

1 **EVALUATION ARTICLE**

2 Humanising Medicine: teaching on tri-morbidity using expert patient narratives in medical
3 education

4 Emily Player, Heidi Gure-Klinke, Sophie North, Sarah Hanson, Donald Lane, Graham
5 Culyer, Veena Rodrigues,

6 University of East Anglia

7

8 **Correspondence to:**

9 Emily Player

10 Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich,
11 Norfolk, NR47TJ, Email: e.player@uea.ac.uk

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Abstract

Expert patients have recognised benefits for both students and patients in medical education. However, marginalised patients such as homeless patients are less likely to participate. Learning from such individuals is crucial for future doctors, who can, in turn, aid their inclusion in society and improve access to healthcare. A ‘humanising medicine’ lecture was delivered to Year Four medical students at Norwich Medical School. The lecture utilised narratives from patients with experience of homelessness and tri-morbidity (physical and mental health problems and substance abuse). We used a qualitative approach to evaluate this teaching and understand the experience of both students and patients. Students were asked to complete questionnaires, whereas expert patients were interviewed. We thematically analysed data using an inductive approach. Students reported an increased understanding, empathy and preparedness to consult with marginalised patients. Expert patients described positive feelings about their involvement, giving something back, and the therapeutic benefits of telling their story. We found that including marginalised patients in medical education had positive benefits for both students and patients. Our findings suggest that expert patient narratives are valuable in medical education particularly in teaching and learning about medical complexity and tri-morbidity.

1 **Introduction:**

2

3 In medical education, there is an increased recognition of the human side of medicine and a
4 need to readdress the balance between this and 'hard' sciences within medical curricula [1].
5 The successes of including medical humanities and narrative medicine in the curriculum are
6 well reported. Learners can be enabled to understand patients in a way technical science
7 does not always allow. For example, by providing insight into life events which trainee
8 doctors themselves may not have experienced [2]. This may aid medical students'
9 understanding of the impact life events can have and allow them to relate better to patients
10 and show humanity [3]. Understanding and empathising with patients is key to empowering
11 them and re-balancing the relationship between the doctor and patient [4].

12 Marginalised groups, whose lives may appear chaotic to medical staff, can struggle to form
13 doctor-patient relationships. This can create obstacles to accessing appropriate healthcare
14 [4]. Marginalised patient groups include those from homeless backgrounds, prisoners,
15 substance users and sex workers [5]. 'Tri-morbidity' refers to an individual with a history of
16 co-existing but not causal substance misuse, physical and mental health problems, often
17 associated with homelessness [5]. It is widely known that despite the NHS being set up to
18 provide access to healthcare for all, access and provision is inequitable [6].

19 Two recent publications on inclusive health found that individuals from socially excluded
20 populations have a mortality rate eight times higher among men and 12 times higher among
21 women compared to the average UK population [7, 8]. A recent report from Crisis, a UK
22 charity working with homeless individuals, stated that the average age at death is 44 years
23 for homeless men and 42 years for women, compared to the UK average of 74 and 80 years
24 for men and women respectively [7]. Therefore, learning how to engage with, and offer
25 effective healthcare to, marginalised groups is essential for equitable care and reducing
26 health inequalities.

27 Involving expert patients from marginalised groups provides an opportunity for students to
28 hear the real challenges of navigating healthcare systems and offers highly valuable insights
29 into the key components of tri-morbidity which impact on health mortality. Medicine is often
30 learnt in a linear fashion with a set of symptoms and examinations which alongside clinical
31 reasoning provides a diagnosis, and evidence- based treatment. However, a homeless
32 person's life is complex with particularly challenging health and social needs. They often
33 present with disorganised symptoms or fail to 'adhere' to evidence-based treatments [5, 8].
34 Expert patient narratives delivered by those from marginalised populations can therefore

1 provide students with a real learning experience of patient complexity highlighted in cases of
2 tri-morbidity [3, 4].

3

4 From a student perspective, the use of expert patients can help to overcome the disparity
5 between medicine taught through text books and that practised in the real world [8].
6 Students exposed to expert patients can benefit from enhanced communication skills and
7 clinical reasoning, positive attitudes and increased empathy [9, 10].

8

9 Expert patients may also benefit from teaching medical students through experiencing
10 greater purpose and empowerment, increased self-care and a better understanding of the
11 healthcare system [10, 11]. Both patient and student are thought to gain a better
12 understanding of the doctor patient relationship, and the difficulties of balancing this
13 partnership [11]. However, the selection of expert patients can be problematic. Well-
14 educated patients form the majority of patients involved in teaching [14-16]. This offers poor
15 representation of the patient population and narrows the students' understanding of the
16 patient experience to those who are more socially well connected [14-16]. It has the potential
17 to increase marginalisation in seldom-heard populations [12]. Research from Jackson et. al,
18 who specifically targeted socially deprived groups, highlights how seldom-heard groups
19 experience health inequity in medical education, health policy and resource distribution [13].

20 Finally, there are political and institutional drivers for engaging expert patients from
21 marginalised groups in medical education [14]. Academic institutions and the General
22 Medical Council (GMC) are encouraged to involve expert patients, not only as a government
23 initiative, but also to demonstrate social responsibility, promote self-care and community
24 engagement [11]. GMC guidance states that: 'patients can contribute unique and invaluable
25 expertise to teaching, feedback and assessment' and furthermore that there should be
26 potential for their involvement in curriculum design [14].

27 Our aim was to explore the student experience of patient narratives from marginalised
28 groups such as homeless people, and to understand the expert patients' experience of
29 delivering their narratives; illustrated by a case study; of a teaching intervention which
30 involved expert patients with a history of tri-morbidity.

31 **Methodology:**

32

33 A qualitative approach using the case study 'humanising medicine', a teaching intervention
34 to understand patient and students experience of narrative medicine in the context of tri-

1 morbidity, was applied [15]. Learnings from the design, delivery and evaluation of this
2 teaching intervention have been described to shed light on this area of medical education
3 and share ideas for future teaching or research in narrative medicine involving marginalised
4 groups.

5

6 **Case study: A teaching intervention- 'humanising medicine'**

7

8 Researchers (HGK, EP and SN) and expert patients delivered a 50-minute compulsory
9 lecture as part of the core curriculum, three times a year, between 2017-2019, to Year Four
10 medical students. Expert patients were invited to teach by their doctor (HGK, who is a GP)
11 delivered some of the lecture and is experienced in working with marginalised patients.
12 Careful consideration was given to ensure that patients did not feel coerced into teaching,
13 that they were carefully prepared and that they were at a suitable place in their journey to be
14 able to share their narrative. Expert patients were aware of and of the lead researchers'
15 position as an academic GP and her interest in integrating patient narratives into medical
16 education. They were informed of the service evaluation and collection of student and expert
17 patient feedback from the outset of the project.

18 The main themes covered in the session included the inverse care law [5, 6], stigma and
19 shame [16], complexity [17], biography versus biology [18], communication [4] and the
20 appreciated patient [4, 16, 18]. Each theme was brought to life with examples from expert
21 patient narratives. Before the session students were signposted to a Technology,
22 Entertainment, Design (TED) talk on addiction via the University online portal [18].

23

24 **Sampling of participants:**

25

26 Inclusion criteria were year four medical students who had attended the lecture during their
27 psychiatry module and expert patients, with a history of tri-morbidity, who had taught at more
28 than one of the sessions. Convenience sampling was used. All students who had attended
29 the lecture and expert patients who helped in the delivery of the lectures were approached
30 [19]. Anonymous questionnaires which were co-designed by expert patients and lecturers
31 were completed by students (see appendix 1). These were distributed by the expert patients
32 at the beginning of the session to students who were asked to complete them immediately
33 pre and post teaching. The questionnaires included free text narrative responses.

34 Informal interviews were conducted by EP, a white, middle-class, female, academic GP
35 qualified for 5 years as a doctor, with the expert patients after the first year of teaching to

1 evaluate and develop future sessions. The lead researcher (EP) has a background as an
2 academic clinical fellow in primary care and is undertaking a master's in medical education.
3 At the time of starting the teaching intervention, the lead researcher did not have a pre-
4 existing relationship with the expert patients. Notes were taken by hand by the lead
5 researcher at the time of interviews.

6 **Analysis:** Using thematic analysis data was analysed inductively by the lead researcher for
7 both student and expert patient data sets [19, 20]. We had discussions with the expert
8 patients to member check our themes, ensure that we had accurately understood both
9 knowledge and meaning and that the research was as co-constructed as possible. Text from
10 questionnaires was transcribed verbatim onto Microsoft Excel enabling the researcher EP to
11 familiarise herself with the data. Transcripts were shared with the other researchers (HGK
12 and SN) and discussed in detail. From this the initial codes were formed, and preliminary
13 themes derived. These were checked across each lecture cohort by the primary researcher.
14 These themes were then shared with other researchers (as above) and SH for discussion
15 and further refinement [20]. Analysis was led by EP as the lead researcher and monitored by
16 regular meetings with HGK and SN throughout the process. This enabled cross-checking of
17 both emerging ideas and interpretation of the data. Discrepancies were discussed with HGK,
18 SN, EP and expert patients and primary data readdressed in this case. Management of the
19 data was aided by using Microsoft Excel.

20 **Ethical considerations:**

21 This study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine and Health Ethics Committee at the
22 University of East Anglia as a service evaluation 2017/18 - 152. Expert patients gave written
23 informed consent for informal interviews. Expert patients were debriefed pre and post
24 sessions and received payment for their work as expert patients in the lecture. There were
25 no other monetary gains from participation in the research itself.

26 The study followed the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research [19].
27

28 **Results:**

29 A total of 102 student questionnaires from five educational sessions were analysed. The
30 themes and subthemes we identified are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

31 **Insert Tables 1 and 2 near here:**
32
33

1 All students (102) attending the lecture completed the questionnaires. They reported an
2 increase in confidence in consulting following the session. Of note, only three of the 102
3 students had prior experience of working with marginalised patients from a homeless
4 background prior to the session. Analysis of the questionnaires identified a rich learning
5 experience for students; listening, stimulating empathy, and developing understanding of the
6 complexity and challenges homeless patients face when accessing healthcare (Table 1).
7 Two of the four expert patients involved in the teaching gave informed consent to be
8 interviewed, at a café on the University campus. They reported a positive experience with
9 two themes identified - the benefits of telling their story, and the opportunity to give
10 something back (Table 2). Two expert patients who were not interviewed only attended one
11 of the teaching sessions and were not available again to take part.

12 Discussion:

13 The themes identified highlight the positive benefits of using patient narratives to the
14 students and expert patients. Placing value on expert patients' narratives can reduce the
15 ingrained paternalistic values that are often present within the hidden curriculum [20]. GMC
16 guidance recommends inclusion of expert patients in medical education [14]. However,
17 expert patients involved are often retired professionals such as teachers or healthcare
18 professionals [14]; with patients from marginalised groups unlikely to be involved [11]. This
19 case study adds to the body of knowledge on how marginalised patients as 'experts by
20 experience' can make an important contribution to learning [11, 21]. A systematic review by
21 Luchenski et. al (2018) on what works in inclusion health discussed the need for education
22 and training for healthcare providers on barriers to exclusion [22]. They identified that
23 patients report fear as a reason for exclusion from services, alongside judgemental attitudes
24 [22]. Interestingly, fear and anxiety were also the main barriers we identified from the student
25 perspective on the pre-session questionnaire, which, if not addressed during medical school,
26 could continue into practice. Fear on the part of the doctor and the patient could arguably
27 result in a dysfunctional relationship [3-5]. This highlights the importance of pre-empting and
28 preventing judgemental attitudes which can lead to poor communication, rather than trying to
29 remedy it after relations have broken down [6-8]. Addressing this anxiety, fear and ignorance
30 early in a medical career with exposure to expert patients could conceivably address this,
31 with benefit to both patient and doctor [13].

32 In the same way that doctors may need to adapt their consultation to hear a patient narrative
33 [8,17], our study lends evidence to the importance of including expert patients from
34 marginalised groups more systematically in medical student teaching.

35 Strengths and Limitations:

1 The evaluation of the intervention took place at levels 1 and 2 on the adapted Kirkpatrick
2 model [23] see Appendix 2. This is sometimes a criticism of research analysing the impact of
3 expert patients in medical education, that change in behaviour and change in practice which
4 impacts patients in the future, are not evaluated [15]. Due to multiple factors it was not
5 possible to survey or interview students after a period to evaluate outcomes; whether
6 behaviours had changed, and whether learning on level 3 of the adapted Kirkpatrick model
7 had been achieved [23]. Despite the lecture being compulsory, not all students attended,
8 increasing the risk of participation bias; those attending may already have an interest in this
9 area, limiting its impact on the whole medical student cohort, and increasing the chance
10 those attending are likely to be positive about the topic and lecture [23]. Furthermore,
11 feedback was collected from students immediately after the session, of which the lead
12 researcher EP was present and delivering parts of the lecture, this may impact student
13 responses and increase the chance of social desirability bias [24]. Expert patient' feedback
14 is also at risk of social desirability bias, with expert patients having a good relationship with
15 the lecturer (HGK) [24]. To minimise this, debriefing interviews were carried out by the lead
16 researcher (EP) with each expert patient, however, the lead researcher was involved in the
17 lecture and this may have impacted their responses. Honesty from expert patients was
18 encouraged and value placed on their views to bring about change and improvements to the
19 lecture, to try and minimise any bias which may have arisen from the lead researcher as
20 interviewer. However, these interviews were not audio recorded and themes were derived
21 from notes, although member checking was carried out to increase credibility. Expert
22 patients were keen to have their voice heard and were involved reviewing the information
23 collected from the interviews and familiar with the themes seen in table 2. Member checking
24 was not possible with student data due to anonymising of questionnaires. We did consider
25 using Nvivo for managing the data. However, we decided to store and manage it in Microsoft
26 Excel for coding and sharing with the team. Furthermore, although coding of themes was
27 discussed with all researchers, coding carried out only by lead researcher might have
28 resulted in reduced reliability. A strength of this study is the co-construction with expert
29 patients who were involved in the design, delivery and evaluation of a teaching intervention,
30 which involved an often-underrepresented group of patients, in the core curriculum for MBBS
31 students. Moreover, we feel this intervention attempts to address the fact that some learning
32 in medical school can occur in silo's and that it can be difficult to connect new knowledge
33 when this is the case, expert patients highlighting complexity can assist with this. We would
34 be happy to share the details of our intervention with other medical schools on reasonable
35 request.

1 In conclusion, marginalised patients and their narratives are valuable in medical education
2 when learning about medical complexity and tri-morbidity. Furthermore, involvement of
3 expert patients in designing and delivering teaching to undergraduate medical students can
4 provide a fresh perspective and be beneficial to both students and the patient. Importantly it
5 can also reduce health inequalities by promoting engagement of marginalised patients with
6 healthcare, clinicians and education.

7

8 Acknowledgements:

9 Prof. Amanda Howe for peer reviewing this study

10 References:

- 11 1. Lam M, Lechner B, Chow R, Chiu L, Chiu N, Lam H, et al. A review of medical humanities
12 curriculum in medical schools. *Journal of Pain Management*. 2015;8[4]:289-97.
- 13 2. Wachtler C, Lundin S, Troein M. Humanities for medical students? A qualitative study of a
14 medical humanities curriculum in a medical school program. *BMC Medical Education*. 2006;6[1]:16.
- 15 3. Pedersen R. Empathy development in medical education--a critical review. *Medical Teacher*.
16 2010;32[7]:593-600.
- 17 4. Mantori V. *Why We Revolt*. Minnesota, USA: The patient revolution; 2017.
- 18 5. Marmot M. Inclusion health: addressing the causes of the causes. *The Lancet*.
19 2018;391[10117]:186-8.
- 20 6. Hart Tudor J. THE INVERSE CARE LAW. *The Lancet*. 1971;297[7696]:405-12.
- 21 7. Thomas B. Homelessness Kills: an analysis of the mortality of homeless people in early
22 twenty-first century England. Crisis Website: University of Sheffield; 2012.
- 23 8. Sulzer SH, W FN, L WC. Assessing empathy development in medical education: a systematic
24 review. *Medical Education*. 2016;50[3]:300-10.
- 25 9. Neumann M, Edelhauser F, Tauschel D, Fischer MR, Wirtz M, Woopen C, et al. Empathy
26 decline and its reasons: a systematic review of studies with medical students and residents.
27 *Academic medicine : journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges*. 2011;86[8]:996-1009.
- 28 10. Boylan O, Loughrey C, Donaghy F. Learning from the expert patient's voice: implications for
29 training. *Education for Primary Care*. 2011;22[3]:140-3.
- 30 11. Towle A, Bainbridge L, Godolphin W, Katz A, Kline C, Lown B, et al. Active patient
31 involvement in the education of health professionals. *Med Educ*. 2010;44[1]:64-74.
- 32 12. Jha V, Quinton ND, Bekker HL, Roberts TE. Strategies and interventions for the involvement
33 of real patients in medical education: a systematic review. *Med Educ*. 2009;43[1]:10-20.
- 34 13. Jackson A, Blaxter L, Lewando-Hundt G. Participating in medical education: views of patients
35 and carers living in deprived communities. *Medical Education*. 2003;37[6]:532-8.
- 36 14. GMC. Patient and public involvement in undergraduate medical education 2009 [Available
37 from: [https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/patient-and-public-involvement-in-
38 undergraduate-medical-education---guidance-0815_pdf-56438926.pdf](https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/patient-and-public-involvement-in-undergraduate-medical-education---guidance-0815_pdf-56438926.pdf).
- 39 15. Silverman D. *Doing qualitative research*. 5E. ed: SAGE; 2017.
- 40 16. Dolezal L, Lyons B. Health-related shame: an affective determinant of health? *Medical
41 Humanities*. 2017;43[4]:257-63.
- 42 17. Dosani S. Complexity In Primary Care. *BMJ*. 2007;335[7613]:s48.
- 43 18. Heath I. How medicine has exploited rationality at the expense of humanity: an essay by
44 Iona Heath. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i5705.

- 1 19. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research [COREQ]:
2 a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*.
3 2007;19[6]:349-57.
- 4 20. Bleakley A, Bligh J. Students Learning from Patients: Let's Get Real in Medical Education.
5 *Advances in Health Sciences Education*. 2008;13[1]:89-107.
- 6 21. Spencer J, Blackmore D, Heard S, McCrorie P, McHaffie D, Scherpbier A, et al. Patient-
7 oriented learning: a review of the role of the patient in the education of medical students. *Med*
8 *Educ*. 2000;34[10]:851-7.
- 9 22. Luchenski S, Maguire N, Aldridge RW, Hayward A, Story A, Perri P, et al. What works in
10 inclusion health: overview of effective interventions for marginalised and excluded populations. *The*
11 *Lancet*. 2018;391[10117]:266-80.
- 12 23. McCambridge J, Witton J, Elbourne DR. Systematic review of the Hawthorne effect: New
13 concepts are needed to study research participation effects[.]. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*.
14 2014;67[3]:267-77.
- 15 24. AJ N. Methods of coping with social desirability bias: A review. *European Journal of Social*
16 *Psychology*. 1985;15[3]:263-80.

17

18

1 Table 1: Student experiences

Student Feedback Theme	Subtheme	Quote
Compassionate Care	Humanity	<p>'I would feel like they may have a lot of issues they may need help with and not to pre judge them' st 1.8</p> <p>'It was fascinating to hear the patients' stories. It was an invaluable experience and it will encourage to be more empathetic in future consultations' st1.2</p> <p>'I would see the human side, consider it just as important as the medical side' st 1.36</p> <p>'to not judge patients by their appearance as we don't know their story or how they got there. Be humble, we're humans like them. Talking to them with dignity. Can make a massive difference to them' st 2.12</p> <p>'They're just a human with a difference story- no need to treat them any differently. Attentive kindness' st 3.12</p>
	Empathy & Listening	<p>'I would feel I should listen to them and that I can help them by being kind and attentive to the person in front of me' st 1.21</p> <p>'Listen, explore and empathise. Treat the person and not just what they present with' st 1.4</p> <p>'to not assume and to listen to their stories. Give time.' st 3.12</p>
	Holistic care	<p>'I would now act more compassionately and focus on the patient holistically taking into account their past experiences and the underlying causing for their addiction and try to help change or deal with that as opposed to just focusing on the addiction.' st 1.26</p> <p>'Remembering to see the whole patient, their story and follow up where possible, ongoing care' st 1.16</p> <p>'I would want to enquire about a lot of aspects of their health. [housing, physical health, mental health, substance abuse, dental health]' st 4.20</p>

Confidence in Consulting	<p>Pre questionnaire: 'fear and anxiety' st1.36</p> <p>Post questionnaire: 'more confident, useful to ask them how are you?' st 1.36</p> <p>'more confident. Like I'd have an idea of how to start and that I could maybe make a difference' st 1.24</p> <p>'Better at taking a 1 priority at a time stepwise approach' st 3.6</p> <p>'highlighted the importance of things like eye contact/ using patients name to help them feel welcome' st 4.10</p>
Complexity for marginalised groups	<p>'Hearing the individual experiences of 3 marginalised patients was enlightening. Hearing about the 'shame' they felt in front of medical professionals and fear of being rejected and judged deferred them from getting treatment' st 1.26</p> <p>'understand the importance of shame and stigma. Ask how are you? How can I help?'</p> <p>'it's shown me how hard it can be for a homeless person to access healthcare' st 1.19</p> <p>'I appreciate how difficult it is for them to come in to see GP and take them seriously' st 1.32</p> <p>'Understanding how hard it is to seek help with barriers and how much mental reserve this takes- importance of patience'</p>

1

2 **Table 2: Patient experiences**

Theme	Quote
Benefits of telling personal stories:	<p>'like therapy' pt b</p> <p>'moving your story on every time you repeat it, validates a forward direction' pt a</p> <p>'pleasure to tell story because life is no longer chaotic and you feel proud and feel expert' pt b</p> <p>'orders life story in your head like refiling or decluttering and you feel better every time' pt a</p>
Giving something back:	<p>'a drive to change things for the better' pt a</p> <p>'want to give something back' pt b</p> <p>'honour to be able to speak and to hopefully change things in the future. I survived this, others can too' pt a</p>

3