



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

eCommons@AKU

Department of Surgery

Department of Surgery

July 2019

Prevalence of prediabetes, diabetes and Its predictors among females in alkharj, saudi arabia: A cross-sectional study.

Jamaan M. Al-Zahrani

Abdulrahman Aldiab

Khaled K. Aldossari

Sameer Al- Ghamdi

Mohammed Ali Batais

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_surg_surg_

Recommended Citation

Al-Zahrani, J., Aldiab, A., Aldossari, K., Ghamdi, S., Batais, M., Javad, S., Nooruddin, S., Zahid, N., Razak, H., Metwally, A. (2019). Prevalence of prediabetes, diabetes and Its predictors among females in alkharj, saudi arabia: A cross-sectional study. *Annals of Global Health*, 85(1), 1-13.

Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_surg_surg/794

Authors

Jamaan M. Al-Zahrani, Abdulrahman Aldiab, Khaled K. Aldossari, Sameer Al- Ghamdi, Mohammed Ali Batais, Sundas Javad, Shanila Nooruddin, Nida Zahid, Hira Abdul Razak, and Ashraf El- Metwally

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Prevalence of Prediabetes, Diabetes and Its Predictors among Females in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study

Jamaan M. Al-Zahrani^{*}, Abdulrahman Aldiab[†], Khaled K. Aldossari^{*}, Sameer Al-Ghamdi^{*}, Mohammed Ali Batais[‡], Sundas Javad[§], Shanila Nooruddin^{||}, Nida Zahid[¶], Hira Abdul Razzak^{**} and Ashraf El-Metwally[§]

Background/Objective: The prevalence of prediabetes and diabetes is reaching epidemic proportions across the globe. Therefore, this study aims to determine the prevalence of prediabetes and diabetes, together with its accompanying risk factors, among young females.

Methods: An exploratory cross-sectional survey was conducted with 638 Saudi females in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia. Statistical analysis was carried out using STATA version 14. Odds ratios for the risk of diabetes and associated factors were calculated using log-binomial and multinomial logistic regression. Standard-ized prevalence and strata-specific prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes for different risk factors were also calculated.

Findings: The study revealed that nondiabetics and prediabetics were more prevalent between the ages of 18 and 24 years, while diabetic patients were consistently between 25 to 44 years of age. The average value for HbA1c in females was 5.44. Regression analysis shows that being older, married, obese, a smoker or less educated significantly increases the risk for both prediabetes and diabetes. Mutivariable analysis revealed obesity had a significant association with both prediabetes and diabetes. Prediabetics were 2.35 times more likely to be obese as compared to nondiabetics, with 95% CI (1.38–3.99). Similarly, diabetics were 6.67 times more likely to be obese compared to nondiabetics 95% CI (1.68–26.42).

Conclusion: Our study shows the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes among females from AI Kharj was 3.8% and 18.8%, respectively. The diabetic and prediabetic female participants had higher mean BMI and waist circumference, were older in age, were married, and smoked as compared to nondiabetics. In the context of the findings of our study, and keeping in view the the burden of this disease globally and in our population, it has now become extremely important to understand these factors and encourage health-promoting behaviors to construct effective interventions.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The prevalence of diabetes is important in public health to assess the burden of disease in a specified population, as well as in planning and allocating health resources.
- The diagnosis of DM was performed using HbA1c, which has been used as an authentic marker for evaluating the presence of diabetes.
- * Family Medicine Department, College of Medicine, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, SA
- Internal Medicine Department, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, SA
- [‡] College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, SA
- [§] College of Public Health and Health Informatics, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, SA
- Dow University of Health Sciences, Karachi, PK
- ¶ Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, PK
- ** Ministry of Health and Prevention, Dubai, AE

Corresponding author: Dr. Jamaan M. Al-Zahrani, MD (jzhrani@gmail.com)

• The collected data was cross-sectional; causal relationships cannot be established, and the observed association may be because of other unexplored factors.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a progressive and chronic illness that affects all age groups and has become a serious, global public healthcare concern [1]. The International Diabetes Federation (2016) stated that 415 million individuals are suffering from this condition, and it is predicted that it will reach 642 million by 2040 [2]. Diabetes is a "metabolic condition," which is characterized by "high blood glucose/sugar levels" that result in severe and worsening insulin resistance [3]. The most common types of diabates include Type 1 diabetes mellitus, Type 2 diabetes mellitus, and gestational diabetes. In addition, there are several other kinds of diabetes that present a diagnostic challenge for healthcare practitioners. LADA is an auto-immune diabetes having a later onset age and slower progression toward dependence of insulin than is observed in most of the Type 1 diabetes patients. As

they do not require insulin initially at diagnosis, LADA patients may be misdiagnosed frequently as having Type 2 diabetes mellitus [4].

The American Diabetes Association in 2015 formerly equated prediabetes with the World Health Organizations's (WHO) intermediate hyperglycemia; however, currently borderline HbA1c levels are also an important indicator of prediabetes [5]. Yet, specific mechanisms leading to diabetes are not known [6]. In 2016, a study conducted in Florida revealed that 7.3% and 12.1% of adults in the study were diagnosed with prediabetes and diabetes, respectively [7].

Prediabetes is also referred to as intermediate hyperglycemia or borderline diabetes. Screening analysis comprises, but is not limited to, "casual plasma glucose level," "75-g oral glucose tolerance test" (OGTT), and "fasting plasma glucose (FPG) [8, 9]." Its worldwide prevalence is rising, and it is estimated that by 2030 more than 470 million individuals will be prediabetic [10]. The condition occurs when pancreatic beta cells are incapable of producing an adequate amount of insulin for disposing of blood glucose, or an individual's body is incapable of using insulin well enough for reducing the blood glucose levels, or because of pancreatic beta cells failing to discharge enough insulin.

The genetic architecture of quantitative indices for insulin resistance and beta cell functions varies greatly among both nondiabetics and diabetics [11]. This indicates that beta cell function often tends to supersede insulin resistance as a crucial factor of Type 2 diabetes [12]. Additionally, obesity is a critical insulin resistance determinant, where adiposity modulates the genetic aspects of insulin resistance, thus contributing to the Type 2 diabetes heterogeneity [13]. Hence, adiposity levels often have a potential to perturb the psychological milieu where genetic variants in insulin signalling pathways operates. Hence, fat in the pancreas, genetic variants, and major glucose recipient organs exacerbate insulin resistance that consequently impairs the beta cell functions. Obesity also increases the demands for insulin, due to which beta cell hyperfunction may result in exhausting beta cells, resulting in their dysfunction [14].

The risk for Type 2 DM increases due to prediabetes, which results in the susceptibility of different diabetesrelated complications, such as eye problems, kidney failure, nerve damage, stroke, and heart disease [15]. However, literature indicates that lifestyle modification for prediabetic patients can help reduce or prevent diabetes progression by 40–70%. This finding highlights the necessity for early diagnosis of diabetes [16, 17]. A study conducted in Florida suggested in the United States approximately 86 million people over 20 years of age were prediabetic [7].

Middle Eastern countries have a specifically high prevalence of both the conditions for prediabetes and diabetes mellitus. According to the WHO country profile in 2016, the prevalence of diabetes in the Saudi population was 14.4% (females: 13.8%). Of this number, 33.7% were obese (females: 39.5%) and 68.2% were overweight (females 69.2%). It was determined that these conditions contributed to 5% of overall deaths in the same region [18]. DM is irrevocable if proven. It develops gradually

but progresses consistently and might take years to develop from a prediabetic to a diabetic state, regardless of any interventions. With increasing demographic and epidemiologic transitions impacting the individual, rapid and accelerating urbanization, and subsequent modifications in physical activity, diet, and lifestyle [19], it is imperative to monitor the unremitting diabetes burden among young females in Saudi Arabia. This may be achieved by using rigorous diagnostic procedures, as well as assessing risk factors with an aim to create efficient control and prevention strategies [20]. According to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), social determinants of health are characterized as economic and are social conditions that principally influence individual health and communities. Socioeconomic factors influence health, such as mortality and outcomes in patients with diabetes [21].

Current epidemiological research studies in Saudi Arabia have determined such a state often perceives the effects of this emerging public health concern [22]. Previous evidence revealed abnormal glucose metabolism is recurrent among the Saudi population, with females showing prediabetes hallmarks [23]. A study conducted in Jeddah in 2015 demonstrated the prevalence of prediabetics was 9.0%: 9.4% in men and 8.6% in women. For DM, the results indicated the prevalence was 12.1%: 12.9% in men and 11.4% in women [1]. A separate study conducted among the Saudi military community concluded that the average BMI was 27.6 kg/m². Almost two thirds of the population in the study were either "overweight (34.7%)" or "obese (29.9%)." The mean waist circumference was 94.7 cm [24].

Being a high-income state with a well-built health care infrastructure, adequate screening and diagnostic tools are available in the primary health care centers countrywide. Given the high frequency of individuals with diabetes, it is essential to determine the prevalence of prediabetics at a younger age so that the risk of DM can be minimized, which would lead to less economic burden on the health system and the individual [25]. The Diabetes Prevention Program demonstrated that around 11% of individuals with prediabetes developed Type 2 diabetes every year during the average 3 years of follow-up [26]. However, the conversion rate varies with prediabetes definition and population characteristics. In 2014, it was projected that the cost associated with diabetes was approximately 17 billion Riyals. If those who were not diagnosed as having DM were combined, the future treatment cost would escalate to 27 billion Riyals. Moreover, if those with prediabetes progress to become diabetics at the present conversion rate, then the future cost would be around 43 billion Riyals [27].

Regardless of the rising DM burden with respect to the Saudi population, current data on its epidemiology is limited. This type of evidence, however, is important for intervention planning. The present study, therefore, aims to investigate both the prevalence and the determinants of prediabetes and diabetes among the Al-Kharj female population so that strategies can be developed for early intervention for prediabetes and to prevent development of diabetes and its associated complications.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Design

An exploratory cross-sectional study was performed.

2.2. Sample Selection

"Al Kharj is a city in Al Kharj Governorate in central Saudi Arabia located around 77 km south of Riyadh." It is one of the main centers in the "Kingdom of Saudi Arabia" for dairy, as well as an agriculture industry supported with modern administration and economic significance. The region possesses natural resources, a geographical location of high importance, racial diversity, and strong population density. Furthermore, the residing population represents different racial groups. The population under examination for this study consisted of apparently healthy female Saudi adults at least 18 years of age during the time of recruitment.

2.3. Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The sample size was estimated on open Epi software version 3.01. The minimum sample size of 638 females was based on the anticipated prevalence of DM ranging from 18.6% to 56% [28], required precision of 5%, a level of significance of 5%, a design effect of 1.5, while also considering a 10% nonresponse rate. We have reported the female part of the study. Separate analysis was performed for males due to a difference in age structure as reported in the previous study [29].

Multi-stage sampling was used, carrying out participant selection from different government or private institutes. Two strata were constructed, classifying it into private and public institutes. A total of 25 institutes were identified in the region. These institutes were divided into two strata. We selected three institutes randomly, including one public university, one governmental organization, and one private institute through cluster sampling. Another cluster sampling was done on the selected institutes. Sampling units were then selected using simple random sampling from each of the institutes, using the list of respondents obtained from each department of the institutes.

2.4. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria included Saudi female citizens who were at least 18 years of age. However, those participants who did not give informed consent were excluded from the study.

2.5. Materials/Instruments

For the collection of data, two trained physicians interviewed respondents using a 20-item Arabic questionnaire. The questionnaire being used was grounded on a review of the evidence published in prior sources. The following information was collected: the first part included demographics (such as age, education, employment, and marital status), the second part included relevant medical data (smoking, chronic medical illness, chronic pain, and GHQ-12 scores), and the last part consisted of physical parameters (weight, height, waist circumference, blood pressure, and pulse). All participants completed a self-administered questionnaire, followed by a physical examination and blood test. The GHQ-12 was used in other studies with diabetic subjects and is a valid and reliable instrument that mainly segregates the population of subjects into those with psychiatric/mental illness and those without, making the achievement of our aims and objectives possible [30, 31, 32].

2.6. Physical Measurement

Well-trained nurses gathered the anthropometric weight measurement, waist circumference, height, blood pressure, and pulse. Height was measured to the adjacent 0.5 cm without shoes. Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a measuring tape. Weight was measured to the nearest 100 g, without shoes and with the subject wearing lightweight clothes. A "Health O Meter Digital Scale" (made in the USA), which reads to the nearest 100 g, was used for weighing. A specific scale was utilized to weigh all respondents. The scale was calibrated regularly, and zero was guaranteed before the weight of any participant was taken. Blood pressure and pulse were calculated using an automated and calibrated machine and using measurement criteria according to the JNC 8 guideline [33].

2.7. Body Mass Index

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m²) for all participants being studied. Normal (BMI < 25), overweight (25 < BMI < 30) and obese (BMI > 30) groups were determined based on widely used cut-off values for assessing obesity according to WHO criteria [34].

2.8. Waist Circumference

Waist circumference (WC) was used as a surrogate for abdominal obesity, and a WC value less than 88 cm was considered normal in women [35].

2.9. Blood Test

Blood samples were collected from each participant by trained nurses or phlebotomists. The patient was given a unique ID (barcode). The samples were drawn and sent to the hospital laboratory for blood analysis. These routine laboratory test samples were processed immediately. A Beckman Coulter AU analyzer was used to examine HbA1c.

2.10. Prediabetes and DM Definition

Prediabetes was well-defined using HbA1c cutoff level of 5.7 - < 6.5% and DM $\ge 6.5\%$, as per the American Diabetes Association 2016 [36]. Participants with self-reported diabetes were also included during analysis to estimate true prevalence in the population.

2.11. Data Analysis

The general and baseline characteristics between prediabetic, diabetic, and nondiabetic individuals were reported using mean (standard deviation) for continuous variables and frequency and percentages for categorical variables. Significance of differences was also assessed using χ^2 test for categorical variables and t-test or ANOVA for continuous variables. Additionally, prevalence (%) of various risk factors in participants with diabetes and prediabetes was reported. We calculated odds ratios (OR) using log-binomial

regression and multinomial logit regression for estimating diabetes risk and its association with different risk factors, such as anthropometric measures and lifestyle factors. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant throughout the study. All statistical analysis was performed using statistical software StataCorp STATA version 12.

2.12. Ethical Approval

The study protocol was approved by the local institutional review board (i.e., Committee of Scientific Research and Publication). Written informed consent was attained from all the study participants aged 18 years and above. Furthermore, the identity of the respondents, together with their personal information, was kept confidential. It was ensured by the researcher that information attained by the participants will not be utilized in the future for any other purposes.

2.13. Patient and Public Involvement

This study was supported by an advisory group including representatives of employees and students who provided input into the design of research. This advisory board met on a regular basis to make sure that methods used were acceptable. At the end of the study, this advisory group commented on the findings and contributed to the dissemination plan.

3. Results

Table 1 presents baseline characteristics of the study par ticipants by their diabetes status and for total population. A total of 638 participants were recruited in the study. Out of which, 24 (3.7%) were diabetics, 120 (18.8%) were prediabetics, and 494 (77.4%) were nondiabetics. The mean HbA1c was 5.44 (SD = 0.72, range = 2.3–12.7). About 619 participants had HbA1c levels below 6.5%, and 3 participants had HbA1c levels above 10%. The mean HbA1c levels were significantly higher among diabetic females (7.72, SD = 2.12) versus prediabetics (5.87, SD = 0.17) and nondiabetics (5.23, SD = 0.32) (p-value < 0.0001). The mean age of the study participants was 23.36 (SD = 6.5), with a range from 18 to 60 years. About 80% of the participants were between 18 and 24 years, 18% were between 25 and 44 years, and only 2% were between 45 and 60 years. Individuals with diabetes had a significant higher mean age (34.5, SD = 10.6) as compared to the prediabetes individuals (26.4, SD = 8.6) and nondiabetics (22.08, SD = 4.58) (p-value < 0.0001). Among prediabetics, about 61.7% were 18 to 24 years, while 33.3% of participants were 25 to 44 years. However, a higher proportion of diabetics (50%) were 25 to 44 years, while only 29.2% were 18 to 24 years. About 46.2% and 38.5% prediabetics and diabetics, respectively, were 45 to 60 years.

Moreover, the BMI (according to WHO criteria) [34] ranged from 15–50 kg/m², with average BMI being 25.7 (SD = 6.25); whereas, waist circumferences ranged from 20cm to 125cm. About 54.1% of females had a normal BMI, 23.4% were overweight, and 22.6% were obese, while 25 women had BMI higher than 40 kg/m². The mean BMI was significantly higher among diabetics (32.09, SD = 7.41) compared to prediabetics (28.14, SD = 6.42) and

nondiabetics (24.77, SD = 5.79). Similarly, the prediabetics also had a higher mean BMI compared to nondiabetics (p-value < 0.0001).

Furthermore, we found that 113 females (17.71%) had high WC (greather than 88cm) and 3 females (0.5%) had high WC but normal BMI. A significant positive correlation (r = 0.79) was found between BMI and WC. The mean waist circumference was significantly higher among diabetics (93.23, SD = 18.06) compared to prediabetics (82.18, SD = 13.57) and nondiabetics (73.55, SD = 12.40). Similarly, the waist circumference of prediabetics was higher compared to nondiabetics (p-value < 0.0001).

Out of 638 females, 500 (78.37%) were single and 138 (21.63%) were married. There were 11.6% and 29% married females who had diabetes and prediabetes, respectively; whereas 1.6% and 16% of unmarried females had diabetes and prediabetes, respectively. Hence, married females were more likely to have diabetes and prediabetes compared to single females (p-value < 0.0001). A majority of the females in the study were nonsmokers. Only six females reported being current or ex-smokers, and only one among them was diabetic, with no smokers in the prediabetes group. Therefore, smoking status did not have any significant relationship with diabetes (p-value = 0.311).

Aaround 92% of the participants had an educational level at university or higher, and only 8% had an intermediate or less education level. Out of 638 participants, 75% of the diabetic cases were university or postgraduate educated; whereas, 84.2% of the prediabetic cases had university level or postgraduate level education. Participants who had a primary education and diabetes or prediabetes was 27.3% and 54.6%, respectively; whereas, 3.1% and 17.2% with university or postgraduate education had diabetes and pre-diabetes, as shown in **Table 2**. The prevalence of diabetes and pre-diabetes is shown to be decreasing proportionally with education attainment level, except for secondary education (p < 0.0001).

Table 2 shows the crude and trait-specific prevalence for diabetes and prediabetes among females at Al Kharj, which was found to be 3.8% and 18.8%, respectively. Additionally, age-specific prevalence and other traitspecific prevalence with 95% confidence interval are also presented. The prevalence of diabetes is shown to be increasing proportionally with increasing age: 1.4%, 10.3%, and 38.5% for age group 18–24, 25–4, and 45–60, respectively. Similarly, prevalence of prediabetes is shown to be increasing proportionally with increasing age: 14.5%, 34.5%, and 46.2% for age group 18-24, 25-44, and 45–60, respectively. Prevalence of diabetes is shown to be increasing proportionally with increasing levels of BMI: 0.9%, 2.7%, and 11.8% for normal, overweight, and obesity levels, respectively. Prevalence of prediabetes is shown to be increasing proportionally with increasing levels of BMI: 12.75%, 20.8%, and 31.3% for normal, overweight and obesity levels, respectively. Among females with high waist circumference, the prevalence of prediabetes was higher at 31% and diabetes at 11.5%. Diabetes and prediabetes were found to be more prevalent in older age, higher BMI, and high waist circumference groups. We also found a prevalence ratio of 2.9, 5.4, and 1.9 for having

	Total (n = 638)	Total Nondiabetes (n = 614)	Nondiabetes (n = 494)	Prediabetes (n = 120)	Diabetes (n = 24)	P-value For trend**
*HbA1c	5.44 <i>(0.72)</i>	5.35 (0.39)	5.23 (0.32)	5.87 (0.17)	7.72 (2.12)	<0.0001
*Age in years	23.36 <i>(6.47)</i>	22.93 (5.85)	22.08 (4.58)	26.39 (8.63)	34.46 (10.58)	< 0.0001
Age groups (years)						< 0.0001
- 18–24	79.78 <i>(509)</i>	81.76 (502)	86.64 (428)	61.67 (74)	29.17 (7)	
- 25–44	18.18 <i>(116)</i>	16.94 (104)	12.96 (64)	33.33 (40)	50.00 (12)	
- 45–60	2.04 (13)	1.30 (8)	0.40 (2)	5.00 (6)	20.83 (5)	
*Body Mass Index in kg/m ² (BMI)	25.68 <i>(6.25)</i>	25.43 (6.07)	24.77 (5.79)	28.14 (6.42)	32.09 (7.41)	<0.0001
BMI categories						< 0.0001
- Normal	54.08 <i>(345)</i>	55.70 (342)	60.32 (298)	36.67 (44)	12.50 (3)	
- Overweight	23.35 <i>(149)</i>	23.62 (145)	23.08 (114)	25.83 (31)	16.67 (4)	
- Obese	22.57 (144)	20.68 (127)	16.60 (82)	37.50 (45)	70.83 (17)	
*Waist Circumference in cm (WC)	75.90 <i>(13.70)</i>	75.24 (13.09)	73.55 (12.40)	82.18 (13.57)	93.23 (18.06)	<0.0001
Waist Circumference						< 0.0001
- ≤88 Cm	82.29 <i>(525)</i>	83.71 (514)	86.84 (429)	70.83 (85)	45.83 (11)	
- >88 Cm	17.71 <i>(113)</i>	16.29 (100)	13.16 (65)	29.17 (35)	54.17 (13)	
Smoking Status						0.311
- Never Smoker	99.06 <i>(632)</i>	99.19 (609)	98.99 (489)	100 (120)	95.83 (23)	
- Ex-smoker	0.16 (1)	0.16 (1)	0.20 (1)	0	0	
- Current Smoker	0.78 <i>(5)</i>	0.65 (4)	0.81 (4)	0	4.17 (1)	
Marital Status						< 0.0001
- Married	21.63 <i>(138)</i>	19.87 (122)	16.60 (82)	33.33 (40)	66.67 (16)	
- Single	78.37 <i>(500)</i>	80.13 (492)	83.40 (412)	66.67 (80)	33.33 (8)	
Education Level						< 0.0001
- Primary	1.72 (11)	1.30 (8)	0.40 (2)	5.00 (6)	12.50 (3)	
- Secondary	5.02 <i>(32)</i>	5.05 (31)	4.05 (20)	9.17 (11)	4.17 (1)	
- Intermediate	1.25 <i>(8)</i>	0.98 (6)	0.81 (4)	1.67 (2)	8.33 (2)	
- University	90.44 <i>(577)</i>	91.04 (559)	93.52 (462)	80.83 (97)	75.00 (18)	
- Postgraduate	1.57 <i>(10)</i>	1.63 (10)	1.21 (6)	3.33 (4)	0	
Employment Status						< 0.0001
- Student	31.35 <i>(200)</i>	181 (29.48)	24.90 (123)	48.33 (58)	79.17 (19)	
- Working	68.65 <i>(438)</i>	433 (70.52)	75.10 (371)	51.67 (62)	20.83 (5)	

Table 1: General characteristics of participants by diabetic classification in a female Al Kharj study population.

* Indicates continuous variables represented as mean (SD). Categorical variable values in each cell are represented as percentage (sample size).

** Significance of differences evaluated using χ^2 test for categorical variable and t-test or ANOVA for continuous variable. Significance accessed if p-value < 0.05 in the above table. All were significant at 0.05 except smoking status, which had p-value 0.157 for diabetic vs. non-diabetic class and p-value 0.311 for diabetic classification (non, pre and diabetic).

diabetes compared to prediabetes given an individual with high WC (vs. WC < 88 cm), being obese (vs. Normal BMI), and being overweight (vs. Normal BMI), respectively.

With respect to employment status, some were students (31.4%) and others were working (68.7%). Between those,

79.2% of individual who had diabetes were students; whereas, only 20.1% of the diabetics were working. On the contrary, 48.3% of prediabetic individuals were students; whereas, 51.7% prediabetics were working. **Table 2** illustrates the 29% and 14.2% prevalence of prediabetes

Fable 2: Crude prevalence and trait-	specific prevalence of c	liabetes and prediabetes	in the female Al Kl	harj population
--------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	-----------------

	Prediabetes (n = 120)	95% CI	Diabetes (n = 24)	95% CI
Overall	18.81	15.77–21.85	3.76	2.28-5.24
Age (years)				
- 18–24	14.54	11.47–17.61	1.38	0.36–2.38
- 25–44	34.48	25.78–43.19	10.34	4.77–15.92
- 45–60	46.15	17.89–74.41	38.46	10.88–66.04
BMI				
- Normal	12.75	9.22–16.29	0.87	0.1–1.85
- Overweight	20.81	14.25–27.36	2.68	0.08–5.29
- Obese	31.25	23.64–38.86	11.81	6.5–17.10
Waist Circumference				
- ≤88 cm	16.19	13.03–19.35	2.10	0.87–3.32
- >88 cm	30.97	22.39–39.55	11.50	5.58–17.42
Smoking Status				
- Never Smoker	18.99	15.92–22.05	3.64	2.17–5.10
- Ex-Smoker	-	-	-	
- Current Smoker	-	-	20	0.01–59.27
Marital Status				
- Married	28.99	21.37–36.60	11.59	6.22–16.97
- Single	16.00	12.78–19.22	1.60	4.97-2.70
Education Level				
- Primary	54.55	23.63-85.47	27.27	0.01–54.93
- Secondary	34.38	17.62–51.13	3.13	0.01–9.26
- Intermediate	25	0.01–57.14	25	0.01–57.14
- University or Postgraduate	17.2	14.14–20.27	3.07	1.67–4.47
Employment Status				
- Student	29	22.68–35.31	9.50	5.42–13.58
- Working	14.16	10.88–17.42	1.14	0.14–2.14

for students and workers. We found 9.5% and 1.1% prevalence of diabetes for students and workers. This indicates that diabetic and prediabetic students are more likely to have diabetes compared to workers (p-value < 0.0001). We also found the prevalence ratio of 4.1 for diabetics compared to prediabetics given an individual being either a student or worker.

Table 3 demonstrates the univariate and multivariable analysis reporting unadjusted and adjusted odds ratio using log-binomial regression for diabetic and nondiabetic results, together with using multinomial logistic regression modeling for prediabetic and diabetic levels.

On univariate analysis, the following variables had a significant association with prediabetes and diabetes: age, overweight, obesity, waist circumference, marital status, employment, and education. Similarly, for diabetes compared to nondiabetes in the multinomial logistic regression model, age, obesity, waist circumference, employment status, education, and marital status were significantly associated with diabetes. However, on multivariable analysis, after adjusting for age, only obesity had a significant association with both prediabetes and diabetes compared to nondiabetes. We observed the prediabetics were 2.35 times more likely to be obese compared to nondiabetics (95% CI, SD = 1.38-3.99). Similarly, students compared to the working population are at more risk for diabetes and prediabetes. Similarly, diabetics were 6.67 times more likely to be obese compared to nondiabetics (95% CI, SD = 1.68-26.42).

4. Discussion

Diabetes mellitus is an important public health issue worldwide. It is a chronic disorder having vast environmental and genetic effects. It continues to be a worldwide health crisis in the 21st century, and it is estimated that about 300 million individuals will be affected with diabetesby 2025 [37]. If not diagnosed and treated at early stages, it may give rise to overwhelming complications.

Ъ.
ō
·Ξ
at
z
H
g
1
.С
g
Ч
\simeq
Γ
\triangleleft
d)
¹⁰
E
e,
4
je.
+
L L
SI
0
T,
Щ
μ
\prec
IS
Ξ.
S
ij
2
I
Ē
.0
Ξ
9
ž
Ξ
SS
ъ,
\sim
12.
÷
Ä
뉴
р
ŗ
9
-
G)
ir
Ť
ŏ
ĕ
Ц
Ц
ō
· E
S
تە
L.
3
ž
m
le
þ
a
E

	Unadjusted OR Diabe-	Adjusted OR*		By diabetic clas	ssification	
	tes (vs. Total Nondia-	Diabetes (vs. Total	Unadj	justed OR	Adjust	ed OR*
	neres)	MULLIADELES	Prediabetes (vs. Nondiabetes)	Diabetes (vs. Nondiabetes)	Prediabetes (vs. Nondiabetes)	Diabetes (vs. Nondiabetes)
Age (years)						
- 18–24	1	Ι	1	1	Ι	Ι
- 25–44	7.52 (3.03–18.69)	I	3.62 (2.27–5.76)	11.46 (4.35–30.20)	I	Ι
- 45–60	27.97 (10.21–76.55)	I	17.35 (3.43–87.61)	152.86 (25.22–926.47)	I	I
BMI						
- Normal	1	1	1	1	1	1
- Oveweight	3.09 (0.70–13.62)	1.84 (0.37–9.08)	1.84 (1.11–3.06)	3.49 (0.77–15.82)	1.36 (0.80–2.33)	1.59 (0.32–7.91)
- Obese	13.58 (4.04–45.61)	5.47 (1.54–19.36)	3.72 (2.30–6.02)	20.59 (5.89–71.98)	2.35 (1.38–3.99)	6.67 (1.68–26.42)
Waist Circumference						
- ≤88cm	1	1	1	1	1	1
- >88cm	5.49 (2.53–11.94)	1.65 (0.58–4.75)	2.72 (1.69–4.36)	7.80 (3.35–18.14)	1.53 (0.89–2.63)	1.98 (0.68–5.73)
Smoking Status						
- Never Smoker	1	1	I	1	I	1
- Current Smoker orEx-Smoker	4.58 (0.73–28.65)	4.62 (1.81–11.80)	I	4.26 (0.48–37.91)	I	4.16 (0.28–61.50)
Marital status						
- Single	1	1	1	1	1	1
- Married	7.25 (3.17–16.58)	2.34 (0.73–7.55)	2.51 (1.61–3.93)	10.05 (4.16–24.25)	1.09 (0.61–1.93)	2.11 (0.70–6.34)
Education Level						
- Primary	1	-	1	1	1	1
- Secondary	0.11 (0.01–0.99)	1.23 (0.13–11.53)	0.18 (0.03–1.07)	0.03 (0.01–0.49)	1.07 (0.16–7.32)	0.81 (0.04–15.15)
- Intermediate	0.92 (0.20–4.28)	3.49 (0.70–17.42)	0.17 (0.02–1.72)	0.33 (0.03-3.92)	0.34 (0.03–3.72)	1.62 (0.11–22.98)
- University or Postgraduate	0.11 (0.04–0.33)	3.74 (1.03–13.64)	0.07 (0.01-0.36)	0.02 (0.01-0.16)	0.82 (0.13–5.27)	2.78 (0.28–27.83)
Employment status						
- Student	1	1	1	1	1	1
- Working	0.12 (0.05-0.32)	0.35 (0.10–1.20)	0.35 (0.23-0.53)	0.09 (0.03-0.24)	0.71 (0.42–1.20)	0.39 (0.11–1.33)
* Adjusted by continuous age variable. Bold numbers indicate statistical signific	cance (p < 0.05).					

The current study was conducted to create insight into the prevalence and determinants of prediabetes and diabetes among females of Al-Kharj. In our study, the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes among females from Al Kharj was 3.8% and 18.8%, respectively. The overall increase in prevalence of diabetes in Saudi Arabia from 16.5% (2010) to 18.8% (2030) is alarming [38]. In our study, diabetes and prediabetes status was well-defined using HbA1c cutoff levels of less than 5.7% (nondiabetics), greater than 5.7% but less than 6.5% (prediabetes), and greater than or equal to 6.5% (diabetes mellitus) as per the American Diabetes Association 2016 [36]. However, previous studies used fasting plasma glucose alone for the diagnosis of diabetes [15, 39], which may genuinely underestimate the actual magnitude of the problem in Saudi Arabia. Additionally, few studies include only the Saudi nationality, hence they may not provide a complete picture of the prevalence of DM [1, 17, 20, 22, 40, 41]. An international expert committee suggested the use of HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin A1C, as a diagnostic tool for diabetes [15, 17]. This shows the average blood level of glucose for the past 3 months and requires treatment if it is 6.5% or higher [6].

The mean HbA1c in our study participants was 5.44, with a range from 2.3 to 12.7. However, the mean HbA1c levels among diabetics was 7.72 (2.12), among prediabetics was 5.87 (0.17), and among nondiabetics was 5.23 (0.32). A study conducted in Japan revealed HbA1c levels ranging from 5.7% to 6.4% [42]. The HaA1c range was much higher among our population, signifying a need for interventions to reduce the prevalence of diabetes.

The literature shows that lifestyle modification is the cornerstone for managing diabetes [15, 17]. The first step includes increasing physical activity and improving diet, consequntly controlling obesity. These are the two most significant modifiable factors [43]. The beneficial effects of lifestyle interventions have been confirmed among the Chinese [44], Swedish [45], Finish [46], American [43], Asian [47, 48], and Saudi populations [17]. Pharmacological interventions include antidiabetes drugs, including Biguanides (Metformin), Thiazolidinediones, α -glucosidase inhibitors. The GLP-1 analogues and insulin secretagouges are also prescribed according to their indications [15]. This indicates the need for more focused awareness campaigns across the nation. An integrated care intervention was provided to the patients in primary care in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. It consisted of an intensified and patient-specific multidisciplinary care program by a team that included a family physician, a nurse, a clinical pharmacist, a dietician, a diabetic educator, and a social worker, which resulted in a 20% improvement in glycaemic control as measured by reduction in HbA1c and fasting blood glucose [49]. Another strategy was the use of a mobile diabetes management system [50].

In our study, obesity was significantly associated with diabetes and prediabetes. Obesity is the root cause for a majority of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) in the world and is becoming a public health issue. It is increasing at an alarming rate, as reported by studies conducted in China [51] and Saudi Arabia [23, 24, 28, 40]. In Saudi Arabia, 33.8% of women in Jeddah were determined to

be obese in Jeddah: 25.1% had a WC greater than 88 cm, 47.1% had a WC greater than 80 cm, and 31.2% were physically inactive [52]. Abdominal obesity, specifically, is the second most significant predictor of both diabetes and prediabetes [1]. Similarly, in our study 23.35% of the participants were found to be overweight, while 22.57% were obese, and 17.71% had high WC (i.e., >88 cm), predisposing them to various NCDs, including DM. In Jeddah, increased WC was found strongly associated with prediabetes, specifically in females with DM [1]. As shown in our study, diabetic female participants had a higher mean WC and BMI. Obesity is a modifiable risk factor for prevention and management of diabetes. Therefore, it is imperative that intervention programs be developed and receive major consideration for DM prevention [53].

Type of job may affect the occurrence of diabetes due to effects of behavior or metabolic regulation and because of the level of earning and physical activity. In our study, students were more likely to have diabetes compared to working females. Two of the studies revealed a high inactivity level was present among Saudi women, pertaining to the international recommendation for minimum activity [54]. Another study observed female nurses working fewer than 20 hours per week had a lesser risk of diabetes, while those working overtime (41 or more hours per week) had an elevated risk of diabetes compared with women working 21 to 40 hours per week in paid employment [55]. A study in the Delhi population demonstrated the higher-income group had poor glycemic control [56]. However, other studies found less prevalence of DM with increasing occupational activity for both sexes [57].

Around 44% of the females in our study were university educated. However, contrasting findings were reported by a study conducted in Europe, which demonstrated individuals with a lower education background had a higher rate of DM compared to those with a higher level of education [58].

Moreover, smoking is an independent risk factor. Nevertheless, due to cultural and religious boundaries, fewer females in Saudi Arabia smoke or, if they smoke, they feel hesitant to reveal their smoking status. There were not enough smokers to detect smoking effects in our study population. Only six participants in our study reported being current or ex-smokers; therefore, no clear indication for smoking association was found with diabetes status; however, more smokers were found in the nondiabetics. A study found no relationship between diabetes and smoking status of patients [59]. Another study revealed they found minimal information on the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions [60]. However, contradictory findings were observed from a study conducted in Ireland, which described cigarette smoking was found to be significantly associated significantly with an enhanced risk of diabetes, even though other potential confounders like BMI and age were adjusted during analysis [61]. Conversely, another study demonstrated adverse effects of smoking on diabetes and its complications, together with rendering it as a target for diabetes control [62].

In our study, we found married females to be more likely to be diabetic. Similar findings were reported by a study conducted in Florida, where marital status and education level were found to be significant predictors, along with other factors, for DM and prediabetic status [7]. They concluded a higher proportion of married individuals, compared to unmarried prediabetic or diabetic. Conversely, studies from Iran [63] and Bangladesh [64] could not find any significant relationship between marital status and diabetes. To address these findings, it could be suggested that married individuals have a high degree of responsibilities, due to which they usually have no time for physical activity. This sedentary lifestyle ultimately leads to obesity and a risk of diabetes. In a similar context, marital status was significantly associated with obesity in Greek adults [65] in contrast to Malaysians [66] and Americans [67], who report increased physical activity after marriage. Various risk factors have been identified by different regions of the world for DM and prediabetes. Hence, modifiable risk can be controlled to some extent, which may contribute to the development, as well as advancement, of the disease.

4.1. Limitations and Strengths

There were several strengths of our study. Our robust sampling technique (i.e., multistage stratified cluster sampling) was used. Hence, we were able to recruit working and student female participants belonging to different demographic backgrounds. All institutes from Al-Kharj were included in the sampling frame. Moreover, a robust tool for assessing diabetes status (i.e., HbA1c) was used, which has been used as an authentic marker for evaluating the presence of this disease. Because fasting plasma, glucose can usually detect only a certain proportion of individuals with DM and prediabetes, HbA1c is a much more robust tool for assessing diabetes status.

However, our study had a few limitations. First, our study was conducted in Al-Kharj and not in other regions of Saudi Arabia; therefore, generalizing our results to Saudi Arabia might be questionable. However, the study can be generalized to the studying and working force of Al-Kharj. We use the WHO recommended cut-offs for BMI and WC, which might be different for the Saudi population due to geographical differences and dietary habits. Hence, it is imperative to establish BMI cut-offs specifically for the population in our region. Additionally, family history, concomitant illnesses, habitual dietary intake, and ethnicity should have been considered while evaluating the predictors of DM and prediabetes.

5. Conclusion

Our study results indicate the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes among females from Al Kharj (3.8% and 18.8%, respectively). The diabetic and prediabetic female participants, compared to nondiabetics, had higher mean BMI and WC, were older in age, employment status, and education level, and were married. In the context of the findings of our study and keeping in view the burden of this disease globally and in our population, it has now become extremely important to understand these factors and encourage health-promoting behaviors to construct effective interventions.

6. Implications and Recommendations

With DM approaching an epidemic in Saudi Arabia, it is crucial to promote preventive programs to decrease its burden. Practitioners and health policy professionals should devote attention towards promotion of public awareness, regular screening, early diagnosis, and prompt intervention.

A considerable number individuals with diabetes or prediabetes remain undiagnosed, and that rate varies across countries due to varying health systems and surveillance systems. Without proper evaluation, this can lead to major health issues and complications in the future. Hence, extensive screening for diabetes is recommended at the primary level of health care, where general practitioners can play a vital role by evaluating the high-risk groups based on general characteristics, family history, risk factors, and by using effective screening tools for early detection. Health education and counselling sessions should be conducted during routine check-ups in primary health care clinics, universities, work places, and medical conferences. Healthcare workers, general practitioners, and the social media can play a vital role in disseminating information. It is also imperative to establish national cut-offs for measures of indicators for obesity. Moreover, future cohort studies should be conducted to thoroughly investigate anthropometric measurements and other risk factors to improve the health of Saudi females for future generations.

Data Accessibility Statement

The data used to support the findings of this study were provided by Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University under license and cannot be made freely available. Access to these data will be considered by the author upon request, with permission of Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University.

Ethics and Consent

Individual participant consent and local institutional review board approval was sought for this project. The manuscript does not contain patient identifiable data.

Acknowledgements

The college of medicine represented by the diabetes research unit would like to acknowledge Dr. Abdul Rahman Al Asimi, rector of Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, for the financial support.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the Diabetes Research Unit (DRU), College of Medicine, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, SA.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author Contributions

Dr. Jamaan M. Al-Zahrani, Dr. Ashraf El-Metwally, Dr. Abdulrahman Aldiab, and Dr. Khaled K. Aldossari conceived the hypothesis and planned the study after setting up meetings with other team members. Dr. Sameer and Dr. Nida designed the methods section. Monitoring of data

collection was done by Dr. Sameer and Dr. Mohammed Ali. Monitoring the quality of data entry and statistical analysis was done by Dr. Ashraf and Sundas. They further contributed to the interpretation of the results. Dr. Jamaan, Dr. Khaled, and Dr. Sameer contributed to sample preparation. Dr. Jamaan took the lead in preparing and writing the manuscript as a draft. All other authors, including Hira and Shanila, provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

References

- 1. Bahijri SM, Jambi HA, Al Raddadi RM, Ferns G and Tuomilehto J. The prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in the adult population of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia-a community-based survey. *PloS One.* 2016 Apr 1; 11(4): e0152559. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152559
- 2. International Diabetes Federation. 2016. http:// www.Idf.Org/media-events/press-releases/2015/ diabetes-atlas-7th-edition. Accessed February 12, 2016.
- Ferguson JF, Shah RY, Shah R, Mehta NN, Rickels MR and Reilly MP. Activation of Innate Immunity Modulates Insulin Sensitivity, Glucose Effectiveness and Pancreatic β-Cell Function in Both African Ancestry and European Ancestry Healthy Humans. *Metabolism.* 2015; 64(4): 513–20. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.metabol.2014.12.007
- 4. Castelblanco E, Hernández M, Castelblanco A, et al. Low-grade inflammatory marker profile may help to differentiate patients with LADA, classic adult-onset type 1 diabetes, and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2018 Apr 1; 41(4): 862–8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-1662
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosing Diabetes and Learning about Prediabetes 2015. http:// www.diabetes.org/are-you-at-risk/prediabetes/. Accessed June 14, 2015.
- 6. Wang Z, Zhang H, Shen X-H, et al. Immunogl obulin E and Mast Cell Proteases Are Potential Risk Factors of Human Pre-Diabetes and Diabetes Mellitus. *PloS One*. 2011; 6(12): e28962. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028962
- 7. Okwechime IO, Roberson S and Odoi A. Prevalence and Predictors of Pre-Diabetes and Diabetes among Adults 18 Years or Older in Florida: A Multinomial Logistic Modeling Approach. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10(12): e0145781. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0145781
- 8. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34(Suppl 1): S62–9. DOI: https://doi. org/10.2337/dc11-S062
- Grundy SM. Pre-Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome, and Cardiovascular Risk. *Journal of the American College* of Cardiology. 2012; 59(7): 635–43. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.08.080
- 10. **Gossain VV** and **Aldasouqi S.** The challenge of undiagnosed pre-diabetes, diabetes and associated cardiovascular disease. *International Journal*

of Diabetes Mellitus. 2010 Apr 30; 2(1): 43–6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdm.2009.10.004

- 11. **Dupuis J, Langenberg C, Prokopenko, I,** et al. New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat. Genet.* 2010; 42: 105–116. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng0510-464a
- 12. Salunkhe VA, Veluthakal R, Kahn SE and Thurmond DC. Novel approaches to restore beta cell function in prediabetes and type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia.* 2018 Sep 1; 61(9): 1895–901. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-018-4658-3
- Moon HU, Ha KH, Han SJ, Kim HJ and Kim DJ. The Association of Adiponectin and Visceral Fat with Insulin Resistance and β-Cell Dysfunction. *Journal of Korean medical science*. 2019 Jan 2; 34(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2019.34.e7
- Xiang AH, Black MH, Shu YH, et al. Association of weight gain and fifteen adipokines with declining beta-cell function in Mexican Americans. *PloS One.* 2018 Aug 13; 13(8): e0201568. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0201568
- 15. **Tabak AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ** and **Kivimaki M.** Prediabetes: A high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet*. 2012; 379(9833): 2279–90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(12)60283-9
- Abraham TM and Fox CS. Implications of rising prediabetes prevalence. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36(8): 2139–41. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-0792
- Alqurashi K, Aljabri K and Bokhari S. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus in a Saudi community. *Annals of Saudi medicine*. 2011 Jan 1; 31(1): 19. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.4103/0256-4947.75773
- 18. World Health Organization. Diabetes country profiles, Saudi Arabia; 2016. http://www.who.int/diabetes/country-profiles/sau_en.pdf?ua=1.
- El-Metwally A, Shaikh Q, Aldiab A, et al. The prevalence of chronic pain and its associated factors among Saudi Al-Kharj population: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*. 2019 Dec; 20(1): 177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12891-019-2555-7
- 20. Al-Eisa ES and Al-Sobayel HI. Physical activity and health beliefs among Saudi women. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism.* 2012; 6. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1155/2012/642187
- 21. Donkin A, Goldblatt P, Allen J, Nathanson V and Marmot M. Global action on the social determinants of health. *BMJ Global Health*. 2018 Jan 1; 3(Suppl 1): e000603. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjgh-2017-000603
- 22. Elhadd TA, Al-Amoudi AA and Alzahrani AS. Epidemiology, clinical and complications profile of diabetes in Saudi Arabia: A review. *Annals of Saudi Medicine*. 2007 Jul 1; 27(4): 241. DOI: https://doi. org/10.4103/0256-4947.51484
- 23. Al-Rubeaan K, Al-Manaa HA, Khoja TA, et al. Epidemiology of abnormal glucose metabolism in a country facing its epidemic: SAUDI-DM study.

Journal of Diabetes. 2015 Sep 1; 7(5): 622–32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12224

- 24. **Afifi RM, Saad AE** and **Shehri A.** Prevalence and Correlates of Prediabetes and Diabetes Results-I: A Screening Plan in a Selected Military Community in Central Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Diabetes Mellitus*. 2017; 7: 12–30. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.4236/jdm.2017.71002
- 25. Assunção MC, Santos IS and Valle NC. Blood glucose control in diabetes patients seen in primary health care centers. *Revista de Saudepublica*. 2005 Apr; 39(2): 183–90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0034-89102005000200007
- 26. **Overview and Case Study II.** Screening for Type 2 Diabetes and Pre-diabetes with HbA1c. Falls Church, VA: The Lewin Group; 2009.
- 27. Mokdad A, Tuffaha M, Hanlon M, et al. Cost of Diabetes in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2014. *Journal of Diabetes Metab.* 2015; 6: 8. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.4172/2155-6156.1000575
- Alotaibi A, Perry L, Gholizadeh L and Al-Ganmi A. Incidence and prevalence rates of diabetes mellitus in Saudi Arabia: An overview. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*. 2017 Dec 1; 7(4): 21–8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2017.10.001
- 29. Aldossari KK, Aldiab A, Al-Zahrani JM, et al. Prevalence of prediabetes, diabetes, and its associated risk factors among males in Saudi Arabia: A population-based survey. *Journal* of *Diabetes Research*; 2018. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1155/2018/2194604
- 30. **Qiu S, Sun XH, Liu WY,** et al. Prevalence and correlates of psychological distress among diabetes mellitus adults in the Jilin province in China: A cross-sectional study. *PeerJ.* 2017; 17(5): e2869. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2869
- 31. **Daradkeh TK, Ghubash R** and **El-Rufaie OE.** Reliability, validity, and factor structure of the Arabic version of the 12-item General Health Questionnaire. *Psychological Reports.* 2001 Aug; 89(1): 85–94. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.2001.89.1.85
- 32. El-Metwally A, Javed S, Razzak HA, et al. The factor structure of the general health questionnaire (GHQ12) in Saudi Arabia. *BMC health services research.* 2018 Dec; 18(1): 595. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1186/s12913-018-3381-6
- 33. James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. Evidencebased guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: Report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). *JAMA*. 2014 Feb 5; 311(5): 507–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.284427
- 34. World Health Organization. Global database on Body Mass Index, 2017. http://apps.who.int/bmi/ index.jsp?introPage=intro_3.html. Accessed March 24, 2017.
- 35. World Health Organization. Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio Report of a WHO Expert Consultation Geneva, 8–11 December 2008. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstr

eam/10665/44583/1/9789241501491_eng.pdf. Accessed March 24, 2017.

- American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2016 Jan 1; 39(Supplement 1): S13–22. DOI: https://doi. org/10.2337/dc16-S005
- 37. Hjelm K, Mufunda E, Nambozi G and Kemp J. Preparing nurses to face the pandemic of diabetes mellitus: A literature review. J. Adva. Nurs. 2003; 41: 424–434. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2003.02548.x
- 38. **Shaw JE, Sicree RA** and **Zimmet PZ.** Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2010 Jan 1; 87(1): 4–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. diabres.2009.10.007
- 39. Harris MI, Flegal KM, Cowie CC, et al. Prevalence of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance in US adults: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Diabetes Care*. 1998; 21(4): 518–24. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.21.4.518
- 40. **Abdelmarouf HM, Alzohairy MA** and **Hasan M.** Awareness of diabetes mellitus among Saudi nondiabetic population in Al-Qassim region, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Diabetes and Endocrinology*. April 2011; 2(2): 14–19.
- 41. **Heianza Y, Hara S, Arase Y,** et al. HbA1c 5.7–6. 4% and impaired fasting plasma glucose for diagnosis of prediabetes and risk of progression to diabetes in Japan (TOPICS 3): A longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet.* 2011; 378: 147–55. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60472-8
- 42. Einas SA and Al-Sobayel HI. Physical Activity and Health Beliefs among Saudi Women. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism.* 2012; Article ID 642187:
 6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/642187
- 43. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 346: 393–403. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa012512
- 44. Eriksson KF and Lindgarde F. Prevention of type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus by diet and physical exercise: The 6-year Malmo feasibility study. *Diabetologia.* 1991; 34: 891–898. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00400196
- 45. **Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH,** et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance: The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20: 537–544. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.20.4.537
- 46. Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med.* 2001; 344: 1343–50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200105033441801
- 47. **Saito T, Watanabe M, Nishida J,** et al. Lifestyle modification and prevention of type 2 diabetes in overweight Japanese with impaired fasting

glucose levels: A randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011; 171: 1352–60. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.275

- 48. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). *Diabetologia*. 2006; 49: 289–97. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00125-005-0097-z
- 49. Al Asmary SM, Tourkmani AM, Al Khashan HI, et al. Impact of integrated care program on glycemic control and cardiovascular risk in adult patients with type 2 diabetes. *JCOM*. 2013; 356–63.
- 50. Alotaibi MM, Istepanian R and Philip N. A mobile diabetes management and educational system for type-2 diabetics in Saudi Arabia (SAED). *mHealth*; 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21037/mhealth.2016.08.01
- 51. Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J Med. 2010; 362: 1090–101. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0908292
- 52. **Alharbi MM** and **Jackson RT**. Physical activity and the prevalence of general and abdominal obesity among Saudi women of reproductive age in Jeddah City. *J Obes Overweig.* 2017; 3(1): 104. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.15744/2455-7633.3.104
- 53. Shang X, Li J, Tao Q, et al. Educational level, obesity and incidence of diabetes among Chinese adult men and women aged 18–59 years old: An 11-year follow-up study. *PLoS ONE*. 2013; 8(6): e66479. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066479
- 54. Einas SA and Al-Sobayel HI. Physical activity and health beliefs among Saudi women. *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism.* 2012; Article ID 642187:
 6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/642187
- 55. Kroenke CH, Spiegelman D, Manson J, Schernhammer ES, Colditz GA and Kawachi I. Work characteristics and incidence of type 2 diabetes in women. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2007 Jan 15; 165(2): 175–183. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1093/aje/kwj355
- 56. Nagpal J and Bhartia A. Quality of diabetes care in the middle-and high-income group populace. *Diabetes Care.* 2006 Nov; 29(11): 2341–2348. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-0783
- 57. Hu G, Qiao Q, Silventoinen K, et al. Occupational, commuting, and leisure-time physical activity in relation to risk for type 2 diabetes in middle-aged Finnish men and women. *Diabetologia.* 2003 Mar; 46(3): 322–329. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-003-1031-x

- 58. **Sacerdote C, Ricceri F** and **Rolandsson O,** et al. Lower educational level is a predictor of incident type 2 diabetes in European countries: The EPIC-InterAct study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2012 Aug; 41(4): 1162–73. Epub 2012 Jun 25. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1093/ije/dys091
- 59. Çaliskan D, Ozdemir O, Ocaktan E and Idil A. Evaluation of awareness of Diabetes Mellitus and associated factors in four health center areas. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2006; 62: 142–147. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2005.06.018
- 60. Haire-Joshu D, Glasgow RE and Tibbs TL. Smoking and diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1999 Nov; 22(11): 1887–98. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/ diacare.22.11.1887
- 61. Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG and Perry IJ. Smoking as a modifiable risk factor for type 2 diabetes in middle-aged men. *Diabetes Care*. 2001; 24: 1590–1595. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/ diacare.24.9.1590
- 62. **Chang SA.** Smoking and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2012; 6: 399–403. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2012.36.6.399
- 63. **Rahmanian K, Shojaei M** and **Jahromi AS.** Relation of type 2 diabetes mellitus with gender, education, and marital status in an Iranian urban population. *Reports of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology*. Apr 2013; 1(2).
- 64. Chowdhury MAB, Uddin MJ, Khan HM and Haque MR. Type 2 diabetes and its correlates among adults in Bangladesh: A populationbased study. *BMC Public Health.* 2015; 15: 1070. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2 413-y
- 65. **Tzotzas T, Vlahavas G, Papadopoulou SK, Kapantais E, Kaklamanou D** and **Hassapidou M.** Marital status and educational level associated to obesity in Greek adults: Data from the National Epidemiological Survey. *BMC Public Health.* 2010 Nov 26; 10(1): 732. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-732
- 66. Lian TC, Bonn G, Han YS, Choo YC and Piau WC. Physical activity and its correlates among adults in Malaysia: A cross-sectional descriptive study. *PloS One.* 2016 Jun 22; 11(6): e0157730. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157730
- 67. **Pettee KK, Brach JS, Kriska AM,** et al. Influence of marital status on physical activity levels among older adults. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2006 Mar; 38(3): 541–6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000191346.952 44.f7

How to cite this article: Al-Zahrani JM, Aldiab A, Aldossari KK, Al-Ghamdi S, Batais MA, Javad S, Nooruddin S, Zahid N, Razzak HA and El-Metwally A. Prevalence of Prediabetes, Diabetes and Its Predictors among Females in Alkharj, Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Annals of Global Health.* 2019; 85(1): 109, 1–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.2467

Published: 22 July 2019

]u[

Copyright: © 2019 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Annals of Global Health is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by Ubiquity Press.

OPEN ACCESS