Marquette University e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications

Chemistry, Department of

9-4-2018

Direct Observation of Node-to-Node Communication in Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks

Brian Pattengale *Marquette University*

Daniel J. SantaLucia *University of Wisconsin - Madison*

Sizhuo Yang Marquette University

Wenhui Hu Marquette University

Cunming Liu
Argonne National Laboratory

See next page for additional authors

Accepted version. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, Vol. 140, No. 37 (September 4, 2018): 11573-11576. DOI. © 2018 American Chemical Society. Used with permission.



Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications/College of Arts and Sciences

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in th citation below.

Journal of the American Chemical Society, Vol. 140, No. 37 (September 4, 2018): 11573-11576. DOI. This article is © American Chemical Society and permission has been granted for this version to appear in e-Publications@Marquette. American Chemical Society does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from American Chemical Society.

Direct Observation of Node-to-Node Communication in Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks

Brian Pattengale

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Daniel J. SantaLucia

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

Sizhuo Yang

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Wenhui Hu

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Cunming Liu

X-ray Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

Xiaoyi Zhang

X-ray Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois


John F. Berry

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

Jier Huang

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Abstract




Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) with open-shell transition metal nodes represent a promising class of highly ordered light harvesting antennas for photoenergy applications. However, their charge transport properties within the framework, the key criterion to achieve efficient photoenergy conversion, are not yet explored. Herein, we report the first direct evidence of a charge transport pathway through node-to-node communication in both ground state and excited state ZIFs using the combination of paramagnetic susceptibility measurements and time-resolved optical and X-ray absorption spectroscopy. These findings provide unprecedented new insights into the photoactivity and charge transport nature of ZIF frameworks, paving the way for their novel application as light harvesting arrays in diverse photoenergy conversion devices.

In natural photosynthesis, a highly ordered architecture composed of light-harvesting pigments plays the key role of light absorption and subsequent energy migration to the reaction centers. (1) Inspired from nature, an artificial photocatalytic system to generate solar fuels requires the utility of ordered chromophore assemblies as efficient light harvesting antennas. Metal—organic frameworks (MOFs), an emerging class of porous crystalline materials that can precisely arrange chromophores through ordered ligand-to-metal coordination, represent excellent platforms as light harvesting antennas. (2–15) A particularly interesting subclass of such light harvesting MOFs are zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs), (16–18) which were recently identified to have intrinsic photophysical properties with broad absorption across UV—visible and near-IR spectral regions and an exceptionally long-lived excited state (2.9 μ s lifetime). (19–21) More interestingly, this long-lived excited state was found to be an excited charge separated (ECS) state that can be dissociated through electron transfer to an organic species, (22) showing enormous promise toward the application of ZIFs as next generation light harvesting and energy delivery antennas for solar energy conversion.

A judicious question that emerged following the discovery of the long-lived ECS state is whether this state can undergo facile charge transport across a ZIF framework, a key criterion to achieve efficient photoenergy conversion. Recent theoretical studies reported the electronic structure of ZIFs; the d orbitals of the metal nodes can be conceived as comprising a continuous band, (23–26) in agreement with the improved conductivity in Co²⁺-based ZIFs with respect to highly resistive closed-shell Zn²⁺-based ZIFs. (27) While such exploratory work implies the possibility of charge transport via metal nodes in ZIFs, it is essential to establish an original approach to seek direct evidence of the charge transport mechanism experimentally.

Herein, we uncover the nature of charge transport in ZIF materials using advanced spectroscopic methods. We designed mixed-metal isostructural ZIFs (Scheme 1) by introducing a guest metal to the ZIF-67 parent framework (which has Co²⁺ at the metal nodes connected by 2-methyl imidazolate linkers). We hypothesized that a guest metal would perturb the ECS dynamics if charge transport occurs within the ZIF framework; redox-inert metals (such as Zn²⁺) incorporated into the framework may prevent charge transport while redox-active guest metals (such as Cu²⁺) may facilitate charge transport through metal-to-metal charge transfer (MMCT) pathways. Using the combination of paramagnetic susceptibility measurements (obtained via a SQUID), optical transient absorption (OTA), and X-ray transient absorption (XTA) spectroscopy to directly probe the interplay between the guest and parent metals within the parent framework, we not only show the first-ever reported intrinsic antiferromagnetic ordering of metal nodes in ground state ZIFs but also demonstrate direct evidence of charge transport through node-to-node communication in photoexcited ZIFs.



Scheme 1. Schematic Representation of Mixed-Metal ZIF-67

The mixed-metal ZIFs were synthesized by incorporating controlled amounts of Zn²⁺ salts and Cu²⁺ salts into Co²⁺ salts (X%M@ZIF-67; X = the percent of guest metal; M = guest metal) in the presence of 2-methylimidazole (<u>Scheme 1</u>), in contrast to previously reported postsynthetic metal substation methods. (<u>28–30</u>) This innovative approach allowed the incorporation of a relatively larger content of guest metals in a controlled manner before the dissolution of the parent materials. The concentration of each metal node in the mixed-metal ZIFs was confirmed by ICP-MS (<u>Table S1</u>). The XRD patterns and X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) at the Co K-edge of these mixed-metal ZIFs resemble that of the parent ZIFs (<u>Figures S1–S3</u>), indicating that the incorporation of guest metals has a negligible effect on the crystal structure of the parent ZIFs. The coordination environment at the guest metal center was determined to be tetrahedral by XAS (<u>Figures S4–S5</u>). The tetrahedral environment at Cu²⁺ centers in Cu@ZIF-67 was further supported by its reflective UV–visible–NIR spectra (<u>Figure S6</u>), where two prominent absorption bands are observed at 400–580 nm and 600–1600 nm, corresponding to ligand-to-metal charge transfer and Cu d–d transitions in a tetrahedral ligand field, respectively.(31–34)

The ground state interaction among metal nodes in mixed-metal ZIFs was investigated by SQUID magnetometry. As shown in <u>Figure 1</u>a (representative data set for 15%Cu@ZIF-67) and <u>Figure S7</u>, the paramagnetic susceptibility data for ZIF-67 and all Cu@ZIF-67 samples all exhibit a transition to an antiferromagnetically ordered state at temperatures lower than 21 K (T_N). Below T_N , thermal energy is insufficient to disrupt the longrange order that causes all neighboring spins to cancel each other, (35) which is antiferromagnetic behavior. <u>Figure 1</u>b shows the plot of $\chi_P T$ vs T and a Curie plot for 15%Cu@ZIF-67. The observed curvature in the plot of $\chi_P T$ vs T above T_N is characteristic of the 4A_2 state of tetrahedral Co²⁺. The antiferromagnetism of the ZIF samples is quantified by the Weiss constants, Θ (SI, <u>Table S2</u>), derived from the x-intercepts of the Curie plots. The Θ values range from -29 to -32 K regardless of the amount of Cu doping. Control experiments with Zndoped ZIF-67 samples were also conducted (<u>Figure S9</u>). Comparing the data for ZIF-67, 15%Zn@ZIF-67, and

15%Cu@ZIF-67 shows antiferromagnetic ordering only in the 100%Co and 15%Cu samples ($\underline{\text{Figure S8}}$). Inclusion of Zn²⁺ shuts down the magnetic exchange interactions responsible for the ordering behavior, while inclusion of Cu²⁺ enforces the magnetic ordering.




Figure 1. (a) Magnetic susceptibility data of 15%Cu@ZIF-67. (b) Plot of $\chi_P T$ vs T (blue) and a Curie plot (red) for 15%Cu@ZIF-67. The least-squares fit (black) over the quasi-linear region of the Curie plot is superimposed on the red trace.

To examine the charge transport nature of ZIFs, we first investigated the effect of redox inert metals (Zn²⁺) on ECS dynamics using OTA spectroscopy. Two ZIF model systems, 80%Zn@ZIF-67 and 50%Zn@ZIF-67, were studied. While these samples are isostructural with the ZIF-67 parent framework, the UV-visible-NIR spectra show decreasing absorption of both Co d–d and LMCT transitions (Figure 2a) with increasing Zn²⁺ content due to the dilution of the Co^{2+} chromophores by the nonabsorbing Zn^{2+} with a 3d(10) electron configuration. This is also reflected in their OTA spectra following the excitation of the lower lying Co^{2+} d-d transition (${}^{4}A_{2}(F)-{}^{4}T_{1}(F)$) at 1000 nm, where the intensity of OTA spectra decreases significantly with increasing content of Zn²⁺ (Figures 2b and \$10). Nevertheless, the shape of the OTA spectra, featuring a ground state bleach (GSB) at 585 nm and positive absorption at both sides of the GSB remains similar among the mixed-metal ZIFs. These spectral signatures are consistent with the derivative feature observed previously in ZIF-67(20) and can thus be assigned to the formation of a long-lived ECS state in Zn@ZIF-67. Despite the formation of the ECS state in Zn@ZIF-67, significant differences were observed when we compared their kinetic traces, where the recovery of the GSB became faster (inset of Figure 2c) while the formation of the ECS state is slower in the films with higher Zn²⁺ content (Figure 2c). Because of the closed-shell configuration of Zn²⁺, it is unlikely that MMCT would occur from Co²⁺ to Zn²⁺. Instead, these results can be well explained by a model proposed in the inset of Figure 2a: the presence of a statistical number of Zn²⁺ neighbors with closed-shell configuration partially blocks the inherent ECS pathway in ZIF-67 through Co-Co communication, resulting in slower formation of the ECS state. As a result, direct transition from ⁴T₁ to ⁴A₂ is facilitated, which leads to faster GSB recovery kinetics.




Figure 2. (a) UV–visible–NIR absorption spectra of Zn²⁺ incorporated ZIF-67 with different Zn percent (X%Zn@ZIF-67). The inset shows the proposed schematic model for prevented formation of the ECS in the X%Zn@ZIF-67 model system. (b) The OTA spectra of X%Zn@ZIF-67 at 5 ns time delay following 1000 nm excitation. (c) The comparison of kinetics of ESC absorption at 610 nm and GSB recovery at 585 nm (inset).

While the experiments with Zn@ZIF-67 model systems show that the presence of Zn²⁺ can partially block Co–Co communication (which suggests that metal-to-metal communication is likely responsible for the long-lived ECS state in ZIF-67), it is necessary to provide direct evidence to confirm this mechanism. To do so, we designed a second model system, where open-shell Cu²⁺ ions are used as guest metals to replace Co²⁺ centers in ZIF-67 (X%Cu@ZIF-67). The OTA spectra show that X%Cu@ZIF-67 samples with variable Cu content can also form a long-lived ECS state (Figure S11), where the lifetime for ECS formation (Figure 3a) and GSB recovery (Figure 3b) remains similar to that of the parent ZIF, suggesting that the presence of redox-active Cu²⁺ does not inhibit ECS state formation. To evaluate the interaction between Cu and Co in X%Cu@ZIF-67, we utilized X-ray transient absorption (XTA) spectroscopy, a powerful element-specific time-resolved technique, to directly probe the electron density changes at the Cu²⁺ centers following selective excitation of the Co²⁺ centers. XTA experiments at the Cu K-edge were performed using 590 nm excitation, where Co²⁺ d-d transitions are at a maximum absorbance and Cu²⁺ d-d transitions have negligible absorption (for tetrahedral ligand fields). Figure 3c shows the XANES spectrum of 15%Cu@ZIF-67 at the Cu K-edge, which features a strong white line absorption corresponding to the Cu 1s-4p transition. Also shown in Figure 3c are the difference spectra obtained after subtracting the ground state XANES spectrum from the spectrum collected at delay times at 120 and 500 ps following laser excitation, which demonstrates the transient signals of Cu due to excitation of Co. A clear positive feature was observed at 8.99 keV, which overlaps with the 1s-4p transition edge, indicating that the edge energy of Cu shifts to lower energy. Because no transient signal (lower set in Figure 3c) was observed in the 17%Cu@ZIF-8 control sample (inert parent framework with Zn²⁺ node), we can rule out the possibility that the observed Cu edge shift in 15%Cu@ZIF-67 is due to direct excitation of Cu²⁺ centers. As a result, we can conclude that the reduction of Cu arises from the excitation of Co, unambiguously demonstrating a metal-tometal charge transport mechanism through node-to-node communication in X%Cu@ZIF-67, although the degree of charge delocalization remains uncertain to us. It is also important to note that while the proposed model resembles an electron hopping mechanism, a band transport mechanism may contribute to charge migration in ZIFs.




Figure 3. Kinetic traces of the ESC state at 610 nm (a) and the GSB at 585 nm (b) for ZIF-67 and Cu@ZIF-67 with variable % Cu. (c) Representative Cu K-edge XAS spectrum (black, XA) and first derivative spectrum, XTA difference spectra at the Cu K-edge for Cu@ZIF-67 (top set) and Cu@ZIF-8 (bottom set) after 590 nm excitation at 120 and 500 ps time delays.

In summary, we have reported for the first time the charge transport properties of ZIFs using a combination of multiple spectroscopic methods. We designed two mixed-metal ZIFs by incorporating a guest metal (Zn²⁺ or Cu²⁺) to the ZIF-67 parent framework and directly probed the interaction between the guest metals and the metal centers in the parent framework. The paramagnetic susceptibility measurements on both ZIF-67 and Cu@ZIF-67 indicate the presence of intrinsic antiferromagnetic ordering of metal nodes, demonstrating ground-state quantum level communication between Co²⁺/Co²⁺ and Co²⁺/Cu²⁺ nodes. Using optical transient absorption spectroscopy, we found that replacing Co²⁺ with closed-shell Zn²⁺ retarded the formation of an ECS state after Co²⁺ d–d excitation, suggesting the presence of Co–Co communication in ZIF-67 and charge delocalization within the long-lived ECS state. In contrast, when a redox active metal, Cu²⁺, is introduced into ZIF-67, we directly observed the migration of charge from photoexcited Co²⁺ to Cu²⁺ centers using X-ray transient absorption (XTA) spectroscopy, unambiguously confirming the charge transport pathway through node-to-node communication between different units of ZIFs. These findings not only advance the scientific understanding of the optical and electrical properties of ZIFs, paving the way for their novel application in photoenergy conversion, but also demonstrate an original approach to study porous ZIFs at the molecular level using spectroscopic techniques. Moving forward, computational studies on the excited state electronic structures are necessary to gain further information on the charge transport mechanism in ZIFs.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Science Foundation (DMR-1654140) and ACS-PRF (57503-DNI6). JFB thanks the NSF for support through CHE-1669994. Use of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

References

<u>1</u>Scholes, G. D.; Fleming, G. R.; Olaya-Castro, A.; van Grondelle, R. *Nat. Chem.* 2011, *3*, 763, DOI: 10.1038/nchem.1145

2 Maza, W. A.; Padilla, R.; Morris, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8161, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b03071

- 3 Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Science 2013, 341, 974
- 4 Zhang, T.; Lin, W. B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5982, DOI: 10.1039/C4CS00103F
- **5** Wang, J.-L.; Wang, C.; Lin, W. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 2630, DOI: 10.1021/cs3005874
- 6 Zhou, H.-C.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 673, DOI: 10.1021/cr300014x
- <u>7</u>Lu, W.; Wei, Z.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Liu, T.-F.; Park, J.; Park, J.; Tian, J.; Zhang, M.; Zhang, Q.; Gentle Iii, T.; Bosch, M.; Zhou, H.-C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 5561, DOI: 10.1039/C4CS00003J
- 8 Cohen, S. M. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 970, DOI: 10.1021/cr200179u
- **9** Kent, C. A.; Liu, D.; Meyer, T. J.; Lin, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3991, DOI: 10.1021/ja211271m
- 10 Son, H. J.; Jin, S. Y.; Patwardhan, S.; Wezenberg, S. J.; Jeong, N. C.; So, M.; Wilmer, C. E.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Schatz, G. C.; Snurr, R. Q.; Farha, O. K.; Wiederrecht, G. P.; Hupp, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 862, DOI: 10.1021/ja310596a
- <u>11</u> Kent, C. A.; Mehl, B. P.; Ma, L. Q.; Papanikolas, J. M.; Meyer, T. J.; Lin, W. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 12767, DOI: 10.1021/ja102804s
- **12** Zhang, Z.-M.; Zhang, T.; Wang, C.; Lin, Z.; Long, L.-S.; Lin, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 3197, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b00075
- 13 Maza, W. A.; Morris, A. J. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 8803, DOI: 10.1021/jp501140r
- <u>14</u> Yang, S.; Fan, D.; Hu, W.; Pattengale, B.; Liu, C.; Zhang, X.; Huang, J. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, *122*, 3305, DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b00471
- <u>15</u> Lee, C. Y.; Farha, O. K.; Hong, B. J.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 15858, DOI: 10.1021/ja206029a
- <u>16</u> Phan, A.; Doonan, C. J.; Uribe-Romo, F. J.; Knobler, C. B.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2010, *43*, 58, DOI: 10.1021/ar900116g
- 17 Chen, B. L.; Yang, Z. X.; Zhu, Y. Q.; Xia, Y. D. J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 16811, DOI: 10.1039/C4TA02984D
- 18 Park, K. S.; Ni, Z.; Côté, A. P.; Choi, J. Y.; Huang, R.; Uribe-Romo, F. J.; Chae, H. K.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2006, *103*, 10186, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0602439103
- 19 Pattengale, B.; Yang, S. Z.; Lee, S.; Huang, J. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 8446, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.7b02467
- **20** Pattengale, B.; Yang, S.; Ludwig, J.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, X.; Huang, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 8072, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b04615
- **21** Yang, S. Z.; Pattengale, B.; Kovrigin, E. L.; Huang, J. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2017, *2*, 75, DOI: 10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00540
- 22 Pattengale, B.; Huang, J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2018, 20, 14884, DOI: 10.1039/C8CP02078G
- 23 Butler, K. T.; Hendon, C. H.; Walsh, A. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 22044, DOI: 10.1021/am507016r
- 24 Grau-Crespo, R.; Aziz, A.; Collins, A. W.; Crespo-Otero, R.; Hernández, N. C.; Rodriguez-Albelo, L. M.; Ruiz-Salvador, A. R.; Calero, S.; Hamad, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 16012, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201609439
- 25 Butler, K. T.; Hendon, C. H.; Walsh, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2703, DOI: 10.1021/ja4110073
- 26 Sun, L.; Campbell, M. G.; Dincă, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3566, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201506219
- **27** Butler, K. T.; Worrall, S. D.; Molloy, C. D.; Hendon, C. H.; Attfield, M. P.; Dryfe, R. A. W.; Walsh, A. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2017, *5*, 7726, DOI: 10.1039/C7TC03150E
- 28 Fei, H. H.; Cahill, J. F.; Prather, K. A.; Cohen, S. M. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 4011, DOI: 10.1021/ic400048g
- **29** Ban, Y. J.; Li, Y. S.; Peng, Y.; Jin, H.; Jiao, W. M.; Liu, X. L.; Yang, W. S. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 11402, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201402287
- **30** Schejn, A.; Aboulaich, A.; Balan, L.; Falk, V.; Lalevee, J.; Medjahdi, G.; Aranda, L.; Mozet, K.; Schneider, R. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 1829, DOI: 10.1039/C4CY01505C

- 31 Shimizu, I.; Morimoto, Y.; Faltermeier, D.; Kerscher, M.; Paria, S.; Abe, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Fujieda, N.; Asano, K.; Suzuki, T.; Comba, P.; Itoh, S. *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, *56*, 9634, DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b01154
- <u>32</u> Casella, L.; Carugo, O.; Gullotti, M.; Doldi, S.; Frassoni, M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, *35*, 1101, DOI: 10.1021/ic9503920
- 33 Solomon, E. I. *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 8012, DOI: 10.1021/ic060450d
- <u>34</u> Hoffmann, S. K.; Goslar, J.; Lijewski, S.; Zalewska, A. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2013, *236*, 7, DOI: 10.1016/j.jmr.2013.08.009
- 35 Carlin, R. L. Magnetochemistry; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, New York, 1986.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the <u>ACS Publications website</u> at DOI: <u>10.1021/jacs.8b06727</u>.

Experimental details, structural and optical characterization data, and supplementary SQUID, XAS, and OTA figures (PDF)

pdf

ja8b06727_si_001.pdf (1.75 MB)