Tn916 elements (6), the same as are found in human and veterinary isolates of 149 tetracycline-resistant S. aureus (18). The Tn916 tet(M) gene was found in all isolates of the 150 clonal complex (CC) 398 of S. aureus, suggesting this element was integrated into the 151 genome of the clone early and disseminated vertically. This may also be the case for the 152

ST71 and ST68 clonal lineages of *S. pseudintermedius*, and may account for the common occurrence of doxycycline resistance in these isolates. Doxycycline resistance may also be selected for through the common use of this agent for the treatment of pyoderma in small animal veterinary medicine.

156 SXT susceptibility was only 21.6% among *mecA* positive isolates. In contrast, human 157 isolates of MRSA are typically susceptible to this agent; in 2013, 98.0% of isolates in a 158 collection of over 9,000 MRSA were susceptible to SXT (19). SXT susceptibility is lower 159 among coagulase-negative staphylococci. In the same study conducted in 2013 52.7% of 160 2,268 methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci were susceptible to SXT (19).

All isolates in this study that were resistant to erythromycin were also resistant to clindamycin and susceptibility rates for both agents were only 29.7% among MRSP (Table 163 1). Consequently, no inducible clindamycin resistance was observed, although an inducible 164 *erm* gene has been documented previously in *S. pseudintermedius* (20). Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on September 12, 2018 by gues:

We documented 51.4% ciprofloxacin susceptibility in MRSP, which is similar to what has been observed for MRSA and MR coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CoNS) isolates (19). However, this susceptibility rate is significantly higher than has been documented in some studies of veterinary SIG isolates, where susceptibility rates as low as 2.7% have been reported using the same susceptible breakpoint of 1 μ g/mL (21). A single point mutation in topoisomerase II or IV genes confers fluoroquinolone resistance in *S. pseudintermedius* (22).

172 All isolates were susceptible to ceftaroline, daptomycin, linezolid, nitrofurantoin, 173 quinupristin-dalfopristin, rifampin, tigecycline, and vancomycin. There are currently no 174 vancomycin breakpoints for the SIG, as the CLSI only publishes S. aureus and CoNS 175 breakpoints for this antimicrobial agent. However, unlike the CoNS, where the modal MIC 176 for vancomycin is 2.0 μ g/mL, we found vancomycin MIC mode to be 1.0 μ g/mL, similar to 177 what is documented for *S. aureus*. As such, it may be reasonable for clinical laboratories to 178 interpret vancomycin MICs using the more conservative S. aureus susceptible breakpoints of $\leq 2.0 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ when SIG is encountered, as compared to the $\leq 4 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$ breakpoint for CoNS 179 180 in the M100S or for Staphylococcus spp. in the VET01, CLSI standards. Similar to what has 181 been seen in other studies of SIG (23) we did not document any cases of high-level 182 mupirocin resistance among the isolates in this collection, nor did we detect the presence of 183 the *qacA/B* gene in any isolates, suggestive of the absence of chlorhexidine resistance in this 184 collection of isolates.

In summary, we present *in vitro* susceptibility results for a large collection of SIG clinical isolates tested by the CLSI reference BMD MIC method. Laboratories should carefully review susceptibility results for all coagulase-positive staphylococci and consider using additional identification procedures, such as MALDI-TOF MS or an automated 189 instrument, for isolates that are doxycycline and/or SXT resistant, a phenotype common to 190 S. pseudintermedius, but unusual for S. aureus. This is important, as correct identification of 191 these isolates is critical to accurate testing of SIG with oxacillin to detect methicillin 192 resistance. Clinicians should be cognizant of the dramatic difference in SXT, clindamycin, 193 and doxycycline susceptibility between SIG and S. aureus, as these agents are commonly 194 prescribed as empiric therapy for MRSA in wound and skin structure infections. While 195 overall, susceptibility to these antimicrobials was higher in human than in animal isolates 196 (Table 1), this is likely due to the significantly higher proportion of *mecA*- positive isolates 197 in the veterinary collection, a bias of our data set. A second limitation of the present study is 198 the inclusion of only 4 S. delphini and 0 S. intermedius isolates; further data will determine if 199 susceptibility rates differ significantly for these isolates as compared to S. pseudintermedius. 200 It is worth noting, however, that *S. intermedius* is very infrequently isolated in veterinary or 201 human clinical laboratories, but rather is a constituent of the normal nares flora of the wild 202 pigeon (24).

lournal of Clinical Microbiology Downloaded from http://jcm.asm.org/ on September 12, 2018 by guest

203 References

- 204 1. Borjesson S, Gomez-Sanz E, Ekstrom K, Torres C, Gronlund U. 2015. Staphylococcus
- 205 pseudintermedius can be misdiagnosed as Staphylococcus aureus in humans with dog bite
- 206 wounds. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 34: 839-844.
- 207 2. Lee J, Murray A, Bendall R, Gaze W, Zhang L, Vos M. 2015. Improved detection of
- Staphylococcus intermedius group in a routine diagnostic laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 53:
 961-963.
- 210 3. Kelesidis T, Tsiodras S. 2010. *Staphylococcus intermedius* is not only a zoonotic
- pathogen, but may also cause skin abscesses in humans after exposure to saliva. Int J Infect
 Dis 14: e838-841.
- 213 4. Perreten V, Kadlec K, Schwarz S, Gronlund Andersson U, Finn M, Greko C, Moodley
- 214 A, Kania SA, Frank LA, Bemis DA, Franco A, Iurescia M, Battisti A, Dulm B, Wagenaar
- 215 JA, van Duijkeren E, Weese JS, Fitzgerald JF, Rossano A, Guardabassi L. 2010. Clonal
- 216 spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in Europe and North
- 217 America: an international multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother **65**: 1145-1154.
- 218 5. Feng Y, Tian W, Lin D, Luo Q, Zhou Y, Yang T, Deng Y, Liu YH, Liu JH. 2012. Prevalence
- 219 and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* in pets from
- 220 South China. Vet Microbiol **160**: 517-524.
- 221 6. McCarthy AJ, Harrison EM, Stanczak-Mrozek K, Leggett B, Waller A, Holmes MA,
- 222 Lloyd DH, Lindsay JA, Loeffler A. (2015) Genomic insights into the rapid emergence and
- evolution of MDR in *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J Antimicrob Chemother 70: 997-
- 224 1007.
- 225 7. Wu MT, Burnham CA, Westblade LF, Dien Bard J, Lawhon SD, Wallace MA, Stanley T,
- 226 Burd E, Hindler J, Humphries RM. 2015. Evaluation of oxacillin and cefoxitin disk and MIC

ournal of Clinica Microbiology

- breakpoints for the prediction of methicillin resistance in human and veterinary isolates of
 - 228 Staphylococcus intermedius group. J Clin Microbiol. In press
 - 8. **CLSI**. 2016. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing M100S, 26th
 - 230 Ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
 - 9. CLSI. 2012. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow
 - 232 Aerobically; Approved Standard, Ninth Edition. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory
 - 233 Standards Institute.
 - 10. Fritz SA, Hogan PG, Camins BC, Ainsworth AJ, Patrick C, Martin MS, Krauss MJ,
 - 235 Rodriguez M, Burnham CA. 2013. Mupirocin and chlorhexidine resistance in
 - 236 *Staphylococcus aureus* in patients with community-onset skin and soft tissue infections.
 - 237 Antimicrob Agents Chemother **57**: 559-568.
 - 238 11. Richter SS, Diekema DJ, Heilmann KP, Dohrn CL, Crispell EK, Riahi F, McDanel JS,
 - 239 Satola SW, Doern GV. 2014. Activities of vancomycin, ceftaroline, and mupirocin against
 - 240 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates collected in a 2011 national surveillance study in the United
 - 241 States. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **58**: 740-745.
 - 242 12. Jones RN, Stilwell MG, Wilson ML, Mendes RE. 2013. Contemporary tetracycline
 - 243 susceptibility testing: doxycycline MIC methods and interpretive criteria (CLSI and EUCAST)
 - 244 performance when testing Gram-positive pathogens. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis **76**: 69-72.
 - 245 13. Jones RN, Farrell DJ, Sader HS, Castanheira M. 2011. Abstr. 21st ECCMID. Abstr. 944.
 - 246 14. Weese JS, Sweetman K, Edson H, Rousseau J. 2013. Evaluation of minocycline
 - 247 susceptibility of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. Vet Microbiol **162**:
 - **248** 968-971.
 - 249 15. Hnot ML, Cole LK, Lorch G, Papich MG, Rajala-Schultz PJ, Daniels JB. 2015.
 - 250 Evaluation of canine-specific minocycline and doxycycline susceptibility breakpoints for

Journal of Clinica

251

252 334-e371. 16. Maaland MG, Papich MG, Turnidge J, Guardabassi L. 2013. Pharmacodynamics of 253 254 doxycycline and tetracycline against Staphylococcus pseudintermedius: proposal of canine-255 specific breakpoints for doxycycline. J Clin Microbiol 51: 3547-3554. 256 17. Schwarz S, Roberts MC, Werckenthin C, Pang Y, Lange C. 1998. Tetracycline 257 resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp. from domestic animals. Vet Microbiol **63**: 217-227. 258 18. de Vries LE, Christensen H, Skov RL, Aarestrup FM, Agerso Y. 2009. Diversity of the 259 tetracycline resistance gene tet(M) and identification of Tn916- and Tn5801-like (Tn6014) 260 transposons in Staphylococcus aureus from humans and animals. J Antimicrob Chemother 261 **64**: 490-500. 262 19. Sader HS, Flamm RK, Jones RN. 2013. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline tested 263 against staphylococci with reduced susceptibility to linezolid, daptomycin, or vancomycin 264 from U.S. hospitals, 2008 to 2011. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 3178-3181. 265 20. Gold RM, Lawhon SD. 2013. Incidence of inducible clindamycin resistance in 266 Staphylococcus pseudintermedius from dogs. J Clin Microbiol 51: 4196-4199. 267 21. Ruscher C, Lubke-Becker A, Semmler T, Wleklinski CG, Paasch A, Soba A, Stamm I, 268 Kopp P, Wieler LH, Walther B. 2010. Widespread rapid emergence of a distinct 269 methicillin- and multidrug-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius (MRSP) genetic 270 lineage in Europe. Vet Microbiol 144: 340-346. 271 22. Descloux S, Rossano A, Perreten V. 2008. Characterization of new staphylococcal 272 cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) and topoisomerase genes in fluoroquinolone- and 273 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J Clin Microbiol **46**: 1818-1823. 274 23. Godbeer SM, Gold RM, Lawhon SD. 2014. Prevalence of mupirocin resistance in 275 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*. J Clin Microbiol **52**: 1250-1252.

meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius isolates from dogs. Vet Dermatol 26:

12

ournal of Clinica Microbiology

- 276 24. Sasaki T, Kikuchi K, Tanaka Y, Takahashi N, Kamata S, Hiramatsu K. 20007.
- 277 Reclassification of phenotypically identified Staphylococcus intermediu strains. J Clin
- 278 Microbiol. 45:2770-2778.

279

280

Journal of Clinical Microbiology

JCM

281

282 Table 1. MIC values of 15 antimicrobial agents for *Staphylococcus intermedius* group (n=115) when tested by CLSI reference broth

283 microdilution MIC method in CAMHB

Antimicrobia	Number of Isolates at MIC (µg/mL)										% susceptible				
1	≤0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	human	animal	mecA-	mecA+	All
Ceftaroline			115ª								100	100	100	100	100
Ciprofloxacin				94 ^a	1			20 ^b			91.1	77.1	97.4	51.4	82.6
Clindamycin				78ª		1			36 ^b		80.0	60.0	85.9	29.7	67.8
Daptomycin			115ª								100	100	100	100	100
Doxycycline					69		20	23	3 ^b		84.4	72.9	89.7	51.4	77.4
Erythromyci n				78 ^a				I	37 ^b		80.0	60.0	85.9	29.7	67.8
Linezolid			1ª		63	50	1				100	100	100	100	100
Nitrofurantoin									114	1	100	100	100	100	100
Oxacillin			77 ^a		3c	6	2	1	2	24 ^b	91.1	51.4	98.7	0	66.9
Penicillin	28	5	3	1	2		76 ^b				26.6 ^d	21.4 ^d	50	0	23.5
QDA				115ª		1					100	100	100	100	100
Rifampin				115ª							100	100	100	100	100
SXT				54 ^a	25	1	4	31 ^b			84.4	60.0	92.3	21.6	69.6
Tigecycline			115ª								100	100	100	100	100
Vancomycin			14ª		100	1	1				100	100	100	100	100

284

a MIC ≤ value in column header; ^b value ≥ value in column header; ^c includes 1 isolate that was *mecA* negative; ^d includes 5 human isolates and 1 animal isolate that had penicillin susceptible MICs but were beta-lactamase positive

287 QDA, quinupristin-dalfopristin; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

Journal of Clinical Microbiology 288 MIC values to left of vertical lines fall in the susceptible interpretive category; those to the right are in the intermediate or resistant

289 category

JCM