Charge and Spin Hall Conductivity in Metallic Graphene.

N.A. Sinitsyn,¹ J.E. Hill,¹ Hongki Min,¹ Jairo Sinova,² and A.H. MacDonald¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX 78712-1081, USA

²Department of Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA

(Dated: August 20, 2018)

Graphene has an unusual low-energy band structure with four chiral bands and half-quantized and quantized Hall effects that have recently attracted theoretical and experimental attention. We study the Fermi energy and disorder dependence of its spin Hall conductivity σ_{xy}^{SH} . In the metallic regime we find that vertex corrections enhance the intrinsic spin Hall conductivity and that skew scattering can lead to σ_{xy}^{SH} values that exceed the quantized ones expected when the chemical potential is inside the spin-orbit induced energy gap. We predict that large spin Hall conductivities will be observable in graphene even when the spin-orbit gap does not survive disorder.

Introduction- The low-energy band structure of graphene consists of four chiral bands that realize (2+1)dimensional relativistic field theory models with parity anomalies. The anomalies imply unusual spectra in an external magnetic field and quantized and halfquantized Hall effects^{1,2}. Theoretical interest³ in these unusual electronic systems has increased⁴ recently because of experimental progress⁵, including measurements of the anticipated *half-quantized* quantum Hall effect. One particularly interesting observation, due to Kane and $Mele^{6,7}$, is that because of a gap produced by spinorbit interactions, the spin Hall conductivity σ_{SH} of undoped graphene is quantized in the absence of a magnetic *field.* This suggestion is related to recent work on the anomalous Hall effect in ferromagnetic metals 8 and on its paramagnetic cousin, the spin Hall effect⁹, in which it was suggested that these transport coefficients can be dominated by an intrinsic momentum-space Berry phase contribution that reduces to quantized values when the Fermi level is in a gap. Here we examine how the quantized spin Hall effect is altered when the Fermi energy in the graphene plane is gated into the metallic regime. We find that the intrinsic spin Hall effect is no longer quantized, that it is enhanced by disorder vertex corrections, and that in the metallic regime skew scattering can potentially lead to parametrically larger spin Hall conductivities. Because the Bloch state disorder broadening in current samples is (according to our estimates) much larger than the clean system spin-orbit gap, these results are necessary for the interpretation of experiment. Spin-Hall effects should be observable even when the spin-orbit gap does not survive disorder.

Disordered Graphene Model– When spin-orbit interactions are included,⁶ the low-energy physics of a clean undoped graphene crystal is described by an eight-band envelope function Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0 = v(k_x\tau_z\sigma_x + k_y\sigma_y) + \Delta\sigma_z\tau_z s_z \tag{1}$$

where $s_z = \pm$ is the up/down electron spin component perpendicular to the graphene plane, $\tau_z = \pm$ is a valley label that specifies one of the two inequivalent (K and K') points in the crystal Brillouin zone near which lowenergy states occur, and the σ_i are Pauli matrices representing a pseudo-spin degree of freedom corresponding to the two sites per primitive cell of a hexagonal lattice. The parameter Δ is the strength of the spin-orbit coupling and we take $\hbar = 1$. For $\Delta = 0$ this Hamiltonian defines four spin-degenerate gapless bands in which the pseudospin orientation lies in the \hat{x} - \hat{y} plane and winds around the \hat{z} -axis, either clockwise or counter-clockwise, with a 2π planar wavevector rotation. The operators σ_i , s_z and τ_z commute with each other. Random defects can in general produce transitions between bands and between spins. Here we assume spatially smooth spinindependent disorder so that s_z and τ_z are good quantum numbers, allowing us to consider the cases $\tau_z, s_z = \pm 1$ independently. For this disorder model we evaluate the Kubo-formula Hall conductivity in the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) for chemical potentials inside and outside the spin-orbit gap, including both nontrivial pseudospin dependent disorder self-energies and ladder diagram vertex corrections. When the chemical potential lies in the gap, an elementary calculation shows that in the absence of disorder the single-band bulk partial Hall conductivity is given exactly by the half-quantized Berry phase contribution,^{8,9} $-(s_z e^2/2h)$. disorder corrections to intrinsic Hall effect are small near the gap edge but yield substantial enhancement in more strongly gated systems.

2D-Dirac-band Hall effect-The 2D Dirac Hamiltonian in the spin $\uparrow K$ -valley is

$$\hat{H} = v(k_x\sigma_x + k_y\sigma_y) + \Delta\sigma_z, \qquad (2)$$

Spin-orbit-coupling opens up a gap which breaks the spectrum into an electron band at positive energies and a hole band at negative energies $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (vk)^2}$, where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ and \pm refer to electron and hole bands respectively. (The three other graphene bands differ either in the Dirac band chirality sense, or in the sign of the mass term, or in both ways.) In what follows, we assume that the Fermi energy is positive; because of the symmetry of the Dirac Hamiltonian generalization to negative ϵ_F is trivial.

The Kubo formula for the Hall conductivity depends on both band-diagonal and off-diagonal matrix elements of the velocity operator and on the electronic Green's function. The disorder-free retarded Green's function

and velocity operators for this Hamiltonian are $G_0^R(\epsilon) =$ $(\epsilon - \hat{H} + i\eta)^{-1}$, $v_x = v\sigma_x$, and $v_y = v\sigma_y$. It will prove convenient to use the Streda-Smrcka¹¹ version of the Kubo formula which separates Fermi surface and occupied state contributions: $\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{xy}^{I} + \sigma_{xy}^{II}$ where

$$\sigma_{xy}^{I} = \frac{-e^{2}}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon \frac{df(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} \operatorname{Tr}[v_{x}(G^{R}(\epsilon) - G^{A}(\epsilon))v_{y}G^{A}(\epsilon) - v_{x}G^{R}(\epsilon)v_{y}(G^{R}(\epsilon) - G^{A}(\epsilon))]$$
(3)

and

$$\sigma_{xy}^{II} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\epsilon f(\epsilon) \operatorname{Tr}[v_x G^R(\epsilon) v_y \frac{G^R(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} - v_x \frac{G^R(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} \\ \times v_y G^R(\epsilon) - v_x G^A(\epsilon) v_y \frac{G^A(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} + v_x \frac{G^A(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} v_y G^A(\epsilon)](4)$$

2D-Dirac band intrinsic Hall conductivity— The Hall conductivity in the absence of disorder is most simply evaluated by expressing⁸ it in terms of matrix elements of velocity operator between unperturbed Bloch states:

$$\sigma_{xy}^{int} = \frac{e^2}{\Omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}^+ - f_{\mathbf{k}}^-}{(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^+ - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^-)^2} 2 \mathrm{Im}[\langle u_{\mathbf{k}}^- | v_y | u_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \rangle \langle u_{\mathbf{k}}^+ | v_x | u_{\mathbf{k}}^- \rangle] \quad (5)$$

where the $f_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}$ are occupation numbers in the electron and hole bands, Ω the area of the system, and $|u_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}\rangle$ the **k**dependent pseudospinors of the chiral Dirac Hamiltonian. Eq.(2).

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2)e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |u_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\theta/2) \\ -\cos(\theta/2)e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

where $\cos(\theta) = \Delta/\sqrt{(vk)^2 + \Delta^2}$, and $\tan(\phi) = k_u/k_x$. For the chemical potential in the upper band with Fermi momentum k_F we find

$$\sigma_{xy}^{int} = -\frac{e^2\Delta}{4\pi\sqrt{(vk_F)^2 + \Delta^2}},\tag{7}$$

Eq.(7) includes σ_{xy}^{II} and the disorder free limit of σ_{xy}^{I} . In the metallic regime the disorder-independent part of σ_{xy}^{I} equals with (7) so that in this regime $\sigma_{xy}^{II} = 0$. When the chemical potential is in the gap $(k_F \to 0)$

$$\sigma_{xy} \to \sigma_{xy}^{gap} \equiv -\frac{e^2}{4\pi}.$$
 (8)

This is the 2D-Dirac model's half quantized (in units $e^2/2\pi\hbar$) Hall conductivity, which after summing over bands is responsible for the quantum spin-Hall-effect discussed in Refs. [6,7,10]. It should seem surprising that the Hall conductivity (8) is only half-integer given general arguments that the Hall conductance of non-interacting electrons must be quantized. The resolution of this paradox is that bands come in pairs. The sum of the K and K' valley bulk conductivities is quantized; correspondingly only one band of edge states is induced by the truncation of both K and K' bulk bands.



FIG. 1: Self-energy Feynman diagram in self-consistent Born approximation.

Influence of Disorder on σ_{xy} :— We assume a δ -correlated spin-independent random potential with Gaussian correlations $\langle V(\mathbf{r}_1)V(\mathbf{r}_2)\rangle_{dis} = nV_0^2\delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$. The SCBA that we employ includes only contributions from Feynman diagrams without crossed disorder correlation lines. This common approximation is self-consistent but is incomplete. We assume that crossed-disorder-line contributions give rise to parametrically distinguishable effects and do not affect our qualitative conclusions about Hall effects in metallic graphene. Fig.1 illustrates the SCBA self-energy diagram which can be evaluated to obtain $\Sigma^R = -\frac{i}{4\tau^q}(1 + \sigma_z \cos(\theta))$ where τ^q is a quantum life time at the Fermi surface:

$$1/\tau^q = nV_0^2 \int k \, dk \, \delta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^+) = \frac{nV_0^2 k_F}{v}. \tag{9}$$

Following the notation of Dugaev *et al.*¹³, the SCBA retarded Green's function is

$$G^{R} = \frac{1}{1/G_{0}^{R} - \Sigma^{R}} = \frac{\epsilon_{F} + i\Gamma_{0} + v(k_{x}\sigma_{x} + k_{y}\sigma_{y}) + (\Delta - i\Gamma_{1})\sigma_{z}}{(\epsilon_{F} - \epsilon^{+} + i\gamma^{+})(\epsilon_{F} - \epsilon^{-} + i\gamma^{-})}$$
(10)

where $\Gamma_0 = 1/(4\tau^q)$, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_0 \cos(\theta)$, $\gamma^+ = \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1 \cos(\theta)$, $\gamma^{-} = \Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1 \cos(\theta)$. For these chiral bands disorder not only gives the quasiparticle states a finite lifetime but also changes the quasiparticle eigenspinors. The SCBA for



FIG. 2: Vertex correction Feynman diagram. Black dots represent the Pauli operator.

two-particle Green's functions like the Hall conductivity includes in addition ladder diagram vertex correction illustrated in Fig.2. For large $vk_F\tau$ the terms in σ_{xy}^I which are products of retarded and advanced Green's functions dominate so that the 2D matrix vertex function for which we must solve satisfies:

$$\Upsilon_y = \sigma_y + nV_0^2 \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} G^R \Upsilon_y G^A.$$
(11)

This equation is most easily solved by assuming that

$$\Upsilon_y = a\sigma_0 + b\sigma_x + c\sigma_y + d\sigma_z \tag{12}$$

and deriving equations for a, b, c, and d. We find that

$$c = \frac{2((vk)^2 + 2\Delta^2)}{4\Delta^2 + (vk)^2}, \quad b = -\frac{8\Gamma_0\Delta((vk)^2 + 2\Delta^2)}{(4\Delta^2 + (vk)^2)^2}, \tag{13}$$

and that a = d = 0. The SCBA σ_{xy}^I is obtained by substituting the disorder-dressed Green's function (Eq.(10)) for the bare Green's function and $v\Upsilon_y$ for v_y in the Kubo formula Eq.(3). We find that

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{-e^2 \Delta}{4\pi \sqrt{(vk_F)^2 + \Delta^2}} \left[1 + \frac{4(vk_F)^2}{4\Delta^2 + (vk_F)^2} + \frac{3(vk_F)^4}{(4\Delta^2 + (vk_F)^2)^2} \right]. \tag{14}$$

The second and third terms in square brackets in Eq.(14) represent disorder corrections to the intrinsic Hall conductivity of the 2D-Dirac model. We note that all terms are independent of the disorder potential strength and of the concentration of scatterers and in this sense are parametrically similar. They do however have different dependences on the position of the Fermi level. Note that when the chemical potential approaches the gap the intrinsic contribution remains finite and disorder corrections vanish, recovering the model's half quantized Hall effect.

Non-Gaussian disorder.— We have so far made the usual approximation of assuming Gaussian disorder correlations. Although normally small, non-zero third moments of the disorder potential distribution, can^{14,15} alter σ_{xy} qualitatively since they can favor scattering with a particular chirality (skew scattering) and consequently lead to a σ_{xy} contribution that diverges in the limit of weak disorder scattering. The size of this contribution to σ_{xy} is particularly difficult to estimate since it depends very strongly on the details of the scattering potential. To illustrate its potential role we consider for concreteness a model of uncorrelated δ -function scatterers: $V(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i V_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i), R_i$ random, $\langle V_i \rangle = 0, \langle (V_i)^2 \rangle = V_0^2 \neq 0$ and $\langle (V_i)^3 \rangle = V_1^3 \neq 0$.

Given asymmetric scattering, skew scattering is a more physically transparent contribution to the Hall conductivity and can be described directly using either Boltzmann transport theory or the Kubo formula, including the non-standard Feynman diagrams implied by non-Gaussian disorder models. We apply results which have been derived previously to the graphene case. Let $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} = (1/\sqrt{\Omega})e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}|u_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}\rangle$ be a Bloch state in the electron band with positive energy and $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} = \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}|\hat{V}|\psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{+}\rangle$ be a disorder potential matrix elements within the band. Then, following Eqs.(32)-(36) in Ref.[17] for the case of zero temperature and a single band we find that

$$\frac{\sigma_{xy}^{sk}}{(e\tau^{tr})^2} = -\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{-\partial f_0}{\partial \epsilon}\right) \frac{v_x^2(\mathbf{k})}{\tau^\perp} = -\frac{v_F k_F}{4\pi\tau^\perp} \quad (15)$$

where $v_x(\mathbf{k}) = \partial \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^+ / \partial k_x$, v_F is the Fermi velocity, and

$$1/\tau^{tr} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^2} \,\omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \left(1 - \cos(\phi - \phi')\right)$$

$$1/\tau^{\perp} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^2} \,\omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sin(\phi - \phi').$$
(16)

Since the scattering rate $\omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ is usually only weakly chiral $(\tau^{tr} \ll \tau^{\perp}) \omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}$ can be estimated from timedependent perturbation theory^{14,16}. The lowest order symmetric scattering rate is given by the Golden rule expression, while the lowest order antisymmetric contribution appears at third order (see, for example, Eqs. (2.7) and (3.11) in Ref.14).

$$\omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'}^{(3a)} = -(2\pi)^2 \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'}) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}''}{(2\pi)^2} \operatorname{Im} \langle V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} V_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}''} V_{\mathbf{k}'',\mathbf{k}} \rangle_{dis} \, \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}''}).$$
(17)

This yields

(

$$\frac{1}{\tau^{tr}} = \frac{(vk_F)^2 + 4\Delta^2}{4\tau^q((vk_F)^2 + \Delta^2)} \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau^{\perp}} = \frac{V_1^3}{(\tau^q)^2 n V_0^4} \frac{\Delta(vk_F)^2}{8[(vk_F)^2 + \Delta^2]^{3/2}},$$
(19)

so that the skew scattering Hall conductivity contribution due to non-Gaussian disorder correlations is

$$\sigma_{xy}^{sk} = -\frac{e^2 V_1^3}{2\pi n V_0^4} \frac{\Delta(vk_F)^4}{(4\Delta^2 + (vk_F)^2)^2}.$$
 (20)

The Hall conductivity contribution (20) is inversely proportional to the impurity concentration n, and therefore can in principle dominate in the clean limit. Since the size of third disorder correlation moment in a particular sample is unlikely to be reliably known and can be exceedingly small, we expect that the relative importance of skew scattering will always have to be assessed experimentally.

Application to Graphene- A finite charge Hall conductance requires broken time reversal symmetry. In graphene the vanishing conductance results from cancellation between bands of opposite spin. The Hall conductance we evaluate here could be measured in graphene if the Fermi levels in the two spin- \uparrow and the two spin- \downarrow bands differed. It may be possible to generate spin polarization in graphene by optical orientation, by tunneling through ferromagnetic contacts, or by hyperfine coupling to polarized nuclei. We note that the \hat{z} -component of spin is expected to relax particularly slowly in graphene because of the planar character of the crystal and the π character of the orbitals near the Fermi energy. The alternative of studying the physics we address here, by applying an external magnetic field, is not favorable since it leads to an ordinary Hall effect in addition to the anomalous Hall effect. We estimate that the anomalous portion of the Hall conductance in an external field is smaller by a factor $\sim (\Delta/\hbar v k) \times (1/v k_F \tau)^2$. When the chemical potentials of spin-up and spin-down electrons are different our Hall effect calculation for each band remains valid. The total Hall current is therefore

$$\sigma_{xy}^{AHE} = 2(\sigma_{xy}(\mu_{\uparrow}) - \sigma_{xy}(\mu_{\downarrow})) \tag{21}$$

where the coefficient 2 reflects equal contributions from the K and K' valleys.

The Hall conductivity we evaluate appears in the spin-Hall response even in the absence of external magnetic fields. To find the magnitude of the SHE one should

remember that instead of charge e we are interested in spin $\pm 1/2$ carried by electrons: $\sigma_{xy}^{SH} = 4\sigma_{xy}/2e$. Here the coefficient 4 is due to the 4 bands which contribute equally to the SHE. The spin-Hall effect could be measured by using ferromagnetic leads, in the extreme case measuring transport only in one spin subsystem. For that case the charge Hall conductivity becomes $2\sigma_{xy}$. We expect that the results we derive here are valid for 20 $\epsilon_F \gtrsim \tau^{-1}$ whereas the quantized spin Hall conductivity will be observable only if $\Delta \gtrsim \tau^{-1}$. The value of τ^{-1} in current samples can be estimated roughly from measured mobilities⁵ which are roughly constant except for Fermi energies below $\sim 50 \text{meV}$. Associating the change in mobility at low carrier densities with disorder mixing between electron and hole bands implies a τ^{-1} value of the same order. The value of Δ is difficult to estimate accurately. Based on the relevant potential energy and length scales Kane and Mele have estimated that $\Delta \sim 0.2 \,\mathrm{meV}$. This is likely to be an overestimate since the splitting

- ¹ Gordon W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2449 (1984).
- ² F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2015 (1988).
- ³ D.P. DiVincenzo and E.J. Mele, Phys. Rev. B **29**, 1685 (1984); J. Gonzalez, F. Guinea, and M.A.H. Vozmediano, Phys.Rev.Lett. **77**, 3589 (1996); Yisong Zheng and Tsuneya Ando, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 255420 (2002); D. V. Khveshchenko Phys. Rev. B **65**, 235111 (2002); K. B. Efetov and V. R. Kogan, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 245313 (2003); Paul E. Lammert and Vincent H. Crespi, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 035406 (2004).
- ⁴ V. P. Gusynin and S. G. Sharapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146801 (2005); V.P. Gusynin, S.G. Sharapov, preprint cond-mat/0512157 (2005); N. M. R. Peres, F. Guinea, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 72, 174406 (2005).
- ⁵ Novoselov, K. S. et al., Science **306**, 666 (2004); Y. Zhang, J.P. Small, M.E.S. Amori, and P. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 176803 (2005); Yuanbo Zhang, Yan-Wen Tan, Horst L. Stormer, Philip Kim, preprint cond-mat/0509355; K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M.I. Katsnelson, I.V. Grigorieva, S.V. Dubonos, A.A. Firsov, Nature **438** (2005) 197, preprint cond-mat/0509330.
- ⁶ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005).
- ⁷ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005).
- ⁸ G. Sundaram and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 59, 14915 (1999);
 T. Jungwirth, Qian Niu, A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 207208 (2002); D. Culcer, A.H. MacDonald and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B 68, 045327 (2003); M. Onoda and N. Nagaosa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 19 (2002); Z. Fang, N. Nagaosa, K.S Takahashi et al., Science 302, 5642 (2003);
 Y. Yao et al., Phys Rev Lett 92, 037204 (2004).
- ⁹ Shuichi Murakami, Naoto Nagaosa, Shou-Cheng Zhang, Science **301**, 1348 (2003); J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N.

represents an average of spin-orbit interactions that vary in sign over the system. We²¹ have separately estimated on the basis of a tight-binding model with atomic spinorbit interactions and *ab initio* electronic structure calculations that $\Delta \sim 0.001$ meV. In any event, it appears clear that sample quality will need to improve substantially in order to realize the quantum spin Hall effect. As our calculation shows, however, the surprisingly large anomalous Hall conductivities that flow from the chiral graphene bands should still be measurable in the metallic regime. Skew-scattering contributions, if present, should be separable experimentally in gated samples on the basis of their distinct carrier density dependence.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful for useful discussions with L. Brey, P. Bruno, F.D.M. Haldane, C. Kane, Q. Niu, K. Nomura, D. Novikov, and S. Urazhdin. This work was supported by the Welch Foundation, by DOE grant DE-FG03-02ER45958, and by onr-n000140610122.

A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 126603 (2004).

- ¹⁰ L. Sheng, D. N. Sheng, C. S. Ting and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 136602 (2005).
- ¹¹ P Streda, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15 No 22 (10 August 1982) L717-L721; L Smrcka and P Streda, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 10 No 12 (28 June 1977) 2153-2161.
- ¹² A. Crepieux and P. Bruno, Phys. Rev. B 64, 014416 (2001).
- ¹³ V. K. Dugaev, P. Bruno, M. Taillefumier, B. Canals and C. Lacroix, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 224423 (2005).
- ¹⁴ J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. **112**, 739-751 (1958).
- ¹⁵ J. Smit, Physica (Amsterdam) **21**, 877 (1955); ibid. Phys. Rev. B **8**, 2349 (1973).
- ¹⁶ P. Leroux-Hugon and A. Ghazali, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5, 1072 (1972).
- ¹⁷ J. Schliemann and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B 68, 165311 (2003).
- ¹⁸ L. Berger Phys. Rev. B **2**, 4559-4566 (1970).
- ¹⁹ N. A. Sinitsyn, Qian Niu, Jairo Sinova and K. Nomura, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045346 (2005); N. A. Sinitsyn, Q. Niu and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 075318 (2006).
- ²⁰ This follows from the observation that the energy separation between states of upper and lower bands with the same **k** are mainly of an order of ϵ_F and hence for $\epsilon_F \tau >> 1$ interband matrix elements of velocity operator are not renormalized. This may not be true in other applications, for example in the Rashba coupled 2D electron system¹³, where interband separation is of the size of the spin-orbit coupling and analogous calculations would be valid only in much stronger limit $\Delta_{SO} >> 1/\tau$.
- ²¹ Hongki Min, J. E. Hill, N.A. Sinitsyn J. Sinova and A.H. MacDonald, unpublished.